

Adaptive diversification through structural variation in barley

Murukarthick Jayakodi, Qiongxian Lu, Helene Pidon, M. Timothy Rabanus-Wallace, Micha Bayer, Thomas Lux, Yu Guo, Benjamin Jaegle, Ana Badea, Wubishet Bekele, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Murukarthick Jayakodi, Qiongxian Lu, Helene Pidon, M. Timothy Rabanus-Wallace, Micha Bayer, et al.. Adaptive diversification through structural variation in barley. 2024. hal-04493576

HAL Id: hal-04493576 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04493576

Preprint submitted on 7 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Adaptive diversification through structural variation in barley

2 Murukarthick Jayakodi^{1,31}, Qiongxian Lu^{2,31}, Hélène Pidon^{1,3,31}, M. Timothy Rabanus-Wallace^{1,31}, Micha Bayer⁴, Thomas Lux⁵, Yu Guo¹, Benjamin Jaegle⁶, Ana Badea⁷, Wubishet 3 Bekele⁸, Gurcharn S. Brar⁹, Katarzyna Braune², Boyke Bunk¹⁰, Kenneth J. Chalmers¹¹, Brett 4 5 Chapman¹², Morten Egevang Jørgensen², Jia-Wu Feng¹, Manuel Feser¹, Anne Fiebig¹, 6 Heidrun Gundlach⁵, Wenbin Guo⁴, Georg Haberer⁵, Mats Hansson¹³, Axel Himmelbach¹, Iris Hoffie¹, Robert E. Hoffie¹, Haifei Hu^{12,14}, Sachiko Isobe¹⁵, Patrick König¹, Sandip M. 7 Kale², Nadia Kamal⁵, Gabriel Keeble-Gagnère¹⁶, Beat Keller⁶, Manuela Knauft¹, Ravi 8 9 Koppolu¹, Simon G. Krattinger¹⁷, Jochen Kumlehn¹, Peter Langridge¹¹, Chengdao Li^{12,18}, Marina P. Marone¹, Andreas Maurer¹⁹, Klaus F.X. Mayer^{5,20}, Michael Melzer¹, Gary J. 10 Muehlbauer²¹, Emiko Murozuka², Sudharsan Padmarasu¹, Dragan Perovic²², Klaus Pillen¹⁹, 11 12 Pierre A. Pin²³, Curtis J. Pozniak²⁴, Luke Ramsay⁴, Pai Rosager Pedas², Twan Rutten¹, Shun Sakuma²⁵, Kazuhiro Sato^{26,15}, Danuta Schüler¹, Thomas Schmutzer¹⁹, Uwe Scholz¹, Miriam 13 Schreiber⁴, Kenta Shirasawa¹⁵, Craig Simpson⁴, Birgitte Skadhauge², Manuel Spannagl⁵, 14 15 Brian J. Steffenson²⁷, Hanne C. Thomsen², Josquin F. Tibbits¹⁶, Martin Toft Simmelsgaard 16 Nielsen², Corinna Trautewig¹, Dominique Vequaud²³, Cynthia Voss², Penghao Wang¹², 17 Robbie Waugh^{4,28}, Sharon Westcott¹², Magnus Wohlfahrt Rasmussen², Runxuan Zhang⁴, Xiao-Qi Zhang¹², Thomas Wicker⁶, Christoph Dockter², Martin Mascher^{1,29} & Nils 18

19 Stein^{1,30}

¹Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK) Gatersleben, Seeland,

21 Germany, ²Carlsberg Research Laboratory, Copenhagen, Denmark, ³IPSiM, Univ Montpellier,

22 CNRS, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France, ⁴The James Hutton Institute, Dundee,

23 UK, ⁵PGSB - Plant Genome and Systems Biology, Helmholtz Center Munich - German

24 Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany, ⁶Department of Plant

and Microbial Biology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ⁷Brandon Research and

26 Development Centre, Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada, Brandon, Canada, ⁸Ottawa Research

27 and Development Centre, Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa, Canada, ⁹Faculty of

28 Land and Food Systems, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, ¹⁰DSMZ-

29 German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH, Braunschweig,

30 Germany, ¹¹School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Urrbrae,

31 Australia, ¹²Western Crop Genetics Alliance, Food Futures Institute/School of Agriculture,

32 Murdoch University, Murdoch, Australia, ¹³Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund,

33 Sweden, ¹⁴Rice Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences,

34 Guangzhou, China, ¹⁵Kazusa DNA Research Institute, Kisarazu, Japan, ¹⁶Agriculture Victoria,

35 Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions, Agribio, La Trobe University, Victoria, Bundoora,

36 Australia, ¹⁷Plant Science Program, Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering

37 Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi

38 Arabia, ¹⁸Department of Primary Industry and Regional Development, Government of

39 Western Australia, Perth, Australia, ¹⁹Institute of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences,

40 Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany, ²⁰School of Life Sciences

41 Weihenstephan, Technical University Munich, Freising, Germany, ²¹Department of

42 Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA, ²²Institute

43 for Resistance Research and Stress Tolerance, Julius Kuehn-Institute (JKI), Federal Research

44 Centre for Cultivated Plants, Quedlinburg, Germany, ²³SECOBRA Recherches, Maule,

45 France, ²⁴Department of Plant Sciences and Crop Development Centre, University of

- 46 Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, ²⁵Faculty of Agriculture, Tottori University, Tottori,
- 47 Japan, ²⁶Institute of Plant Science and Resources, Okayama University, Kurashiki,
- 48 Japan, ²⁷Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota,
- 49 USA, ²⁸School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK, ²⁹German Centre for
- 50 Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, ³⁰Center for
- 51 Integrated Breeding Research, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany. ³¹These
- 52 authors contributed equally to this work.
- 53 ^memail: <u>wicker@botinst.uzh.ch; christoph.dockter@carlsberg.com; mascher@ipk-</u>
 54 gatersleben.de; stein@ipk-gatersleben.de.
- 55

56 Pangenomes are collections of annotated genome sequences of multiple individuals of a 57 species. The structural variants uncovered by these datasets are a major asset to genetic 58 analysis in crop plants. Here, we report a pangenome of barley comprising long-read 59 sequence assemblies of 76 wild and domesticated genomes and short-read sequence data 60 of 1,315 genotypes. An expanded catalogue of sequence variation in the crop includes 61 structurally complex loci that have become hot spots of gene copy number variation in 62 evolutionarily recent times. To demonstrate the utility of the pangenome, we focus on four 63 loci involved in disease resistance, plant architecture, nutrient release, and trichome 64 development. Novel allelic variation at a powdery mildew resistance locus and population-65 specific copy number gains in a regulator of vegetative branching were found. Expansion of a family of starch-cleaving enzymes in elite malting barleys was linked to shifts in enzymatic 66 67 activity in micro-malting trials. Deletion of an enhancer motif is likely to change the developmental trajectory of the hairy appendages on barley grains. Our findings indicate 68 69 that rapid evolution at structurally complex loci may have helped crop plants adapt to new 70 selective regimes in agricultural ecosystems.

71

72 Reliable crop yields fueled the rise of human civilizations. As people embraced a new way of 73 life, cultivated plants, too, had to adapt to the needs of their domesticators. There are 74 different adaptive requirements in a wild compared to an arable habitat. Crop plants and their 75 wild progenitors differ in how many vegetative branches they initiate or how many seeds or 76 fruits they produce and when. For example, barley (Hordeum vulgare) in six-rowed forms of 77 the crops, thrice as many grains set as in the ancestral two-rowed forms. This change was 78 brought about by knock-out mutations¹ of a recently evolved regulator² of inflorescence 79 development. Consequently, six-rowed barleys came to predominate in most barley-growing 80 regions³. Taking a broader view of the environment as a set of exogeneous factors that drive 81 natural selection, barley provides another fascinating, and economically important example. 82 The process of malting involves the sprouting of moist barley grains, driving the release of 83 enzymes that break down starch into fermentable sugars. In the wild, various environmental 84 cues can trigger germination to improve the odds of the emerging seedling encountering favorable weather conditions for subsequent growth⁴. In the malt house, by contrast, 85 germination of modern varieties has to be fast and uniform to satisfy the desired specifications 86 87 of the industry. In addition to these examples, traits such as disease resistance, plant 88 architecture and nutrient use have been both a focus for plant breeders and studied intensively barley geneticists⁵. While barley genetic analyses flourished during a "classical" 89 period⁶ in the first half of the 20th century, it started to lag behind small-genome models due 90

to difficulties in adapting molecular biology techniques to a large genome rich in repeats⁷. 91 92 However, interest in barley as diploid model for temperate cereals has surged again as DNA 93 sequencing became more powerful. High-quality sequences of several barley genomes have 94 been recently assembled⁸. New sequencing technologies have shifted the focus of barley 95 genomics: from the modest ambition of a physical map of all genes to a "pangenome", i.e. 96 near-complete sequence assemblies⁹ of many genomes. Here, we report a pangenome 97 comprising 76 chromosome-scale sequences assembled from long-reads as well as short-read 98 sequences of 1,315 barley genomes. These data in conjunction with genetic and genomic 99 analyses provide insights into the effects of structural variation at loci related to crop evolution 100 and adaptation.

101

102 An expanded annotated pangenome of barley

103

As in previous diversity studies^{8,10}, we aimed for a judicious mix of representativeness, 104 diversity and integration with community resources (Fig. 1a, Extended Data Fig. 1a-c, 105 106 Supplementary Table 1). We selected (i) diverse domesticated germplasm with a focus on 107 genebank accessions from barley's center of diversity in the Middle East; (ii) 23 accessions of 108 barley's conspecific wild progenitor H. vulgare subsp. spontaneum from across that taxon's 109 geographic range (Extended Data Fig. 1d); and (iii) cultivars of agronomic or scientific 110 relevance. Examples of the last category are Bonus, Foma and Bowman, three parents of classical mutants¹¹. Genome sequences of each accession were assembled to contig-level 111 from PacBio HiFi accurate long reads¹² and scaffolded with conformation capture sequencing 112 (Hi-C) data¹³ to chromosome-scale pseudomolecules (Extended Data Fig. 2a, Supplementary 113 114 Table 1). Gene models were annotated with the help of transcriptional evidence and 115 homology. Illumina RNA sequencing and PacBio isoform sequencing of five different tissues 116 (Supplementary Table 2) were generated for 20 accessions. Gene models predicted in these 117 genomes were projected onto the remaining 56 sequence assemblies (Supplementary Table 118 3). Out of 4,896 single-copy genes conserved across the Poales, on average fewer than 92 119 (1.9%) were absent in the pangenome annotations (Supplementary Table 3). Our assemblies 120 also met the other quality metrics proposed by the EarthBiogenome project¹⁴ (Supplementary Table 1). 121

- 122
- 123 An atlas of structural variation
- 124

125 Gene content variation was abundant in the barley pangenome. The gene models in the 76 126 genomes were clustered into 95,735 orthologous groups (Extended Data Fig. 3), of which only 127 16,672 (17.4%) were present in all 76 genotypes. Of these groups, 14,736 had a single 128 representative in each of the genomes. At the level of individual gene models, a third were 129 considered conserved because they belong to an orthologous group with representatives 130 from each accession (Extended Data Fig. 3b). As expected for conspecific populations 131 connected by gene flow, wild and domesticated barleys were not strongly differentiated in 132 their gene content: of 78,565 orthologous groups subject to presence/absence variation, only 133 863 and 397 were private to wild and domesticated barleys, respectively. The functional 134 annotations of clusters restricted to specific gene pools (wild forms, landraces, cultivars and 135 combinations of these groups) pointed to an involvement in biotic and abiotic stress responses 136 (Supplementary Table 4).

137 To expand the catalogue of presence/absence variants (PAV), insertion and deletions (indels) 138 and polymorphic inversions, we aligned the genome sequences and detected structural 139 variants (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 2b-d, Extended Data Fig. 3c). Noteworthy were two 140 reciprocal interchromosomal translocations, the first in HOR 14273, an Iranian landrace, and 141 the second in HID055, a wild barley from Turkey (Fig. 1b). The latter event joins the short arm 142 of chromosome 2H with the long arm of chromosome 4H (and vice versa) and manifests itself in a biparental population between HID055 and Barke¹⁵ in interchromosomal linkage (Fig. 1c) 143 144 and incomplete seed set in the offspring. This illustrates that inadvertent selection of 145 germplasm with structural variants can create obstacles for the use of plant genetic resources. The presence of both wild and domesticated barleys in our panel made it possible to compare 146 147 the levels of structural diversity in the two taxa. Graph structures tabulating the presence and absence of single-copy loci in individual genomes⁸ grew faster in wild than in cultivated forms 148 (Fig. 1d): a larger amount of single-copy sequence was present in 23 wild barley genomes than 149 150 in 53 genomes of the domesticate. This pattern was also seen in a whole-genome graph constructed with minigraph¹⁶ (Extended Data Fig. 4e). The pangenome graph improves the 151 152 accuracy of read alignment and variant calling: more reads were aligned as proper pairs, and 153 with fewer mismatches, to the graph than to a single reference genome (Extended Data Fig. 154 **4b**). The genome-wide distribution of structural variants encapsulated in the graph matched 155 that inferred from pairwise alignments (Extended Data Fig. 4c-d). However, owing to high 156 computational requirements¹⁷, pangenome graph construction with packages supporting small variants (< 50 bp) is still computationally prohibitive in barley. 157

158 Despite domestication bottlenecks, genetic diversity is high in cultivated barley⁵. To quantify 159 the completeness of the haplotype inventory of our pangenome, we compared our 160 assemblies against short-read data of a global diversity panel (Supplementary Table 5). A core 161 set of 1,000 genotypes selected from a collection of 22,626 barleys³ was sequenced to threefold haploid genome coverage. Nested therein, 200 genomes⁸ were sequenced to 10-fold 162 163 depth and the gene space of 46 accessions was represented in the contigs assembled from 164 50-fold short-read data (Extended Data Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 6). A total of 315 elite cultivars of European ancestry were sequenced to 3-fold coverage (Extended Data Fig. 5a, 165 Supplementary Table 5). More than 164.5 million single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) 166 and indels were detected across all panels (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Overlaying these with the 167 168 pangenome showed that the 76 chromosome-scale assemblies captured almost all pericentric 169 haplotypes of cultivated barley (Extended Data Fig. 2d-f). Coverage decreased to as low as 170 50% in distal regions, where haplotypes of plant genetic resources lacked a close relative in 171 the pangenome more often than those of elite cultivars (Extended Data Fig. 2e-f). This 172 suggests that, thanks to broad taxon sampling, short-read sequencing will remain 173 indispensable for the time being, but in the future population-scale long-read sequencing¹⁸ 174 will be a desirable in agricultural genetics as it is in medical genetics.

- 175
- 176 An inventory of complex loci

177

Long-read sequencing has the power to resolve structurally complex genomic regions, where repeated cycles of tandem duplication, mutation of duplicated genes and elimination by deletion or recombination have created a panoply of diverged copies of one or multiple genes in varied arrangements (**Extended Data Fig. 6a**). Many complex loci are intimately linked to the evolution of resistance genes¹⁹. An illustrative example is barley's *Mildew resistance locus* $a (Mla)^{20,21}$, which contains three families of resistance gene homologs, each with multiple 184 members at the locus. A 40 kb region containing members of two families is repeated four 185 times head-to-tail in RGT Planet, but is not present in even a single complete copy in 62 186 accessions of our pangenome (Extended Data Fig. 6b-c). Mla genes sensu strictu, i.e. those 187 that have been experimentally proven to provide functional powdery mildew resistance, are among members of a subfamily that resides outside of this duplication but close to its distal 188 189 border (Fig. 2a-b, Extended Data Fig. 6b-c). Twenty-nine Mla alleles in the narrow sense have been defined to date²². Gene models identical to seven were identified in our pangenome 190 191 (Fig. 2a). However, the sequence variation went beyond this observation: 149 unique gene 192 models were different from, but highly similar to known Mla alleles, with nucleotide 193 sequences at least 98% identical. Some of these genes were present in multiple copies. HOR 194 8117, a landrace from Nepal, contained 11 different close homologs of *Mla*, two of which were 195 present in five copies each (Supplementary Fig. 1). Genome sequences alone cannot inform 196 us how this sequence diversity relates to resistance to powdery mildew or other diseases²³. 197 Until the advent of long-read sequencing, it was virtually impossible to resolve the structure 198 of the *Mla* locus in multiple genomes at once, but now it is a corollary of pangenomics.

We employed a gene-agnostic method²⁴ to scan the genome sequence of Morex for 199 200 structurally complex loci harbouring genes, focusing on examples that had evidently caused 201 gene copy number variation across the pangenome via the expansion or collapse of long 202 tandem repeats. A total of 173 loci ranging in size from 20 kb to 2.2 Mb (median: 125 kb) 203 matched our criteria (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Table 7). Their copy numbers were variable in 204 the pangenome. The most extreme case was a cluster of genes annotated by homology as 205 thionin genes, which are possibly involved in resistance to herbivory²⁵. The locus had as few 206 as three thionin gene copies in the wild barley WBDC103 and up to 78 copies in WBDC199, 207 another wild barley (Extended Data Fig. 6d). Genes associated with such complex loci 208 possessed functional annotations suggesting involvement in various biological processes (Fig. 209 2c, Supplementary Table 7). Complex loci were enriched in distal chromosomal regions (Fig. 210 2d). In this regard, they follow the same distal-to-proximal gradient as genetic diversity and 211 recombination frequency. The latter process might play a role in their amplification and 212 contraction owing to unequal homologous recombination between neighboring repeat units²⁶ 213 (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Molecular dating of the tandem duplications in Morex is consistent with rapid evolution (Extended Data Fig. 7): loci with many gene copies appear to have gained 214 215 them within the last three million years (Extended Data Fig. 7c), after the H. vulgare lineage split from that of its closest relative H. bulbosum²⁷. In addition, 63 loci (36.4%) underwent at 216 217 least one duplication in the last 10,000 years, that is, after domestication (Extended Data Fig. 218 7d). Forty-five loci expanded so recently that the genes they harboured were identical 219 duplicates of each other.

220 One interesting case of such recent diversification was a duplication at the HvTB1 locus (also 221 known as INTERMEDIUM-C [INT-C] or SIX ROWED SPIKE 5). HvTB1 is a TEOSINTE BRANCHED 222 1, CYCLOIDEA, PCF1 (TCP) transcription factor involved in basal branching (tillering) and other aspects of plant architecture in cereal grasses²⁸⁻³⁰. In barley, both tillering and the fertility of 223 lateral spikelets is increased in knock-out mutants^{30,31}. Just two alleles, *Int-c.a* and *int-c.b*. 224 dominate in six-rowed and two-rowed forms³⁰, respectively, and *HvTB1* is not genetically 225 linked to the SIX ROWED SPIKE 1 gene. Both alleles of HvTB1 are thought to be functional and 226 227 occur also in wild barley^{30,32}. These patterns have defied easy explanation. Expression 228 differences owing to regulatory variation have been postulated but not proven³⁰. The 229 pangenome adds another twist. HvTB1 is a single-copy gene in all 22 H. spontaneum 230 accessions and 23 two-rowed domesticates except HOR 7385 (Supplementary Table 8). Sixrowed forms, however, have up to four copies of a 21 kb segment that contains *HvTB1* and ~5
kb of its upstream sequence (Fig. 2b). The reference cultivar Morex has three copies, although
these were falsely collapsed in previous short-read assemblies of that variety³³. On top of
variable copy numbers, the pangenome revealed six hitherto unknown HvTB1 protein variants
(Extended Data Fig. 6d, Supplementary Table 8). Reduced tillering in maize has been
attributed to overexpression of *TB1*. The barley pangenome will help developmental
geneticists reveal if copy number gains had analogous effects in six-rowed forms.

- 238
- 239 Amplification of α-amylases in malting barley
- 240

241 Among the complex loci we examined, the *amy1* 1 locus of α -amylases is arguably the one of 242 greatest economic importance. These enzymes cleave the polysaccharide starch into shortchain forms, which are then digested further into sugars³⁴. In both wild and cultivated forms, 243 244 the speed and efficiency of that process determines the energy supply to and hence the vigor of the young seedling³⁵. In grains of domesticated barley, the enzymatic conversion of starch 245 246 into fermentable sugars by α -amylases initiates the malting process. Barley α -amylases are 247 subdivided into four families, which occupy distinct genomic loci (Extended Data Fig. 8a, 248 Supplementary Tables 9 and 10). Earlier genome sequences assembled from short reads 249 hinted at the presence of structural variation at the amy1 1 locus on chromosome 6H, 250 respectively, but failed to resolve copy numbers³⁶. By contrast, each of our long-read assemblies covered amy1 1 in a single contig (Extended Data Fig. 9a). Copy numbers of 251 252 amy1_1 in 76 complete genomes varied between two and eight, with on average more copies in domesticated than in wild forms (Fig. 3a, b). Individual copies were addressable by 21-mers 253 254 that overlap sequence variants. We counted these 21-mers in the short-reads of 1,315 255 genotypes and also determined SNP haplotypes around the amy1 1 locus in these data 256 (Extended Data Figs. 8e-f, 9b, Supplementary Tables 11 and 12). Eight clusters were 257 discernible and could be related to population structure. Three-quarters of hulless barleys 258 were in cluster #7. Six-rowed barleys belonged mostly to clusters #1 and #6. Among 315 259 European varieties, clusters #5 and #6 were most common. Clusters #3 and #8 with fewer 260 amy1_1 copies were exclusive to plant genetic resources. Barleys from eastern and central Asian countries tend to have high copy numbers. Amy1_1 copy numbers were higher on 261 262 average in elite varieties than in other barleys (Fig. 3b). Structural diversity was accompanied 263 by differences in gene sequence owing to SNPs and indels in open reading frames and 264 promoters. The 76 genome assemblies had 94 distinct *amy1_1* haplotypes (Fig. 3c, Extended 265 Data Fig. 8b, Supplementary Tables 13-16). Twelve had insertions of transposable elements 266 (Supplementary Table 17). At the protein level, there were 38 unique AMY1 1 isoforms 267 (Supplementary Tables 18 and 19), some of which were predicted to affect protein³⁷ stability 268 and thereby influence α -amylase activity (**Fig. 3d, e**).

We investigated in more detail the elite malting barleys Morex, Barke and RGT Planet (Fig. 3, 269 270 Supplementary Tables 20 and 21). Prior to its use as a genome reference cultivar, Morex was 271 a successful variety in North America. It had six nearly identical (> 99 % similarity) amy1_1 272 copies. (Fig. 3a). The fifth copy was disrupted by the insertion of a transposable element. Full-273 length copies were verified by PacBio amplicon sequencing. Barke, a European cultivar, had 274 six full-length copies, albeit of a different haplotype. RGT Planet, currently a successful cultivar 275 in many barley-growing regions around the world, had five copies, one of which was likely to 276 be inactivated by a 32 bp deletion in a pyr-box (CTTT(A/T) core) promoter binding site that is essential for α -amylase transcription³⁸. We tested overall α -amylase activity in micro-malting 277

trials with RGT Planet and near-isogenic lines (NILs) that carried Morex and Barke amy1 1 278 279 haplotypes in the genomic background of RGT Planet. It was observed that α -amylase activity 280 was highest in amy1 1-Barke NILs (Fig. 3e). The Barke haplotype is common not only in 281 cultivars favored by European maltsters, but also among those from other regions of the world, where barley α -amylases need to be abundant enough to cleave starch from adjuncts 282 283 such as maize and rice (Supplementary Table 22). The patterns of sequence variation at 284 amy1_1 uncovered by the barley pangenome pave the way for the targeted deployment, 285 possibly even design, of *amy1* 1 haplotypes in breeding.

286

288

287 A regulatory variation controls trichome development

289 Our last example sits at the intersection of developmental genetics, breeding and 290 domestication. Hairy appendages to grains and awns are conducive to seed dispersal in wild plants, but have lost this function in domesticates³⁹. A pertinent example are the hairs on the 291 rachillae of barley grains. In barley, the rachilla is the rudimentary secondary axis of the 292 inflorescence, where multiple grains are set in wheat⁴⁰. In the single-grained spikelets of 293 barley, the rachilla is a thin and hairy thread-like structure nested in the ventral crease of the 294 295 grains. The long hairs of the rachillae of wild barleys and most cultivated forms are unicellular, 296 while the short hairs of some domesticated types are multicellular and branched (Fig. 4a, 297 Extended Data Fig. 10a). This seemingly minor difference in a vestigial organ belies its importance in variety registration trials⁴¹, where breeders would like to predict the trait with 298 299 a diagnostic marker. Short rachilla hair 1 (srh1) is also a classical locus in barley genetics⁴². It 300 has been mapped genetically^{8,43} (Fig. 4b) and both long- and short-haired genotypes are 301 included in our pangenome. Fine-mapping in a population of 2,398 recombinant inbred lines derived from a cross^{36,44} between cultivars Morex (short, *srh1*) and Barke (long, *Srh1*) 302 delimited the causal variant to a 113 kb interval on the long arm of chromosome 5H (Fig. 4c, 303 304 Supplementary Table 23). Outside of this interval (which is itself devoid of annotated gene 305 models), but within 11 kb of the distal flanking marker is a homolog of a SIAMESE-RELATED (SMR) gene of the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana^{45,46}. Members of this family of cyclin-306 307 dependent kinase inhibitors control endoreduplication in trichomes of that species. In barley, hair cell development is likewise accompanied by endopolyploidy-dependent cell size 308 309 increases (Extended Data Fig. 10b). The SMR-homolog was expressed in the rachilla's 310 developing trichomes (Extended Data Fig. 10e), but there were no differences between Morex 311 and Barke in the sequence of this otherwise plausible candidate gene. Despite this conflicting 312 evidence, we proceeded with mutational analysis and obtained several mutants using FIND-313 IT⁴⁷ (Extended Data Fig. 10c,d) and Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis (Fig. 4d, 314 Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Tables 24 and 25). Mutants of long-haired genotypes 315 with knock-out variants or a nonsynonymous change in a Pro phosphorylation motif (Thr62-Pro63) had short, multicellular rachillae, supporting the idea that the gene in question, 316 317 HORVU.MOREX.r3.5HG0492730, is indeed HvSRH1. Sequence variants in HvSRH1 identified in 318 the pangenome did not lend itself to easy explanation: 18 protein haplotypes caused by 23 319 non-synonymous variants bore no obvious relation to the phenotype (Supplementary Table 320 26). Thus, we then examined regulatory variation. All 14 short-haired genotypes in the 321 pangenome lacked a 4,273 bp sequence (Fig. 4c), which was exceptionally well conserved in 322 long-haired types, with 95% overall identity to Barke. Within this sequence, we found the 323 motif CATCGGATCCTT, matching the sequence [ATC]T[ATC]GGATNC[CT][ATC], which is recognized by regulators of SMR expression in A. thaliana⁴⁸. That sequence was repeated five 324

times in Barke. The closest unit in long-haired types was no further than 13.6 kb from the gene, while the minimum distance between the gene and its putative enhancer motif in short-haired types was 22.3 kb, owing to the 4.3 kb deletion (Fig. 4c). *HvSRH1* expression during rachilla hair elongation is higher in long-haired than in short-haired genotypes (Extended Data Fig. 10f). Gene edits of the enhancer region, guided by the pangenome sequences, will further elucidate the transcriptional regulation of *HvSRH1*.

- 331
- 332 Discussion

333

The recently published human draft pangenome demonstrated how contiguous long-read 334 335 sequences help make sense of reams of sequence data⁴⁹. Our study on barley pangenome 336 sheds light on crop evolution and breeding. The shortcomings of previous short-read 337 assemblies made it all but impossible to see patterns that now emerge from their long-read 338 counterparts. We were able for the first time to study the evolution of structurally complex 339 loci of nearly identical tandem repeats. Our developmental insights are admittedly still 340 cursory: true to the hypothesis-generating remit of genomics, and at least as many questions 341 were raised as answered. We studied four loci – *Mla*, *HvTB1*, *amy1_1*, *HvSRH1* – and the traits 342 they control: disease resistance, plant architecture, starch mobilization and the hairiness of a 343 rudimentary appendage to the grain. In two of these examples, phenotypic diversity has 344 visibly increased in domesticated forms: there are no six-rowed or short-haired wild barleys. 345 Malting created new selective pressures that only cultivated forms experienced. Novel allelic 346 variation at disease resistance loci is both illustrative of the power of pangenomics and in line 347 with our understanding of how disease resistance genes evolve. Structural variation at amy1_1 has been known for some time, but previous attempts at resolving the structure of 348 349 the locus had been thwarted by incomplete genome sequences. Tandem duplications and 350 deletions of regulatory elements, respectively, at HvTB1 and HvSRH1 was surprising since for 351 many years barley geneticists considered the loci as monofactorial recessive. Much of the 352 variation seems to have arisen after domestication, either because mutations that appear with clock-like regularity were absent or copy numbers were lower in the wild progenitor than in 353 354 the domesticated forms. A common concern among crop conservationists is dangerously reduced genetic diversity in cultivated plants⁵⁰. But crop evolution need not be a 355 356 unidirectional loss of diversity. This study has shown that valuable diversity can arise after 357 domestication. Rapid evolution at structurally complex loci may endow domesticated plants 358 with a means of adaptive diversification that aptly fulfills the needs of farmers and breeders. 359 More diverse crop pangenomes will help us understand how the counteracting forces of past 360 domestication bottlenecks and newly arisen structural variants influence future crop improvement in changing climates. 361

362

363 Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the technical assistance of Susanne König, Ines Walde, Sabine Sommerfeld, 364 John Fuller, Nicola McCallum and Malcolm Macaulay and thank Thomas Münch, Jens 365 366 Bauernfeind and Heiko Miehe for IT administration. Andreas Börner supported the 367 development of the core1000 diversity panel. Sequencing of Chikurin Ibaraki 1 was performed 368 at the Institute for Clinical Molecular Biology, Competence Centre for Genomic Analysis 369 (CCGA), Kiel University, Kiel, Germany under the supervision of Dr. Janina Fuß. Sequencing of 370 HOR 4224 was performed at Genomics & Transcriptomics Labor (BMFZ) Heinrich-Heine-371 Universität, Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Germany under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Karl

372 Köhrer. RGT Planet and Maximus were sequenced at Genomics WA under the supervision of 373 Dr. Alka Saxena and at Novogene, respectively. N.S., M.M., K.F.X.M., M.Spannagl and U.S. were 374 supported by grants from the German Ministry of Research and Education (BMBF, 031B0190 375 and 031B0884). D.P. was supported by BMBF grant 031B0199B and the German Federal 376 Ministry of Food and Agriculture (grant 2818BIJP01). U.S.'s research is supported by the 377 German Research Foundation (DFG, grant 442032008). C.D., Q.L., P.R.P. and B.S. gratefully 378 acknowledge support from the Carlsberg Foundation to B.S. (grants CF15-0236, CF15-0476, 379 CF15-0672) and thank F. Lok, S. Knudsen, G. B. Fincher and K. G. Jørgensen for providing 380 valuable scientific thoughts and discussions on barley α -amylases and malt quality. R.W., M.B., M.Schreiber, W.G., R.Z. and C.S. received funding from the Rural and Environment Science and 381 Analytical Services Division (RESAS, grant KJHI-B1-2). W.G. and R.Z. were supported by grant 382 383 BB/S020160/1 from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC). C.P. 384 acknowledges support from Genome Canada and Genome Prairie. B.K. acknowledges a grant 385 from the Swiss National Science Foundation (310030 204165). C.L., K.C. and P.L. were 386 supported by a grant from the Grain Research and Development Corporation (UMU1806-387 002RTX), by the Department of Primary Industry and Regional Development Western Australia 388 and by Pawsey Australia (for computational resources). K.P. and T.S. received a grant from DFG 389 (HAPPAN, 433162815). G.S.B. has received support from the Saskatchewan Ministry of 390 Agriculture (grant ADF20200165) and from the Saskatchewan Barley Development 391 Commission, Western Grains Research Foundation, Alberta Barley Commission and the 392 Manitoba Crop Alliance (grant ADF20210677). A.B. and W.B. are recipients of the TUGBOAT 393 grant from the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada – Genomics Research and Development 394 Initiative and the grant "Unlocking barley endophyte microbiome to enhance plant health and 395 grain quality" from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada – A-Base – Foundational Science. M.H. 396 is supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR 2022-03858), the Swedish Research Council 397 for Environment, Agricultural Sciences, and Spatial Planning (FORMAS 2018-01026), the Erik 398 Philip-Sörensen Foundation and the Royal Physiographic Society in Lund. K.Sato's research is 399 funded by the Japan Science and Technology Agency (grant no. 18076896) and the Japan 400 Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS, grant no. 23H00333). K.Shirasawa is supported by 401 the JSPS grants nos. 22H05172 and 22H05181. S.S. acknowledges the Research Support 402 Project for the Next Generation at Tottori University. B.S. is supported by the Lieberman-403 Okinow Endowment at the University of Minnesota and S.G.K. by baseline funding at KAUST. 404 The authors acknowledge the Research/Scientific Computing teams at The James Hutton 405 Institute and NIAB for providing computational resources and technical support for the "UK's 406 Crop Diversity Bioinformatics HPC" (BBSRC grant BB/S019669/1), use of which has contributed 407 to the results reported in this paper.

408

409 Author contributions

410 N.S. and M.Mascher designed the study. N.S. coordinated experiments and sequencing. 411 M.Mascher and M.J. supervised sequence assembly. M.Spannagl and K.F.X.M. supervised 412 annotation. U.S. supervised data management and submission. Selection of genotypes: A.B., 413 W.B., G.S.B., K.J.C., Y.G., M.H., B.K., S.G.K., P.L., C.L., M.Mascher, A.M., G.J.M., D.P., K.P., C.J.P., 414 S.S., K.Sato, T.S., B.S., N.S., R.W. Genome sequencing: B.B., A.H., S.I., M.K., C.L., S.P., S.S., K.Sato, 415 T.S., M.Schreiber, K.Shirasawa, N.S., S.W., X.Z. Sequence assembly: B.C., H.H., M.J., G.K.-G., 416 M.Mascher, S.P., K.Sato, T.S., J.F.T. Transcriptome sequencing and analysis: W.G., A.H., S.P., C.S., 417 N.S., R.Z. Annotation: H.G., G.H., N.K., T.L., K.F.X.M., M.Spannagl. Analysis and interpretation 418 of structural variants: M.B., B.C., J.-W.F., Y.G., M.J., C.L., M.P.M., A.M., S.P., H.P., K.P., T.S.,

M.Schreiber, P.W. Analysis of complex loci: B.J., B.K., M.T.R.-W., N.S., T.W. Analysis of *amy1_1*locus: K.B., C.D., M.E.J., B.J., M.J., S.M.K., Q.L., M.P.M., E.M., P.A.P., P.R.P., B.S., H.C.T., M.T.S.N.,
D.V., C.V., M.W.R. *srh1* analysis: C.D., M.E.J., I.H., R.E.H., M.J., R.K., J.K., Q.L., M.Melzer, H.P.,
L.R., P.R.P., T.R., B.S., N.S., H.C.T., C.T., C.V., M.W.R. Data management and submission: M.B.,
M.F., A.F., M.J., P.K., M.Mascher, D.S., U.S. Writing: M.B., C.D., M.E.J., M.J., Q.L., M.Mascher,
N.S., T.W. Coordination: C.D., M.Mascher, N.S. All authors read and commented on the
manuscript.

426

427 Competing interests

K.B., C.D., M.E.J., S.M.K., Q.L., E.M., P.R.P., B.S., H.C.T., M.T.S.N., C.V., M.W.R. are current or
previous Carlsberg A/S employees. P.A.P. and D.V. are SECOBRA Recherches employees. All
other authors declare no competing interests.

- 431
- 432 List of supplementary items
- 433
- 434 **Supplementary Figure 1:** Structure and copy number variation at *Mla* at different thresholds 435 for alignment similarity.
- 436 **Supplementary Figure 2:** Targeted mutagenesis at *HvSRH1*.
- 437 **Supplementary Table 1:** Passport data of 76 genotypes and statistics and accession codes of
- 438 their long-read assemblies
- 439 Supplementary Table 2: Accession codes of transcriptome data
- 440 Supplementary Table 3: Gene annotation statistics
- 441 **Supplementary Table 4:** Gene ontology enrichment in genepool-specific orthologous groups
- 442 Supplementary Table 5: Passport data of 1,315 genotypes sequenced with short reads,
- 443 accession codes and mapping stats
- 444 **Supplementary Table 6**: Statistics and accession codes of 46 gene-space assemblies
- 445 **Supplementary Table 7:** List of 173 structurally complex loci.
- 446 **Supplementary Table 8:** Allelic profiles of 76 barley accessions at the 4H_015772 locus (*Int-*447 c) and at *Vrs1*.
- 448 **Supplementary Table 9:** α-amylase gene IDs and chromosomal locations in Morex.
- 449 **Supplementary Table 10:** Sequence identity matrix of germination-related *amy1, amy2* and
- 450 *amy3* genes in the Morex genome. *amy4* genes involved in general starch metabolism were
- 451 excluded due to low sequence identity with other α -amylases.
- 452 **Supplementary Table 11:** SNP haplotype clustering analyses and k-mer based *amy1_1* copy
- 453 number estimation in 1,000 plant genetic resources
- 454 **Supplementary Table 12:** SNP haplotype clustering analyses and k-mer based *amy1_1* copy 455 number estimation in 315 European elite cultivars
- 456 **Supplementary Table 13:** Overview of *amy1_1* unique ORFs (start to stop codon including
- 457 intron). HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used as the reference.
- 458 **Supplementary Table 14:** Overview of *amy1_1* ORF haplotypes (ORFHap#).
- 459 **Supplementary Table 15:** Overview of *amy1_1* unique CDS.
- 460 HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used as the reference.
- 461 **Supplementary Table 16:** Overview of *amy1_1* CDS haplotypes (CDSHap#).
- 462 **Supplementary Table 17:** *amy1_1* genes with insertions of transposable elements in the
- 463 genome assemblies.
- 464 **Supplementary Table 18:** Overview of *amy1_1* unique proteins.
- 465 HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used as the reference.

466 **Supplementary Table 19:** Overview of *amy1 1* protein haplotypes (ProtHap#). 467 Supplementary Table 20: Amino acid variation in three *amy1* 1 haplotypes commonly found 468 in elite varieties (Morex, Barke and RGT Planet). 469 Supplementary Table 21: DynaMut2 prediction of protein stability changes by amino acid 470 variants found in three BPGv2 representatives of widely used *amy1* 1 haplotypes found in 471 elite breeding material (Morex, Barke and RGT Planet). **Supplementary Table 22:** *amy1_1*-Barke haplotype genotyping of AMBA(American Malting 472 473 Barley Association)-recommended two-row spring malting barley varieties accredited for 474 adjunct brewing. Supplementary Table 23: PACE markers designed in this study. 475 476 Supplementary Table 24: Oligonucleotides used for gRNA cloning and for PCR amplification 477 of the target region. 478 Supplementary Table 25: Summary of lesions induced in *HvSRH1* by Cas9-mediated targeted 479 mutagenesis. 480 Supplementary Table 26: srh1 phenotypes and HvSRH1 gene coordinates in 76 pangenome 481 accessions. 482 483 References 484 485 Komatsuda, T. et al. Six-rowed barley originated from a mutation in a homeodomain-1 486 leucine zipper I-class homeobox gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of 487 Sciences 104, 1424-1429 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0608580104 488 Sakuma, S. et al. Divergence of expression pattern contributed to 2 489 neofunctionalization of duplicated HD-Zip I transcription factor in barley. New 490 Phytologist 197, 939-948 (2013). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12068 491 3 Milner, S. G. et al. Genebank genomics highlights the diversity of a global barley 492 collection. Nat Genet 51, 319-326 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-018-0266-493 Х 494 4 Abbo, S. et al. Plant domestication versus crop evolution: a conceptual framework for 495 cereals and grain legumes. Trends in Plant Science 19, 351-360 (2014). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.12.002 496 497 5 Dawson, I. K. et al. Barley: a translational model for adaptation to climate change. 498 New Phytol 206, 913-931 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1111/nph.13266 499 6 Lundqvist, U. Scandinavian mutation research in barley – a historical review. 500 Hereditas 151, 123-131 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1111/hrd2.00077 501 7 Schulte, D. et al. The international barley sequencing consortium--at the threshold of 502 efficient access to the barley genome. Plant Physiol 149, 142-147 (2009). 503 https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.108.128967 Jayakodi, M. et al. The barley pan-genome reveals the hidden legacy of mutation 504 8 505 breeding. Nature 588, 284-289 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-2947-8 506 9 Mascher, M. et al. Long-read sequence assembly: a technical evaluation in barley. 507 *Plant Cell* (2021). <u>https://doi.org:10.1093/plcell/koab077</u> 508 Russell, J. et al. Exome sequencing of geographically diverse barley landraces and 10 509 wild relatives gives insights into environmental adaptation. Nat Genet 48, 1024-1030 510 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1038/ng.3612 511 11 Druka, A. et al. Genetic Dissection of Barley Morphology and Development. Plant 512 Physiology 155, 617-627 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.110.166249

| 513 | 12 | Wenger, A. M. et al. Accurate circular consensus long-read sequencing improves |
|------------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 514 | | variant detection and assembly of a human genome. Nature Biotechnology 37, 1155- |
| 515 | | 1162 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587-019-0217-9 |
| 516 | 13 | Padmarasu, S., Himmelbach, A., Mascher, M. & Stein, N. In Situ Hi-C for Plants: An |
| 517 | | Improved Method to Detect Long-Range Chromatin Interactions. Methods Mol Biol |
| 518 | | 1933 , 441-472 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-4939-9045-0_28</u> |
| 519 | 14 | Lawniczak, M. K. N. et al. Standards recommendations for the Earth BioGenome |
| 520 | | Project. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119, e2115639118 (2022). |
| 521 | | https://doi.org:doi:10.1073/pnas.2115639118 |
| 522 | 15 | Maurer, A. et al. Modelling the genetic architecture of flowering time control in |
| 523 | | barley through nested association mapping. BMC Genomics 16, 290 (2015). |
| 524 | | https://doi.org:10.1186/s12864-015-1459-7 |
| 525 | 16 | Li, H., Feng, X. & Chu, C. The design and construction of reference pangenome graphs |
| 526 | | with minigraph. <i>Genome Biology</i> 21 , 265 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-</u> |
| 527 | | <u>020-02168-z</u> |
| 528 | 17 | Andreace, F., Lechat, P., Dufresne, Y. & Chikhi, R. Construction and representation of |
| 529 | | human pangenome graphs. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 2023.2006.2002.542089 (2023). |
| 530 | | https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.06.02.542089 |
| 531 | 18 | De Coster, W., Weissensteiner, M. H. & Sedlazeck, F. J. Towards population-scale long- |
| 532 | | read sequencing. Nature Reviews Genetics 22, 572-587 (2021). |
| 533 | | https://doi.org:10.1038/s41576-021-00367-3 |
| 534 | 19 | Michelmore, R. W. & Meyers, B. C. Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by |
| 535 | | divergent selection and a birth-and-death process. Genome Res 8, 1113-1130 (1998). |
| 536 | | https://doi.org:10.1101/gr.8.11.1113 |
| 537 | 20 | Wei, F., Wing, R. A. & Wise, R. P. Genome Dynamics and Evolution of the |
| 538 | | Mla (Powdery Mildew) Resistance Locus in Barley. The Plant Cell 14, |
| 539 | | 1903-1917 (2002). <u>https://doi.org:10.1105/tpc.002238</u> |
| 540 | 21 | Bettgenhaeuser, J. et al. The barley immune receptor Mla recognizes multiple |
| 541 | | pathogens and contributes to host range dynamics. Nature Communications 12, 6915 |
| 542 | | (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-021-27288-3 |
| 543 | 22 | Seeholzer, S. et al. Diversity at the Mla powdery mildew resistance locus from |
| 544 | | cultivated barley reveals sites of positive selection. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 23, |
| 545 | | 497-509 (2010). <u>https://doi.org:10.1094/mpmi-23-4-0497</u> |
| 546 | 23 | Brabham, H. J. et al. Barley MLA3 recognizes the host-specificity determinant PWL2 |
| 547 | | from rice blast (M. oryzae). <i>bioRxiv</i> , 2022.2010.2021.512921 (2022). |
| 548 | | https://doi.org:10.1101/2022.10.21.512921 |
| 549 | 24 | Rabanus-Wallace, M. T., Wicker, T. & Stein, N. Replicators, genes, and the C-value |
| 550 | | enigma: High-quality genome assembly of barley provides direct evidence that self- |
| 551 | | replicating DNA forms 'cooperative' associations with genes in arms races. <i>bioRxiv</i> , |
| 552 | | 2023.2010.2001.560391 (2023). <u>https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.10.01.560391</u> |
| 553 | 25 | Escudero-Martinez, C. M., Morris, J. A., Hedley, P. E. & Bos, J. I. B. Barley |
| 554 | | transcriptome analyses upon interaction with different aphid species identify |
| 555 | | thionins contributing to resistance. <i>Plant Cell Environ</i> 40 , 2628-2643 (2017). |
| 556 | | https://doi.org:10.1111/pce.12979 |
| 557 | 26 | Wicker, T., Yahiaoui, N. & Keller, B. Illegitimate recombination is a major evolutionary |
| FFO | | |
| 558 | | mechanism for initiating size variation in plant resistance genes. The Plant Journal 51, |

| 560 | 27 | Brassac, J. & Blattner, F. R. Species-Level Phylogeny and Polyploid Relationships in |
|-----|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 561 | | Hordeum (Poaceae) Inferred by Next-Generation Sequencing and In Silico Cloning of |
| 562 | | Multiple Nuclear Loci. <i>Syst Biol</i> 64 , 792-808 (2015). |
| 563 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/sysbio/syv035 |
| 564 | 28 | Doebley, J., Stec, A. & Hubbard, L. The evolution of apical dominance in maize. |
| 565 | | Nature 386 , 485-488 (1997). <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/386485a0</u> |
| 566 | 29 | Dixon, L. E. et al. TEOSINTE BRANCHED1 Regulates Inflorescence Architecture and |
| 567 | | Development in Bread Wheat (Triticum aestivum). The Plant Cell 30, 563-581 (2018). |
| 568 | | https://doi.org:10.1105/tpc.17.00961 |
| 569 | 30 | Ramsay, L. et al. INTERMEDIUM-C, a modifier of lateral spikelet fertility in barley, is |
| 570 | | an ortholog of the maize domestication gene TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1. Nature |
| 571 | | Genetics 43, 169-172 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1038/ng.745 |
| 572 | 31 | Lundqvist, U., ABEBE, B. & LUNDQVIST, A. Gene interaction of induced intermedium |
| 573 | | mutations of two-row barley. <i>Hereditas</i> 111, 37-47 (1989). |
| 574 | | https://doi.org:10.1111/j.1601-5223.1989.tb00374.x |
| 575 | 32 | Youssef, H. M. et al. Natural diversity of inflorescence architecture traces cryptic |
| 576 | | domestication genes in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). Genetic Resources and Crop |
| 577 | | Evolution 64, 843-853 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1007/s10722-017-0504-6 |
| 578 | 33 | Monat, C. et al. TRITEX: chromosome-scale sequence assembly of Triticeae genomes |
| 579 | | with open-source tools. <i>Genome Biol</i> 20 , 284 (2019). |
| 580 | | https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-019-1899-5 |
| 581 | 34 | Janeček, Š., Svensson, B. & MacGregor, E. A. α-Amylase: an enzyme specificity found |
| 582 | | in various families of glycoside hydrolases. <i>Cell Mol Life Sci</i> 71 , 1149-1170 (2014). |
| 583 | | https://doi.org:10.1007/s00018-013-1388-z |
| 584 | 35 | Karrer, E. E., Chandler, J. M., Foolad, M. R. & Rodriguez, R. L. Correlation between α- |
| 585 | | amylase gene expression and seedling vigor in rice. <i>Euphytica</i> 66 , 163-169 (1992). |
| 586 | | https://doi.org:10.1007/BF00025299 |
| 587 | 36 | Mascher, M. et al. A chromosome conformation capture ordered sequence of the |
| 588 | | barley genome. <i>Nature</i> 544 , 427-433 (2017). <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/nature22043</u> |
| 589 | 37 | Kadziola, A., Søgaard, M., Svensson, B. & Haser, R. Molecular structure of a barley α- |
| 590 | | amylase-inhibitor complex: implications for starch binding and catalysis11Edited by |
| 591 | | R. Huber. <i>Journal of Molecular Biology</i> 278 , 205-217 (1998). |
| 592 | | https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1998.1683 |
| 593 | 38 | Zou, X., Neuman, D. & Shen, Q. J. Interactions of two transcriptional repressors and |
| 594 | | two transcriptional activators in modulating gibberellin signaling in aleurone cells. |
| 595 | | Plant Physiol 148 , 176-186 (2008). <u>https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.108.123653</u> |
| 596 | 39 | Fuller, D. Q. Contrasting Patterns in Crop Domestication and Domestication Rates: |
| 597 | | Recent Archaeobotanical Insights from the Old World. Annals of Botany 100, 903-924 |
| 598 | | (2007). https://doi.org:10.1093/aob/mcm048 |
| 599 | 40 | Sakuma, S. & Koppolu, R. Form follows function in Triticeae inflorescences. Breed Sci |
| 600 | | 73, 46-56 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1270/jsbbs.22085 |
| 601 | 41 | Yu, J. K. & Chung, Y. S. Plant Variety Protection: Current Practices and Insights. <i>Genes</i> |
| 602 | | (Basel) 12 (2021). https://doi.org:10.3390/genes12081127 |
| 603 | 42 | Engledow, F. Inheritance in barley: I. The lateral florets and the rachilla. Journal of |
| 604 | | Genetics 10 , 93-108 (1920). |

| 605 | 43 | Cockram, J. et al. Genome-wide association mapping to candidate polymorphism |
|-----|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 606 | | resolution in the unsequenced barley genome. Proceedings of the National Academy |
| 607 | | of Sciences 107, 21611-21616 (2010). https://doi.org:doi:10.1073/pnas.1010179107 |
| 608 | 44 | Beier, S. et al. Construction of a map-based reference genome sequence for barley, |
| 609 | | Hordeum vulgare L. <i>Scientific Data</i> 4 , 170044 (2017). |
| 610 | | https://doi.org:10.1038/sdata.2017.44 |
| 611 | 45 | Kumar, N. et al. Functional Conservation in the SIAMESE-RELATED Family of Cyclin- |
| 612 | | Dependent Kinase Inhibitors in Land Plants. Plant Cell 27, 3065-3080 (2015). |
| 613 | | https://doi.org:10.1105/tpc.15.00489 |
| 614 | 46 | Wang, K. et al. The CDK Inhibitor SIAMESE Targets Both CDKA;1 and CDKB1 |
| 615 | | Complexes to Establish Endoreplication in Trichomes. Plant Physiol 184, 165-175 |
| 616 | | (2020). https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.20.00271 |
| 617 | 47 | Knudsen, S. et al. FIND-IT: Accelerated trait development for a green evolution. |
| 618 | | Science Advances 8, eabq2266 (2022). https://doi.org:doi:10.1126/sciadv.abq2266 |
| 619 | 48 | Nomoto, Y. et al. A hierarchical transcriptional network activates specific CDK |
| 620 | | inhibitors that regulate G2 to control cell size and number in Arabidopsis. Nature |
| 621 | | Communications 13, 1660 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-022-29316-2 |
| 622 | 49 | Liao, WW. et al. A draft human pangenome reference. Nature 617, 312-324 (2023). |
| 623 | | https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-023-05896-x |
| 624 | 50 | Brown, T. A. Is the domestication bottleneck a myth? <i>Nature Plants</i> 5, 337-338 |
| 625 | | (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41477-019-0404-1 |
| 626 | | |
| 627 | | |



⁶³¹

632 Figure 1: A species-wide pangenome of Hordeum vulgare. (a) Principal component analysis 633 showing domesticated accessions (n=53) in the pangenome panel in the global diversity 634 space. Regions of origins are color coded. The proportion of variance explained by each PC in panels is given in the axis labels. Other PCs are shown in **Extended Data Fig. 1a**. (b) Example 635 of large structural variants including interchromosomal translocations and inversions 636 637 between pangenome accessions. (c) Interchromosomal linkage disequilibrium (LD) in segregating offspring derived from a cross between HID055 and Barke. LD is indicated by the 638 639 intensity of red color. (d) Size of the single-copy pangenome in wild and domesticated

- 640 barleys as a function of sample size.
- 641
- 642



643

644

Figure 2: Structurally complex loci in the barley pangenome. (a) Presence/absence of 645 known *Mla* alleles in the barley pangenome. Black and white squares denote presence and 646 647 absence, respectively. The names of *Mla* alleles (y-axis) and genotypes (x-axis) are coloured 648 according to subfamily and domestication statues, respectively. (green – domesticated; 649 orange – wild). Only the genomes containing known alleles are displayed. (b) Dot plot alignment of complex locus Chr04 015772 which contains Int-c genes. The plot shows an 650 alignment of Morex (six-rowed barley) and Bowman (two-rowed barley). In Morex, Int-c and 651 its surrounding sequence is present in three copies. Genes are indicated as black boxes along 652 the axes of the plot. Individual tandem repeat units are 96-100% identical. (c) Complex loci 653 654 are enriched in distal chromosomal regions. The seven barley chromosomes were divided 655 into ten equally sized bins, and cumulative data for all chromosomes is shown. The bar plot 656 indicates the number of loci, while the box blot shows the extent of CNV for all loci in the 657 bin. (d) CNV levels and numbers of encoded protein variants identified in 76 barley 658 accessions. The x-axis shows the level of CNV (i.e. the difference between the accession with 659 the fewest copies to that with the most copies for each locus). The y-axis shows the total number of protein variants identified in all 76 barley accessions. Labels mark genes families 660 661 with the highest copy numbers or the highest CNV levels. 662



663 664

Figure 3. Structural diversity at the *amy1* 1 locus and its importance in malting. (a) 665 Simplified structure of the amy1 1 locus in selected pangenome assemblies. A detailed 666 667 depiction of the *amy1* 1 locus across all 76 assemblies is shown in **Extended Data Fig. 9a**. 668 Identical ORFs have the same colours in (a) and (c). (b) Distribution of *amy1* 1 copy numbers 669 in wild and domesticated accessions of the pangenome. (c) Non-synonymous sequence 670 exchanges in 12 non-redundant amy1 1 ORFs in the malting barleys Morex, Barke and RGT 671 Planet. The positions of sequence variants and respective amino acid variations are marked by black lines. ORF numbers refer to Supplementary Table 13. (d,e) X-ray crystal structure 672 (pdb: 1BG9; ref. ³⁶) of α -amylase bound to acarbose as a substrate analogue (magenta and 673 674 yellow spheres in panel (d). In panel (e), amy1_1 amino acid variants (found in Morex, Barke 675 and RGT Planet, Supplementary Table 20) are added as coloured spheres. (f) α -amylase activity of micro-malted near-isogenic lines (NILs) containing amy1 1-Morex, Barke and RGT 676 Planet haplotypes. 677 678



679

680

Figure 4. A deletion in an enhancer motif is associated with trichome branching. (a) 681 682 Schematic drawing of a seed from a hulled and awned barley. The rachilla is a rudimentary 683 structure attached to the base of the seed, representing reduced lateral branches in the 684 barley inflorescence. On the right, scanning electron micrographs are shown of a short-685 haired and a long-haired rachilla of genotypes Morex and Barke, respectively. (b) Genome-686 wide association study (GWAS) for rachilla hair phenotype in the core1000. (c) Top part: schematic representation of the high-resolution genetic linkage analysis at the Srh1 locus. 687 688 Blue and purple horizontal bars represent the overlapping biparental and GWAS mapping 689 intervals in reference to the 160 kb physical interval in the Morex genome (black line below 690 the colored bars). Note, the SMR-like gene sits outside the high-resolution biparental 691 mapping interval. Bottom part: connector plot showing orthologous regions in the 692 genotypes Barke (long hairs) and RGT Planet (short hairs). A region harboring a conserved 693 enhancer element (yellow rectangle) is present in Barke, but absent in Morex and RGT 694 Planet. (d) Rachilla hair phenotype of the Cas9-induced knock-out mutants of the SMR-like 695 gene. From left to right: wild-type Golden Promise (GP); wild-type segregant from the 696 brhE72P19 family; independent mutant segregants showing the short-hair phenotype. 697

698 Extended Data Figures





700

701 Extended Data Figure 1: A globally representative diversity panel of domesticated and wild

barley. (a) Higher principal components (PC) of the barley diversity space with pangenome

accessions highlighted. (b) The first two PCs of the diversity space of 412 wild barley

- 704 (Hordeum vulgare subsp. spontaneum) with pangenome accessions highlighted. (c)
- Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of those wild barleys. The branch tips corresponding to
- accessions selected for the pangnome are marked with red circles. The proportion of
- variance explained by each PC in panels (a) and (b) is given in the axis labels. (d) Map
- showing the collection sites of wild accessions (n=23) included in the pangenome panel.

а

| Quality category | Metric | Domesticate (N=53) | Wild (N=23) |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Avg. contig N50 | 18 | 14 |
| Continuity | Max. contig N50 | 37 | 21 |
| Continuity | Min. contig N50 | 10 | 8 |
| | Avg. no. of gaps | 445 | 556 |
| | Avg. chromosome anchoring rate (%) | 98.0 | 98.1 |
| Chromosome status | Avg. chromosome anchored size (Gb | 4.19 | 4.21 |
| | unanchored size (Mb) | 47 | 53 |
| Chrysterral a secondary | False duplications (%) | 0.012 | 0.010 |
| Structural accuracy | Curation (Hi-C) | Manual | Manual |
| Read appuracy/ | Consensus quality value (QV) | 66.0 | 66.3 |
| Base accuracy | k-mer completeness (%) | 97.5 | 97.6 |
| Functional completeness | BUSCO (%) | 96.4 | 96.5 |

b

| | | ^ | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | Summary | С Туре | Summary |
| No. of PAVs (> 50 nt) | 1,703,288 | Inversions (> kb) | 2 3,277 |
| Presence in Morex | 787,285 | Shared even (>2 & < 74) | ts 548 (17%) |
| Absence in Morex | 916,003 | Private to domesticate | 197 |
| Polymorphic (>2 & < 74) | 581,248 (34%) | Private to wil | d 76 |





710 Extended Data Figure 2: A pangenomic diversity map of barley. (a) Assembly statistics of 76 711 chromosome-scale reference genomes sequences. (b) Counts of presence/absence variants. 712 (c) Counts of inversion polymorphisms spanning 2 kb or more. (d) Selection of threshold based on pairwise differences (number of SNPs per Mb) for the binary classification into 713 similar/dissimilar haplotypes. (f) The proportion of samples with a close match to one of the 714 715 76 pangenome accessions is shown for plant genetic resources (PGR) and elite cultivars in 716 sliding windows along the genome (size: 1 Mb, shift: 500 kb). (h) Distribution of the share of 717 similar windows in individual PGR and cultivar genomes.

718



719 720

721 Extended Data Figure 3: Gene annotation and orthologous framework. (a) Workflow for
 722 annotating, projecting and clustering gene models. The upper panel describes the workflow

for the de-novo gene predictions, the lower panel for the gene projections (b) Histogram

showing the number of pagenome genotypes contributing to individual hierarchical

orthologous groups (HOGs). The pie chart shows the ratio between conserved and variable

- genes. (c) GENESPACE alignments of 76 barley genomes, grouped by wild barley, cultivated
- 727 barley and landraces.



728 729

730 Extended Data Figure 4: Graph-based pan-genome analysis with Minigraph. (a) Descriptive statistics per chromosome and for joint graph. (b) Comparative statistics of read mappings 731 732 from five publicly available Illumina whole genome shotgun sequence read runs against the 733 pan-genome graph and the MorexV3 linear reference sequence. (c) Size distribution of 734 structural variants (SVs) in graph. (d) Chromosomal distribution of SVs. Centromere positions 735 are indicated by vertical dashed lines in red. (e) Pan-genome graph growth curves generated 736 with the odgi heaps tool. One hundred permutations were computed for each number of 737 genomes included. Values of gamma > 0 in Heaps' law indicate an open pan-genome. Plots 738 shown are for all accessions (left, n = 76), domesticated accessions only (cultivars + 739 landraces, centre, n = 53) and *H. spontaneum* accessions (right, n = 23). 740



741 742

```
743 Extended Data Figure 5: Short-read data complement the pangenome infrastructure. (a)
```

Accessions selected for short-read sequencing. Nested coresets of 1000, 200 and 50
accessions (core1000, core200, core50) are shown in the global diversity space of barley as
represented by a principal component (PCA). The top-right subpanel shows a PCA of 315
elite cultivars. Accessions are according to genepool (2-rowed spring, 2-rowed winter, 6rowed winter). The proportion of variance explained by the PCA is shown in the axis labels.
(b) Counts of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and short insertions and deletions
(indels) detected in those data.

751



⁷⁵² 753

754 Extended Data Figure 6. Complex loci are hot spots for copy number variation (CNV). (a) The schematic 755 model shows how, once an initial duplication is established, unequal homologous recombination (unequal 756 crossing-over, UECO) between repeat units can lead to rapid expansion and contraction of the loci, thereby 757 leading to CNV of genes. (b) Structure of the *Mla* region across the 76 pangenome accessions. The gene 758 models present in the Morex genome are shown on top. (c) Dot plot alignment of the example locus 759 chr7H 019630 which contains a cluster of thionin genes. The sequences of cv. Morex (horizontal) and wild 760 barley HID101 (vertical) were aligned. Predicted intact genes are indicated as black boxes along the left and top 761 axes. Predicted pseudogenes are shown in red. The axis scale is kb. The filled rectangle at positions ~520-720 762 kb in Morex represents an array of short tandem repeats which does not contain genes and does not have 763 sequence homology to the gene-containing tandem repeats of the locus. (d). Predicted protein variants of Int-c 764 (HvTB1) genes. Previously described alleles are highlighted in yellow. Color code: H-phob: Hydrophopic aa, H-765 phil: Hydrophilic aa, +charged: positively charged aa, - charged: negatively charged aa, Struct: structural aa, 766 Cystein or Prolin, Gly: Gycin.



767

Extended Data Figure 7. Molecular dating of divergence times between duplicated gene 768 copies in complex loci. (a) Dot plot example of locus hc chr3H 566239 which underwent 769 770 multiple waves of tandem duplications, which is reflected in varying levels of sequence 771 identity between tandem repeats (color-coded). (b) Schematic mechanism for how different 772 levels of sequence identity between tandem repeats evolve. In the example, an ancestral 773 duplication was followed by two independent subsequent duplications, leading to varying 774 levels of sequence identity between tandem repeat units. Genes are indicated as orange 775 boxes while blue arrows indicate the tandem repeats they are embedded in. (c) Divergence 776 time estimates between duplicates gene copies in complex loci. Shown are only those 777 complex loci which have at least six tandem-duplicated genes. Each dot represents one 778 divergence time estimate for a duplicated gene pair from the respective locus. The x-axis 779 shows the estimated divergence time in million years. At the right-hand side, classification of 780 proteins encoded by genes in the locus are shown. Note that several loci had multiple waves 781 of gene duplications over the past 3 million years. (d) Subset of those loci shown in (c) that 782 had at least one gene duplication within the past 20,000 years. The divergence time 783 estimates appear in groups, since they represent the presence of 0, 1 and 2 nucleotide 784 substitutions, respectively, in the approx. 4 kb of aligned sequences that were used for 785 molecular dating.



786

787 Extended Data Figure 8. amy1_1 locus structure and copy number in 76 assemblies and 1,315 788 whole genome sequenced accessions. (a) Chromosomal location of 12 α -amylase genes in the 789 MorexV3 genome assembly. (b) Summary of *amy1* 1 locus sequence diversity in 76 pangenome 790 assemblies (Supplementary Tables 13-16, 18-19). Total amy1 1 ORFs in pangenome and unique 791 copies and haplotypes of ORF, CDS and protein. Haplotype denotes unique combinations of ORF, CDS 792 and protein in individual accessions. (c) Comparison of amy1_1 copy numbers identified in the 793 pangenome assemblies versus k-mer based estimation from raw reads. Grey bars denote copy 794 number from pangenome, blue dots denote k-mer estimated copy number. (d) amy1_1 copy number 795 estimation in 76 pangenome assemblies ("Pangenome"), 1,000 whole-genome sequenced plant 796 genetic resources ("PGR"), and 315 whole-genome sequenced European elite cultivars ("Cultivars") 797 using k-mer based methods. (e) Distribution of accessions with amy1 1 copy numbers >5 per 798 country (as percentage of total accessions in country for countries with ≥ 10 accessions). (f) amy1_1 799 copy number within each haplotype cluster (see Extended Data Figure 9b). Red color refers to 1,000 800 plant genetic resource accessions, green refers to 76 pangenome accessions and blue refers to 315 801 European elite cultivars . Cluster #5, #6 and #7 contain Barke, RGT Planet and Morex, respectively.



802 803 Extended Data Figure 9. Haplotype structure of the amy1_1 locus. (a) Structural diversity in the vicinity of 804 amy1_1 in the 76 pangenome assemblies. Each line shows the gene order in the sequence assembly of one 805 genotype. The Morex V3 reference is shown on top. Coloured rectangles stand for gene models extracted from 806 BLAST alignments against the corresponding gene models in MorexV3. Black rectangles represent amy 1 1 807 homologs and grey rectangles other genes. Blue and red rectangles represent marker genes used to define the 808 synteny, delimit the region, and sort the accessions based on the distance between endpoints. Lines connect 809 genes models between different genomes. Accession names are given on the right axis and are coloured 810 according to type (blue – wild, green – domesticated). In HOR 8148, five copies assigned to 6H are shown. Two 811 copies assigned to an unanchored contig are not shown. (b) SNP haplotype clusters at the amy1_1 locus among 812 1,315 genomes of domesticated and wild barley accessions, including genomes of 315 elite barley cultivars. 813 The 6H:516,385,490-517,116,415 bp in the Morex V3 genome sequence is shown. Haplotype clusters #5, #6 814 and #7 contain the elite malting varieties Barke, RGT Planet and Morex, respectively.



815 816 Extended Data Figure 10: Genetic dissection of the srh1 locus. (a) Light microscopy of short- and long-haired 817 rachillae at developmental stage W8.5-9 using DAPI staining to visualize the nuclei. Size differences of nuclei in 818 epidermal and trichome cells are very obvious. (b) Densitometric measurement of DNA content in epidermal 819 and trichome cells of DAPI stained rachillae of genotypes Morex and Barke, respectively. While trichome cells 820 in short-haired rachillae undergo only one cycle of endoreduplication, the cells in long haired trichomes show 821 eight to sixteen-fold higher DNA contents than epidermal cells indicating three to four cycles of 822 endoreduplication. (c) srh1 mutant discovery. FIND-IT screenings identified a mutant with short-fuzzy hairs 823 (top) in the background of the long-haired variety Etincel (bottom). The mutants are a P64S non-synonymous 824 sequence exchange. Scale bar - 1mm. (d) Principal coordinate analysis of SNP array genotyping data of different 825 barley genotypes. Etincel and its mutant cluster together, proving their isogenicity. (e) mRNA in situ 826 hybridization of HvSRH1 in longitudinal spikelet sections of Bowman with anti-sense (left) and sense (right) 827 probes. The blue arrow indicates the position of a rachilla hair. (f) HvSRH1 transcript abundance in RNA 828 sequencing data of rachilla tissue in Barke (BA, long-haired), Morex (MX, short-haired), Bowman (BW, long-829 haired) and a short-haired near-isogenic line of Bowman (BW-srh). Samples were taken at two development 830 stages: rachilla hair initiation (RI) and elongation (RE). Abundance was measured as transcripts per million 831 (TPM).

833 Plant growth and high molecular weight DNA isolation

834

Twenty-five seeds each from the selected accessions (**Supplementary Tables 1 and 6**) were sown on 16 cm diameter pots with compost soil. Plants were grown under greenhouse conditions with sodium halogen artificial 21°C in the day for 16 hrs and 18°C at night for 8 hrs. Leaves (8 g) were collected from 7-day old seedlings, ground with liquid nitrogen to a fine powder and stored at -80°C.

840 High molecular weight (HMW) DNA was purified from the powder, essentially as described¹. 841 Briefly, nuclei were isolated, digested with proteinase K and lysed with SDS. Here, a standard 842 watercolor brush with synthetic hair (size 8) was used to re-suspend the nuclei for digestion and lysis. HMW DNA was purified using phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitation with 843 844 ethanol as described¹. Subsequently, the HMW DNA was dissolved in 50 ml TE (pH 8,0) and precipitated by the addition of 5 ml 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5,2) and 100 ml ice-cold ethanol. 845 846 The suspension was mixed by slow circular movements resulting in the formation of a white 847 precipitate (HMW DNA), which was collected using a wide-bore 5 ml pipette tip and 848 transferred for 30 sec into a tube containing 5 ml 75% ethanol. The washing was repeated 849 twice. The HMW DNA was transferred into a 2 ml tube using a wide-bore tip, collected with a 850 polystyrene spatula, air-dried in a fresh 2 ml tube and dissolved in 500 μ l 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 851 8.0). For quantification the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 852 MA, USA) was used. The DNA size-profile was recorded using the Femto Pulse system and the 853 Genomic DNA 165 kb kit (Agilent Technologies Inc, CA, USA). In typical experiments the peak 854 of the size-profile of the HMW DNA for library preparation was around 165 kb.

855

856 **DNA library preparation and Pacbio HiFi sequencing**

857

For fragmentation of the HMW DNA into 20 kb fragments, a Megaruptor 3 device (speed: 30)
was used (Diagenode, NJ, USA). A minimum of two HiFi SMRTbell libraries were prepared for
each barley genotype following essentially the manufacturer's instructions and the SMRTbell
Express Template Prep Kit (Pacific Biosciences, CA, USA). The final HiFi libraries were size
selected (narrow size range: 18-21 kb) using the SageELF system with a 0,75% Agarose Gel
Cassette (Sage Sciences, MA, USA) according to standard manufacturer's protocols.

HiFi CCS reads were generated operating the PacBio Sequel IIe instrument (Pacific Biosciences,
CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Per genotype about four 8 M SMRT cells
(average yield: 24 Gb HiFi CCS per 8 M SMART cell) were sequenced to obtain an approximate
haploid genome coverage of about 20-fold. In typical experiments the concentration of the
HiFi library on plate was 80-95 pM. 30 h movie time, 2 h pre-extension and sequencing
chemistry v2.0 were used. The resulting raw data was processed using the CCS4 algorithm
(https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/ccs).

871

872 Hi-C library preparation and Illumina sequencing

873

874 In situ Hi-C libraries were prepared from one-week old barley seedlings based on the previously published protocol². Dovetail Omni-C data were generated for Bowman, Aizu6, 875 876 Melon, 10TJ18 manufacturer's Golden as per instructions 877 (https://dovetailgenomics.com/products/omni-c-product-page/). Sequencing and Hi-C raw 878 data processing was performed as described before^{3,4}.

879

880

881 Genome sequence assembly and validation

PacBio HiFi reads were assembled using hifiasm (v0.11-r302)⁵. Pseudomolecule construction was done with the TRITEX pipeline⁶. Chimeric contigs and orientation errors were identified through manual inspection of Hi-C contact matrices. Genome completeness and consensus accuracy were evaluated using Merqury (v1.3)⁷. Levels of duplication and heterozygosity were assessed with Merqury and FindGSE (v1.94)⁸. BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) (v.3.0.2)⁹ with the embryophyta_odb9 data set was run on the final assemblies.

888

889 Single-copy pangenome construction

890 The single-copy regions in each chromosome-level assembly were identified by filtering 31-891 mers occurring more than once in the genomic regions by BBDuk (BBMap 37.93, 892 https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/software-tools/bbtools). Then, the single-copy regions 893 were obtained in BED format and their sequences were retrieved using BEDTools (v2.29.2)¹⁰. 894 The single-copy sequences were clustered using MMseqs2 (Many-against-Many sequence searching)¹¹ with the parameters "--cluster-mode" and setting over 95% sequence identity. 895 896 A representative from each cluster (the largest in a cluster) was selected to estimate the 897 pangenome size.

898

899 Illumina resequencing

A total of 1,000 plant genetic resources and 315 elite barley varieties (Supplementary Table
5) were used for whole genome resequencing. Illumina Nextera libraries were prepared and
sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at IPK Gatersleben (Supplementary Table 5).

903

904 SNP and SV calling

905 Reciprocal genome alignment in which each of the pangenome assemblies was aligned to the 906 MorexV3 assembly with the latter acting either as alignment query or reference. Alignment 907 was done with Minimap2 (version 2.20)¹². From the resultant two alignment tables, insertion and deletions were called by Assemblytics (version 1.2.1)¹³ and only deletions were selected 908 909 in both alignments to convert into presence/absence variants relative to the Morex reference genome. Further, balanced rearrangements (inversions, translocations) were scanned for with 910 911 SyRI¹⁴. To call SNPs, raw sequencing reads were trimmed using cutadapt (version 3.3)¹⁵ and aligned to the MorexV3 reference genome using Minimap2 (version 2.20)¹². The resulting 912 913 alignments were sorted with Novosort (V3.09.01) (http://www.novocraft.com). BCFtools 914 (version 1.9)¹⁶ was used to call SNPs and short insertions and deletions. GWAS for was done with GEMMA¹⁷. 915

916

917 Preparation and Illumina sequencing of narrow-size WGS libraries for core50

10 µg DNA in 130 µl were sheared in tubes (Covaris microTUBE AFA Fiber Pre-Slit Snap Cap) 918 919 to an average size of approximately 250 bp using a Covaris S220 focused-ultrasonicator (peak 920 incidence power: 175 W, duty factor: 10%; cycles per burst: 200; time: 180 sec) according to 921 standard manufacturer's protocols (Covaris Ltd., Brighton, UK). The sheared DNA was size 922 selected using a BluePippin device and a 1.5% agarose cassette with internal R2 marker (Sage 923 Sciences, MA, USA). A tight size setting at 260 bp was used for the purification of fragments in 924 the narrow range between 200-300 bp (typical yield: 1-3 μg). The size selected DNA was used 925 for the preparation of PCR-free whole genome shotgun libraries using the Roche KAPA Hyper 926 Prep kit according to the manufacturer's protocols (Roche Diagnostics Deutschland GmbH,

Mannheim, Germany). A total of 10 to 12 libraries were provided with unique barcodes,
pooled at equimolar concentrations and quantified by qPCR using the KAPA Library
Quantification Kit for Illumina Platforms according to standard protocols (Roche Diagnostics
Deutschland GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The pools were sequenced (2x 151 bp, pairedend) using four S4 XP flowcells and the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina Inc., San
Diego, CA, USA) at IPK Gatersleben.

933

934 Contig assembly of core50 sequencing data

Raw reads were demultiplexed based on index sequences and duplicate reads were removed 935 936 from the sequencing data using Fastuniq¹⁸. The read1 and read2 sequences were merged 937 based on the overlap using bbmerge.sh from bbmap (v37.28)¹⁹. The merged reads were error 938 corrected using BFC (v181)²⁰. The error corrected merged reads were used as an input for 939 Minia3 (v3.2.0)²¹ to assemble reads into unitigs with the following parameters, -no-bulge-940 removal -no-tip-removal -no-ec-removal -out-compress 9 -debloom original. The Minia3 941 source was assembled to enable k-mer size up to 512 as described in the Minia3 manual. 942 Iterative Minia3 runs with increasing k-mer sizes (100, 150, 200, 250 and 300) were used for 943 assembly generation as provided in the GATB Minia pipeline (https://github.com/GATB/gatb-944 minia-pipeline). In the first iteration, k-mer size of 50 was used to assemble input reads into 945 unitigs. In the next runs, the input reads as well as the assembly of the previous iteration were 946 used as input for the minia3 assembler. BUSCO analysis was conducted on the contig 947 assemblies using BUSCO (v.3.0.2) with embryophyta odb9 data set⁹. In addition, high-948 confidence gene models from Morex V3 reference²² were aligned to the contig assemblies to 949 assess completeness with the parameter of \geq 90% query coverage and \geq 97% identity.

950951 Pangenome accession in diversity space

952 Pseudo-FASTQ paired-end reads (10-fold coverage) were generated from the 76 pangenome 953 assemblies with fastq_generator (https://github.com/johanzi/fastq_generator) and aligned to MorexV3 reference genome sequence assembly²² using Minimap2 (version 2.24-r1122, ref. 954 955 ¹²). SNPs were called together with short-read data (Supplementary Table 5) using BCFtools²³ 956 version 1.9 with the command "mpileup -q 20 -Q20 --excl-flags 3332". To plot the diversity 957 space of cultivated barley, the resultant variant matrix was merged with that of 19,778 domesticated barleys of Milner et al. ²⁴ (genotyping-by-sequencing [GBS] data). SNPs with 958 more than 20 % missing or more than 20 % heterozygous calls were discarded. PCA was done 959 960 with smartpca²⁵ version 7.2.1. To represent the diversity of wild barleys, we used published GBS and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data of 412 accessions of that taxon^{26,27}. Variant 961 calling for GBS data was done with BCFtools²³ (version 1.9) using the command "mpileup -q 962 963 20 -Q20". The resultant variant matrix was filtered as follows: (1) only bi-allelic SNP sites were 964 kept; (2) homozygous genotype calls were retained if their read depth was \geq 2 and \leq 50 and set to missing otherwise; (3) heterozygous genotype calls were retained if the read depth of 965 both alleles was ≥ 2 and set to missing otherwise. SNPs with more than 20 % missing, more 966 967 than 20 % heterozygous calls or a minor allele frequency below 5 % were discarded. PCA was done with smartpca²⁵ version 7.2.1. A matrix of pairwise genetic distances based on identity-968 by-state (IBS) was computed with Plink2 (version 2.00a3.3LM, ref. ²⁸) and used to construct an 969 970 NJ tree with Fneighbor (http://emboss.toulouse.inra.fr/cgi-bin/emboss/fneighbor) in the EMBOSS package²⁹. The tree was visualized with Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL)³⁰. 971

- 972
- 973 Haplotype representation

Pangenome assemblies were mapped to MorexV3 as described above ("Pangenome accession 974 975 in diversity space"). Read depth was calculated with SAMtools²³ version 1.16.1. Genotype calls 976 were set to missing if they were supported by fewer than two reads. Identity-by-state (IBS) 977 was calculated with Plink2 (version 2.000a3.3LM, ref. ²⁸) in 1 Mb windows (shift: 0.5 Mb) using 978 the using command "--sample-diff counts-only counts-cols=ibs0, ibs1". Windows which in one 979 of both accessions in the comparison had 2-fold coverage over less than 200 kb were set to 980 missing. The number of differences (d) in a window was calculated as ibs0+ibs1/2, where ibs0 981 is the number of homozygous differences and ibs1 that of heterozygous ones. This distance 982 was normalized for coverage by the formula d / i x 1 Mb, where i is the size in bp of the region covered in both accessions in the comparison had at least 2-fold coverage. In each window, 983 984 we determined for each among the PGR and cultivars panel, the closest pangenome accession 985 according to the coverage-normalized IBS distance. Only accessions with fewer than 10 % 986 missing windows due to low coverage were considered, leaving 899 PGRs and 264 cultivars.

The distance to the closest pangenome accession was plotted with the R package ggplot2 to
 determine the threshold for similarity (Extended Data Fig. 2d).

989

990 Transcriptome sequencing for gene annotation

Data for transcript evidence-based genome annotation was provided by the International 991 992 Barley Pan-Transcriptome Consortium, and a detailed description of sample preparation and 993 sequencing is provided elsewhere. In brief, the 20 genotypes sequenced for the first version of the barley pangenome²⁶ were used for transcriptome sequencing. Five separate tissues 994 995 were sampled for each genotype. These were: embryo (including mesocotyl and seminal 996 roots), seedling shoot, seedling root, inflorescence and caryopsis. Three biological replicates 997 were sampled from each tissue type, amounting to 330 samples. Four samples failed quality 998 control and were excluded.

- Preparation of the strand-specific dUTP RNA-Seq libraries, and Illumina paired-end 150 bp
 sequencing were carried out by Novogene (UK) Company Limited. In addition, PacBio Iso-Seq
 sequencing was carried out using a PacBio Sequel IIe sequencer at IPK Gatersleben. For this,
 a single sample per genotype was obtained by pooling equal amounts of RNA from a single
 replicate from all five tissues. Each sample was sequenced on an individual 8M SMRT cell.
- 1004

1005 De novo gene annotation

1006 Structural gene annotation was done combining de novo gene calling and homology-based 1007 approaches with RNAseq, IsoSeq, and protein datasets (Extended Data Fig. 3a). Using 1008 evidence derived from expression data, RNAseq data were first mapped using STAR³¹ (version 2.7.8a) and subsequently assembled into transcripts by StringTie³² (version 2.1.5, parameters 1009 1010 -m 150-t -f 0.3). Triticeae protein sequences from available public datasets (UniProt³³, 1011 https://www.uniprot.org, 05/10/2016) were aligned against the genome sequence using 1012 GenomeThreader³⁴ (version 1.7.1; arguments -startcodon -finalstopcodon -species rice -1013 gcmincoverage 70 -prseedlength 7 -prhdist 4). Isoseq datasets were aligned to the genome assembly using GMAP³⁵ (version 2018-07-04). All assembled transcripts from RNAseq, IsoSeq, 1014 and aligned protein sequences were combined using Cuffcompare³⁶ (version 2.2.1) and 1015 subsequently merged with StringTie (version 2.1.5, parameters --merge -m150) into a pool of 1016 1017 candidate transcripts. TransDecoder (version 5.5.0; http://transdecoder.github.io) was used 1018 to identify potential open reading frames and to predict protein sequences within the 1019 candidate transcript set.

Ab initio annotation was initially done using Augustus³⁷ (version 3.3.3). GeneMark³⁸ (version 1020 1021 4.35) was additionally employed to further improve structural gene annotation. To avoid 1022 potential over-prediction, we generated guiding hints using the above described RNAseq, protein, and IsoSeq datasets as described before³⁹. A specific Augustus model for barley was 1023 1024 built by generating a set of gene models with full support from RNAseq and IsoSeq. Augustus 1025 was trained and optimized following a published protocol³⁹. All structural gene annotations were joined using EVidenceModeller⁴⁰ (version 1.1.1), and weights were adjusted according 1026 to the input source: ab initio (Augustus: 5, GeneMark: 2), homology-based (10). Additionally, 1027 two rounds of PASA⁴¹ (version 2.4.1) were run to identify untranslated regions and isoforms 1028 1029 using the above described IsoSeq datasets.

- 1030 We used BLASTP⁴² (ncbi-blast-2.3.0+, parameters -max target seqs 1 -evalue 1e-05) to 1031 compare potential protein sequences with a trusted set of reference proteins (Uniprot 1032 Magnoliophyta, reviewed/Swissprot, downloaded on 3 Aug 2016; https://www.uniprot.org). 1033 This differentiated candidates into complete and valid genes, non-coding transcripts, 1034 pseudogenes, and transposable elements. In addition, we used PTREP (Release 19; 1035 http://botserv2.uzh.ch/kelldata/trep-db/index.html), a database of hypothetical proteins 1036 containing deduced amino acid sequences in which internal frameshifts have been removed 1037 in many cases. This step is particularly useful for the identification of divergent transposable 1038 elements with no significant similarity at the DNA level. Best hits were selected for each 1039 predicted protein from each of the three databases. Only hits with an e-value below 10e-10 1040 were considered. Furthermore, functional annotation of all predicted protein sequences was 1041 done using the AHRD pipeline (https://github.com/groupschoof/AHRD).
- 1042 Proteins were further classified into two confidence classes: high and low. Hits with subject 1043 coverage (for protein references) or query coverage (transposon database) above 80% were 1044 considered significant and protein sequences were classified as high-confidence using the 1045 following criteria: protein sequence was complete and had a subject and query coverage 1046 above the threshold in the UniMag database or no BLAST hit in UniMag but in UniPoa and not 1047 PTREP; a low-confidence protein sequence was incomplete and had a hit in the UniMag or 1048 UniPoa database but not in PTREP. Alternatively, it had no hit in UniMag, UniPoa, or PTREP, 1049 but the protein sequence was complete. In a second refinement step, low-confidence proteins 1050 with an AHRD-score of 3* were promoted to high-confidence.
- 1051

1052 Transposon masking for de novo gene detection

1053 The 20 barley accessions with expression data were softmasked for transposons prior to the 1054 *de novo* gene detection using the REdat_9.7_Triticeae section of the PGSB transposon 1055 library⁴³. Vmatch (http://www.vmatch.de) was used as matching tool with the following 1056 parameters: identity>=70%, minimal hit length 75 bp, seedlength 12 bp (vmmatch -d -p -l 75 1057 -identity 70 -seedlength 12 -exdrop 5 -qmaskmatch tolower). The percentage masked was 1058 around 80% and almost identical for all 20 accessions.

1059

1060 Gene projections

Gene contents of the remaining 56 barley genotypes were modelled by the projection of high
 confidence (HC) genes based on evidence-based gene annotations of the 20 barley genotypes
 described above. The approach was similar to and built upon a previously described method²⁶.
 To reduce computational load, 760,078 HC-genes of the 20 barley annotations were clustered
 by cd-hit⁴⁴ requiring 100% protein sequence similarity and a maximal size difference of four
 amino acids. The resulting 223,182 source genes were subsequently used for all downstream

1067 projections as non-redundant transcript set representative for the evidence-based 1068 annotations. For each source, its maximal attainable score was determined by global protein 1069 self-alignment using the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm as implemented in Biopython⁴⁵ v1.8 1070 and the blosum62 substitution matrix⁴⁶ with a gap open and extension penalty of 0.5 and 1071 10.0, respectively.

Next, we surveyed each barley genome sequence using minimap2 (ref. ¹²) with options '-ax 1072 1073 splice:hq' and '-uf' for genomic matches of source transcripts. Each match was scored by its 1074 pairwise protein alignment with the source sequence that triggered the match. Only complete 1075 matches with start and stop codons and a score ≥ 0.9 of the source self-score (see above) were 1076 retained. The source models were classified into four bins by decreasing confidence qualities: 1077 with or without pfam domains, plastid- and transposon-related genes. Projections were 1078 performed stepwise for the four qualities, starting from the highest to the lowest. In each 1079 quality group, matches were then added into the projected annotation if they did not overlap 1080 with any previously inserted model by their coding region. Insertion order progressed from 1081 the top to the lowest scoring match. In addition, we tracked the number of insertions for each 1082 source by its identifier. For the two top quality categories, we performed two rounds of 1083 projections, firstly inserting each source maximally only once followed by rounds allowing one 1084 source inserted multiple times into the projected annotation. To consolidate the 20 evidence-1085 based, initial annotations for any genes potentially missed, we employed an identical 1086 approach but inserted any non-overlapping matches starting from the prior RNA-seq based 1087 annotation. Phylogenetic Hierarchical Orthogroups (HOGs) based on the primary protein 1088 sequences from 76 annotated barley genotypes were calculated using Orthofinder⁴⁷ version 1089 2.5.5 (standard parameters). Conserved HOGs contain at least one gene model from all 76 1090 barley genotypes. Variable HOGs contain gene models from at least one barley genotypes and 1091 at most 75 barley genotypes. The distribution of all HOG configurations is provided in **Extended Data Fig. 3b.** GENESPACE⁴⁸ was used to determine syntenic relationships between 1092 1093 the chromosomes of all 76 genotypes.

1094

1095 Whole-genome pangenome graphs

Genome graphs were constructed using Minigraph⁴⁹ version 0.20-r559. Other graph 1096 construction tools (PGGB⁵⁰, Minigraph-Cactus⁵¹) turned out to be computationally prohibitive 1097 1098 for a genome of this size and complexity, combined with the large number of accessions used 1099 in this investigation. Minigraph does not support small variants (< 50 bp), thus graph 1100 complexity is lower than with other tools. However, even with Minigraph, graph construction 1101 at the whole genome level was computationally prohibitive and thus graphs had to be 1102 computed separately for each chromosome, precluding detection of interchromosomal 1103 translocations.

Graph construction was initiated using the Morex V3 assembly⁵² as a reference. The remaining 1104 assemblies were added into the graph sequentially, in order of descending dissimilarity to 1105 1106 Morex. Structural variants were called after each iteration using gfatools bubble (v. 0.5-r250-1107 dirty, https://github.com/lh3/gfatools). Following graph construction, the input sequences of 1108 all accessions were mapped back to the graph using Minigraph with the "--call" option 1109 enabled, which generates a path through the graph for each accession. The resulting BED 1110 format files were merged using Minigraph's mgutils.js utility script to convert them to P lines 1111 and then combined with the primary output of Minigraph in the proprietary RGFA format 1112 (https://github.com/lh3/gfatools/blob/master/doc/rGFA.md). Graphs were then converted 1113 from RGFA format GFA format (https://github.com/GFA-spec/GFAto

spec/blob/master/GFA1.md) using the "convert" command from the vg toolkit⁵³ version v1.46.0 "Altamura". This step ensures that graphs are compatible with the wider universe of graph processing tools, most of which require GFA format as input. Chromosome-level graphs were then joined into a whole-genome graph using vg combine. The combined graph was indexed using vg index and vg gbwt, two components of the the vg toolkit⁵³.

General statistics for the whole-genome graph were computed with vg stats. Graph growth was computed using the heaps command from the ODGI toolkit⁵⁴ version 0.8.2-0-g8715c55, followed by plotting with its companion script heaps_fit.R. The latter also computes values for gamma, the slope coefficient of Heap's law which allows the classification of pangenome

- 1123 graphs into open or closed pangenomes, i.e. a prediction of whether the addition of further 1124 accessions would increase the size of the pangenome⁵⁵..
- Structural variant (SV) statistics were computed based on the final BED file produced after the addition of the last line to the graph. A custom shell script was used to classify variants according to the Minigraph custom output format. This allows the extraction of simple, i.e. non-nested, insertions and deletions (relative to the MorexV3 graph backbone), as well simple inversions. The remaining SVs fall into the "complex" category where there can be multiple levels of nesting of different variant types and this precluded further, more fine-grained
- 1131 classification.
- 1132 To elucidate the effect of a graph-based reference on short read mapping, we obtained whole
- genome shotgun Illumina reads from five barley samples (**Extended Data Fig. 4b**) in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and mapped these onto the whole genome graph using vg giraffe⁵⁶. For comparison with the standard approach of mapping reads to a linear single
- 1136 genome reference, we mapped the same reads to the Morex V3 reference genome sequence
- assembly⁵² with bwa mem⁵⁷ version 0.7.17-r1188. Mapping statistics were computed with
- 1138 vg^{53} stats and samtools²³ stats (version 1.9), respectively.
- 1139

1140 Analysis of the *Mla* locus

1141

1142 The coordinates and sequences of the 32 genes present at the *Mla* locus were extracted from the MorexV3 genome sequence assembly⁵². To find the corresponding position and copy 1143 number in each of the 76 genomes, we used BLAST⁴² (-perc_identity: 90, -word_size:11, all 1144 other parameters set as default). The expected BLAST result for a perfectly conserved allele is 1145 1146 a long fragment (exon_1) of 2,015 bp follow by a gap of ~1,000 bp due to the intron and 1147 another fragment (exon 2) of 820 bp. To detect the number of copies, first multiple BLAST 1148 results for a single gene were merged if two different BLAST segments were within 1.1kb. Then 1149 only if the total length of the input was found, this was counted as a copy. To analyse the 1150 structural variation across all 76 accessions, the non-filtered BLAST results were plotted in a 1151 region of -20,000 and +500,000 base pairs around the start of the BPM gene 1152 HORVU.MOREX.r3.1HG0004540 that was used as an anchor (present in all 76 lines, Supplementary Figure 1. To detection the different Mla alleles, three different threshold of -1153 1154 Perc identity for the BLAST were used: 100, 99 and 98.

1155

1156 Scan for structurally complex loci

1157 We utilised a pipeline developed by Rabanus-Wallace et al. ⁵⁸ that performs sequence-1158 agnostic identification of long-duplication-prone-regions (I-DPRs) in a reference genome,

- 1159 followed by identification of gene families with a statistical tendency to occur within I-DPRs.
- 1160 The pipeline assumes that a candidate I-DPR will contain an elevated concentration of locally

1161 repeated sequences in the kb-scale length range. We first aligned the MorexV3 genome sequence assembly⁵² against itself using lastz⁵⁹ (v1.04.03; arguments: '--notransition --1162 1163 step=500 –gapped'). For practicality purposes, this was done in 2 Mb blocks with a 200 kb 1164 overlap, and any overlapping I-DPRs identified in multiple windows were merged. For each window, we ignored the trivial end-to-end alignment, and of the remaining alignments, 1165 1166 retained only those longer than 5 kb and falling fully within 200 kb of one and another. An 1167 alignment 'density' was calculated over the chromosome by calculating, at 'interrogation 1168 points' spaced equally at 1 kb intervals along the length of the chromosome, an alignment 1169 density score that is simply the sum of all the lengths of any of the filtered alignments 1170 spanning that interrogation point. A Gaussian kernel density (bandwidth 10 kbp) was 1171 calculated over these the interrogation points, weighted by their scores. To allow comparability between windows, the interrogation point densities were normalised by the 1172 sum of scores in the window. Runs of interrogation points at which the density surpassed a 1173 1174 minimum density threshold were flagged as I-DPRs. A few minor adjustments to these regions 1175 (merging of overlapping regions, and trimming the end coordinates to ensure the stretches 1176 always begin and end in repeated sequence) yielded the final tabulated list of I-DPR 1177 coordinates (Supplementary Table 7). The method was implemented in R making use of the package data.table. Genes in each I-DPRs were clustered with UCLUST⁶⁰ (v11, default 1178 parameters) using a protein clustering distance cutoff of 0.5 and for each cluster the most 1179 1180 frequent functional description as per the MorexV3 gene annotation⁵² was assigned as the functional description of the cluster. 1181

1182

1183 Molecular dating of divergence times of duplicated genes in complex loci

1184 For molecular dating of gene duplications, we used segments of up to 4 kb, starting 1 kb 1185 upstream of duplicated genes in complex loci. With this, we presumed to only use intergenic 1186 sequences which are free from selection pressure and thus evolve at a neutral rate of 1.3x10⁻ ⁸ substitutions per site per year⁶¹. The upstream sequences of all duplicated genes of 1187 1188 respective complex locus were then aligned pairwise with the program Water from the EMBOSS package²⁹ (obtained from Ubuntu repositories, ubuntu.com). This was done for all 1189 1190 gene copies of all barley accession for which multiple gene copies were found. Molecular dating of the pairwise alignments was done as previously described⁶² using the substitution 1191 rate of 1.3x10⁻⁸ substitutions per site per year⁶¹. 1192

1193

1194 *Amy1_1* analysis in pangenome assemblies

1195 The amy1 1 gene copy HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used was used to BLAST 1196 against all 76 genome assemblies. Full-length sequences with identity over 95% were 1197 extracted and used for further analyses. Unique sequences were identified by clustering at 100% identity using CD-Hit⁴⁴ and were aligned using MAFFT⁶³ v7.490. Sequence variants 1198 1199 among *amy1_1* gene copies at genomic DNA, CDS and respective protein level were collected 1200 and *amy1* 1 haplotypes (i.e. the combinations of copies) in each genotype assembly were 1201 summarized R⁶⁴ v4.2.2. Barke-specific using А SNP locus 1202 (GGCGCCAGGCATGATCGGGTGGTGGCCAGCCAAGGCGGTGACCTTCGTGGACAACCACGACACCG GCTCCACGCAGCACATGTGGCCCTTCCCTTCTGACA[A/G]GGTCATGCAGGGATATGCGTACATACTCA 1203 1204 1205 TTCGTTCATAA) for *amy1_1* haplotype cluster ProtHap3 (Supplementary Table 20) was 1206 identified and used for KASP marker development (LGC Biosearch Technologies, Hoddesdon, 1207 United Kingdom).

1208

1209 Comparative analysis of the *amy1_1* locus structure

1210 Based on the genome annotation of cv. Morex, 15 gene sequences on either side of amy1 1 1211 gene copy HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545440 were extracted. The 31 genes were compared against the 76 genome assemblies using NCBI-BLAST⁴² (BLASTN, word size of 11 and percent 1212 identity of 90, other parameters as default). Alignment plots were generated from the BLAST 1213 1214 result coordinates by scaling based on the mid-point between 1215 HORVU.MOREX.r3.6HG0617300/HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545250 and HORVU.MOREX.r3.6HG0617710/HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545670. All BLAST results in the 1216 1217 region (+/- 1Mb) around this mid-point were plotted using R^{64} .

1218

1219 Amy1_1 PacBio amplicon sequencing.

1220 Genomic DNA from one-week old Morex seedling leaves was extracted with DNeasy[®] Plant 1221 Mini Kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Based on the MorexV3 genome sequence 1222 assembly⁵², amy1_1 full-length copy-specific primers were designed using Primer3 (ref. ⁶⁵) 1223 (https://primer3.ut.ee/): 6F GTAGCAGTGCAGCGTGAAGTC, 80F 1224 AGACATCGTTAACCACACATGC, 82F GTTTCTCGTCCCTTTGCCTTAA, 82F 1225 GTTTCTCGTCCCTTTGCCTTAA, GATCTGGATCGAAGGAGGGC, 79R 33R _ 1226 TCATACATGGGACCAGATCGAG, 80R - ACGTCAAGTTAGTAGGTAGCCC. All forward primers were 1227 with bridge sequence (preceding Т to tagged primer name) 1228 while reverse primers [AmC6]gcagtcgaacatgtagctgactcaggtcac, were tagged with 1229 [AmC6]tggatcacttgtgcaagcatcacatcgtag to allow annealing to barcoding primers. These bridge 1230 sequence-tagged gene-specific primers were used in pairs with each other targeting 1-2 1231 copies of 3-6 kb *amy1_1* genes, including upstream and downstream 500-1000 bp regions: 1232 T6F + T33R, T6F + T79R, T80F + T80R and T82F + T80R. A two-step PCR protocol was 1233 conducted. The first step PCR reaction was prepared in 25 μ l volume using 2 μ l DMSO, 0.3 μ l 1234 Q5 polymerase (New England Biolab, Massachusetts, United States), 1 µl amy1_1-specific 1235 primer pair (10 μ M each), 2 μ l gDNA, 0.5 μ l dNTPs (10mM), 5 μ l Q5 buffer and H₂O. The PCR 1236 program was as following: initial denaturation at 98°C/1min followed by 25-28 cycles of 1237 98°C/30 sec, 58°C/30 sec, and 72°C/3 min for extension, with a final extension step of 72°C/2 min. The second PCR step (barcoding PCR) was prepared in the same way using 1 µl of the first 1238 1239 PCR product as DNA template, barcoding primers (Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc., 1240 California, United States) and the PCR program reduced to 20 cycles. After quality check on 1241 1% agarose gel, all barcoded PCR products were mixed and purified with AMPure® PB (Pacific 1242 Biosciences of California, Inc., California, United States). The SMRT bell library preparation and 1243 sequencing were carried out at BGI Tech Solutions (BGI Tech Solutions Co., Ltd., Hongkong, 1244 China). Sequencing data was analysed using SMRT Link v.10.2. To minimize PCR chimeric noise, 1245 CCS were first constructed for each molecule. Secondly, Long Amplicon Analysis (LAA) was 1246 carried out based on subreads from 50 bp window spanning peak positions of all CCS length. 1247 Final consensus sequences for each amy1 1 was determined with the aid of size estimation 1248 from agarose gel imaging.

1249

1250 Amy1_1 SNP haplotype analysis and k-mer based copy number estimation.

SNP haplotypes were analyzed in 1,315 plant genetic resources and elite varieties in the extended amy1_1-cluster region (MorexV3 chr6H: 516,385,490 - 517,116,415 bp). SNPs with >20% missing data among the analyzed lines and minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01 were removed from downstream analyses. The data was converted to 0,1 and 2 format using

VCFtools⁶⁶ and samples were clustered using pheatmap package (https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/pheatmap/pheatmap.pdf) from R statistical environment⁵⁸. The sequential clustering approach was used to achieve the desired separation. At each step, two extreme clusters were selected and then samples from each cluster were clustered separately. The process was repeated until the desired separation was achieved based on visual inspection.

K-mers (k=21) were generated from Morex $amy1_1$ gene family member's conserved region using jellyfish⁶⁷ v2.2.10. After removing k-mers with counts from regions other than $amy1_1$ in the Morex V3 genome assembly, k-mers were counted in the Illumina raw reads (**Supplementary Table 5**) using Seal (BBtools, https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/softwaretools/bbtools/). All k-mer counts were normalized to counts per MorexV3 genome and $amy1_1$ copy number was estimated as the median count of all k-mers from each accession in R.

- Estimation ability was validated by comparing copy number from pangenome assemblies and short-read sequencing data (**Extended Data Fig. 8c**). For 1,000 plant genetic resources, countries (>=10 accessions) were color shaded based on their proportions of accessions with *amy1_1* copy number > 5 on a world map using the R package maptools (https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/maptools/index.html).
- 1273

1274 AMY1_1 protein structure and protein folding simulation

The published protein structure of α -amylase AMY1 1 from accession Menuet, in complex 1275 with the pseudo-tetrasaccharide acarbose (PDB:1bg9; ref. ⁶⁸), was used to simulate the 1276 1277 structural context of the amino acid variants identified in barley accessions Morex, Barke and 1278 RGT Planet. The amino acid sequence of the crystalized AMY1 1 protein from Menuet and 1279 the Morex reference copy amy1_1 HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 used in this study are 1280 identical. The protein was visualized using PyMol 2.5.5 (Schrödinger Inc. New York, NY, USA). The Dynamut2 webserver⁶⁹ was used to predict changes in protein stability and dynamics by 1281 1282 introducing amino acid variants identified in the Morex, Barke and RGT Planet genome 1283 assemblies.

1284

1285 Development of diverse *amy1_1* haplotype barley near-isogenic lines

1286 Near-isogenic lines (NILs) with different *amy1_1* haplotypes were derived from crosses 1287 between RGT Planet as recipient and Barke or Morex *amy1_1*-cluster donor parents 1288 (ProtHap3, ProtHap4 and ProtHap0, respectively; Supplementary Table 20), followed by two 1289 subsequent backcrosses to RGT Planet and one selfing step (BC_2S1) to retrieve homozygous 1290 plants at the *amy1_1* locus. A total of four amy 1 1-Barke NILs (ProtHap3) and one amy1 1-1291 Morex NIL (ProtHap0) were developed and tested against RGT Planet (ProtHap4) replicates. 1292 Plants were grown in a greenhouse at 18°C under 16/8-hour light/dark cycles. Foreground and 1293 background molecular markers were used in each generation to assist plant selection. 1294 Respective BC₂S₁ plants were genotyped with the Barley Illumina 15K array (SGS Institut 1295 Fresenius GmbH, TraitGenetics Section, Germany) and grown to maturity. Grains were 1296 harvested and further propagated in field plots in consecutive years in various locations (Nørre 1297 Aaby, Denmark; Lincoln, New Zealand; Maule, France). Grains from field plots were harvested 1298 and threshed using a Wintersteiger Elite plot combiner (Wintersteiger AG, Germany), and 1299 sorted by size (threshold, 2.5 mm) using a Pfeuffer SLN3 sample cleaner (Pfeuffer GmbH, 1300 Germany).

1301

1302 Micro-malting and α-amylase activity analysis

1303 Non-dormant barley samples of RGT Planet and respective NILs with different amy1 1 1304 haplotypes (50g each, graded >2.5 mm) were micro-malted in perforated stainless-steel 1305 boxes. The barley samples were steeped at 15 °C by submersion of the boxes in water. 1306 Steeping took place for six hours on day one, three hours on day two and one hour on day 1307 three, followed by air rests, to reach 35%, 40% and 45% water content, respectively. The actual 1308 water uptake of individual samples was determined as the weight difference between initial 1309 water content, measured with Foss 1241 NIT instrument (Foss A/S, Hillerød, Denmark), and 1310 the sample weight after surface water removal. During air rest, metal beakers were placed 1311 into a germination box at 15°C. Following the last steep, the barley samples were germinated 1312 for 3 days at 15°C. Finally, barley samples were kiln dried in an MMK Curio kiln (Curio Group 1313 Ltd, Buckingham, England) using a two-step ramping profile. First ramping step started at a set 1314 point of 27°C and a linear ramping at 2°C/h to the breakpoint at 55°C using 100% fresh air. 1315 Second linear ramping was at 4°C/h reaching a maximum at 85°C. This temperature was kept 1316 constant for 90 minutes using 50% air recirculation. The kilned samples were then deculmed 1317 using a manual root removal system (Wissenschaftliche Station für Brauerei, Munich, 1318 Germany). α -amylase activity was measured using the Ceralpha method (Ceralpha Method 1319 MR-CAAR4, Megazyme) modified for Gallery Plus Beermaster (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

1320

1321 Rachilla hair ploidy measurements

Ploidy assessment was performed on rachillae harvested from barley spikes at developmental 1322 1323 stage⁷⁰ ~W9.0. Once isolated, rachillae were fixed with 50% Ethanol/10% acetic acid for 16h after which they were stained with 1 μ M 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI) in 50 mM 1324 1325 phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) supplemented with 0.05% Triton X100. Probes were analyzed with 1326 a Zeiss LSM780 confocal laser scanning microscope using a 20x NA 0.8 objective, zoom 4x, and 1327 image size 512 x 512 pixel. DAPI was visualized with a 405 nm laser line in combination with a 1328 405–475 nm bandpass filter. Pinhole was set to ensure the whole nucleus was measured in 1329 one scan. Size and fluorescence intensity of the nuclei were measured with ZEN black (ZEISS) 1330 software. For data normalization small round nuclei of the epidermal proper were used for 2C 1331 calibration.

1332

1333 Scanning electron microscopy

Sample preparation and recording by scanning electron microscopy was essentially performed 1334 1335 as described previously⁷¹. In brief, samples were fixed overnight at 4°C in 50 mM phosphate 1336 buffer (pH 7.2) containing 2% v/v glutaraldehyde and 2% v/v formaldehyde. After washing 1337 with distilled water and dehydration in an ascending ethanol series, samples were critical 1338 point-dried in a Bal-Tec critical point dryer (Leica microsystems, https://www.leica-1339 microsystems.com). Dried specimens were attached to carbon-coated aluminium sample 1340 blocks and gold-coated in an Edwards S150B sputter coater (Edwards High Vacuum Inc., 1341 http://www.edwardsvacuum.com). Probes were examined in a Zeiss Gemini30 scanning 1342 electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, https://www.zeiss.de) at 5 kV acceleration 1343 voltage. Images were digitally recorded.

1344

1345 Linkage mapping of SHORT RACHILLA HAIR 1 (HvSRH1)

Initial linkage mapping was performed using genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) data of a large
 'Morex' x 'Barke' F₈ RIL population⁷² (ENA project PRJEB14130). The GBS data of 163 RILs,
 phenotyped for rachilla hair in the F₁₁ generation, and the two parental genotypes were

extracted from the variant matrix using VCFtools⁶⁶ and filtered as described previously²⁴ for a 1349 1350 minimum depth of sequencing to accept heterozygous and homozygous calls of 4 and 6, 1351 respectively, a minimum mapping quality score of the SNPs of 30, a minimal fraction of 1352 homozygous calls of 30 %, and a maximum fraction of missing data of 25%. The linkage map was built with the R package ASMap⁷³ using the MSTMap algorithm⁷⁴ and the Kosambi 1353 mapping function, forcing the linkage group to split according to the physical chromosomes. 1354 The linkage mapping was done with R/qtl⁷⁵ using the binary model of the scanone function 1355 with the EM method⁷⁶. The significance threshold was calculated running 1000 permutations 1356 and the interval was determined by a LOD drop of 1. To confirm consistency between the F₈ 1357 RIL genotypes and F₁₁ RIL phenotypes, three PCR Allele Competitive Extension (PACE) markers 1358 1359 were designed though 3CR Bioscience (Essex, UK) free assay design service, using polymorphisms between the genome assemblies of the two parents (Supplementary Table 1360 **23**), and PACE genotyping was performed as described earlier⁷⁷. To reduce the *Srh1* interval, 1361 1362 22 recombinant F₈ RILs were sequenced by Illumina whole-genome sequencing (WGS), the sequencing reads were mapped on MorexV3 reference genome⁵², and the SNP called. The 100 1363 bp region around the flanking SNPs of the Srh1 interval as well as the sequence of the 1364 candidate gene HORVU.MOREX.r3.5HG0492730 were compared to the pangenome 1365 assemblies using BLASTN⁷⁸ to identify the corresponding coordinates and extract the 1366 respective intervals for comparison. Gene sequences were aligned with Muscle5 (ref. ⁷⁹). 1367 Structural variation between intervals was assessed with LASTZ⁵⁹ version 1.04.03. The motif 1368 search was carried out with the EMBOSS⁸⁰ 6.5.7 tool fuzznuc. 1369

1370

1371 Cas9-mediated mutagenesis

1372 Guide RNA (gRNA) target motifs in the 'Golden Promise' HvSrh1 candidate gene 1373 HORVU.GOLDEN PROMISE.PROJ.5HG00440000.1 were selected by using the online tool WU-1374 CRISPR⁸¹ to induce translational frameshift mutations by insertion/deletion of nucleotides leading to loss-of-function of the gene. One pair of target motifs (gRNA1a: 1375 CCTCGCTGCCCGCCGACGC, gRNA1b: GACAAGACGAAGGCCGCGG) was selected within the 1376 1377 HvSrh1 candidate gene based on their position within the first half of the coding sequence and the two-dimensional minimum free energy structures of the cognate single-gRNAs 1378 (NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNGUUUUAGAGCUAGAAAUAGCAAGUUAAAAUAAGGCUAGUC 1379 CGUUAUCAACUUGAAAAAGUGGCACCGAGUCGGUGCUUUU) as modelled by the RNAfold 1380 WebServer⁸² and validated as suggested by Koeppel et al. ⁸³. gRNA-containing transformation 1381 1382 vectors were cloned the modular CasCADE using vector system 1383 (https://doi.org/10.15488/13200). gRNA-specific sequences were ordered as DNA oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table 24) with specific overhangs for Bsal-based cloning 1384 1385 into the gRNA-module vectors carrying the gRNA scaffold, driven by the *Triticum aestivum* U6 promoter. Golden Gate assembly of gRNAs and the cas9 module, driven by the Zea mays 1386 Polyubiquitin 1 (ZmUbi1) promotor, were performed according to the CasCADE protocol to 1387 generate the intermediate vector pHP21. To generate the binary vector pHP22, the gRNA and 1388 cas9 expression units were cloned using Sfil into the generic vector⁸⁴ p6i-2x35S-TE9 that 1389 harbours an hpt gene under control of a doubled-enhanced CaMV35S promoter in its transfer-1390 1391 DNA for plant selection. Agrobacterium-mediated DNA transfer to immature embryos of the 1392 spring barley Golden Promise was performed as previously described⁸⁵. In brief, immature 1393 embryos were excised from caryopses 12-14 days after pollination and co-cultivated with 1394 Agrobacterium strain AGL1 carrying pHP22 for 48 hours. Then, the explants were cultivated 1395 for further callus formation under selective conditions using Timentin and hygromycin, which 1396 was followed by plant regeneration. The presence of T-DNA in regenerated plantlets was 1397 confirmed by *hpt*- and *cas9*-specific PCRs (primer sequences in **Supplementary Table 24**). 1398 Primary mutant plants (M_1 generation) were identified by PCR amplification of the target 1399 region (primer sequences in Supplementary Table 24) followed by Sanger sequencing at LGC 1400 Genomics GmbH (Berlin, Germany). Double or multiple peaks in the sequence chromatogram 1401 starting around the Cas9 cleavage site upstream of the target's protospacer-adjacent motif 1402 (PAM) were considered as an indication for chimeric and/or heterozygous mutants. Mutant 1403 plants were grown in a glasshouse until the formation of mature grains. M_2 plants were grown in a climate chamber under speed breeding conditions (22 h light at 22 °C and 2 h dark at 19 1404 °C, adapted from Watson et al.⁸⁶ and genotyped by Sanger sequencing of PCR amplicons as 1405 1406 given above. M₂ grains were subjected to phenotyping.

1407

1408 **FIND-IT library construction**

We constructed a FIND-IT library in cv. 'Etincel' (6-row winter malting barley; SECOBRA 1409 Recherches) as described in Knudsen et al.⁸⁷. In short, we induced mutations by incubating 1410 1411 2.5 kg of 'Etincel' grain in water overnight at 8°C following an incubation in 0.3 mM NaN₃ at 1412 pH 3.0 for 2 hours at 20°C with continuous application of oxygen. After thoroughly washing 1413 with water, the grains were air-dried in a fume hood for 48 hours. Mutagenized grains were 1414 sown in fields in Nørre Aaby, Denmark, and harvested in bulk using a Wintersteiger Elite plot 1415 combiner (Wintersteiger AG, Germany). In the following generation, 2.5 kg of grain was sown 1416 in fields in Lincoln, New Zealand, and 188 pools of approximately 300 plants each were hand-1417 harvested and threshed. A representative sample, 25% of each pool, was milled (Retsch 1418 GM200, Haan, Germany), and DNA was extracted from 25 g of the flour by LGC Genomics 1419 GmbH (Berlin, Germany).

1420

1421 **FIND-IT screening**

1422The FIND-IT 'Etincel' library was screened as described in Knudsen et al. ⁸⁷ using a single assay1423for the isolation of *srh1*^{P63S} variant [ID# CB-FINDit-Hv-014]. Forward primer 5'1424AATCCTGCAGTCCTTGG 3', reverse primer 5' GAGGAGAAGAAGGAGCC 3', mutant probe 5'6-1425FAM/CGTGGACGT/ZEN/CGACG/3'IABkFQ/1426/5'SUN/ACGTGGGCG/ZEN/TCGA/3'IABkFQ/ Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.

1427

1428 4K SNP chip genotyping

1429 Genotyping, including DNA extraction from freeze-dried leaf material, was conducted by TraitGenetics (SGS - TraitGenetics GmbH, Germany). srh1^{P63S} mutant, the corresponding wild 1430 1431 type 'Etincel' and srh1 pangenome accessions Morex, RGT Planet, HOR 13942, HOR 9043 and 1432 HOR 21599 were genotyped for background confirmation. Pairwise genetic distance of individuals was calculated as the average of their per-locus distances⁸⁸ using R package 1433 stringdist⁸⁹ (v 0.9.8). Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was done with R⁶⁴ (v 4.0.2) base 1434 1435 function cmdscale based on this genetic distance matrix. The first two PCs were illustrated by 1436 ggplot2 (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org).

1437

1438Sanger sequencing

gDNA of the srh1^{P63S} variant and 'Etincel' was extracted from one-week old seedling leaves 1439 1440 (DNeasy, Plant Mini Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA fragments for sequencing 1441 amplified PCR gene specific primers were by using (forward primer 1442 5'TTGCACGATTCAAATGTGGT 3', reverse primer 5' TCACCGGGATCTCTCTGAAT 3') and Tag DNA

Polymerase (NEB) for 35 cycles (initial denaturation at 94°C/3 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C/45 s, 55°C/60 s, and 72°C/60 s for extension, with a final extension step of 72°C/10 min). PCR products were purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-Up Kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. AG, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sanger sequencing was done at Eurofins Genomics Germany GmbH using a gene-specific sequencing primer (5' AGAACGGAGAGAGAGAGAAAGAAG 3').

1449

1450 RNA preparation, sequencing, and data analysis

Rachilla tissues from two contrast groups Morex (short), Barke (long) and Bowman (long) and 1451 BW-NIL-srh1 (short) were used for RNA sequencing. The rachilla tissues were collected from 1452 1453 the central spikelets of the respective genotypes at rachilla hair initiation (RI; Waddington 8.0), 1454 and elongation (RE; Waddington 9.5) stages. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent 1455 (Invitrogen) followed by 2-propanol precipitation. Genomic DNA residues were removed with 1456 DNase I (NEB, M0303L). High-throughput paired-end sequencing was conducted at Novogene Co., Ltd (Cambridge, UK) with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 PE150 Platform. RNAseq reads were 1457 trimmed for adaptor sequences with Trimmomatic⁹⁰ (version 0.39) andt the MorexV3 genome 1458 1459 annotation was used as reference to estimate read abundance with Kallisto⁹¹. The raw read 1460 counts were normalized to Transcripts per kilo base per million (TPM) expression levels.

1461

1462 mRNA insitu hybridization

in situ hybridization was conducted in longitudinal and cross sections derived from whole spikelet tissues of Bowman and Morex at rachilla hair elongation developmental stage (W9.5) with Hv*SRH1* sense & antisense probes (124 bp). The *in situ* hybridization was performed as described before⁹² with few modifications.

1467

1468 Code availability

- 1469 Scripts for pangenome graphs analyses are available at <u>https://github.com/mb47/minigraph-</u>
- 1470 <u>barley</u>. The scripts for calculation of core/shell and cloud genes are deposited to the
- 1471 repository <u>https://github.com/PGSB-HMGU/</u>. The pipeline for identifying structurally
- 1472 complex loci is available at https://github.com/mtrw/DGS.
- 1473

1474 Data availability

1475 All the sequence data collected in this study have been deposited at the European Nucleotide 1476 Archive (ENA) under BioProjects PRJEB40587, PRJEB57567 and PRJEB58554 (raw data for 1477 pangenome assemblies), PRJEB64639 (pan-transcriptome Illumina data), PRJEB64637 1478 (transcriptome Isoseq data), PRJEB53924 (Illumina resequencing data), PRJEB45466-511 (raw 1479 data for gene space assemblies), PRJEB65284 (srh1 transcriptome data). Accession codes for individual genotypes are listed in supplementary tables: **Supplementary Table 1** (pangenome 1480 1481 assemblies and associated raw data), Supplementary Table 2 (transcriptome data), 1482 Supplementary Table 5 (Illumina resequencing), Supplementary Table 6 (gene space 1483 assemblies).

1484

1485 **References for Methods**

- 1486
- 14871Dvorak, J., McGuire, P. E. & Cassidy, B. Apparent sources of the A genomes of wheats1488inferred from polymorphism in abundance and restriction fragment length of

| 1489 | | repeated nucleotide sequences. <i>Genome</i> 30 , 680-689 (1988). |
|------|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1490 | | https://doi.org:10.1139/g88-115 |
| 1491 | 2 | Padmarasu, S., Himmelbach, A., Mascher, M. & Stein, N. In Situ Hi-C for Plants: An |
| 1492 | | Improved Method to Detect Long-Range Chromatin Interactions. Methods Mol Biol |
| 1493 | | 1933, 441-472 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-4939-9045-0_28 |
| 1494 | 3 | Himmelbach, A., Walde, I., Mascher, M. & Stein, N. Tethered Chromosome |
| 1495 | | Conformation Capture Sequencing in Triticeae: A Valuable Tool for Genome |
| 1496 | | Assembly. <i>Bio-protocol</i> 8 , e2955 (2018). https://doi.org:10.21769/BioProtoc.2955 |
| 1497 | 4 | Himmelbach, A. et al. Discovery of multi-megabase polymorphic inversions by |
| 1498 | | chromosome conformation capture sequencing in large-genome plant species. The |
| 1499 | | Plant Journal (2018). |
| 1500 | 5 | Cheng, H., Concepcion, G. T., Feng, X., Zhang, H. & Li, H. Haplotype-resolved de novo |
| 1501 | | assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. <i>Nature Methods</i> 18 , 170-175 |
| 1502 | | (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-020-01056-5 |
| 1503 | 6 | Marone, M. P., Singh, H. C., Pozniak, C. J. & Mascher, M. A technical guide to TRITEX, |
| 1504 | | a computational pipeline for chromosome-scale sequence assembly of plant |
| 1505 | | genomes. Plant Methods 18, 128 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13007-022-00964- |
| 1506 | | 1 |
| 1507 | 7 | Rhie, A., Walenz, B. P., Koren, S. & Phillippy, A. M. Merqury: reference-free quality, |
| 1508 | | completeness, and phasing assessment for genome assemblies. Genome Biology 21, |
| 1509 | | 245 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-020-02134-9 |
| 1510 | 8 | Sun, H., Ding, J., Piednoël, M. & Schneeberger, K. findGSE: estimating genome size |
| 1511 | | variation within human and Arabidopsis using k-mer frequencies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 34 , |
| 1512 | | 550-557 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx637 |
| 1513 | 9 | Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V. & Zdobnov, E. M. |
| 1514 | | BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy |
| 1515 | | orthologs. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 31 , 3210-3212 (2015). |
| 1516 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351 |
| 1517 | 10 | Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing |
| 1518 | | genomic features. Bioinformatics 26, 841-842 (2010). |
| 1519 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033 |
| 1520 | 11 | Steinegger, M. & Söding, J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching |
| 1521 | | for the analysis of massive data sets. Nature Biotechnology 35 , 1026-1028 (2017). |
| 1522 | | https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.3988 |
| 1523 | 12 | Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 1, 7 |
| 1524 | | (2018). |
| 1525 | 13 | Nattestad, M. & Schatz, M. C. Assemblytics: a web analytics tool for the detection of |
| 1526 | | variants from an assembly. Bioinformatics 32, 3021-3023 (2016). |
| 1527 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw369 |
| 1528 | 14 | Goel, M., Sun, H., Jiao, WB. & Schneeberger, K. SyRI: finding genomic |
| 1529 | | rearrangements and local sequence differences from whole-genome assemblies. |
| 1530 | | Genome Biology 20, 277 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-019-1911-0 |
| 1531 | 15 | Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing |
| 1532 | | reads. 2011 17, 3 (2011). https://doi.org:10.14806/ej.17.1.200 |
| 1533 | 16 | Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping |
| 1534 | | and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics |
| 1535 | | 27 , 2987-2993 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr509 |

| 1536 | 17 | Zhou, X. & Stephens, M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association |
|------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1537 | | studies. Nature Genetics 44, 821-824 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1038/ng.2310 |
| 1538 | 18 | Xu, H. et al. FastUniq: A Fast De Novo Duplicates Removal Tool for Paired Short Reads. |
| 1539 | | <i>PLOS ONE</i> 7 , e52249 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pone.0052249 |
| 1540 | 19 | Bushnell, B., Rood, J. & Singer, E. BBMerge – Accurate paired shotgun read merging |
| 1541 | | via overlap. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 12 , e0185056 (2017). |
| 1542 | | https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pone.0185056 |
| 1543 | 20 | Li, H. BFC: correcting Illumina sequencing errors. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 31 , 2885-2887 |
| 1544 | | (2015). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv290 |
| 1545 | 21 | Chikhi, R. & Rizk, G. Space-efficient and exact de Bruijn graph representation based |
| 1546 | | on a Bloom filter. Algorithms for Molecular Biology 8 , 22 (2013). |
| 1547 | | https://doi.org:10.1186/1748-7188-8-22 |
| 1548 | 22 | Mascher, M. et al. Long-read sequence assembly: a technical evaluation in barley. |
| 1549 | | <i>Plant Cell</i> 33 , 1888-1906 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1093/plcell/koab077 |
| 1550 | 23 | Danecek, P. et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience 10 (2021). |
| 1551 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/gigascience/giab008 |
| 1552 | 24 | Milner, S. G. et al. Genebank genomics highlights the diversity of a global barley |
| 1553 | | collection. Nat Genet 51, 319-326 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-018-0266- |
| 1554 | | X |
| 1555 | 25 | Patterson, N., Price, A. L. & Reich, D. Population Structure and Eigenanalysis. PLOS |
| 1556 | | Genetics 2 , e190 (2006). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190 |
| 1557 | 26 | Jayakodi, M. et al. The barley pan-genome reveals the hidden legacy of mutation |
| 1558 | | breeding. Nature 588, 284-289 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-2947-8 |
| 1559 | 27 | Sallam, A. H. et al. Genome-Wide Association Mapping of Stem Rust Resistance in |
| 1560 | | Hordeum vulgare subsp. spontaneum. G3 (Bethesda, Md.) 7, 3491-3507 (2017). |
| 1561 | | https://doi.org:10.1534/g3.117.300222 |
| 1562 | 28 | Chang, C. C. et al. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and |
| 1563 | | richer datasets. <i>GigaScience</i> 4 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8 |
| 1564 | 29 | Rice, P., Longden, I. & Bleasby, A. EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open |
| 1565 | | software suite. Trends in genetics 16, 276-277 (2000). |
| 1566 | 30 | Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic |
| 1567 | | tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 49, W293-W296 (2021). |
| 1568 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkab301 |
| 1569 | 31 | Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15-21 |
| 1570 | | (2013). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635 |
| 1571 | 32 | Kovaka, S. et al. Transcriptome assembly from long-read RNA-seq alignments with |
| 1572 | | StringTie2. <i>Genome Biology</i> 20 , 278 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-019- |
| 1573 | | 1910-1 |
| 1574 | 33 | Consortium, T. U. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic |
| 1575 | | Acids Research 51 , D523-D531 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkac1052 |
| 1576 | 34 | Gremme, G., Brendel, V., Sparks, M. E. & Kurtz, S. Engineering a software tool for |
| 1577 | | gene structure prediction in higher organisms. <i>Information and Software Technology</i> |
| 1578 | | 47 , 965-978 (2005). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2005.09.005 |
| 1579 | 35 | Wu, T. D. & Watanabe, C. K. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for |
| 1580 | | mRNA and EST sequences. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 21 , 1859-1875 (2005). |
| 1581 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti310 |
| | | |

| 1582 1583 | 36 | Ghosh, S. & Chan, C. K. Analysis of RNA-Seq Data Using TopHat and Cufflinks. <i>Methods Mol Biol</i> 1374 , 339-361 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-4939-3167- |
|--------------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1584 | | 5_18 |
| 1585 | 37 | Stanke, M. et al. AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic |
| 1586 | | Acids Research 34 , W435-W439 (2006). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkl200 |
| 1587 | 38 | Ter-Hovhannisyan, V., Lomsadze, A., Chernoff, Y. O. & Borodovsky, M. Gene prediction |
| 1588 | | in novel fungal genomes using an ab initio algorithm with unsupervised training. |
| 1589 | | Genome Res 18, 1979-1990 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1101/gr.081612.108 |
| 1590 | 39 | Hoff, K. J. & Stanke, M. Predicting Genes in Single Genomes with AUGUSTUS. Current |
| 1591 | | Protocols in Bioinformatics 65, e57 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1002/cpbi.57 |
| 1592 | 40 | Haas, B. J. et al. Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using |
| 1593 | | EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. Genome Biol 9, |
| 1594 | | R7 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1186/gb-2008-9-1-r7 |
| 1595 | 41 | Haas, B. J. et al. Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal |
| 1596 | | transcript alignment assemblies. Nucleic Acids Research 31 , 5654-5666 (2003). |
| 1597 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkg770 |
| 1598 | 42 | Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment |
| 1599 | | search tool. J Mol Biol 215, 403-410 (1990). https://doi.org:10.1016/S0022- |
| 1600 | | 2836(05)80360-2 |
| 1601 | 43 | Spannagl, M. et al. PGSB PlantsDB: updates to the database framework for |
| 1602 | | comparative plant genome research. Nucleic Acids Res 44, D1141-1147 (2016). |
| 1603 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkv1130 |
| 1604 | 44 | Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next- |
| 1605 | | generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 28, 3150-3152 (2012). |
| 1606 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565 |
| 1607 | 45 | Cock, P. J. et al. Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular |
| 1608 | | biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics 25, 1422-1423 (2009). |
| 1609 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163 |
| 1610 | 46 | Henikoff, S. & Henikoff, J. G. Amino acid substitution matrices from protein blocks. |
| 1611 | | Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 89 , 10915-10919 (1992). |
| 1612 | | https://doi.org:doi:10.1073/pnas.89.22.10915 |
| 1613 | 47 | Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for |
| 1614 | | comparative genomics. <i>Genome Biology</i> 20 , 238 (2019). |
| 1615 | | https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y |
| 1616 | 48 | Lovell, J. T. et al. GENESPACE tracks regions of interest and gene copy number |
| 1617 | | variation across multiple genomes. <i>eLife</i> 11 , e78526 (2022). |
| 1618 | | https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.78526 |
| 1619 | 49 | Li, H., Feng, X. & Chu, C. The design and construction of reference pangenome graphs |
| 1620 | | with minigraph. Genome Biology 21, 265 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059- |
| 1621 | | 020-02168-z |
| 1622 | 50 | Garrison, E. et al. Building pangenome graphs. bioRxiv, 2023.2004.2005.535718 |
| 1623 | | (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.04.05.535718 |
| 1624 | 51 | Hickey, G. et al. Pangenome graph construction from genome alignments with |
| 1625 | | Minigraph-Cactus. Nature Biotechnology (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41587- |
| 1626 | | 023-01793-w |
| 1627 | 52 | Mascher, M. et al. Long-read sequence assembly: a technical evaluation in barley. |
| 1628 | | Plant Cell (2021). https://doi.org:10.1093/plcell/koab077 |

| 1629 | 53 | Garrison, E. et al. Variation graph toolkit improves read mapping by representing |
|------|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1630 | | genetic variation in the reference. Nature Biotechnology 36, 875-879 (2018). |
| 1631 | | https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.4227 |
| 1632 | 54 | Guarracino, A., Heumos, S., Nahnsen, S., Prins, P. & Garrison, E. ODGI: understanding |
| 1633 | | pangenome graphs. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 38 , 3319-3326 (2022). |
| 1634 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btac308 |
| 1635 | 55 | Park, SC., Lee, K., Kim, Y. O., Won, S. & Chun, J. Large-Scale Genomics Reveals the |
| 1636 | | Genetic Characteristics of Seven Species and Importance of Phylogenetic Distance for |
| 1637 | | Estimating Pan-Genome Size. Frontiers in Microbiology 10 (2019). |
| 1638 | 50 | π mtps://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00834 |
| 1639 | 56 | Siren, J. <i>et al.</i> Pangenomics enables genotyping of known structural variants in 5202 |
| 1640 | | diverse genomes. Science 3/4 , abg88/1 (2021). |
| 1641 | | https://doi.org:doi:10.1126/science.abg88/1 |
| 1642 | 57 | Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA- |
| 1643 | | MEM. arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3997 (2013). |
| 1644 | 58 | Rabanus-Wallace, M. T., Wicker, T. & Stein, N. Replicators, genes, and the C-value |
| 1645 | | enigma: High-quality genome assembly of barley provides direct evidence that self- |
| 1646 | | replicating DNA forms 'cooperative' associations with genes in arms races. <i>bioRxiv</i> , |
| 1647 | | 2023.2010.2001.560391 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1101/2023.10.01.560391 |
| 1648 | 59 | Harris, R. S. Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA. (The Pennsylvania State |
| 1649 | | University, 2007). |
| 1650 | 60 | Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. |
| 1651 | | <i>Bioinformatics</i> 26 , 2460-2461 (2010). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461 |
| 1652 | 61 | Ma, J. & Bennetzen, J. L. Rapid recent growth and divergence of rice nuclear |
| 1653 | | genomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101, 12404-12410 |
| 1654 | | (2004). https://doi.org:doi:10.1073/pnas.0403715101 |
| 1655 | 62 | Buchmann, J. P., Matsumoto, T., Stein, N., Keller, B. & Wicker, T. Inter-species |
| 1656 | | sequence comparison of Brachypodium reveals how transposon activity corrodes |
| 1657 | | genome colinearity. The Plant Journal 71 , 550-563 (2012). |
| 1658 | | https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.05007.x |
| 1659 | 63 | Kuraku, S., Zmasek, C. M., Nishimura, O. & Katoh, K. aLeaves facilitates on-demand |
| 1660 | | exploration of metazoan gene family trees on MAFFT sequence alignment server |
| 1661 | | with enhanced interactivity. Nucleic Acids Research 41, W22-W28 (2013). |
| 1662 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkt389 |
| 1663 | 64 | R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation |
| 1664 | | for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2022. (2022). |
| 1665 | 65 | Untergasser, A. et al. Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids |
| 1666 | | Research 40, e115-e115 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gks596 |
| 1667 | 66 | Danecek, P. et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27, 2156-2158 |
| 1668 | | (2011). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330 |
| 1669 | 67 | Marçais, G. & Kingsford, C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of |
| 1670 | | occurrences of k-mers. Bioinformatics 27, 764-770 (2011). |
| 1671 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011 |
| 1672 | 68 | Kadziola, A., Søgaard, M., Svensson, B. & Haser, R. Molecular structure of a barley |
| 1673 | | alpha-amylase-inhibitor complex: implications for starch binding and catalysis. J Mol |
| 1674 | | <i>Biol</i> 278 , 205-217 (1998). https://doi.org:10.1006/jmbi.1998.1683 |
| | | |

| 1675 | 69 | Rodrigues, C. H. M., Pires, D. E. V. & Ascher, D. B. DynaMut2: Assessing changes in |
|------|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1676 | | stability and flexibility upon single and multiple point missense mutations. Protein |
| 1677 | | Science 30 , 60-69 (2021). https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3942 |
| 1678 | 70 | WADDINGTON, S. R., CARTWRIGHT, P. M. & WALL, P. C. A Quantitative Scale of Spike |
| 1679 | | Initial and Pistil Development in Barley and Wheat. Annals of Botany 51, 119-130 |
| 1680 | | (1983). https://doi.org:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a086434 |
| 1681 | 71 | Poursarebani, N. et al. The Genetic Basis of Composite Spike Form in Barley and |
| 1682 | | 'Miracle-Wheat'. <i>Genetics</i> 201 , 155-165 (2015). |
| 1683 | | https://doi.org:10.1534/genetics.115.176628 |
| 1684 | 72 | Beier, S. et al. Construction of a map-based reference genome sequence for barley, |
| 1685 | | Hordeum vulgare L. <i>Scientific Data 4,</i> 170044 (2017). |
| 1686 | | https://doi.org:10.1038/sdata.2017.44 |
| 1687 | 73 | Taylor, J. & Butler, D. R Package ASMap: Efficient Genetic Linkage Map Construction |
| 1688 | | and Diagnosis. <i>Journal of Statistical Software</i> 79 , 1 - 29 (2017). |
| 1689 | | https://doi.org:10.18637/jss.v079.i06 |
| 1690 | 74 | Wu, Y., Bhat, P. R., Close, T. J. & Lonardi, S. Efficient and Accurate Construction of |
| 1691 | | Genetic Linkage Maps from the Minimum Spanning Tree of a Graph. PLOS Genetics 4, |
| 1692 | | e1000212 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000212 |
| 1693 | 75 | Broman, K. W., Wu, H., Sen, Ś. & Churchill, G. A. R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental |
| 1694 | | crosses. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 19 , 889-890 (2003). |
| 1695 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg112 |
| 1696 | 76 | Dempster, A. P., Laird, N. M. & Rubin, D. B. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data |
| 1697 | | via the EM algorithm. Journal of the royal statistical society: series B (methodological) |
| 1698 | | 39 , 1-22 (1977). |
| 1699 | 77 | Pidon, H. et al. High-resolution mapping of Rym14Hb, a wild relative resistance gene |
| 1700 | | to barley yellow mosaic disease. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 134, 823-833 |
| 1701 | | (2021). https://doi.org:10.1007/s00122-020-03733-7 |
| 1702 | 78 | Camacho, C. et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10, 421 |
| 1703 | | (2009). https://doi.org:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421 |
| 1704 | 79 | Edgar, R. C. Muscle5: High-accuracy alignment ensembles enable unbiased |
| 1705 | | assessments of sequence homology and phylogeny. Nature Communications 13, |
| 1706 | | 6968 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-022-34630-w |
| 1707 | 80 | Rice, P., Longden, I. & Bleasby, A. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open |
| 1708 | | Software Suite. Trends Genet 16, 276-277 (2000). https://doi.org:10.1016/s0168- |
| 1709 | | 9525(00)02024-2 |
| 1710 | 81 | Chen, Y. & Wang, X. Evaluation of efficiency prediction algorithms and development |
| 1711 | | of ensemble model for CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA selection. Bioinformatics 38, 5175-5181 |
| 1712 | | (2022). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btac681 |
| 1713 | 82 | Gruber, A. R., Lorenz, R., Bernhart, S. H., Neuböck, R. & Hofacker, I. L. The Vienna |
| 1714 | | RNA websuite. Nucleic Acids Res 36 , W70-74 (2008). |
| 1715 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkn188 |
| 1716 | 83 | Koeppel, I., Hertig, C., Hoffie, R. & Kumlehn, J. Cas Endonuclease Technology—A |
| 1717 | | Quantum Leap in the Advancement of Barley and Wheat Genetic Engineering. |
| 1718 | | International Journal of Molecular Sciences 20 , 2647 (2019). |
| 1719 | 84 | Gerasimova, S. V. et al. Conversion of hulled into naked barley by Cas endonuclease- |
| 1720 | | mediated knockout of the NUD gene. BMC Plant Biology 20, 255 (2020). |
| 1721 | | https://doi.org:10.1186/s12870-020-02454-9 |

| 1722 | 85 | Hensel, G., Kastner, C., Oleszczuk, S., Riechen, J. & Kumlehn, J. Agrobacterium- |
|------|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1723 | | mediated gene transfer to cereal crop plants: current protocols for barley, wheat, |
| 1724 | | triticale, and maize. Int J Plant Genomics 2009, 835608 (2009). |
| 1725 | | https://doi.org:10.1155/2009/835608 |
| 1726 | 86 | Watson, A. et al. Speed breeding is a powerful tool to accelerate crop research and |
| 1727 | | breeding. Nature Plants 4, 23-29 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41477-017-0083-8 |
| 1728 | 87 | Knudsen, S. <i>et al.</i> FIND-IT: Accelerated trait development for a green evolution. |
| 1729 | | Science Advances 8, eabq2266 (2022). https://doi.org:doi:10.1126/sciadv.abq2266 |
| 1730 | 88 | Witherspoon, D. J. et al. Genetic similarities within and between human populations. |
| 1731 | | Genetics 176, 351-359 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1534/genetics.106.067355 |
| 1732 | 89 | Van der Loo, M. P. The stringdist package for approximate string matching. <i>R J.</i> 6 , 111 |
| 1733 | | (2014). |
| 1734 | 90 | Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina |
| 1735 | | sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114-2120 (2014). |
| 1736 | | https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170 |
| 1737 | 91 | Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq |
| 1738 | | quantification. Nature biotechnology 34, 525-527 (2016). |
| 1739 | 92 | Poursarebani, N. et al. COMPOSITUM 1 contributes to the architectural simplification |
| 1740 | | of barley inflorescence via meristem identity signals. Nature Communications 11, |
| 1741 | | 5138 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-18890-y |
| 1742 | 93 | Arend, D. et al. PGP repository: a plant phenomics and genomics data publication |
| 1743 | | infrastructure. Database 2016 (2016). |
| 1744 | | |
| 1745 | | |
| 1746 | | |
| | | |