



eQTLs are key players in the integration of genomic and transcriptomic data for phenotype prediction

Abdou Rahmane Wade, Harold Duruflé, Leopoldo Sanchez, Vincent Segura

► To cite this version:

Abdou Rahmane Wade, Harold Duruflé, Leopoldo Sanchez, Vincent Segura. eQTLs are key players in the integration of genomic and transcriptomic data for phenotype prediction. B4EST International Conference: Managing Forest Genetic Resources (FGR) for an Uncertain Future, Jun 2022, Lisboa, Portugal. 2022. hal-04540839

HAL Id: hal-04540839

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04540839>

Submitted on 10 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



eQTLs are key players in the integration of genomic and transcriptomic data for phenotype prediction

Abdou Rahmane WADE¹, Harold Duruflé¹, Leopoldo Sanchez^{1*} and Vincent Segura^{2*}

¹ INRAE, ONF, BioForA, UMR 0588, F-45075 Orleans, France


² UMR AGAP Institut, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, F-34398 Montpellier, France

* equal contribution

Multi-omics represent the “**missing link**” between phenotypes and genome variation. Few studies yet have addressed their integration to understand genetic architecture and improve predictability.



The mechanisms by which integration is successful when predicting phenotypes are still not known precisely over conditions and species, with **redundancy** between omics being one of the possible explanations. Redundancy reflects the interconnectivity from raw genomic sequence to the organismal phenotype.

An original experimental design



241 genotypes representing the genetic diversity of the West Europe


Strategies & Objectives



Concatenation of omics


Results

1. Multi-omic model displays performance advantages over the single-omic models for specific functional types of traits.




Prediction accuracies (R^2) for 21 traits in the poplar dataset according to three models: genotypic data only (G model coloured in dark brown to the left in the panels), transcriptomic data only (T model coloured in dark blue), and concatenating both genotypic and transcriptomic data (G+T model coloured in light blue to the right). Distribution of accuracies resulted from a cross-validation scheme. Significance from paired tests is shown for comparisons between models, with a sign indicating if the accuracy was increased (+) or decreased (-) in the multi-omic model in comparison with the single-omic. The dark brown and dark blue horizontal lines represent the mean of precision distributions of G and T models, respectively.

2. eQTL analysis sheds light into the interplay between the genome and the transcriptome.




Map of associations (dots) between SNPs (x axis) and transcripts (y axis) through an eQTLs analysis with a multi-locus model. Dot size reflects the association score ($-\log_{10}$ of the p-value of the test) and dot positions correspond to genomic locations of transcripts and SNPs on the 19 chromosomes of the *Populus trichocarpa* reference genome (v3.0). The darkened diagonal includes all *cis* mediated associations, while the off-diagonal dots represent the *trans* associations.

3. Trans-eQTLs show the most important changes of squared effect rank between multi- and single-omic models.




Boxplot of the average change in rank of SNPs (panels A) and transcripts (panels B). Each dot represents the average difference per trait, per site of the predictor ranks between the multi-omic model (G+T) and the single-omic models (G for SNPs and T for transcripts). The red and blue boxplots show the distribution of the average rank change for the trans-eQTLs and cis-eQTLs (A) or trans regulated transcripts and cis regulated transcripts (B), respectively. The boxplot in black shows the distribution for the predictors that have not been found to be associated in the eQTL analysis.

4. A negative relationship exists between the change in ranking of trans-eQTLs and cis-regulated transcripts and the predictive ability of the integrated multi-omic model.



Regression across traits measured at Orleans between average change in rank of predictors and advantage in performance of the multi-omic model (G+T) over the single-omic counterpart (G for SNPs and T for transcripts). The top panel (A) shows the regression obtained with the eQTLs (trans-eQTLs on the left, cis-eQTLs in the middle, and SNPs not detected as eQTL on the right). The bottom panel (B) shows the regression obtained with the regulated transcripts (trans on the left, cis in the middle, and not found to be associated with eQTLs on the right).

5. Gene ontology analysis suggests that top targeted transcripts or eQTLs are trait specific.



Schematic representation of the enriched GO terms among the top targeted transcripts or eQTL gene models list for the circumference of the tree trunk.

Conclusion

Consequently, beneficial integration happens when the redundancy of predictors is decreased, leaving the stage to other less prominent but complementary predictors. An additional gene ontology enrichment analysis appeared to corroborate such statistical output. These two complementary approaches showed empirically over a series of traits how the best predicting scenarios are built, excluding certain features while promoting others according to their redundancies within the data. To our knowledge, this is a novel finding delineating a promising method to explore data integration.