



HAL
open science

Prospects for evolution in European tree breeding

A Fugeray-Scarbel, Laurent Bouffier, Stéphane Lemarié, Leopoldo Sánchez, Ricardo Alia, Chiara Biselli, Joukje Buiteveld, Andrea Carra, Luigi Cattivelli, Arnaud Dowkiw, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

A Fugeray-Scarbel, Laurent Bouffier, Stéphane Lemarié, Leopoldo Sánchez, Ricardo Alia, et al.. Prospects for evolution in European tree breeding. *iForest: Biogeosciences and Forestry*, 2024, 17 (2), pp.45 - 58. 10.3832/ifer4544-017 . hal-04495140

HAL Id: hal-04495140

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04495140v1>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Prospects for evolution in European tree breeding

Aline Fugeray-Scarbel ⁽¹⁾,
Laurent Bouffier ⁽²⁾,
Stéphane Lemarié ⁽¹⁾,
Leopoldo Sánchez ⁽³⁾,
Ricardo Alia ⁽⁴⁾,
Chiara Biselli ⁽⁵⁾,
Joukje Buiteveld ⁽⁶⁾,
Andrea Carra ⁽⁷⁾,
Luigi Cattivelli ⁽⁸⁾,
Arnaud Dowkiw ⁽⁹⁾,
Luis Fontes ⁽¹⁰⁾,
Agostino Fricano ⁽⁸⁾,
Jean-Marc Gion ⁽¹¹⁾,
Jacqueline Grima-Pettenati ⁽¹²⁾,
Andreas Helmersson ⁽¹³⁾,
Francisco Lario ⁽¹⁴⁾,
Luis Leal ⁽¹⁰⁾,
Sven Mutke ⁽⁴⁾,
Giuseppe Nervo ⁽⁷⁾,
Torgny Persson ⁽¹⁵⁾,
Laura Rosso ⁽⁷⁾,
Marinus JM Smulders ⁽⁶⁾,
Arne Steffenrem ⁽¹⁶⁾,
Lorenzo Vietto ⁽⁷⁾,
Matti Haapanen ⁽¹⁷⁾

Genetically improved forest reproductive materials are now widely accessible in many European countries due to decades of continuous breeding efforts. Tree breeding does not only contribute to higher-value end products but allows an increase in the rate of carbon capture and sequestration, helping to mitigate the effects of climate change. The usefulness of breeding programmes depends on (i) the relevance of the set of selected traits and their relative weights (growth, drought tolerance, phenology, etc.); (ii) the explicit management of targeted and “neutral” diversity; (iii) the genetic gain achieved; and (iv) the efficiency of transferring diversity and gain to the plantation. Several biological factors limit both operational breeding and mass reproduction. To fully realise the potential of tree breeding, the introduction of new technologies and concepts is pivotal for overcoming these constraints. We reviewed several European breeding programmes, examining their current status and factors that are likely to influence tree breeding in the coming decades. The synthesis was based on case studies developed for the European Union-funded B4EST project, which focused on eight economically important tree species with breeding histories and intensities ranging from low-input breeding (stone pine, Douglas-fir and ash) to more complex programmes (eucalyptus, maritime pine, Norway spruce, poplar, and Scots pine). Tree breeding for these species is managed in a variety of ways due to differences in species’ biology, breeding objectives, and economic value. Most programmes are managed by governmental institutes with full or partial public support because of the relatively late return on investment. Eucalyptus is the only tree species whose breeding is entirely sponsored and managed by a private company. Several new technologies have emerged for both phenotyping and genotyping. They have the potential to speed up breeding processes and make genetic evaluations more accurate, thereby reducing costs and increasing genetic gains per unit of time. In addition, genotyping has allowed the explicit control of genetic diversity in selected populations with great precision. The continuing advances in tree genomics are expected to revolutionise tree breeding by moving it towards genomic-based selection, a perspective that requires new types of skills that are not always available in the institutions hosting the programmes. We therefore recognise the importance of promoting coordination and collaboration between the many groups involved in breeding. Climate change is expected to bring in new pests and diseases and increase the frequency of extreme weather events such as late frosts and prolonged droughts. Such stresses will

□ (1) Univ. Grenoble Alpes, INRAE, CNRS, Grenoble INP, GAEL, 38000 Grenoble (France); (2) INRAE, Univ. Bordeaux, BIOGECO, F-33610 Cestas (France); (3) UMR BioForA, INRA, 45160, Ardon (France); (4) Institute of Forest Science - ICIFOR-INIA, CSIC, carretera de La Coruña km 7.5, 28040, Madrid (Spain); (5) Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, Council for Agricultural Research and Economics - CREA-FL, v.le Santa Margherita 80, I-52100 Arezzo (Italy); (6) Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen (The Netherlands); (7) Council for Agricultural Research and Economics, Research Centre for Forestry and Wood, str. Frassineto 35, 15033 Casale Monferrato (Italy); (8) Council for Agricultural Research and Economics, Research Centre for Genomics and Bioinformatics, v. San Protaso 302, 29017 Fiorenzuola d’Arda (Italy); (9) INRAE, UMR 0588 BioForA, 2163 avenue de la Pomme de Pin, CS 40001 Ardon, 45075 Orléans Cedex 2 (France); (10) Altri Florestal, 2510-582 Olho Marinho (Portugal); (11) CIRAD UMR AGAP, F-33612 CESTAS Cedex (France); (12) Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales, Université Toulouse, CNRS, INP, Castanet-Tolosan (France); (13) The Forestry Research Institute of Sweden - Skogforsk, Ekebo 2250, 26890 Svalöv (Sweden); (14) TRAGSA, Vivero Maceda, Carretera Maceda - Baldrei, km 2, 32708 Maceda, Galicia (Spain); (15) The Forestry Research Institute of Sweden - Skogforsk, Sävar SE-918 21 (Sweden); (16) Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research - NIBIO, Skolegata 22, 7713 Steinkjer (Norway); (17) Natural Resources Institute Finland - Luke, Helsinki (Finland)

@ Matti Haapanen (matti.haapanen@luke.fi)

Received: Dec 16, 2023 - Accepted: Feb 27, 2024

Citation: Fugeray-Scarbel A, Bouffier L, Lemarié S, Sánchez L, Alia R, Biselli C, Buiteveld J, Carra A, Cattivelli L, Dowkiw A, Fontes L, Fricano A, Gion J-M, Grima-Pettenati J, Helmersson A, Lario F, Leal L, Mutke S, Nervo G, Persson T, Rosso L, Smulders MJM, Steffenrem A, Vietto L, Haapanen M (2024). Prospects for evolution in European tree breeding. *iForest* 17: 45-58. - doi: [10.3832/ifor4544-017](https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor4544-017) [online 2024-03-06]

Communicated by: Marco Borghetti

cause slow growth and mortality, reducing forest productivity and resilience. Most of these threats are difficult to predict, and the time-consuming nature of conventional breeding does not allow for an adequate and timely reaction. We anticipate that most breeding programmes will need to revise their selection criteria and objectives to place greater emphasis on adaptive performance, tolerance to multiple environmental stresses, stability in different environments, and conservation of genetic diversity. Testing breeding materials in a variety of environments, including potentially contrasting climates, will become increasingly important. Climate change may also force the incorporation of new genetic resources that provide new useful adaptations, which may involve the use of new, previously unexplored gene pools or hybridisation, with the enormous challenge of incorporating useful alleles without adding along an unfavourable genetic background. Decision-support tools to help landowners and foresters select the best-performing forest reproductive material in each specific environment could also help reduce the impact of climate change.

Keywords: Tree Breeding, Breeding Programmes, Breeding Strategies, Climate Change, Seed Orchards, Genomic Selection

Introduction

Forests provide a range of ecosystem services to society, most importantly ensuring the renewable raw materials for a wide range of manufactured products, including pulp, paper, cork, resins, fuel, and construction timber, which replace fossil-based carbon products. In addition, forests are efficient carbon sinks that mitigate the effects of climate change (Pan et al. 2011). Between 1990 and 2020, the global forest area decreased by 420 million hectares (FAO 2022). Despite a slight increase in European forest area, the global conversion of forests to agriculture and infrastructure is increasing pressure on production in cultivated forests and on the conservation of wild forests. It is expected that plantation forests, which can incorporate the benefits of genetic improvement and conservation, can play an important role in minimising the demand for wild resources. Both European forest owners and forest managers agree that one of the strategies to be favoured in the face of climate change is the use of improved Forest Reproductive Material (FRM) in plantations and for the enrichment of natural regeneration zones (Roitsch et al. 2023). Forest tree breeding aims to improve the genetic qualities of FRM to increase the quantity and quality of harvested forest products and make forests more resilient to global change. In Europe, breeding programmes have been carried out for decades in several economically important tree species, resulting in significant genetic gains (Jansson et al. 2017), even maintaining the genetic variability of improved FRM close to the level of the original natural stands (Muona & Harju 1989, Olsson et al. 2023).

Many forest trees have biological characteristics such as a long lifespan, late fertility, and difficult sexual or vegetative propagation that are not conducive to smooth breeding progress. As a result, tree breeding is a slow process compared to crop and livestock breeding, but the gain in each generation can still be relatively high. Tree breeders have already experimented with

various tools and technologies to alleviate the major biological constraints in the hope of reducing the cost of breeding activities, increasing the pace of breeding, and increasing genetic gains. Some of these, such as flowering promotion by plant hormones or vegetative propagation by top-grafting (De Oliveira Castro et al. 2021), rooted cuttings, or somatic embryogenesis (Lelu-Walter et al. 2013), have proved to be operationally feasible, while others, such as genetic engineering leading to genetically modified plants, have all but disappeared from the discussion. It has now become clear that most technologies require a long period of development before they are ready for large-scale breeding and that their application also depends on the context of the breeding programme. For example, the first DNA markers appeared in the 1980s, but it took decades for them to find their way into mainstream breeding programmes. More recently, advancements in high-throughput DNA sequencing and the introduction of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) have greatly expanded the range of potential applications in tree breeding (Isik 2014).

This article first presents the current status of forest tree breeding programmes in Europe through their organisation, the breeding objectives and the strategies considered for selection and deployment of improved FRM. Then a transversal analysis of potential developments that could soon influence the way tree breeding is practised in Europe and worldwide is detailed. The technological innovations examined cover those that reduce breeding costs by facilitating the collection and analysis of large amounts of phenotypic data; and those that take advantage of genomic knowledge and can be used to replace or supplement phenotyping data with information from SNP markers to improve the accuracy of genetic evaluation and speed up the breeding process. We also examine new challenges for tree breeding in the context of climate change, the potential barriers to the production of different FRM

types, the breeding objectives and their future evolution, and the key players in the current tree breeding efforts taking place in Europe.

Our synthesis owes a great deal to the species-specific case studies acquired as part of the “B4EST” H2020 research project (B4EST 2024), funded by the European Union and involving 21 partners from universities, research organizations, and companies. These provide a more in-depth analysis for the breeding of eucalyptus (Leal et al. 2022), maritime pine (Alia et al. 2022, Bouffier 2022), Mediterranean stone pine (Mutke et al. 2022), Norway spruce (Steffenrem & Helmersson 2022), poplar (Biselli et al. 2022a), and Scots pine (Haapanen & Persson 2022).

Current status of European tree breeding

Breeding programmes and their organisation

The breeding programmes included in the current analysis are listed in Tab. 1. Most of them were initiated in the second half of the twentieth century. They represent different levels of complexity and progress, from basic breeding programmes with a limited number of trials (stone pine and ash) to more advanced ones (eucalyptus, maritime pine, Douglas-fir, Norway spruce, poplar, and Scots pine).

The organisation of the tree breeding programmes considered here varies; some are run entirely by for-profit companies, while others are fully linked to the public sector. However, the general trend is that tree breeding in Europe is largely supported by public funds.

Maritime pine breeding activities in Spain are publicly funded and run by regional institutions in the regions where maritime pine grows. The French maritime pine breeding programme also relies mainly on public funding. It is managed by two institutions (INRAE, the National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food, and Environment, and FCBA, the technological institute

for wood and forest sector) and coordinated by a common structure (GIS “Groupe Pin Maritime du Futur”). A similar scheme exists for Douglas-fir in France, involving three main players: INRAE, FCBA, ONF, and also coordinated through a GIS-like board, which is regularly funded by the Ministry. In the Netherlands, Wageningen Research, a non-profit research organisation, is the main actor engaged in ash tree improvement, with the Ministry of Agriculture, Nature, and Food Quality providing the majority of financing. Same in France with INRAE, and the Ministry of Agriculture. The Scots pine and Norway spruce breeding programmes in Finland are funded by the government and managed by a public research institute (Luke). The Norwegian breeding programmes of these two species are managed by the non-profit foundation Skogfrøverket (Norwegian Forest Seed Center), which is supported by 60 percent public money and 40 percent seed sales revenue. Stone pine breeding in Spain has been the result of isolated initiatives by forest administrations in different autonomous regions of the country and by the Ministry for Ecological Transition, supported by collaborations with several universities and the national research institute ICIFOR-INIA (CSIC).

The two eucalyptus breeding programmes in Portugal, run by pulp and paper companies Altri and The Navigator Company, are the only examples of private-sector breeding, among the examples cited here. Cases of Norway spruce in Sweden, stone pine in Portugal, and poplar in Italy fall into the middle ground. In Sweden, Skogforsk, which is a private foundation with a mix of public and private support, runs the breeding programmes of Norway spruce and Scots pine and provides improved breeding material for private enterprises that manage seed orchards of these species. In Portugal, the national research institute INIAV oversees stone pine breeding and receives funding from associations of large private landowners, whereas regional private forest owners’ associations own and manage mother-tree orchards (clonal gardens used to collect scions for grafting). In Italy, poplar breeding projects are independently run by the public organisation CREA and private enterprises, with no stable collaboration between the public and private research communities.

The profitability of breeding activities heavily influences the mode of organisation (Fugeray-Scarbel et al. 2023). There are many factors that contribute to a generally low rate of return on investment, such as the long time between the start of breeding and the first releases of improved FRM and the resources required (time, money, land, and personnel). The market size (annual regeneration area) for some tree species may be modest. Finally, landowners’ reluctance to pay more for better materials may further discourage private investments in breeding. A larger

investment in upstream activities includes the sizable investments made by the forest industry for conifer breeding in Sweden and eucalyptus in Portugal. Mills are substantial investments that require a steady supply of wood in sufficient quantities. Breeding, as well as seed and seedling production, will increase the supply of raw materials for this purpose, encouraging superior varieties to be planted in both company-owned and privately held forest areas.

The operative deployment and production of improved FRM consist of the establishment, maintenance, and harvesting of seed orchards, as well as the production of seedlings and clonal plants in nurseries. Even in situations where breeding operations receive only public funding, private businesses typically manage these commercial activities. More details on the type of FRM deployed for each tree species are reported below.

Breeding objectives and selected traits

As most forest trees have long commercial rotations, breeding objectives are usually rather general to ensure that they are valid in a range of future scenarios, including those involving changing climate and evolving industrial processes and demands. Most breeding programmes aim to increase the quantity and quality of harvested wood, but for a few species, there are other objectives such as resin supply (maritime pine in Spain) and cone production (Mediterranean stone pine).

The main goal of breeding Scots pine and Norway spruce is to increase wood production and the economic value of end-products (Rosvall 2011, Ruotsalainen & Persson 2013, Jansson et al. 2017, Skogfrøverket

2017). As these species are commonly used for sawn timber, it is important to achieve good stem quality (few knots, straight stems and no defects). For Norway spruce in particular, the economic value of wood is strongly linked to structural uses. In addition to eliminating trees with stem defects, more attention is being paid to eliminating early flushing families that are susceptible to spring frost, as well as to increasing stiffness, reducing grain angle, and maintaining wood density (Steffenrem & Helmersson 2022).

Eucalyptus breeding goals have been aligned with the demands of pulp and paper companies, with trees selected for growth, wood density, and wood cellulose content (Leal et al. 2022). In case of poplar, breeding mainly aims at improving vegetative propagation capacity, growth vigour, stem form, and wood quality (Biselli et al. 2022a). Stone pine, traditionally used for land reclamation and forest restoration, has gained attention for producing highly prized edible pine nut kernels, and therefore the main objective of breeding is now improved cone and kernel production (Olsson et al. 2023). With respect to ash, breeding was primarily aimed at improving the growth and wood quality features, such as stem straightness, lack of forks, and fine branching (Pâques 2013), but recently the focus has shifted to tolerance to the devastating ash dieback disease (Vasaitis & Enderle 2017). Douglas-fir is appreciated mostly for structural timber. First selections across European breeding programs were made with growth and general tree architecture being the most important traits, to obtain important volumes with as little defaults as possible in order to keep its good baseline mechanical properties

Tab. 1 - Main features of the European forest tree breeding programmes reviewed. SYEAR: starting year; GEN: the most advanced generation with selected trees; TOTHA: total accumulated trial area (ha); AVGHA: Average trial area established per year (ha).

Tree species	Country	SYEAR	GEN	TOTHA	AVGHA
Maritime pine	France	1960	3	400-600	6-10
	Spain-Galicia	1998	1	10	1.5
	Spain-Central	1990	1	100	3
Stone pine	Spain	1989	1	20	<1
Scots pine	Finland	1947	2	2250	3
	Sweden	1940-1950	3	900	10-12
	Norway	1947, 2020	1	10	5
Poplar	Italy	1980	2	280-330	8-10
Norway spruce	Finland	1947	2	420	8
	Sweden	1940-1950	2	800	10
	Norway	1947	2	225	8
Eucalyptus	Portugal	1964	3	250	2
Ash	Netherlands	1960-1970	1	9	0
	France	1985	1	45	1
Douglas-fir	France	1985	2	200	4

(Bastien et al. 2013).

Breeding goals have frequently evolved over time. Early in the breeding programme of maritime pine, plus trees were selected for growth and stem straightness. While these traits remain essential selection criteria, wood density, branch quality, and twisting-rust resistance were later added to the list of selection criteria. A similar trend has occurred in Douglas-fir breeding in France, which currently prioritises phenology, architecture, branching patterns, and wood density over growth (Bastien et al. 2021). In Nordic breeding programmes of Norway spruce and Scots pine, increased adaptive performance and phenotypic plasticity across environments (Danell 1993, Skrøppa & Steffenrem 2021) are now given more attention.

Resistance and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses are frequently associated with potential breeding goals related to wood production. Resistance to pathogens and pests includes root rot (*Heterobasidion* spp.) in Norway spruce (Swedjemark & Karlsson 2004, Steffenrem et al. 2016, Chen et al. 2018), *Fusarium circinatum* and nematode in maritime pine (Alia et al. 2022), *Phoracantha semipunctata*, *Gonipterus platensis* and fungi from genus *Mycosphaerella* in eucalyptus (Leal et al. 2022), ash dieback in ash (Muñoz et al. 2016), *Phloeomyzus passerinini*, *Melampsora* spp., and *Marsonnia brunnea* in poplar (Duplessis et al. 2011, Carletti et al. 2016, Gennaro & Giorcelli 2019, Chen et al. 2020).

Drought tolerance is a major breeding target in eucalyptus to increase its resilience (Leal et al. 2022), but there is growing interest in breeding for this trait in other species such as maritime pine (Papin et al. 2024), poplar (Rosso et al. 2023) and Norway spruce (Hayatgheibi et al. 2021). The lack of practical and effective methods for phenotyping is currently considered as a major bottleneck for the integration of abiotic stress resilience traits in breeding programmes.

Breeding strategies

Tree breeding customarily starts with the selection of phenotypically superior trees in natural stands as candidates. Following that, breeding advances to (i) the genetic evaluation by means of progeny testing; (ii) the selection of superior candidates for their breeding value; and (iii) the production of the next-generation recruitment population using the selected candidates as parents. At this stage, the top candidates are also deployed as seed orchard parents or in vegetative mass propagation. Beyond these general features, the precise format in which any breeding programme is carried out varies greatly (Pâques 2013). The structuring of breeding materials, for instance, may vary from a single to multiple populations. Such population division could be motivated by the need to cover diversifying breeding goals, for conservation purposes, or the ability to provide un-

related parents to production populations (Hallingback et al. 2014). In regions such as northern Europe, where there is a wide range of climatic conditions, breeding materials are organised into smaller subpopulations, each of which is bred for a specific climate. Sometimes these subpopulations may have different testing and selection strategies, as in the case of Scots pine breeding in Sweden (Haapanen & Persson 2022).

Phenotypic data gathered from progeny tests and pedigree information forms the basis of selection among candidates. Mixed-model methodology is now commonly used to produce robust BLUP (Best Linear Unbiased Prediction) calculations that take into account the information from a large number of trials connected through tree relatedness (Bouffier et al. 2016) or accommodate spatial adjustments of environmental heterogeneity (Cappa et al. 2019) for the general improvement of selection accuracy. Sometimes, selection happens at subsequent steps for operational reasons. This is the case of Norway spruce, where preliminary selection for the most promising candidates in the field may come at an age of around 7 years before the genetic testing in the field is finalised at ages higher than 12 (Steffenrem & Helmersson 2022). Considering poplar, selection is also done in multiple stages, with distinct emphasis placed on different sets of traits at each stage. The candidates selected at each stage are cloned and evaluated more precisely based on data from many genetically identical copies in the subsequent steps (Stanton et al. 2010, Pegard et al. 2020). Vegetative propagation (rooted cuttings) is also employed for field testing of Norway spruce on multiple sites in Sweden, Norway, and Finland to improve the accuracy of genetic evaluation (Karlsson & Rosvall 1993, Stejskal et al. 2022) and to get more information about the phenotypic plasticity of candidates (Karlsson et al. 2001, Steffenrem & Helmersson 2022).

Breeding programmes use different methods to develop new recruitment populations depending on their biological and financial constraints. Most programmes rely on bi-parental crosses, but polycrosses (Bouffier et al. 2019) and open pollination, followed by paternity recovery or relationship analysis from genomic data can also be used. The time required for trees to reach reproductive maturity as well as uneven cone setting are considered major impediments to making fast breeding progress in species like Norway spruce and Scots pine (Haapanen & Persson 2022, Steffenrem & Helmersson 2022).

In the breeding programmes under consideration, the use of DNA markers has been confined to quality control (recognition of the real genotypic identity of trees – Archambeau et al. 2023). Several pilot studies are underway, however, to use DNA markers to enhance selection within a marker assisted selection or genomic selec-

tion scheme for some of the species considered here. These are pilot studies because the schemes are implemented on a reduced scale, involving a relatively small part of the whole breeding population and testing networks. Some of the most advanced cases are those in Norway spruce (Chen et al. 2019, 2023), maritime pine (Isik et al. 2016), poplar (Pegard et al. 2020, Bisselli et al. 2022a) and eucalyptus (Haristoy et al. 2023). In other species, like in ash, ongoing research aims at developing DNA markers for species and genotype recognition (Dowkiw A., pers. comm.) and ash dieback resistance (Stocks et al. 2019, Chaudhary et al. 2020), or in *Pinus pinea* to test the application of genomic prediction (Olsson et al. 2023).

Deployment of improved FRM

The impact of tree breeding is determined by the scale at which improved FRM is used in forest regeneration. Tab. 2 summarises the types of FRM used as well as the magnitude of the current deployment by species and countries, showing that genetically improved FRM represents a significant portion of the materials accessible in Europe today.

Improved FRM is produced by means of vegetative and sexual propagation. Seedlings produced from open-pollinated seed orchards are the dominant type of FRM since they are cheaper to produce than vegetatively propagated plants. Nurseries use seed from seed orchards to grow seedlings, but in some countries, orchard-reproduced seeds are also directly used for regeneration (for the direct seeding of Scots pine, see Haapanen & Persson 2022). Seed orchards fall into two categories: clonal seed orchards, which consist of grafted propagules of multiple (often more than 20) selected trees, and seedling seed orchards, which consist of offspring (families) of selected parents. Seedling seed orchards have been established in Norway spruce, Scots pine, maritime pine, and eucalyptus.

Clonal plants are currently produced by means of rooted shoot cuttings (eucalyptus and poplar), rooted cuttings (Norway spruce), grafting (stone pine, Douglas-fir), or somatic tissues multiplied and manipulated *in vitro* (eucalyptus). In eucalyptus, the main limitation of vegetative propagation is the rooting ability, which greatly varies among eucalyptus species and genotypes, with reproduction by means of seed orchards being the only option for propagating rooting recalcitrant genotypes (Leal et al. 2022). Production facilities for somatic embryogenesis plants of Norway spruce are under implementation in Sweden and Finland.

Being generally based on more intensively selected material, clonal plants have the potential to be more productive than orchard-reproduced seedlings. The vegetatively propagated materials are usually progenies from intra-specific crosses or, as

Tab. 2 - FRM and their current deployment European countries.

Tree species	Country	Type of FRM	Seedling/clonal plants produced per year	Share of improved FRM
Maritime pine	France	Seedlings	37M	>95%
	Spain - Galicia	Seedlings	20K	<5%
	Spain - Central	Seedlings	0.5M	<15%
Stone pine	Spain	Clonal plants	<1K	<1%
	Portugal	Clonal plants	>10K	<5%
Scots pine	Finland	Seedlings / seeds	40M (seedlings)	96%
	Sweden	Seedlings	236M	98%
	Norway	Seedlings	2M	99%
Poplar	Italy	Clonal plants	140K-180K	10-15%
	France	Clonal plants	900K	40-50%
Norway spruce	Finland	Seedlings	75M	70%
	Sweden	Seedlings	197M	71%
	Norway	Seedlings	50M	95%
Ash	Netherlands	Seedlings	< 4K	73%
Eucalyptus	Portugal	Clonal plants / seedlings	16 M	58%
Douglas-fir	France	Seedlings/seeds	12M (seedlings)	100%

is the case for poplar (*P. deltoides* × *P. nigra*), interspecific crosses (Biselli et al. 2022b). Controlled-crossed materials are free of the high quantities of pollen contamination that can cause significant genetic losses in open-pollinated seed orchards (Heuchel et al. 2022). As a further advantage, vegetative propagation avoids the delay in the onset of seed production after selection that we experience with seed orchards.

The constraints in FRM production are often related to production capacity, and adjusting them takes some time, especially in the case of conifer seed orchards, which show at least an 8- to 10-year lag from the establishment to the first harvest. Although occasional seed shortages could be avoided by increasing production capacity with regular turnover, this involves substantial investment that is rarely compensated by moderately priced seed sales.

Drivers of evolution in tree breeding

Technological progress

Phenotyping tools

The collection of large quantities of phenotypic data on an increasing range of traits and environments for genetic evaluation is both expensive and time-consuming. In addition, the reality and prospects of climate change have also given rise to the need to set up assessments in contrasting environments or complete gradients, in order to measure the plasticity and resilience of FRM, which in turn generates new phenotyping needs. Given the financial constraints of breeding, there is a need for more effective phenotyping methods that can increase the number of trees as-

essed, enabling more intense selection and higher genetic gain. It is difficult to summarise the diverse technological advancements impacting phenotyping, but most of them fall into two categories: (i) robotic vehicles, which are the platforms for automated sensing assessments; (ii) technologies providing faster proxies for traditional assessments.

Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) are the most prominent examples of the first category and are among the most promising pieces of equipment for phenotyping since they may be used for high-resolution aerial photography (3D photogrammetry) or light detection and ranging scanning (LiDAR). These techniques may be used to assess cone and pollen production in seed orchards or clonal archives. In field trials, they are now replacing telescopic poles or Vertex for measuring tree heights in many situations, particularly after canopy closure in stands taller than 10-12 metres. This approach is now being evaluated in maritime pine and is being evaluated and implemented in Norway spruce (Solvin et al. 2020) and Scots pine (Skogfrøverket 2021). In poplar, UAV-based thermal imaging was used to assess genotype variability under drought stress conditions, with promising results (Ludovisi et al. 2017). Currently, an UAV has been used, in conjunction with a UAV-born sawing system, to gather twigs (for grafting), needles (for DNA extraction), and cone samples from Norway spruce and Scots pine trees in Norway (Skogfrøverket 2021). LiDAR has rapidly become a way to gather information on tree attributes that are challenging to assess using conventional methods, like stem form, tree crown volume, and leaf area index, although the efforts needed for data processing are still limiting its application in

breeding. The use of image-based phenotyping could have been even more disruptive, as reviewed by Bian et al. (2022): it provides a means to precisely quantify complex traits that were only scored rudimentarily or even not considered until now.

The technological advancements providing faster proxies can be illustrated by two examples revealing the many technical revolutions to come: the resistograph tool and the use of Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS). The resistograph is a device initially designed for structural assessments on wooden constructions, which can easily replace in the field the X-ray measurements based on increment cores in most species. It evaluates wood density precisely and quickly, while simultaneously providing information on annual radial growth (Isik & Li 2003, Bouffier et al. 2008, Fundova et al. 2018, Jacquin et al. 2019). Although many other non-destructive evaluation methodologies for wood properties have been reviewed by Schimleck et al. (2019), the use of resistograph appears to be the most appropriate for quickly evaluating a large number of trees and is now largely used by forest tree breeders. The second example is based on the use of NIRS which is widely used for phenotyping in plants and animals (Alamu et al. 2021, Bresolin & Dórea 2020). NIRS has been applied in forest trees for evaluation of wood lignin properties, wood density, as well as other wood properties such as extractives (Alves et al. 2020, Schimleck et al. 1999, Simões et al. 2022) or even for forecasting the susceptibility of ash to biotic stressors like chalarra (Villari et al. 2018). The term “phenomic selection” was coined by Rincent et al. (2018) to describe the use of NIRS as a high-throughput, inexpensive, and non-destructive tech-

nology to indirectly record endophenotypic variations and calculate relationship matrices in *Populus nigra*. This method provides intriguing new insights into characterising trees in various environments, enhancing selection and exploiting greater genetic diversity. Phenomic selection proof-of-concepts must be developed to better assess its potential for breeding in other forest tree species besides poplar.

Genotyping tools

SNP arrays are generally preferred over genotyping-by-sequencing techniques for high-throughput genotyping in breeding because of their excellent repeatability and simpler raw data processing, but the investments required for their design limit their development. Furthermore, they can be biased towards the specific germplasm used in the SNP discovery step (Barabaschi et al. 2016). However, they have been widely used in plant genetic applications, even for forest trees (Tab. 3).

In particular, as a result of the B4EST initiative, a commercial multi-species 4TREE array was designed with 50K SNPs for *Populus* sp., *Fraxinus* sp., *Pinus pinaster*, and *Pinus pinea* (Archambeau et al. 2023, Guilbaud et al. 2020). Two other arrays were also generated within the same project: one for Scots pine (Kastally et al. 2021) and one for Norway spruce (Bernhardsson et al. 2020). All these genotyping tools must be associated with genotyping platforms that ensure an efficient and robust protocol for sample collection, DNA extraction, genotyping, and biobank storage, as developed in Nordic countries for Scots pine, for example.

Molecular markers are particularly useful for genotypic identification and pedigree correction. This means eliminating labelling and grafting errors, and guaranteeing that the correct genotypes are utilised for controlled crossings, clonal archives, and seed orchards. In France, for instance, a low-density SNP array (62 markers – Vidal et al. 2017) was optimised for identity and par-

entage analyses in maritime pine. The results showed that about 10% of the grafted plants in clonal archives were affected by pedigree errors. Each new selected tree is now genotyped for identity control before grafting to clonal archives. Furthermore, pedigree corrections enable a more precise BLUP evaluation and higher genetic gains, although the widespread adoption of molecular markers for this purpose is still in its early stages (Vidal et al. 2017, Klápšte et al. 2022).

The use of DNA markers to reconstruct pedigrees allows for less costly breeding strategies. One such potential strategy is to replace bi-parental controlled crosses with polymix breeding followed by pedigree reconstruction (Isik 2014, Lambeth et al. 2001). The main advantage of this strategy is its ability to generate a high number of families with a low number of pollination operations, making it particularly attractive for breeding programmes with limited resources to implement conventional breeding schemes. It has been evaluated in the context of the French maritime pine breeding programme (Bouffier et al. 2019) and more recently with attention to the cost of different breeding operations (B4EST deliverable D5.2). Two analogous strategies, known as “Breeding without Breeding” (BwB – El-Kassaby & Lstiburek 2009) and “quasi-field trial” have been successfully tested in the breeding of European larch (*Larix decidua* – Lstiburek et al. 2020) and Nordmann fir (*Abies Nordmanniana* – Hansen & MacKinney 2010), respectively. In these cases, the concept was proven when planted stands were treated as *ad-hoc* progeny trials using DNA markers to fingerprint and reconstruct the pedigree of a population and candidates for phenotypic forward selection. The basic concept is to skip the initial steps of plus-tree selection in wild stands and establishment of progeny trials, and instead conduct the initial selections in commercial stands that have been created using bulked seedlots from breeding arboretums, plus-tree selec-

tions, or seed orchards. BwB is now being considered in breeding of Scots pine and Norway spruce in Norway, where stands are phenotyped using LiDAR scanning from drones, and a sample of top candidates and randomly selected trees is genotyped to ascertain their pedigree. A genomic relationship matrix is estimated from genetic markers and then used for breeding value prediction (El-Kassaby et al. 2012, Lstiburek et al. 2015, 2017a, 2017b, Steffenrem & Helmersson 2022).

High-throughput genotyping enables the quantification of genomic relatedness between trees in a continuous and quantitative manner. This advancement allows for the substitution of pedigree-based relatedness matrices with their genomic counterparts in solving mixed model equations used for genetic evaluation, leading to superior accuracy of BLUP for breeding values (Hayes et al. 2009), a method referred to as G-BLUP. Considering that many breeding programmes have generations or cohorts of individuals in the pedigree that cannot be genotyped, a hybrid matrix called H-BLUP has been developed (Legarra et al. 2014). H-BLUP combines genomic and pedigree relatedness information, offering significant benefits in evaluation accuracy by integrating maximum genetic information without incurring additional genotyping costs. In the near future, both G-BLUP and H-BLUP versions are expected to be widely adopted across various species as straightforward and effective approaches to implementing genomic selection (Scots pine, maritime pine, and Norway spruce).

Genomic selection stands out as one of the most revolutionary applications of molecular markers in breeding, particularly in perennial species faced with the significant expenses and time delays associated with traditional evaluation methods (Grattapaglia 2022). Although its routine implementation is not widespread across most species at present, it is highly plausible that it will emerge as a viable and valuable option

Tab. 3 - High-throughput genotyping tools available per tree species.

Tree species	Genotyping tool	Markers	Reference
Scots pine	Thermo Fisher Axiom PiSy50k array	50K SNPs	Kastally et al. 2021
Norway spruce	Thermo Fisher Axiom Pcab50K array	50K SNPs	Bernhardsson et al. 2020
Poplar	Illumina ISelect Infinium	34K SNPs	Geraldes et al. 2013
	Infinium	12K SNPs	Faivre-Rampant et al. 2016
	Thermo Fisher Axiom 4TREE array	12K SNP	Guilbaud et al. 2020
Maritime pine	Illumina Infinium	12K SNPs	Chancerel et al. 2013
	Illumina Infinium	9K SNPs	Plomion et al. 2016
	Thermo Fisher Axiom 4TREE array	12.5K SNPs	Guilbaud et al. 2020
Stone pine	Thermo Fisher Axiom 4TREE array	5.7K SNPs	Olsson et al. 2023
Eucalyptus	Thermo Fisher Axiom Euc72K	14.7K SNPs	Haristoy et al. 2023
Ash	Thermo Fisher Axiom 4TREE array	13.4K SNPs	Guilbaud et al. 2020
Douglas-fir	Thermo Fisher Axiom PN550607	50K SNPs	Howe et al. 2020

for numerous forest breeding programmes in the near future. The most promising applications of genomic selection lie in its potential for evaluation of costly traits, such as those concerning wood quality or drought tolerance, and for traits that are challenging to assess directly, such as resistance to emerging diseases in the context of climate change. Genomic selection has the potential to increase selection intensity, minimise phenotyping costs, and include novel traits in breeding. It may also be used for early selection to speed up the breeding cycle, although this appears difficult in many conifers, which reach sexual maturity rather late (Meuwissen et al. 2001, Wong & Bernardo 2008).

Data analytics

An important issue in long-term tree breeding is the need to maintain high levels of genetic variability for future breeding while maximising the short-term response to selection (Archambeau et al. 2023). Such levels of genetic variability over the long term may prove essential in the current context of substantial environmental change to ensure a minimum evolutionary potential in the face of unplanned pressures other than those generated by genetic gain. Finding the optimal balance of gain and diversity becomes difficult when the pool of selection candidates consists of related individuals from two or more generations, as is usual in all advanced-generation breeding programmes. Effective new tools, for example, based on the theory of genetic contributions and their optimization over one generation, such as OPSEL (Mullin 2017a) and XDESIGN (Mullin 2017b), have been developed and made available to breeders to carry out what is known as optimum contribution selection (OCS) or to optimise mating regimes. Other recent developments have gone further by proposing derivations of OCS with improved long-term performance (Tiret et al. 2021).

High-throughput phenotyping, dense genotyping, and characterising the diverse experimental environments generate an immense volume of sometimes highly heterogeneous data. Dealing with such volumes and heterogeneity requires novel analytical approaches that prioritise integrating different layers of information to extract meaningful signals from noise. Platforms like R (R Core Team 2024) have played a crucial role in enabling the development and accessibility of numerous innovative and continuously evolving tools, which have undergone testing and improvement by a rapidly growing user community. Numerous examples exist, although not all can be cited here. One noteworthy tool tailored to the needs of forestry field experiments is breedR (Muñoz 2024) which leverages mixed models and incorporates modules for spatial statistics, interaction between trees, and genomic selection (Cappa et al. 2017, Trebissou et al. 2021, Yasuda et al. 2021).

The characterization of the environment in field experiments is becoming increasingly important in forestry studies. We have moved from a situation where environmental heterogeneity was absorbed analytically in order to work with average yields, to placing the environmental gradient at the centre of genetic evaluation, which is undoubtedly necessary in the context of climate change (De la Mata & Zas 2023). The aforementioned spatial statistical analyses, included in breedR, are widely used now in genetic trials to account for stand-level environmental variation (Belaber et al. 2019, Cappa & Cantet 2007). The environment is a major explanatory factor when constructing and explaining the plastic reaction functions to the changes that the tree undergoes, in what is known as reaction norm. Random regression has been widely used in animal genetics, for example, in dairy cattle to model the evolution of lactation with age. However, this now classical but promising methodology has been less used in perennial plants, even though these are the organisms that present the greatest advantages in terms of characterization of the environment given their immobility. Random regression modelling has been recently investigated for predicting tree growth norms of reaction over environmental gradients in a pedigreed population (Marchal et al. 2019). It is now being used in maritime pine breeding to assess genomic norms of reaction over a water balance index gradient using annual growth data obtained from annual rings (Papin et al. 2024). However, despite the promise of these norms of reaction construction techniques, there are still many aspects to be clarified regarding their routine use in breeding programs, given that their use represents a real paradigm shift between classical traits and the newer plasticity functions.

Tools for vegetative propagation and seed production

Mass vegetative propagation techniques greatly facilitate the dissemination of improved material through the use of large-scale clonal varieties and the setting up of clonal experiments that can greatly improve the accuracy of genetic evaluation. Somatic embryogenesis (SE) has emerged as a viable option in some species (stone pine, Norway spruce, eucalyptus, and Scots pine – see review Lelu-Walter et al. 2013, Egertsdotter 2019). However, to make embryogenic plants competitive with seedlings, the SE operations must be further automated in order to reduce costs. An alternative is the development of vegetative propagation through cuttings, as it is being considered for maritime pine in Spain for deployment in the most productive areas or for *Eucalyptus globulus* in Portugal, but the fundamental issue is to develop a process that overcomes the limitations of poor rooting and high costs.

Seed production can be optimised

through the development of techniques to accelerate flowering. It is under consideration in Scots pine and Norway spruce, as performing controlled crosses on selected individuals is typically the most time-consuming step of the breeding cycle. Various methods have been tested to promote flowering in these species, but the most promising ones include top-grafting onto sexually mature inter-stocks, gibberellin, heat and light treatments in greenhouses, and various damage-causing treatments applied to grafts (Eriksson et al. 1998, Johnsen et al. 1994).

Optimal seed production in orchards faces several difficulties for most forest tree species. The first is the heterogeneous parental contribution and pollen contamination from unimproved surrounding stands when seed orchards are managed through open pollination. It can induce heavy losses in the genetic value of the crop (Bouffier et al. 2023). The second is the increasing damage due to biotic and abiotic factors, which contribute to a drastically lower seed yield. For example, maritime pine seed orchard productivity has plummeted since 2009-2010 (Boivin & Davi 2016), probably due to a combination of seed bug attacks (*Leptoglossus occidentalis*) and climatic factors (spring frost, summer drought). Similarly, in Norway spruce seed orchards, cones and seed infections cause significant losses in cone harvests (Rosenberg et al. 2012). Various solutions are being examined, including chemical treatments and more intense management for seed production in a controlled greenhouse environment with mass pollination. In Norway spruce, the treatments proposed include the removal of *Prunus* species (known to be the primary hosts of cherry-spruce rust) in the proximity of orchards (Kaitera et al. 2021), and the removal of redundant cones (Almqvist & Wennström 2020). In *Eucalyptus globulus*, evolution of controlled pollination techniques has enabled the development of cost-efficient mass controlled pollination programmes to produce improved eucalyptus full-sibs (Harbard et al. 1999). In Portugal, at Altri Florestal, controlled pollination has been carried out since 1984-1985. Initially, ten thousand flowers were pollinated, but since 2012, more than half a million flowers are pollinated every year through a simplified pollination technique where only two visits are needed (one for the controlled pollination and the other to collect the fruits that have been hand pollinated).

Transgenesis and new genomic techniques

Transgenic technologies in forest trees have been studied for decades (Van Frankenhuyzen & Beardmore 2004, Yin et al. 2021), but they have not entered operational breeding. The primary concerns revolve around the environmental and conservation risks linked to the spread of the transgene into natural gene pools (Strauss

et al. 2015). This dissemination might be more likely to occur within forest tree species, given the typically minimal genetic distinction between improved tree varieties and wild populations. Transgenic trees are specifically banned in PEFC and FSC certifications.

On the other hand, applications of biotechnology to non-food crops such as forest trees may be seen as more acceptable by the public than applications to food crops. The risk of transgene introduction into wild gene pools can be limited by containment strategies based on modified expression of floral regulatory genes leading to sterility or to the development of flowers impaired for the production of pollen or viable seeds (Klocko et al. 2018, Lu et al. 2019). In addition, in particular cultivation practises such as, for instance, poplar short-rotation coppices for energy use, the risk of gene flow is reduced as plants are harvested before reaching the flowering stage.

In the last ten years, the introduction of the New Genomic Techniques (NGT), comprising genome editing and cisgenesis, has opened new possibilities for the genetic improvement of forest trees. These techniques allow targeted mutagenesis, or the introduction of genes from sexually compatible donors to selected genotypes with minimal unwanted (off-target) modifications of the receiving genetic background. Although, NGTs are currently classified as GMOs following a ruling by the European Court of Justice in 2018, the European Commission (2023) has come up with a proposal to treat plants obtained by targeted mutagenesis or cisgenesis that could also occur naturally or be produced by conventional breeding, similarly to conventional plants. Genome editing has been successfully applied in poplar for the modification of traits related to wood quality and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Min et al. 2022). However, the realisation of “clean” genome editing in trees is still challenging because the molecular components necessary for mutagenesis cannot be eliminated by crossing as easily as from annual crops. Several strategies have been proposed to overcome the problem, but since all of them have drawbacks or display low efficiency, further research on this topic is needed (Goraloglia et al. 2021). The pattern of inheritance of traits of economic importance is another explanation for the low interest in these technologies. Most of these traits have a quantitative genetic basis, which means they are regulated by numerous genes, each having a minor impact on the trait, whereas genetic engineering methods are mostly suited to traits with relatively simple inheritance (Van Tassel et al. 2021). However, genome editing technologies targeting multiple genes are under development (Wang et al. 2018). Furthermore, functional genomics studies have led to the characterization of several genes that have a profound impact on use-

ful traits, such as drought tolerance (Rosso et al. 2023). A limitation of these studies is that, in most cases, they were confined to the lab and greenhouse, which was partially due to legislative constraints in Europe. These constraints, however, may be removed in the near future with the evolution of European legislation. A step in this direction was taken in Italy in summer 2023, when the national Parliament pronounced favourably on the possibility of field trials for plants obtained by NGTs.

Climate change

Climate change is expected to reduce forest production for most tree species due to drought and the expansion of insects and disease ranges. Trees will also be stressed by the increased occurrence of extreme weather events, such as cold and heat waves, windstorms, and floods (Jactel et al. 2019, Jandl et al. 2019, Subramanian et al. 2019, Albrich et al. 2020). However, in the boreal region, where tree species are mainly constrained by temperature, the expected lengthening of the growing season may increase productivity (Bergh et al. 2010). The increase in growth could be enhanced by FRM that is optimally adapted to the new conditions. A decision-support tool has been developed to help landowners in Sweden and Finland select the most productive FRMs for any site (Berlin et al. 2019). In the temperate and Mediterranean regions, drought stress reduces tree growth and increases mortality, reducing wood production (Rodríguez-Zaccaro & Groover 2019). Central Europe and the southern Nordic regions experienced extreme droughts in the early 2000s, when Norway spruce trees, especially those older than 40-50 years, showed poor drought tolerance (Rosner et al. 2014, 2016, Hentschel et al. 2014). More recently, the extremely hot and dry conditions in the summer of 2018 in Central Europe, followed by bark-beetle attacks, led to major forest diebacks, specifically in Norway spruce plantations in lowlands, a forest crisis that is considered a turning point for the forest sector in Germany (Schuldt et al. 2020, Roitsch et al. 2023). Even the growth of young trees was affected by drought, resulting in a significant genotype-by-environment interaction (Hayatgheibi et al. 2021).

Douglas-fir has often been cited as a replacement alternative to other conifer species that are showing early symptoms of maladaptation in Europe, such as the fore-cited Norway spruce (Vitali et al. 2018, Roitsch et al. 2023). Nevertheless, some authors indicate that Douglas-fir is also showing symptoms of stress under extreme water scarcity conditions in some regions of Europe (Vejpustková & Cihák 2019). In eucalyptus, drought and temperature increases are expected to be major causes of productivity decline in Portugal (Leal et al. 2022). In maritime pine and stone pine, drought stress also affects seed productiv-

ity, decreasing the yield in seed orchards (Mutke et al. 2007). In southern environments, drought is increasingly a problem for Scots and maritime pine (Navarro-Cerrillo et al. 2019, Gea-Izquierdo et al. 2019). In poplar, unfavourable changes in wood composition following a drought stress treatment have been reported (Wildhagen et al. 2018). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated recently that heat and drought stresses aggravate each other in poplar, leading to increased water loss by transpiration (Urban et al. 2017).

Although the initial eucalyptus plantings in Portugal had essentially no pests, the number of harmful fungi and insects has risen exponentially over time. The pine wood nematode (*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*), now prevalent in Portugal, infects maritime pine forests and plantations, causing pine wilt disease and ultimately the mortality of infected trees in a matter of weeks or months. Because there is no phytosanitary management for this pest, the nematode has become a major threat to maritime and radiata pine plantations in Spain and France. For ash, the ash dieback disease, caused by the invasive fungus *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*, is a relatively new disease, first observed in Poland in the 1990s. Since then, the fungus has spread rapidly over almost the entire natural range of ash in Europe, with a devastating impact on ash forests (Semizer-Cuming et al. 2019). New pests and diseases are likely to become new challenges for ash, such as the Emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*), which will require adapting the current breeding approaches to include more resistance traits. The difficulty of predicting the emergence and diffusion of pests is a major constraint (Gougherty & Davies 2021, Prasanna et al. 2022, Singh et al. 2023). For example, in the case of poplar hybrids, there is a risk of releasing improved clones that might not perform as expected because of insufficient resistance.

Climate change clearly mandates adjustments to conventional approaches to field-based tree breeding. The first is the requirement to evaluate breeding materials in a variety of conditions that can be warmer and drier than those prevailing in the current target climate. In maritime pine breeding, for example, some candidates selected in France are already being tested in genetic trials in Spain, and the number of Spanish trial locations is expected to increase. However, as maritime pine is predicted to spread to Northern France, several experiments with genetic material selected in the current breeding zone are being conducted in these potential future production areas. The Norway spruce breeding programmes in Sweden, Finland, and Norway use clonally propagated candidates, which are evaluated in field experiments established in multiple different environments. Such field experiments facilitate the evaluation of phenotypic plasticity and the stability of the candidates (Karl-

son & Högberg 1998). In poplar, some studies suggest that clones characterised by high productivity are generally less tolerant to abiotic stresses (Monclus et al. 2006, Attia et al. 2015, Viger et al. 2016). These studies also highlight that the high degree of genetic variability among poplar clones should be further explored by re-assessing, in different environments, clones that are already established for their productivity and are grown in specific areas.

In several studies of Norway spruce, it has been shown that adaptive performance is influenced by the reproductive environment (summarised in Johnsen et al. 2009). Higher temperatures during zygotic embryogenesis and seed maturation (Johnsen et al. 2005) modify the phenology of future plants, resulting in progenies that are suited to a longer growth season (Solvén & Steffenrem 2019), without known genetic selection on the way (Kvaalen & Johnsen 2008). Similar regulation has been found in other conifers, e.g. Scots pine (Dormling & Johnsen 1992), lodgepole pine (Wei et al. 2001), and the *Picea glauca* × *P. engelmannii* complex (Webber et al. 2005), as well as in poplar for agamic (cuttings) propagation material (Raj et al. 2011). This plasticity, which is often linked to epigenetic regulation of gene expression, can be utilised to speed up adaptation by moving seed orchards closer to the future climate or changing the temperature during zygotic embryogenesis.

The second predicted change in breeding activities of all the tree species is the introduction of new selection criteria connected to emerging biotic and abiotic stressors. Because breeding cannot respond quickly to environmental changes, it is vital to foresee which new stresses will be most severe and estimate the new selection criterion thresholds, such as minimum temperatures. Breeding programmes must also consider their adaptability to various scenarios. Some of the novel selection criteria may necessitate considerable investments, such as breeding for nematode tolerance in maritime pine, which has required the construction of a quarantine greenhouse in France. Investments in new traits may limit the resources available for work on existing breeding goals. On the other hand, delivering plant material with a sufficient level of tolerance to relevant pests, or abiotic stresses will ensure the long-term viability of profitable plantation forestry. For example, maritime pine silviculture in northwestern Iberia has ceased due to pine wood nematode predominance, and private spruce silviculture in the Central European lowlands has collapsed following large-scale diebacks.

Breeding programmes must seek out new sources of genetic variation to better meet new breeding objectives (Isabel et al. 2019, Biselli et al. 2022b). This might include increasing the size of current breeding populations, establishing new ones, for instance, those required for calibrating ge-

netic evaluation. This variation must also be managed in an explicit and objective manner, using genomic information, to ensure not only long-term genetic gain, but also the adaptive capacity of the system (Tiret et al. 2021). In maritime pine, the introduction of new genetic variation from non-local provenances is being examined, because, e.g., the Corsican provenance may be more tolerant to nematodes, but the Spanish, Portuguese, and Moroccan provenances appear to be more drought resilient. In eucalyptus, *E. globulus* can be crossed with other eucalyptus species that have a higher tolerance to drought and cold, or with a hybrid of *E. rudis* × *E. saligna* that is tolerant to the pest *Gonipterus platensis*. Also, when *Populus deltoides* and *P. nigra* were hybridised, new clones (*P. ×canadensis*) were produced that were more resistant to spring leaf and shoot blight (Gennaro & Giorcelli 2019).

Conclusions

Tree breeding and related research initiatives have demonstrated their ability to provide improvements in long-term wood production and associated services. However, owing to the length of time required for tree development, the expense of genetic testing, and issues with FRM manufacturing capacity, private investment in tree breeding has been limited. New technological opportunities related to genomics, phenotyping, and mass propagation help to alleviate these issues by reducing breeding costs, speeding the breeding process, and increasing the accuracy of genetic evaluations. They also allow for more accurate monitoring of genetic diversity and conservation for advanced breeding, which can benefit long-term genetic gains.

A disruptive effect on forest tree breeding cannot be achieved with a single technology but rather requires a combination of technologies. In addition to the desire for new methods, it is crucial to stress the significance of conventional breeding (e.g., field testing, crossing) and associated activities (*in situ* and *ex situ* conservation). Additionally, the large-scale diffusion of improved varieties will always be limited by the mass production of FRM. Therefore, investments in novel breeding techniques should be coordinated with those intended to enhance the efficiency of deployment.

Evolution in social needs and expectations is likely to influence tree breeding in various ways. Concerns have been expressed that planted stands and intense silvicultural practices make forests more vulnerable to diseases and extreme weather events. For instance, in Portugal, forest fires are commonly associated with the presence of large eucalyptus plantations. Although the issue is controversial (Fernandes et al. 2019), eucalyptus plantations on new lands have been banned since 2018. Conversely, other factors, such as the increasing public awareness of forest trees as carbon sinks and the creation of a car-

bon credit market (Van Kooten & Johnston 2016), are likely to raise the profile of managed forests and related activities, such as forest tree breeding. Finally, we may expect a rising demand for bioeconomy raw materials, including, e.g., food additives, building materials, and various pulp-derived goods (Hurmekoski et al. 2018). The need to respond to both market and environmental changes will require a re-assessment of breeding strategies and, possibly, new tree species or hybrids that are more tolerant to predicted climate change and better suited to delivering new types of end-products.

From an organisational point of view, tree breeding is becoming more complex, requiring new knowledge and advanced technologies, for which traditional breeders are not necessarily trained. This will demand new types of training and competence, as well as changes that promote greater mutualism among breeding parties, which is likely to gradually eradicate the traditional paradigm of one tree species, one breeder.

Strengthening collaboration among countries could also be a useful tool for tackling breeding challenges in the context of climate change and ensuring seed supply stability. Special attention must be paid to minor species with high ecological value but low economic importance, which rely on low-input breeding and limited funding. If new diseases and pests emerge due to climate change, these species will be even more vulnerable. European-wide collaboration, particularly during the pre-breeding phase, can increase the effectiveness of genetic improvement work in minor species by screening a wide range of germplasm for resistance and exchanging the best-performing genotypes for further breeding or seed orchard establishment.

Declarations

This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme 813 Project under grant agreement no 773383 (B4EST).

References

- Alamu EO, Nuwamanya E, Cornet D, Meghar K, Adesokan M, Tran T, Belalcazar J, Desfontaines L, Davrieux F (2021). Near-infrared spectroscopy applications for high-throughput phenotyping for cassava and yam: a review. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 56: 1491-1501. - doi: [10.1111/ijfs.14773](https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.14773)
- Albrich K, Rammer W, Seidl R (2020). Climate change causes critical transitions and irreversible alterations of mountain forests. *Global Change Biology* 26: 4013-4027. - doi: [10.1111/gcb.15118](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15118)
- Alia R, Mutke S, Lario F (2022). Maritime pine. Spain. In: "Breeding guidelines - transversal analysis". B4EST Project, Deliverable D3.5, Appendix, pp. 39-46. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal-analysis.pdf>
- Almqvist C, Wennström U (2020). Förädlad skog-

- sodlingsmaterial 2020-2064 [Improved forest regeneration material 2020-2064]. Arbetsrapport 1066, Skogforsk, Uppsala, Sweden. pp. 51.
- Alves A, Simões R, Lousada J, Lima-Brito J, Rodrigues J (2020). Predicting the lignin H/G ratio of *Pinus sylvestris* L. wood samples by PLS-R models based on near-infrared spectroscopy. *Holzforschung* 74 (7): 655-662. - doi: [10.1515/hf-2019-0186](https://doi.org/10.1515/hf-2019-0186)
- Archambeau J, Bianchi S, Buiteveld J, Callejas-Díaz M, Cavers S, Hallingbäck H, Kastally C, De Miguel M, Mutke S, Sánchez L, Whittet R, González-Martínez SC, Bastien C (2023). Managing forest genetic resources for an uncertain future: findings and perspectives from an international conference. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 19 (3): 271. - doi: [10.1007/s11295-023-01603-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-023-01603-z)
- Attia Z, Domec JC, Oren R, Way D, Moshelion M (2015). Growth and physiological responses of isohydric and anisohydric poplars to drought. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66: 4373-4381. - doi: [10.1093/jxb/erv195](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv195)
- Barabaschi D, Tondelli A, Desiderio F, Volante A, Vaccino P, Valè G, Cattivelli L (2016). Next generation breeding. *Plant Science* 242: 3-13. - doi: [10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.07.010)
- Bastien JC, Philippe G, Rousselle Y, Sánchez L, Chaumet M, Girard S (2021). Les variétés améliorées de douglas en France [Improved varieties of Douglas-fir in France]. *Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Forstwesen* 172 (2): 76-83. [in French] - doi: [10.3188/szf.2021.0076](https://doi.org/10.3188/szf.2021.0076)
- Bastien JC, Sánchez L, Michaud D (2013). Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco). In: "Forest tree breeding in Europe. Current state-of-the-art and perspectives" (Pâques LE ed). Springer, Dordrecht-Heidelberg-New York-London, pp. 325-372.
- Belaber EC, Gauchat ME, Rodríguez GH, Borralho NM, Cappa EP (2019). Estimation of genetic parameters using spatial analysis of *Pinus elliottii* Engelm. var. *elliottii* second-generation progeny trials in Argentina. *New Forests* 50: 605-627. - doi: [10.1007/s11056-018-9682-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-018-9682-0)
- Bergh J, Nilsson U, Kjartansson B, Karlsson M (2010). Impact of climate change on the productivity of silver birch, Norway spruce and Scots pine stands in Sweden and economic implications for timber production. *Ecological Bulletins* 53: 185-195. [online] URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41442030>
- Berlin M, Almqvist C, Haapanen M, Högberg K, Jansson G, Persson T, Ruotsalainen S (2019). Common Scots pine deployment recommendations for Sweden and Finland. Skogforsk Arbetsrapport, Uppsala, Sweden, pp. 1-64. [online] URL: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/333079197>
- Bernhardsson C, Zan Y, Chen Z, Ingvarsson P, Wu H (2020). Development of a highly efficient 50k single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping array for the large and complex genome of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L. Karst) by whole genome resequencing and its transferability to other spruce species. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 21 (3): 880-896. - doi: [10.1111/1755-0998.13292](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13292)
- B4EST (2024). Adaptive Breeding for Better Forests. Web site. [online] URL: <https://b4est.eu>
- Bian L, Zhang H, Ge Y, Cepel J, Stejskal J, El-Kassaby Y (2022). Closing the gap between phenotyping and genotyping: review of advanced, image-based phenotyping technologies in forestry. *Annals of Forest Science* 79: 22. - doi: [10.1186/s13595-022-01143-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13595-022-01143-x)
- Biselli C, Vietto L, Rosso L, Carra A, Cattivelli L, Nervo G, Fricano A (2022a). Poplar. In: "Breeding guidelines - transversal analysis". B4EST Project, Deliverable D3.5, Appendix, pp. 90-107. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal-analysis.pdf>
- Biselli C, Vietto L, Rosso L, Cattivelli L, Nervo G, Fricano A (2022b). Advanced breeding for biotic stress resistance in poplar. *Plants* 11: 2032. - doi: [10.3390/plants11152032](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11152032)
- Boivin T, Davi H (2016). Mission d'expertise sur la rarefaction des fructifications du pin maritime dans les Landes de Gascogne [Evaluation of seed production decline in the maritime pine stands of the Landes de Gascogne forest]. Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'Agroalimentaire et de la Forêt - INRAE Science & Impacts, Avignon, France, pp. 25. [online] URL: <http://hal.science/hal-01604210>
- Bouffier L (2022). Maritime pine. In: "Breeding guidelines - transversal analysis". B4EST Project, Deliverable D5.3, Appendix, pp. 47-57. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal-analysis.pdf>
- Bouffier L, Charlot C, Raffin A, Rozenberg P, Kremer A (2008). Can wood density be efficiently selected at early stage in maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.)? *Annals of Forest Science* 65 (1): 106. - doi: [10.1051/forest:2007078](https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2007078)
- Bouffier L, Debille S, Alazard P, Raffin A, Pastuszka P, Trontin JF (2023). Pollen contamination and mating structure in maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.) clonal seed orchards revealed by SNP markers. *Peer Community Journal* 3: e68. - doi: [10.1101/2022.09.27.509769](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.27.509769)
- Bouffier L, Klápšte J, Suontama M, Dungey HS, Mullin TJ (2019). Evaluation of forest tree breeding strategies based on partial pedigree reconstruction through simulations: *Pinus pinaster* and *Eucalyptus nitens* as case studies. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 49 (12): 1504-1515. - doi: [10.1139/cjfr-2019-0145](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2019-0145)
- Bouffier L, Raffin A, Dutkowski G (2016). Using pedigree and trait relationships to increase gain in the French maritime pine breeding program. In: IUFRO Conference "Forest Genetics for Productivity". Rotorua (New-Zealand) 14-18 March 2016, poster, p. 1. [online] URL: <http://hal.science/hal-02801580v1>
- Bresolin T, Dórea JRR (2020). Infrared spectrometry as a high-throughput phenotyping technology to predict complex traits in livestock systems. *Frontiers in Genetics* 11: 700. - doi: [10.3389/fgene.2020.00923](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00923)
- Cappa EP, Cantet RJC (2007). Bayesian estimation of a surface to account for a spatial trend using penalized splines in an individual-tree mixed model. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 37: 2677-2688. - doi: [10.1139/X07-116](https://doi.org/10.1139/X07-116)
- Cappa EP, El-Kassaby YA, Muñoz F, García MN, Villalba PV, Klápšte J, Marcucci Poltri SN (2017). Improving accuracy of breeding values by incorporating genomic information in spatial-competition mixed models. *Molecular Breeding* 37 (10): 743. - doi: [10.1007/s11032-017-0725-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-017-0725-6)
- Cappa EP, Muñoz F, Sánchez L (2019). Performance of alternative spatial models in empirical Douglas-fir and simulated datasets. *Annals of Forest Science* 76 (2): 716. - doi: [10.1007/s13595-019-0836-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-019-0836-9)
- Carletti G, Carra A, Allegro G, Vietto L, Desiderio F, Bagnaresi P, Gianinetti A, Cattivelli L, Valè G, Nervo G (2016). QTLs for woolly poplar aphid (*Phloeomyzus passerinii* L.) resistance detected in an inter-specific *Populus deltoides* x *P. nigra* mapping population. *PLoS One* 11: e0152569. - doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0152569](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152569)
- Chancerel E, Lamy J-B, Lesur I, Noirot C, Klopp C, Ehrenmann F, Boury C, Le Provost G, Label P, Lalanne C, Léger V, Salin F, Gion J-M, Plomion C (2013). High-density linkage mapping in a pine tree reveals a genomic region associated with inbreeding depression and provides clues to the extent and distribution of meiotic recombination. *BMC Biology* 11 (1): 40. - doi: [10.1186/1741-7007-11-50](https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-11-50)
- Chaudhary R, Rönneburg T, Aslund S, Lundén K, Durling MB, Ihrmark K, Menkis A, Stener L-G, Elfstrand M, Cleary M, Stenlid J (2020). Marker-trait associations for tolerance to ash dieback in common ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.). *Forests* 11: 1083. - doi: [10.3390/f11101083](https://doi.org/10.3390/f11101083)
- Chen Z-Q, Baison J, Pan J, Westin J, Gil MRG, Wu HX (2019). Increased prediction ability in Norway spruce trials using a marker x environment interaction and non-additive genomic selection model. *Journal of Heredity* 110 (7): 830-843. - doi: [10.1093/jhered/esz061](https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esz061)
- Chen Z-Q, Lunden K, Karlsson B, Vos I, Olson A, Lundqvist SO, Stenlid J, Wu HX, Gil MRG, Elfstrand M (2018). Early selection for resistance to *Heterobasidion parviporum* in Norway spruce is not likely to adversely affect growth and wood quality traits in late-age performance. *European Journal of Forest Research* 137 (4): 517-525. - doi: [10.1007/s10342-018-1120-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-018-1120-5)
- Chen S, Zhang Y, Zhao Y, Xu W, Li Y, Xie J, Zhang D (2020). Key genes and genetic interactions of plant-pathogen functional modules in poplar infected by *Marssonina brunnea*. *IS-MPMI* 33 (8): 1080-1090. - doi: [10.1094/MPMI-11-19-0325-R](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-11-19-0325-R)
- Chen Z-Q, Klingberg A, Hallingbäck HR, Wu HX (2023). Preselection of QTL markers enhances accuracy of genomic selection in Norway spruce. *BMC Genomics* 24: 147. - doi: [10.1186/s12864-023-09250-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-023-09250-3)
- Danell O (1993). Breeding programmes in Sweden. In: Proceedings of the "Nordic Group of Tree Breeding" (Lee SJ ed), supplementary volume. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, pp. 5.
- De la Mata R, Zas R (2023). Plasticity in growth is genetically variable and highly conserved across spatial scales in a Mediterranean pine. *New Phytologist* 240 (2): 542-554. - doi: [10.1111/nph.19158](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19158)
- De Oliveira Castro CA, Dos Santos GA, Takahashi EK, Pires Nunes AC, Souza GA, De Resende MDV (2021). Accelerating Eucalyptus breeding strategies through top grafting applied to young seedlings. *Industrial Crops and Products* 171: 113906. - doi: [10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113906](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113906)
- Dormling I, Johnsen O (1992). Effects of the parental environment on full-sib families of *Pinus sylvestris*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 22 (1): 88-100. - doi: [10.1139/x92-01](https://doi.org/10.1139/x92-01)

- Duplessis S, Cuomo CA, Lin YC, Aerts A, Tisserant E, Veneault-Fourrey C, Joly DL, Hacquard S, Amselem J, Cantarel BL, Chiu R, Coutinho PM, Feu N, Field M, Frey P, Gelhaye E, Goldberg J, Grabherr MG, Kodira CD, Kohler A, Kues U, Lindquist EA, Lucas SM, Mago R, Mauceli E, Morin E, Murat C, Pangilinan JL, Park R, Pearson M, Quesneville H, Rouhier N, Sakthikumar S, Salamov AA, Schmutz J, Selles B, Shapiro H, Tanguay P, Tuskan GA, Henrissat B, Van De Peer Y, Rouze P, Ellis JG, Dodds PN, Schein JE, Zhong S, Hamelin RC, Grigoriev IV, Szabo LJ, Martin F (2011). Obligate biotrophy features unraveled by the genomic analysis of rust fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 108 (22): 9166-9171. - doi: [10.1073/pnas.1019315108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019315108)
- Egertsdotter U (2019). Plant physiological and genetical aspects of the somatic embryogenesis process in conifers. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 34 (5): 360-369. - doi: [10.1080/02827581.2018.1441433](https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2018.1441433)
- El-Kassaby YA, Klapste J, Guy RD (2012). Breeding without breeding: selection using the genomic best linear unbiased predictor method (GBLUP). *New Forests* 43 (5-6): 631-637. - doi: [10.1007/s11056-012-9338-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-012-9338-4)
- El-Kassaby YA, Lstiburek M (2009). Breeding without breeding. *Genetics Research* 91 (2): 111-120. - doi: [10.1017/S001667230900007X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S001667230900007X)
- Eriksson U, Jansson G, Almqvist C (1998). Seed and pollen production after stem injections of gibberellin A(4/7) in field-grown seed orchards of *Pinus sylvestris*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 28 (3): 340-346. - doi: [10.1139/x97-219](https://doi.org/10.1139/x97-219)
- European Commission (2023). Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on plants obtained by certain new genomic techniques and their food and feed, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/625. COM/2023/411 final. [online] URL: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52023PC0411>
- Faivre-Rampant P, Zaina G, Jorge V, Giacomello S, Segura V, Scalabrini S, Guérin V, De Paoli E, Aluome C, Viger M, Cattonaro F, Payne A, PaulStephenRaj P, Le Paslier MC, Berard A, Allwright MR, Villar M, Taylor G, Bastien C, Morgante M (2016). New resources for genetic studies in *Populus nigra*: genome-wide SNP discovery and development of a 12k Infinium array. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 16 (4): 1023-1036. - doi: [10.1111/1755-0998.12513](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12513)
- FAO (2022). Global forest resources assessment 2020: main report. FAO, Rome, Italy, pp. 184. - doi: [10.4060/ca9825en](https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9825en)
- Fernandes P, Guiomar N, Rossa C (2019). Analysing eucalypt expansion in Portugal as a fire-regime modifier. *Science of The Total Environment* 666 (20): 79-88. - doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.237](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.237)
- Fugeray-Scarbel A, Irz X, Lemarié S (2023). Innovation in forest tree genetics: a comparative economic analysis in the European context. *Forest Policy and Economics* 155: 103030. - doi: [10.1016/j.forpol.2023.103030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2023.103030)
- Fundova I, Funda T, Wu H (2018). Non-destructive wood density assessment of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) using Resistograph and Pildodyn. *PLoS One* 13 (9): e0204518. - doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0204518](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204518)
- Gea-Izquierdo G, Ferriz M, García-Garrido S, Aguín O, Elvira-Recuenco M, Hernandez-Escribano L, Martin-Benito D, Raposo T (2019). Synergistic abiotic and biotic stressors explain widespread decline of *Pinus pinaster* in a mixed forest. *Science of the Total Environment* 685: 963-975. - doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.378](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.378)
- Gennaro M, Giorcelli A (2019). The biotic adversities of poplar in Italy: a reasoned analysis of factors determining the current state and future perspectives. *Annals of Silvicultural Research* 43: 41-51. - doi: [10.12899/asr-1817](https://doi.org/10.12899/asr-1817)
- Geraldes A, DiFazio SP, Slavov GT, Ranjan P, Mucherio W, Hannemann J, Gunter LE, Wymore AM, Grassa C, Farzaneh N, Porth I, McKown AD, Skyba O, Li E, Fujita M, Klápšte J, Martin J, Schackwitz W, Pennacchio C, Rokhsar D, Friedmann MC, Wasteneys GO, Guy RD, El-Kassaby YA, Mansfield SD, Cronk QCB, Ehlting J, Douglas CJ, Tuskan GA (2013). A 34K SNP genotyping array for *Populus trichocarpa*: design, application for the study of natural populations and transferability to other *Populus* species. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 13 (2): 306-323. - doi: [10.1111/1755-0998.12056](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12056)
- Goralogia GS, Redick TP, Strauss SH (2021). Gene editing in tree and clonal crops: progress and challenges. *In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology-Plant* 57: 683-699. - doi: [10.1007/s11627-021-10197-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-021-10197-x)
- Gougherty AV, Davies TJ (2021). Towards a phylogenetic ecology of plant pests and pathogens. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 376 (1837): 20200359. - doi: [10.1098/rstb.2020.0359](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2020.0359)
- Grattapaglia D (2022). Twelve years into genomic selection in forest trees: climbing the slope of enlightenment of marker assisted tree breeding. *Forests* 13 (10): 1554. - doi: [10.3390/f13101554](https://doi.org/10.3390/f13101554)
- Guilbaud R, Biselli C, Buiteveld J, Cattivelli L, Copini P, Dowkiw A, Esselink D, Fricano A, Guerin V, Jirge V, Kelly LJ, Kodde L, Metheringham CL, Pinosio S, Rogier O, Segura Spanu V I, Buggs RJA, González-Martínez SC, Nervo G, Smulders MJM, Sánchez Rodríguez L, Vendramin GG, Fauvre Rampant P (2020). Development of a new tool (4TREE) for adapted genome selection in European tree species. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference "Genetics to the Rescue: Managing Forests Sustainably in a Changing World"*. Avignon (France) 27-31 Jan 2020. [online] URL: <http://colloque.inrae.fr/conf/gentree2020/content/download/4428/57324/version/1/file/PosterGuilbaud.pdf>
- Haapanen M, Persson T (2022). Scots pine. In: "Breeding guidelines - transversal analysis". B4EST Project, Deliverable D3.5, Appendix, pp. 108-119. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal>
- Hallingback HR, Sánchez L, Wu HX (2014). Single versus subdivided population strategies in breeding against an adverse genetic correlation. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 10: 605-617. - doi: [10.1007/s11295-014-0707-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-014-0707-3)
- Hansen O, MacKinney L (2010). Establishment of a quasi-field trial in *Abies nordmanniana* - Test of a new approach to forest tree breeding. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 6: 345-355. - doi: [10.1007/s11295-009-0253-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-009-0253-6)
- Harbard JL, Griffin AR, Espejo J (1999). Mass controlled pollination of *Eucalyptus globulus*: a practical reality. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29 (10): 1457-1463. - doi: [10.1139/x99-129](https://doi.org/10.1139/x99-129)
- Haristoy G, Bouffier L, Fontes L, Leal L, Paiva J, Pina J-P, Gion J-M (2023). Genomic prediction in a multi-generation *Eucalyptus globulus* breeding population. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 19, 8. - doi: [10.1007/s11295-022-01579-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-022-01579-2)
- Hayatgheibi H, Haapanen M, Lundstromer J, Berlin M, Kärkkäinen K, Helmersson A (2021). The impact of drought stress on the height growth of young Norway spruce full-sib and half-sib clonal trials in Sweden and Finland. *Forests* 12 (4): 498. - doi: [10.3390/f12040498](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12040498)
- Hayes BJ, Visscher M, Goddard E (2009). Increased accuracy of artificial selection by using the realized relationship matrix. *Genetics Research* 91 (1): 47-60. - doi: [10.1017/S0016672308009981](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672308009981)
- Hentschel R, Rosner S, Kayler ZE, Andreassen K, Borja I, Solberg S, Tveito OE, Priesack E, Gessler A (2014). Norway spruce physiological and anatomical predisposition to dieback. *Forest Ecology and Management* 322: 27-36. - doi: [10.1016/j.foreco.2014.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.03.007)
- Heuchel A, Hall D, Zhao W, Gao J, Wennström U, Wang X (2022). Genetic diversity and background pollen contamination in Norway spruce and Scots pine seed orchard crops. *Forestry Research* 2: 8. - doi: [10.48130/FR-2022-0008](https://doi.org/10.48130/FR-2022-0008)
- Howe GT, Jayawickrama K, Kolpak SE, Kling J, Trappe M, Hipkins V, Ye T, Guida S, Cronn R, Cushman S, McEvoy S (2020). An axiom SNP genotyping array for Douglas-fir. *BMC Genomics* 21: 9. - doi: [10.1186/s12864-019-6383-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6383-9)
- Hurmekoski E, Jonsson R, Korhonen J, Jänis J, Mäkinen M, Leskinen P, Hetemäki L (2018). Diversification of the forest industries: role of new wood-based products. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 48 (12): 1417-1432. - doi: [10.1139/cjfr-2018-0116](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2018-0116)
- Isabel N, Holliday JA, Aitken SN (2019). Forest genomics: advancing climate adaptation, forest health, productivity, and conservation. *Evolutionary Applications* 13: 3-10. - doi: [10.1111/eva.12902](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12902)
- Isik F, Li B (2003). Rapid assessment of wood density of live trees using IML Resi for selection in tree improvement programs. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 33: 2426-2435. - doi: [10.1139/X03-176](https://doi.org/10.1139/X03-176)
- Isik F (2014). Genomic selection in forest tree breeding: the concept and an outlook to the future. *New Forests* 45 (3): 379-401. - doi: [10.1007/s11056-014-9422-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-014-9422-z)
- Isik F, Bartholomé J, Farjat A, Chancerel E, Raffin A, Sanchez L, Plamion C, Bouffier L (2016). Genomic selection in maritime pine. *Plant Science* 242: 108-119. - doi: [10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.08.006)
- Jacquin P, Mothe F, Longuetaud F, Billard A, Kerfriden B, Leban JM (2019). CarDen: a software for fast measurement of wood density on increment cores by CT scanning. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 156 (5): 606-617. - doi: [10.1016/j.compag.2018.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.12.008)
- Jactel H, Koricheva J, Castagneyrol B (2019). Responses of forest insect pests to climate change: not so simple. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 35: 103-108. - doi: [10.1016/j.cois.2019.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2019.07.010)

- Jandl R, Spathelf P, Bolte A, Prescott C (2019). Forest adaptation to climate change is non-management an option? *Annals of Forest Science* 76: 48. - doi: [10.1007/s13595-019-0827-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-019-0827-x)
- Jansson G, Hansen J, Haapanen M, Kvaalen H, Steffenrem A (2017). The genetic and economic gains from forest tree breeding programmes in Scandinavia and Finland, *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 32 (4): 273-286. - doi: [10.1080/02827581.2016.1242770](https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2016.1242770)
- Johnsen O, Haug G, Grönstad BS, Rognstad AT (1994). Effects of heat-treatment, timing of heat-treatment, and gibberellin a(4/7) on flowering in potted *Picea abies* grafts. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 9 (4): 333-340. - doi: [10.1080/02827589409382849](https://doi.org/10.1080/02827589409382849)
- Johnsen O, Fossdal CG, Nagy N, Mølmann J, Daehlen OG, Skråppa T (2005). Climatic adaptation in *Picea abies* progenies is affected by the temperature during zygotic embryogenesis and seed maturation. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 28 (9): 1090-1102. - doi: [10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01356.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01356.x)
- Johnsen O, Kvaalen H, Yakovlev IA, Daehlen OG, Fossdal CG, Skråppa T (2009). An epigenetic memory from time of embryo development affects climatic adaptation in Norway spruce. In: "Plant Cold Hardiness: From the Laboratory to the Field" (Gusta L, Wisniewski M, Tanino K eds). CAB International, Cambridge, UK, pp. 99-107.
- Kaitera J, Kaupila T, Hantula J (2021). Assessment of the potential of Norway-spruce-seed-orchard associated plants to serve as alternate hosts of *Thekopsora areolata*. *Silva Fennica* 55 (2): 10446. - doi: [10.14214/sf.10446](https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.10446)
- Karlsson B, Högborg KA (1998). Genotypic parameters and clone x site interaction in clone tests of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.). *Forest Genetics* 5 (1): 21-30.
- Karlsson B, Rosvall O (1993). Breeding Programmes in Sweden - Norway spruce. In: Proceedings of the "Nordic Group of Tree Breeding" (Lee SJ ed). Forestry Commission, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, pp. 184-210.
- Karlsson B, Wellendorf H, Roulund H, Werner M (2001). Genotype x trial interaction and stability across sites in 11 combined provenance and clone experiments with *Picea abies* in Denmark and Sweden. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 31 (10): 1826-1836. - doi: [10.1139/cjfr-31-10-1826](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-31-10-1826)
- Kastally C, Niskanen A, Perry A, Kujala S, Avia K, Cervantes S, Haapanen M, Kesälähti R, Kumpulainen TA, Mattila TM, Ojeda DI, Tyrmi JS, Wachowiak W, Cavers S, Kärkkäinen J, Savolainen O, Pyhäjärvi T (2021). Taming the massive genome of Scots pine with PiSysok, a new genotyping array for conifer research. *The Plant Journal* 109 (5): 1337-1350. - doi: [10.1111/tpj.15628](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15628)
- Klápšte J, Ismael A, Paget M, Graham N, Stovold G, Dungey H, Slavov G (2022). Genomics-enabled management of genetic resources in radiata pine. *Forests* 13: 282. - doi: [10.3390/f13020282](https://doi.org/10.3390/f13020282)
- Klocko AL, Lu H, Magnuson A, Brunner AM, Ma C, Strauss SH (2018). Phenotypic expression and stability in a large-scale field study of genetically engineered poplars containing sexual containment transgenes. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology* 6: 653. - doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2018.00100](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2018.00100)
- Kvaalen H, Johnsen O (2008). Timing of bud set in *Picea abies* is regulated by a memory of temperature during zygotic and somatic embryogenesis. *New Phytologist* 177 (1): 49-59. - doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02222.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02222.x)
- Lambeth C, Lee B-C, O'Malley D, Wheeler N (2001). Polymix breeding with parental analysis of progeny: an alternative to full-sib breeding and testing. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 103: 930-943. - doi: [10.1007/s001220100627](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220100627)
- Leal L, Fontes L, Grima-Pettenati J, Gion J-M (2022). Eucalyptus. In: "Breeding Guidelines - Transversal Analysis". B4EST Project, Deliverable 3.5, Appendix, pp. 29-38. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal-analysis.pdf>
- Legarra A, Christensen OF, Aguilar I, Misztal I (2014). Single Step, a general approach for genomic selection. *Livestock Science* 166: 54-65. - doi: [10.1016/j.livsci.2014.04.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2014.04.029)
- Lelu-Walter M-A, Thompson D, Harvengt L, Sanchez L, Toribio M, Pâques L (2013). Somatic embryogenesis in forestry with a focus on Europe: state-of-the-art, benefits, challenges and future direction. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 9: 883-899. - doi: [10.1007/s11295-013-0620-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-013-0620-1)
- Lstiburek M, Hodge GR, Lachout P (2015). Uncovering genetic information from commercial forest plantations making up for lost time using "Breeding without Breeding". *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 11 (3): 55. - doi: [10.1007/s11295-015-0881-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-015-0881-y)
- Lstiburek M, Bitner V, Hodge GR, Picek J, Mackay TFC (2017a). Estimating realized heritability in panmictic populations. *Genetics* 208 (1): 89-95. - doi: [10.1534/genetics.117.300508](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300508)
- Lstiburek M, El-Kassaby Y, Skråppa T, Hodge GR, Snsteb JH, Steffenrem A (2017b). Dynamic gene-resource landscape management of Norway spruce: combining utilization and conservation. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 1810. - doi: [10.3389/fpls.2017.01810](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01810)
- Lstiburek M, Schueler S, El-Kassaby YA, Hodge GR, Stejskal J, Korecky J, Konrad H, Geburek T (2020). *In situ* genetic evaluation of European larch across climatic regions using marker-based pedigree reconstruction. *Frontiers in Genetics* 11: 1645. - doi: [10.3389/fgene.2020.00028](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00028)
- Lu H, Klocko AL, Brunner AM, Ma C, Magnuson Howe A C, Xinmin A, Strauss SH (2019). RNA interference suppression of *AGAMOUS* and *SEED-STICK* alters floral organ identity and impairs floral organ determinacy, ovule differentiation, and seed-hair development in *Populus*. *New Phytologist* 222 (2): 923-937. - doi: [10.1111/nph.15648](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15648)
- Ludovisi RF, Tauro R, Salvati R, Khoury G, Scarascia-Mugnozza G, Harfouche A (2017). UAV-based thermal imaging for high-throughput field phenotyping of black poplar response to drought. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 1681. - doi: [10.3389/fpls.2017.01681](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01681)
- Marchal A, Schlichting CD, Gobin R, Balandier P, Millier F, Munoz F, Pâques LE, Sánchez L (2019). Deciphering hybrid larch reaction norms using random regression. *G3 Genes/Genomes/Genetics* 9 (1): 21-32. - doi: [10.1534/g3.118.200697](https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200697)
- Meuwissen THE, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME (2001). Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. *Genetics* 157: 1819-1829. - doi: [10.1093/genetics/157.4.1819](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/157.4.1819)
- Min T, Hwarari D, Li D, Movahedi A, Yang L (2022). CRISPR-based genome editing and its applications in woody plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23 (17): 10175. - doi: [10.3390/ijms231710175](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231710175)
- Monclus R, Dreyer E, Villar M, Delmotte FM, Delay D, Petit J-M, Barbaroux C, Le Thiec D, Bréchet C, Brignolas F (2006). Impact of drought on productivity and water use efficiency in 29 genotypes of *Populus deltoides* x *Populus nigra*. *New Phytologist* 169: 765-777. - doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01630.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01630.x)
- Mullin T (2017a). OPSEL 2.0: a computer programme for optimal selection in tree breeding. *Skogforsk Arbetsrapport* 954: 1-24.
- Mullin T (2017b). XDesign 1.0: a tool for generation of complex mating designs with optimal contributions. *Skogforsk Arbetsrapport* 956: 1-24.
- Muñoz F, Marçais B, Dufour J, Dowkiw A (2016). Rising out of the ashes: additive genetic variation for crown and collar resistance to *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* in *Fraxinus excelsior*. *Phytopathology* 106: 1535-1543. - doi: [10.1094/PHYTO-11-15-0284-R](https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-11-15-0284-R)
- Muñoz F (2024). breedR - Statistical methods for forest genetic resources analysts. User manual. [online] URL: <http://famuvie.github.io/breedR>
- Muona O, Harju A (1989). Effective population sizes, genetic variability, and mating system in natural stands and seed orchards of *Pinus sylvestris*. *Silvae Genetica* 38 (5-6): 221-228.
- Mutke S, Iglesias S, Gil L (2007). Selección de clones de pino piñonero sobresalientes en la producción de piña [Selection of Mediterranean stone pine clones for cone production]. *Investigación Agraria: Sistemas y Recursos Forestales* 16 (1): 39-51. [in Spanish] - doi: [10.5424/srf/2007161-00996](https://doi.org/10.5424/srf/2007161-00996)
- Mutke S, Fontes L, Alia R (2022). Mediterranean stone pine. In: "Breeding Guidelines - Transversal Analysis". B4EST Project, Deliverable 3.5, Appendix, pp. 58-69. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal-analysis.pdf>
- Navarro-Cerrillo RM, Sánchez-Salguero R, Camarero JJ (2019). Is thinning an alternative when trees could die in response to drought? The case of planted *Pinus nigra* and *P. sylvestris* stands in southern Spain. *Forest Ecology and Management* 433: 313-324. - doi: [10.1016/j.for-eco.2018.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.for-eco.2018.11.006)
- Olsson S, Dauphin B, Jorge V, Grivet D, Farsakoglou AM, Climent J, Alizoti P, Faivre-Rampant P, Pinosio S, Milesi P, Scalabrini S, Bagnoli F, Scotti I, Vendramin GG, Gonzalez-Martinez SC, Fady B, Aravanopoulos F, Bastien C, Alia R (2023). Diversity and enrichment of breeding material for resilience in European forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 530. - doi: [10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120748](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120748)
- Pan Y, Birdsey R, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi P, Kurz WA, Phillips OL, Shvidenko A, Lewis SL, Canadell JG, Ciais P, Jackson RB, Pacala SW, McGuire AD, Piao S, Rautiainen A, Sitth S, Hayes D (2011). A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* 333 (6045): 988-993. - doi: [10.1126/science.1201609](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201609)
- Papin V, Bosc A, Sanchez L, Bouffier L (2024). Integrating environmental gradients into breed-

- ing: application of genomic reactions norms in a perennial species. CSH bioRxiv [preprint]. - doi: [10.1101/2023.11.22.568058](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.22.568058)
- Pâques L (2013). Forest tree breeding in Europe: current state-of-the-art and perspectives. Series “Managing Forest Ecosystems” vol. 25, Springer, Dordrecht-Heidelberg-New York-London, pp. 527. - doi: [10.1007/978-94-007-6146-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6146-9)
- Pégard M, Segura V, Munoz F, Bastien C, Jorge V, Sánchez L (2020). Favorable conditions for genomic evaluation to outperform classical pedigree evaluation highlighted by a proof-of-concept study in poplar. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11: 1. - doi: [10.3389/fpls.2020.581954](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.581954)
- Plomion C, Bartholome J, Lesur I, Bourry C, Rodríguez-Quilón I, Lagravelle T, Ehrenmann F, Bouffier L, Gion J-M, Grivet D, De Miguel M, De María N, Cervera MT, Bagnoli F, Isik F, Vendramin GG, González-Martínez SC (2016). High-density SNP assay development for genetic analysis in maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*). *Molecular Ecology Resources* 16 (2): 574-587. - doi: [10.1111/1755-0998.12464](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12464)
- Prasanna BM, Carvajal-Yepes M, Kumar PL, Kawarazuka N, Liu Y, Mulema AA, McCutcheon S, Ibabao X (2022). Sustainable management of transboundary pests requires holistic and inclusive solutions. *Food Security* 14 (6): 1449-1457. - doi: [10.1007/s12571-022-01301-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-022-01301-z)
- Raj S, Bräutigam K, Hamanishi ET, Wilkins O, Thomas BR, Schroeder W, Campbell MM (2011). Clone history shapes *Populus* drought responses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 108 (30): 12521-12526. - doi: [10.1073/pnas.1103341108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103341108)
- Rincent R, Charpentier JP, Faivre-Rampant P, Paux E, Le Gouis J, Bastien C, Segura V (2018). Phenomic selection is a low-cost and high-throughput method based on indirect predictions: proof of concept on wheat and poplar. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 8 (12): 3961-3972. - doi: [10.1534/g3.118.200760](https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200760)
- Rodríguez-Zaccaro FD, Groover A (2019). Wood and water: how trees modify wood development to cope with drought. *Plants People Planet* 1: 346-355. - doi: [10.1002/ppp3.29](https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.29)
- Roitsch D, Abruscato S, Lovrić M, Lindner M, Orozco C, Winkel G (2023). Close-to-nature forestry and intensive forestry - Two response patterns of forestry professionals towards climate change adaptation. *Forest Policy and Economics* 154: 103035. - doi: [10.1016/j.forpol.2023.103035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2023.103035)
- Rosenberg O, Almqvist C, Westin J (2012). Systemic insecticide and gibberellin reduced cone damage and increased flowering in a spruce seed orchard. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 105 (3): 916-922. - doi: [10.1603/EC11388](https://doi.org/10.1603/EC11388)
- Rosner S, Svetlik J, Andreassen K, Borja I, Dalsgaard L, Evans R, Karlsson B, Tollefsrud MM, Solberg S (2014). Wood density as a screening trait for drought sensitivity in Norway spruce. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 44 (2): 154-161. - doi: [10.1139/cjfr-2013-0209](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0209)
- Rosner S, Svetlik J, Andreassen K, Borja I, Dalsgaard L, Evans R, Luss S, Tveito OE, Solberg S (2016). Novel hydraulic vulnerability proxies for a boreal conifer species reveal that opportunists may have lower survival prospects under extreme climatic events. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7 (730): 229. - doi: [10.3389/fpls.2016.00730](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00730)
- Rosso L, Cantamessa S, Bergante S, Biselli C, Fricano A, Chiarabaglio P, Gennaro M, Nervo G, Secchi F, Carra A (2023). Responses to drought stress in poplar: what do we know and what can we learn? *Life* 13 (2): 533. - doi: [10.3390/life13020533](https://doi.org/10.3390/life13020533)
- Rosvall O (2011). Review of the Swedish tree breeding programme. Skogforsk, Uppsala, Sweden, pp. 84.
- Ruotsalainen S, Persson T (2013). Scots pine - *Pinus sylvestris* L. In: “Best Practice for Tree Breeding in Europe” (Mullin T, Lee S eds). Skogforsk, Uppsala, Sweden, pp. 49-59.
- Schimleck LR, Michell AJ, Raymond CA, Muneri A (1999). Estimation of basic density of *Eucalyptus globulus* using near-infrared spectroscopy. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 29 (2): 194-201. - doi: [10.1139/x98-204](https://doi.org/10.1139/x98-204)
- Schimleck L, Dahlen J, Apiolaza L, Downes G, Emms G, Evans R, Moore J, Pâques L, Van Den Bulcke JJ, Wang X (2019). Non-destructive evaluation techniques and what they tell us about wood property variation. *Forests* 10: 728. - doi: [10.3390/f10090728](https://doi.org/10.3390/f10090728)
- Schuldts B, Buras A, Arend M, Vitasse Y, Beierkuhnlein C, Damm A, Gharun M, Grams TEE, Hauck M, Hajek P, Hartmann H, Hiltbrunner E, Hoch G, Holloway-Phillips M, Körner C, Larysch E, Lübke T, Nelson DB, Rammig A, Rigling A, Kahmen A (2020). A first assessment of the impact of the extreme 2018 summer drought on Central European forests. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 45: 86-103. - doi: [10.1016/j.baae.2020.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2020.04.003)
- Semizer-Cuming D, Krutovsky KV, Baranchikov YN, Kjaer ED, Williams CG (2019). Saving the world’s ash forests calls for international cooperation now. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3 (2): 141-144. - doi: [10.1038/s41559-018-0761-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0761-6)
- Simões R, Alves A, Pathauer PS, Palazzini DA, Marcuci-Poltri SN, Rodrigues J (2022). Prediction of the extractives content of *Eucalyptus globulus* wood using NIR-based PLS-R models. Influence of spectral range and preprocessing on the percentage of outliers detected. *Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology* 42 (5): 352-360. - doi: [10.1080/02773813.2022.2096072](https://doi.org/10.1080/02773813.2022.2096072)
- Singh BK, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Egidi E, Guirado E, Leach J, Liu H, Trivedi P (2023). Climate change impacts on plant pathogens, food security and paths forward. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 21 (10): 640-656. - doi: [10.1038/s41579-023-00900-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-023-00900-7)
- Skogfrøverket (2017). Skogfrøverkets strategi for skogplanteforedling 2010-2040 (revidert 2017) [The Norwegian Forestry Agency’s strategy for forest plant breeding 2010-2040 (revised 2017)]. Stiftelsen det norske Skogfrøverk, Norway, pp. 22. [in Norwegian] [online] URL: <http://www.skogfrøverket.no>
- Skogfrøverket (2021). Annual report 2021 (Hjort F ed). Stiftelsen det norske Skogfrøverk, Hamar, Norway, vol. 2021, pp. 58.
- Skrøppa T, Steffenrem A (2021). Performance and phenotypic stability of Norway spruce provenances, families, and clones growing under diverse climatic conditions in four nordic countries. *Forests* 12 (2): 230. - doi: [10.3390/f12020230](https://doi.org/10.3390/f12020230)
- Solvén TM, Steffenrem A (2019). Modelling the epigenetic response of increased temperature during reproduction on Norway spruce phenology. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 34 (2): 83-93. - doi: [10.1080/02827581.2018.1555278](https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2018.1555278)
- Solvén TM, Puliti S, Steffenrem A (2020). Use of UAV photogrammetric data in forest genetic trials: measuring tree height, growth, and phenology in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L. Karst.). *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 35 (7): 322-333. - doi: [10.1080/02827581.2020.1806350](https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2020.1806350)
- Stanton BJ, Neale DB, Li S (2010). *Populus* breeding: from the classical to the genomic approach. In: “Genetics and Genomics of *Populus*” series, vol. 8 (Jansson S, Bhalerao R, Groover A eds). Springer, New York, NY, USA, pp. 309-348. - doi: [10.1007/978-1-4419-1541-2_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1541-2_14)
- Steffenrem A, Solheim H, Skrøppa T (2016). Genetic parameters for wood quality traits and resistance to the pathogens *Heterobasidion parviporum* and *Endoconidiophora polonica* in a Norway spruce breeding population. *European Journal of Forest Research* 135 (5): 815-825. - doi: [10.1007/s10342-016-0975-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-016-0975-6)
- Steffenrem A, Helmersson A (2022). Norway spruce. In: “Breeding Guidelines - Transversal Analysis”. B4EST project, Deliverable D3.5, Appendix, pp. 70-89. [online] URL: <http://b4est.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/D3.5-Breeding-guidelines-transversal-analysis.pdf>
- Stejskal J, Klapšte J, Cepl J, El-Kassaby YA, Lstiburek M (2022). Effect of clonal testing on the efficiency of genomic evaluation in forest tree breeding. *Scientific Reports* 12: 3033. - doi: [10.1038/s41598-022-06952-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06952-8)
- Stocks JJ, Metheringham CL, Plumb WJ, Lee SJ, Kelly L, Nichols RA, Buggs RJA (2019). Genomic basis of European ash tree resistance to ash dieback fungus. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 3 (12): 1686-1696. - doi: [10.1038/s41559-019-1036-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1036-6)
- Strauss SH, Costanza A, Seguin A (2015). Genetically engineered trees: paralysis from good intentions. *Science* 349 (6250): 794-795. - doi: [10.1126/science.aab0493](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab0493)
- Subramanian N, Nilsson U, Mossberg M, Bergh J (2019). Impacts of climate change, weather extremes and alternative strategies in managed forests. *Ecoscience* 26 (1): 53-70. - doi: [10.1080/11956860.2018.1515597](https://doi.org/10.1080/11956860.2018.1515597)
- Swedjemark G, Karlsson B (2004). Genotypic variation in susceptibility following artificial *Heterobasidion annosum* inoculation of *Picea abies* clones in a 17-year-old field test. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 19 (2): 103-111. - doi: [10.1080/02827580310018032](https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580310018032)
- R Core Team (2024). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [online] URL: <https://www.r-project.org>
- Tiret M, Pégard M, Sánchez L (2021). How to achieve a higher selection plateau in forest tree breeding? Fostering heterozygote × homozygote relationships in optimal contribution selection in the case study of *Populus nigra*. *Evolutionary Applications* 14 (11): 2635-2646. - doi: [10.1111/eva.13300](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13300)
- Trebissou CIM, Tahí G, Munoz F, Sánchez L, N’Guetta S-PA, Cilas C, Ribeyre F (2021). Cocoa breeding must take into account the competitive value of cocoa trees. *European Journal of*

- Agronomy 128: 126288. - doi: [10.1016/j.eja.2021.126288](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2021.126288)
- Urban J, Ingwers MW, McGuire MA, Teskey RO (2017). Increase in leaf temperature opens stomata and decouples net photosynthesis from stomatal conductance in *Pinus taeda* and *Populus deltoides* x *nigra*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68: 1757-1767. - doi: [10.1093/jxb/erx052](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx052)
- Van Frankenhuyzen K, Beardmore T (2004). Current status and environmental impact of transgenic forest trees. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 34 (6): 1163-1180. - doi: [10.1139/x04-024](https://doi.org/10.1139/x04-024)
- Van Kooten GC, Johnston CMT (2016). The economics of forest carbon offsets. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* 8 (1): 227-246. - doi: [10.1146/annurev-resource-100815-095548](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-100815-095548)
- Van Tassel DL, Tesdell O, Schlautman B, Rubin MJ, DeHaan LR, Crews TE, Krug AS (2021). New food crop domestication in the age of gene editing: genetic, agronomic and cultural change remain co-evolutionarily entangled. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11: 789. - doi: [10.3389/fpls.2020.00789](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00789)
- Vasaitis R, Enderle R (2017). Dieback of European ash (*Fraxinus* spp.) - Consequences and guidelines for sustainable management. Report on European Cooperation in Science and Technology, COST Action FP1103 FRAXBACK, SLU Service/Repro, Uppsala, Sweden. [online] URL: <http://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20183360941>
- Vejpustková M, Cihák T (2019). Climate response of Douglas fir reveals recently increased sensitivity to drought stress in Central Europe. *Forests* 10: 97. - doi: [10.3390/f10020097](https://doi.org/10.3390/f10020097)
- Vidal M, Plomion C, Raffin A, Harvengt L, Bouffier L (2017). Forward selection in a maritime pine polycross progeny trial using pedigree reconstruction. *Annals of Forest Science* 74 (1): 227. - doi: [10.1007/s13595-016-0596-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-016-0596-8)
- Viger M, Smith HK, Cohen D, Dewoody J, Trevin H, Steenackers M, Bastien C, Taylor G (2016). Adaptive mechanisms and genomic plasticity for drought tolerance identified in European black poplar (*Populus nigra* L.). *Tree Physiology* 36: 909-928. - doi: [10.1093/treephys/tpw017](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw017)
- Villari C, Dowkiw A, Enderle R, Ghasemkhani M, Kirisits T, Kjr ED, Marčiulyniene D, McKinney LV, Metzler B, Muñoz F, Nielsen LR, Pliura A, Stener LG, Suchockas V, Rodriguez-Saona L, Bonello P, Cleary M (2018). Advanced spectroscopy-based phenotyping offers a potential solution to the ash dieback epidemic. *Scientific Reports* 8 (1): 81. - doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-35770-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35770-0)
- Vitali V, Büntgen U, Bauhus J (2018). Seasonality matters - The effects of past and projected seasonal climate change on the growth of native and exotic conifer species in Central Europe. *Dendrochronologia* 48: 1-9. - doi: [10.1016/j.dendro.2018.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2018.01.001)
- Wang M, Wang S, Liang Z, Shi W, Gao C, Xia G (2018). From genetic stock to genome editing: gene exploitation in wheat. *Trends in Biotechnology* 36 (2): 160-172. - doi: [10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.10.002)
- Webber J, Ott P, Owens J, Binder W (2005). Elevated temperature during reproductive development affects cone traits and progeny performance in *Picea glauca* x *engelmannii* complex. *Tree Physiology* 25 (10): 1219-1227. - doi: [10.1093/treephys/25.10.1219](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/25.10.1219)
- Wei RP, Lindgren K, Lindgren D (2001). Parental environment effects on cold acclimation and height growth in lodgepole pine seedlings. *Silvae Genetica* 50 (5-6): 252-257.
- Wildhagen H, Paul S, Allwright Hazel M S, Malinowska M, Schnabel SK, Paulo MJ, Cattonaro F, Vendramin V, Scalabrin S, Janz D, Douthe C, Brendel O, Buré C, Cohen D, Hummel I, Le Thiec D, Van Eeuwijk F, Keurentjes JJB, Flexas J, Morgante M, Robson P, Bogeat-Triboulot M-B, Taylor G, Polle A (2018). Genes and gene clusters related to genotype and drought-induced variation in saccharification potential, lignin content and wood anatomical traits in *Populus nigra*. *Tree Physiology* 38: 320-339. - doi: [10.1093/treephys/tpx054](https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx054)
- Wong CK, Bernardo R (2008). Genome-wide selection in oil palm: increasing selection gain per unit time and cost with small populations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 116 (6): 815-824. - doi: [10.1007/s00122-008-0715-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-008-0715-5)
- Yasuda Y, Iki T, Takashima Y, Takahashi M, Hirakawa Y, Mishima K (2021). Genetic gains in wood property can be achieved by indirect selection and nondestructive measurements in full-sib families of Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) plus tree clones. *Annals of Forest Science* 78: 1-10. - doi: [10.1007/s13595-021-01064-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-021-01064-1)
- Yin Y, Wang C, Xiao D, Liang Y, Wang Y (2021). Advances and perspectives of transgenic technology and biotechnological application in forest trees. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12: 1477. - doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.7863](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.7863)