



HAL
open science

Visualizing the biogeochemical interface in soils

Charlotte Védère, Claire Chenu

► **To cite this version:**

Charlotte Védère, Claire Chenu. Visualizing the biogeochemical interface in soils. Doctoral. Soil systems: Analytical methods for integrating the chemical, biophysical interface in soils., Uppasala (on-line), Sweden. 2021. hal-04537247

HAL Id: hal-04537247

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04537247>

Submitted on 8 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Visualizing the biogeochemical interface in soils

Charlotte Védère & Claire Chenu

INRAE

UMR Ecosys, Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech
Grignon, France



Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29

1

Soils group at Grignon



Research lines

- Optimizing organic waste recycling in agriculture
- Pesticides and other organic contaminants dynamics
- Soil organic matter dynamics
- Assessing ecosystem services provided by soils




Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29


2

Visualizing soils?


- Heterogeneous and complex environments.
Observations and fluxes at the plot or profile scale controlled by microscale conditions and processes
- Soils specificities
 - Non-coherent material
 - Heterogeneous constituents: size, composition, softness
 - Importance of the moisture state
- Biogeochemical interface



Scales and methods



Scales & methods



Visualisation tools (main ones..)

category	name	principle and radiation used	image	resolution
Ligth microscopy	Stereomicroscopy	incident light	3-D	≈ 10 μm
	Ligth microscopy bright field	transmitted light	2-D	< 1 μm
	Epifluorescence microscopy	transmitted light -> fluorescence	2-D	0.2 μm
	Confocal (laser) microscopy	transmitted light -> fluorescence	3-D	0.16 μm
Electron microscopy	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	reflected electrons	3-D	10 nm
	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	transmitted and diffracted electrons	2-D	0.2 nm
X-ray spectro microscopy	Soft X-ray spectro microscopy in the water window (STXM)	transmitted X-rays	3-D	30 nm
InfraRed spectroscopy	VNIR	absorbed IR light	2-D	50 μm
	Raman microscopy	difused monochromatic light	2-D	μm
	FTIR microscopy	absorbed IR light	2-D	μm
Secondary ion mass spectrometry	nanoSIMS	ions beam -> sample ions collected	2-D	150 nm
X-ray computed tomography	X-ray μCT	attenuation of transmitted X-rays	3-D	1 μm
Scanning probe microscopy	Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	cantilever scans the surface of the sample	3-D	1 nm

Outline


1. Visualisation of the soil habitat
 - a. Overview of available methods
 - b. Visualizing soil structure – Soil moisture dependence
 - c. Visualizing soil organic matter
2. Localizing and identifying soil inhabitants: microorganisms
3. Microscale information on the physiological state and activity of soil microorganisms
4. Visualizing the biogeochemical interface

1- Visualizing the soil habitat

Visualisation tools


category	name	principle and radiation used	image	resolution	information on soil constituents
Ligth microscopy	Stereomicroscopy	incident light	3-D	≈ 10 μm	size, shape, color, contrast of solid particles + specific stains or labels for OM
	Ligth microscopy bright field	transmitted light	2-D	< 1 μm	
	Epifluorescence microscopy	transmitted light -> fluorescence	2-D	0.2 μm	
	Confocal (laser) microscopy	transmitted light -> fluorescence	3-D	0.16 μm	
Electron microscopy	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	reflected electrons	3-D	10 nm	size, shape, topography of solid particles. + EDX elemental analysis
	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	transmitted and diffracted electrons	2-D	0.2 nm	size, shape, contrast of solid particles + stains OM + elemental analysis EDX + functional groups OM (EELS)
X-ray spectro microscopy	Soft X-ray spectro microscopy in the water window (STXM)	transmitted X-rays	3-D	30 nm	elemental mapping + functional groups OM (NEXAFS)
InfraRed spectroscopy	VNIR	absorbed IR light	2-D	50 μm	functional groups mapping
	Raman microscopy	difused monochromatic light	2-D	μm	functional groups mapping
	FTIR microscopy	absorbed IR light	2-D	μm	functional groups mapping
Secondary ion mass spectrometry	nanoSIMS	ions beam -> sample ions collected	2-D	150 nm	elements & isotopes mapping
X-ray computed tomography	X-ray μCT	attenuation of transmitted X-rays	3-D	1 μm	size, shape, contrast of solid particles + stains OM
Scaning probe microscopy	Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	cantilever scans the surface of the sample	3-D	1 nm	topography of solid particles

1.2 Visualizing soils structure. Moisture dependence




Wednesday 1st dec
→ Non-invasive 3-D imaging methods
Mats Larsbo

Electron microscopy : Electron - sample interaction




Smectite clay

SEM



TEM






$\omega = 3,7 \text{ g water g}^{-1} \text{ solid}$
 $\Psi = -0.032 \text{ bar}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 1.5$

Soil microstructures are fragile

Smectite clay

SEM



$\omega = 3,7 \text{ g water g}^{-1} \text{ solid}$
 $\Psi = -0.032 \text{ bar}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 1.5$


$\omega = 1,7 \text{ g water g}^{-1}$
 $\Psi = -1 \text{ bar}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 3$

$\omega = 0,82 \text{ g wter g}^{-1}$
 $\Psi = -10 \text{ bars}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 4$

Soil microstructures are fragile

Smectite clay

SEM



$\omega = 3,7 \text{ g water g}^{-1} \text{ solid}$
 $\Psi = -0.032 \text{ bar}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 1.5$



$\omega = 1,7 \text{ g water g}^{-1}$
 $\Psi = -1 \text{ bar}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 3$

$\omega = 0,82 \text{ g wter g}^{-1}$
 $\Psi = -10 \text{ bars}$
 $\Psi = \text{pF } 4$

1.3 Localizing organic matter in soils

SEM how to identify soil constituents ?

- morphology




Besnard et al. 1996 EJSS

SEM how to identify soil constituents ?



SEM how to identify soil constituents ?




TEM: staining organic matter to visualize it

Fungal polysaccharide
pF2, 0.01MPa
w_≈ 20g/g (gel)


Embedded in epoxy resin
Thin sections
Thierry staining

0.1 μm



Chenu & Jaunet, 1992

Visualizing the microbial habitat : Bacterial microaggregate



TEM

Staining of polysaccharides with silver proteinate





Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29

21

Robert & Chenu, 1992

Clay-OM complex



Chenu & Plante, 2006, EJSS




Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29

22

0,1 μm

TEM Clay sized organo-mineral complexes

- <math>< 2 \mu\text{m}</math> size fraction after complete dispersion of soil



Chenu & Plante, 2006

1 μm

$F < 2 \mu\text{m}$

OM in clay-sized fraction is morphologically heterogeneous




Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29


23

Staining organic matter to visualize it in CT tomography

Os	N=76	Osmium tetroxyde	Unsaturated bonds: C=C aliphatic, aromatic and heterocyclic
----	------	------------------	---



Peth et al., 2014 SBB




Zheng et al., 2020

Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29

24

Mapping soil organic matter in an aggregate


No correlation between the respiration rate of the 9 aggregates and transition probability between OM and pore voxels




Rawlins et al. 2016 Soil
DOI [10.5446/18549](https://doi.org/10.5446/18549)

Long term persistence of C in soils



Long term bare fallow,
Versailles, since 1928



Long term persistence of C in soils: investigations at the submicron scale



- N-rich OM
- No change in average composition
- μPOM in coarse clay
- Illites loose their C
- smectite clay-C remain



Lutfalla et al., 2019, Biogeosciences

STXM-NEXAFS


OM+K+Ca OM+K OM rich K rich



Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29

Synchrotron-based infra-red microspectroscopy

Thin sections of oxisol microaggregates



Hernandez-Soriano, et al., 2018. EST

28




Soil Systems Course - C. Védère & C.Chenu - 2021-11-29

Information on soil organic matter


category	name	principle and radiation used	image	resolution	Organic matter		
					shape	C map	functional groups
Ligth microscopy	Stereomicroscopy	incident light	3-D	≈ 10 μm	x		
	Ligth microscopy bright field	transmitted light	2-D	< 1 μm	x		stains
	Epifluorescence microscopy	transmitted light -> fluorescence	2-D	0.2 μm	x		stains
	Confocal (laser) microscopy	transmitted light -> fluorescence	3-D	0.16 μm	x		stains
Electron microscopy	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	reflected electrons	3-D	10 nm	x	x	
	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	transmitted and diffracted electrons	2-D	0.2 nm	x	EDX	EELS
X-ray spectro microscopy	Soft X-ray spectro microscopy in the water window (STXM)	transmitted X-rays	3-D	30 nm			NEXAFS
InfraRed spectroscopy	VNIR	absorbed IR light	2-D	50 μm			x
	Raman microscopy	difused monochromatic light	2-D	μm			x
	FTIR microscopy	absorbed IR light	2-D	μm			x
Secondary ion mass spectrometry	nanoSIMS	ions beam -> sample ions collected	2-D	150 nm		x	
X-ray computed tomography	X-ray μCT	attenuation of transmitted X-rays	3-D	1 μm	x	stains	
Scaning probe microscopy	Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	cantilever scans the surface of the sample	3-D	1 nm	x		

2- Localizing and identifying inhabitants : micro-organisms

Visualisation of microorganism



Dimensions




Few methods

Visualisation of microorganism

Methods	Resolution	Visualisation	Size	Dimension	References
Light microscopy	mm – 200 nm	Shape, contrast (+ staining)	cm	2D	Otten et al. (2004); Thompson et al. (2005)
Fluorescence microscopy	mm – 200 nm	Shape, contrast (+ staining)	cm	2D or 3D (confocal)	Postma and Altemüller (1990); Baschien et al. (2001); Nunan et al. (2001); Schmidt et al. (2018); Juyal et al. (2020)
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	100 µm – 1 nm	Shape, topography	mm – cm	Surface 3D	Gaillard et al. (1999); Chenu et al. (2001); Schmidt et al. (2012); Zumstein et al. (2018); Witzgall et al. (2021)
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	100 µm – 0.05 nm	Shape, contrast (+ staining)	mm – cm	2D	Chenu and Plante (2006); Vidal et al. (2016)
Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	10 – 20 nm	Topography, resistance	mm	Surface 3D	McMaster (2012); Huang et al. (2015)32

Visualisation of microorganism

Light microscopy → few contrast
Epi-fluorescence/confocal + fluorescent stain



Nunan et al. (2001)

Target	Stains	Acquisition	References
Nucleic acids	Acridine orange, SYBR Green I, DAPI,	Epi-fluorescence	Postma and Altemüller (1990);
	Europium chelate		Schlüter et al. (2019)
Proteins	FITC		Chen et al. (2007)
Polysaccharides	Phenol aniline blue, Phenolic tryptophan		Morgan et al. (1991);
	blue, DTAF, Calcofluor White	Nunan et al. (2001); Chen et al. (2007)	


Visualisation of microorganism

Scanning electron microscopy



Little contrast

Transmission electron microscopy




Chenu & Plante (2006)

Visualisation of microorganism

Target and identifying microorganisms

Fluorescence In situ Hybridization (FISH)


→ use an oligonucleotide probe coupled to a fluorescent marker which binds to a specific sequence of RNA or DNA within the microbial cell.




1 – DAPI : total μ

2 - μ stained with FISH : targeted μ

DAPI



FISH



35

Schmidt et al. (2012)


Visualisation of microorganism

Target and identifying microorganisms


Electron microscopy → few contrasts

Gold-Fluorescence in situ hybridization

→ FISH + gold



SEM



BSE



Schmidt et al. (2012)

3- Microscale information on the physiological state and activity of soil microorganisms

Microbial activities

Physiological state:

Fluorescence microscopy + marqueur spécifique (dead/dormant/actives)

(Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov, 2013)

Physiological state	Stains	Aquisition	References
<u>Dead microorganisms with altered membranes</u>	Propidium iodide (PI)		Maraha et al. (2004)
<u>Active microorganisms showing enzymatic oxidation or hydrolysis by active cells</u>	2-(p-iodophenyl)-3-(p-nitrophenyl)-5-phenyl tetrazolium chloride (INT), 5-cyano-2,3-ditolyl-tetrazolium chloride (CTC), Fluorescein Diacetate (FDA)	Epi-fluorescence or confocal microscopy	Maraha et al. (2004); Busse et al. (2009)
<u>Dormant microorganisms</u>	Total – (Active + Dead microorganisms)		Maraha et al. (2004)

Microbial activities

Assimilation:

Informations	Methods	Acquisition methods	References
Assimilation:	Autoradiography	Light and electron microscopy	Lee et al. (1999); Ouverney and Fuhrman (1999); Holz et al. (2019); Becker and Holz (2021)
Metabolically active microorganisms	SIMS, NanoSIMS	Scan with mass spectrometer	Cliff et al. (2002); Herrmann et al. (2007); Vidal et al. (2018)

Microbial activities

Assimilation:


Autoradiography

Radioisotope labelled substrates
+ autoradiography.

When placed in contact with an emulsion or a photographic film the distribution of radioactive source is recorded and therefore the zones of substrate source and assimilation can be located

Micro-autoradiography

electron microscopy





Roger et al. (2007)

Microbial activities


Assimilation:

NanoSIMS

Elementary and isotopic map of the soil sample
Very fine resolutions: < 100 nm



Stable isotopic label (^{13}C) of plant roots
Visualisation of the assimilation of ^{13}C by the microorganisms in the soil (Vidal et al., 2018)



41

Microbial activities


Enzymatic activities:

Zymography

Spatial distribution of enzymes on the surface of a soil sample.

A gel or membrane + substrate which changes colour when it comes into contact and reacts with a specific enzyme.

Resolution: ~ tens of μm and often used at mm scale



(Spohn & Kuzyakov, 2013)

42

4- Visualising the biogeochemical interface

43


4- Visualising the biogeochemical interface

4.1- Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritusphere

44


Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere



Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere




Sampling time: day 3, 7, 15 and 45 → 36 cosms

Difficult to know when something will happen and the preparation is destructive → multiplication of samples

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritusphere

Thin sections:

Fluorescent stain: Calcofluor white (polysaccharides) (Nunan et al., 2011)




Acquisition:

Stereomicroscope: Zeiss AXIO. Zoom. V16

Objectif: PlanNeoFluar Z 2.3x


Camera: AxioCam HR R3

Lamp: Zeiss HXP 120C



Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritusphere



STUDIED ZONES:

4 Images / cosm x 2 replicates

Image mosaic (1862 images / mosaic)


Surface: 4mm wide x 2mm high

Magnification: x258

Resolution: 0,25μm


Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere



Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere



Index > 1 → distribution of colonies is agregated
Colonies show agregated tendencies but no differences between any modalities


Microorganisms dispersion can be stimulated in wet soil (*Dechesne et al. 2010*)
→ Not observed, with a very different experimental set-up (sand matrix)

More patchy organization in a deep horizon soil than surface (*Nunan et al. 2003*)
Far from the residue, poor nutrient environnement = more agregation

→ Not observed

Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere




Repartition gradients:

- Higher decrease at day 45 than day 3 for both pF
- More pronounced at pF3.5

Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere




Repartition gradients:

- Higher decrease at day 45 than day 3
- After 45 days, decrease are function of the distance of the residue

Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere




Repartition gradients:

- Higher decrease at day 45 than day 3
- After 45 days, decrease are function of the distance of the residue

Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere



Macroporosity structures μ spatial distribution.

Water saturated microporosity in the vicinity of macropores (O_2) \rightarrow best localization for μ

Gradient is weaker far from residues

Gradient is weaker in humid conditions

Gradient is steeper after 45 days of incubation

Low soil moisture and soluble organic matter diffusion toward the porosity \rightarrow favour the presence in time of μ at the contact of added OM

Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Small-scale spatial distribution of microorganisms in the detritosphere

Methodological questions:

- Fluorescence microscopy is adapted to studying hotspots of microbial activity in soils
- It allows the acquisition of information about soil microorganisms self repartition and their position according to soil porosity

Scientific questions:

- Soil microorganisms aggregation was not influenced neither by matric potential and distance from the residues
- Macroporosity organize μ repartition in gradients which are influence by matric potential

Other:

- ^{13}C -labelled residues were used and could have allow to observe their in-situ biodegradation and localize the position of active- μ
 - NanoSIMS acquisition has been processed but the ^{13}C was too much diluted in soil to be observed properly
 - ^{13}C soil measurements allowed to observe its diffusion over 4 mm

4- Visualising the biogeochemical interface

4.2- Influence of soil structure on the spread of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in soil at microscale (Juyal et al., 2020)


Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Influence of soil structure on the spread of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in soil at microscale (Juyal et al., 2020)

- (1) Pore architecture
X-ray μ Tomography (3D)
- (2) Spatial distribution of bacteria
Fluorescence microscope (2D)

Soil cosms with contrasted bulk densities:
1,3 et 1,5 g.cm^{-1}

+ bacteria inoculum



Juyal et al., 2019

57


Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Influence of soil structure on the spread of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in soil at microscale (Juyal et al., 2020)

- (1) Pore architecture - X-ray μ Tomography (3D)
→ Connectivity: 1,3 > 1,5 g.cm^{-1}
→ pore-solid interface: 1,3 > 1,5 g.cm^{-1}

- (2) Spatial distribution of bacteria - Fluorescence microscope (2D)
BD: 1,3 g.cm^{-1}
→ colonies
→ Higher cell density
→ More cell diffusion

- (3) Relation: cells VS porosity
→ pore-solid interface ↗ - cells diffusion ↗
→ Connectivity ↘ - cells diffusion ↘
→ More visible at BD:1,3 g.cm^{-1}



Juyal et al., 2019

58


4- Visualising the biogeochemical interface

4.3- Correlative Imaging Reveals Holistic View of Soil Microenvironments (Schlüter et al., 2019)

59

Visualise the biogeochemical interface

Correlative Imaging Reveals Holistic View of Soil Microenvironments (Schlüter et al., 2019)



- (1) Pore architecture
X-ray Tomography (3D)
- (2) Spatial distribution of bacteria
Fluorescence microscopy (2D)
- (3) Soil matrix characterisation
NanoSIMS, SEM-EDX (2D)

60

Conclusions - Take-home messages

61

Conclusion: Why do we need imaging tools?



Interests:

- Elaborate conceptual models : microbial activities, fate of OM, etc.
- Quantify soil organisation of OM and microbial repartition, etc.
- Get more reliable predictive models through a better understanding of mecanisms occuring in soil at microscale



Limits and focus:

- Acquisition strategies (destructive VS non-destructive)
- Sample preparation (material and methodological difficulties)
- Multiplication of sample in time
- Difficulties of combine methods at various scales and deep fields
- Image representativity
- Image processing anticipation

62

References

63

References

Baschien, C., Manz, W., Neu, T.R., Szewzyk, U., 2001. Fluorescence in situ hybridization of freshwater fungi. *Intern. Rev Hydrobiol* 86, 371–381.

Becker, J.N., Holz, M., 2021. Hot or not? connecting rhizosphere hotspots to total soil respiration. *Plant Soil* 464, 489–499. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-04963-4>

Busse, M.D., Sanchez, F.G., Ratcliff, A.W., Butnor, J.R., Carter, E.A., Powers, R.F., 2009. Soil carbon sequestration and changes in fungal and bacterial biomass following incorporation of forest residues. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 220–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.10.012>

Chen, M.-Y., Lee, D.-J., Tay, J.-H., Show, K.-Y., 2007. Staining of extracellular polymeric substances and cells in bioaggregates. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 75, 467–474. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0816-5>

Chenu, C., Hassink, J., Bloem, J., 2001. Short-term changes in the spatial distribution of microorganisms in soil aggregates as affected by glucose addition. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 34, 349–356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740100419>

Chenu, C., Plante, A.F., 2006. Clay-sized organo-mineral complexes in a cultivation chronosequence: revisiting the concept of the “primary organo-mineral complex.” *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 57, 596–607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00834.x>

Cliff, J.B., Gaspar, D.J., Bottomley, P.J., Myrold, D.D., 2002. Exploration of Inorganic C and N Assimilation by Soil Microbes with Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 4067–4073. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.8.4067-4073.2002>

Dechesne, A., Owsianiak, M., Bazire, A., Grundmann, G.L., Binning, P.J., Smets, B.F., 2010. Biodegradation in a Partially Saturated Sand Matrix: Compounding Effects of Water Content, Bacterial Spatial Distribution, and Motility. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 2386–2392. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es902760y>

Gaillard, V., Chenu, C., Recous, S., Richard, G., 1999. Carbon, nitrogen and microbial gradients induced by plant residues decomposing in soil. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 50, 567–578.

64

References

- Herrmann, A.M., Clode, P.L., Fletcher, I.R., Nunan, N., Stockdale, E.A., O'Donnell, A.G., Murphy, D.V., 2007. A novel method for the study of the biophysical interface in soils using nano-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 21, 29–34. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2811>
- Holz, M., Zarebanadkouki, M., Carminati, A., Kuzyakov, Y., 2019. Visualization and quantification of root exudation using ¹⁴C imaging: challenges and uncertainties. *Plant Soil* 437, 473–485. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-03956-8>
- Huang, Q., Wu, H., Cai, P., Fein, J.B., Chen, W., 2015. Atomic force microscopy measurements of bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation onto clay-sized particles. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 16857. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16857>
- Juyal, A., Otten, W., Baveye, P.C., Eickhorst, T., 2020. Influence of soil structure on the spread of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in soil at microscale. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 72, 141–153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12975>
- Lee, N., Nielsen, P.H. er, Andreasen, K. er H., Juretschko, S., Nielsen, J.L., Schleifer, K.-H., Wagner, M., 1999. Combination of fluorescent in situ hybridization and microautoradiography—a new tool for structure-function analyses in microbial ecology. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 1289–1297.
- Maraha, N., Backman, A., Jansson, J.K., 2004. Monitoring physiological status of GFP-tagged *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SBW25 under different nutrient conditions and in soil by flow cytometry. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 51, 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.07.007>
- McMaster, T.J., 2012. Atomic Force Microscopy of the fungi–mineral interface: applications in mineral dissolution, weathering and biogeochemistry. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23, 562–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2012.05.006>
- Morgan, P., Cooper, C.J., Battersby, N.S., Lee, S.A., Lewis, T.M., Machin, T.M., Graham, S.C., Watkinson, R.J., 1991. Automated image analysis method to determine fungal biomass in soils and on solid matrices. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 23, 609–616.
- Nunan, N., Ritz, K., Crabb, D., Harris, K., Wu, K., Crawford, J.W., Young, I.M., 2001. Quantification of the in situ distribution of soil bacteria by large-scale imaging of thin sections of undisturbed soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 36, 66–77.

References

- Nunan, N., Wu, K., Young, I.M., Crawford, J.W., Ritz, K., 2003. Spatial distribution of bacterial communities and their relationships with the micro-architecture of soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 44, 203–215. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496\(03\)00027-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00027-8)
- Otten, W., Harris, K., Young, I.M., Ritz, K., Gilligan, C.A., 2004. Preferential spread of the pathogenic fungus *Rhizoctonia solani* through structured soil. *Soil Biol.* 8.
- Ouverney, C.C., Fuhrman, J.A., 1999. Combined microautoradiography–¹⁶S rRNA probe technique for determination of radioisotope uptake by specific microbial cell types in situ. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 1746–1752.
- Postma, J., Altemüller, H.-J., 1990. Bacteria in thin soil sections stained with the fluorescent brightener calcofluor white M2R. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 22, 89–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(90\)90065-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(90)90065-8)
- Rogers, S.W., Moorman, T.B., Ong, S.K., 2007. Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization and Micro-autoradiography Applied to Ecophysiology in Soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 71, 620. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0105>
- Schlüter, S., Eickhorst, T., Mueller, C.W., 2019. Correlative Imaging Reveals Holistic View of Soil Microenvironments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53, 829–837. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05245>
- Schmidt, H., Eickhorst, T., Mußmann, M., 2012. Gold-FISH: A new approach for the in situ detection of single microbial cells combining fluorescence and scanning electron microscopy. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 35, 518–525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2012.04.006>
- Schmidt, H., Nunan, N., Höck, A., Eickhorst, T., Kaiser, C., Woebken, D., Raynaud, X., 2018. Recognizing Patterns: Spatial Analysis of Observed Microbial Colonization on Root Surfaces. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 6, 61. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00061>
- Spohn, M., Kuzyakov, Y., 2013. Distribution of microbial- and root-derived phosphatase activities in the rhizosphere depending on P availability and C allocation – Coupling soil zymography with ¹⁴C imaging. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 67, 106–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.015>

References

- Thompson, I.A., Huber, D.M., Guest, C.A., Schulze, D.G., 2005. Fungal manganese oxidation in a reduced soil. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1480–1487. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00842.x>
- Vidal, A., Hirte, J., Bender, S.F., Mayer, J., Gattinger, A., Höschel, C., Schädler, S., Iqbal, T.M., Mueller, C.W., 2018. Linking 3D Soil Structure and Plant-Microbe-Soil Carbon Transfer in the Rhizosphere. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2018.00009>
- Vidal, A., Remusat, L., Watteau, F., Derenne, S., Quenea, K., 2016. Incorporation of ¹³C labelled shoot residues in *Lumbricus terrestris* casts: A combination of transmission electron microscopy and nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 93, 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.10.018>
- Witzgall, K., Vidal, A., Schubert, D.I., Höschel, C., Schweizer, S.A., Buegger, F., Pouteau, V., Chenu, C., Mueller, C.W., 2021. Particulate organic matter as a functional soil component for persistent soil organic carbon. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4115. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24192-8>
- Zumstein, M.T., Schintlmeister, A., Nelson, T.F., Baumgartner, R., Woebken, D., Wagner, M., Kohler, H.-P.E., McNeill, K., Sander, M., 2018. Biodegradation of synthetic polymers in soils: Tracking carbon into CO₂ and microbial biomass. *Sci. Adv.* 4, eaas9024. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aas9024>

Cited references

- Besnard, E., Chenu, C., Balesdent, J., Puget, P., Arrouays, D., 1996. Fate of particulate organic matter in soil aggregates during cultivation. *European Journal of Soil Science* 47, 495-503.
- Chenu, C., Jaunet, A.M., 1992. Cryoscanning electron microscopy of microbial extracellular polysaccharides and their association with minerals. *Scanning* 14, 360-364.
- Chenu, C., Plante, A.F., 2006. Clay-sized organo-mineral complexes in a cultivation chronosequence: revisiting the concept of the "primary organo-mineral complex". *European Journal of Soil Science* 56(4), 596-607.
- Hernandez-Soriano, M.C., Dalal, R.C., Warren, F.J., Wang, P., Green, K., Tobin, M.J., Menzies, N.W., Kopitke, P.M., 2018. Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Mapping Carbon Speciation from Intact Microaggregates. *Environmental Science & Technology* 52(21), 12275-12284.
- Lutfalla, S., Barré, P., Bernard, S., Guillou, C.L., Alléon, J., Chenu, C., 2019. Multidecadal persistence of organic matter in soils: investigations at the submicrometer scale. *Biogeosciences* <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-1401-2019>(16), 1401–1410.
- Peth, S., Chenu, C., Leblond, N., Mordhorst, A., Garnier, P., Nunan, N., Pot, V., Ogurreck, M., Beckmann, F., 2014. Localization of soil organic matter in soil aggregates using synchrotron-based X-ray microtomography. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 78, 189-194.
- Rawlins, B.G., Wragg, J., Reinhard, C., Atwood, R.C., Houston, A., Lark, R.M., Rudolph, S., 2016. Three-dimensional soil organic matter distribution, accessibility and microbial respiration in macroaggregates using osmium staining and synchrotron X-ray computed tomography. *Soil* 2(4), 659-671.
- Robert, M., Chenu, C., 1992. Interactions between microorganisms and soil minerals. In: G. Stotzky, J.M. Bollag (Eds.), *Soil Biochemistry*. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 307-404.
- Tessier, D., 1990. Behaviour and microstructure of clay minerals. In: M.F. De Boer, M.H.B. Hayes, A. Herbillon (Eds.), *Soil Colloids and their Associations in Aggregates*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 387-416.
- Zheng, H.B., Kim, K., Kravchenko, A., Rivers, M., Guber, A., 2020. Testing Os Staining Approach for Visualizing Soil Organic Matter Patterns in Intact Samples via X-ray Dual-Energy Tomography Scanning. *Environmental Science & Technology* 54(14), 8980-8989.