

Socio-metabolism approaches and their relevance for analysing agricultural transitions

Claire Aubron, Charlotte Hemingway, Olivier Philippon, Laurent Ruiz, Mathieu Vigne


► To cite this version:

Claire Aubron, Charlotte Hemingway, Olivier Philippon, Laurent Ruiz, Mathieu Vigne. Sociometabolism approaches and their relevance for analysing agricultural transitions. Sustainability Transitions in Agri-food Systems: Evidence and Options for Action in India and France, Marie Dervillé, Rajeswari Raina, CEFIPRA, Mar 2024, Roorkee, India. hal-04547323

HAL Id: hal-04547323 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04547323

Submitted on 15 Apr 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



SOCIO-METABOLISM APPROACHES AND THEIR RELEVANCE FOR ANALYSING AGRICULTURAL TRANSITIONS

Claire Aubron, Charlotte Hemingway, Olivier Philippon, Laurent Ruiz, Mathieu Vigne








TWO SOCIO-METABOLISM CASE STUDIES

- Socio-metabolism research: a wide range of approaches with a common interest in **flows** of matter and energy
- Our approach in this presentation:



 Two case-studies in contrasted areas of India, not particularly involved in agroecological transitions but which we use as a basis for drawing lessons

1ST CASE-STUDY: NITROGEN METABOLISM AND GHG EMISSIONS IN A GUJARATI VILLAGE (2014)





KARNATAKA

- 1. Channagiri (Davangere District)
- 2. Gundlupet (Chamarajanagar District)

ANDHRA PRADESH

- 3. Vinukonda (Guntur District)
- 4. Banagana Palli (Kurnool District)
- 5. Palamaner (Chittoor District)

GUJARAT

6. Gondal (Rajkot District)

WEST BENGAL

7. Hirbandh (Bankura District)



BIHAR

- 8. Bodhgaya (Gaya District)
- 9. Ekangarsarai (Nalanda District)

GUJARAT

10. Petlad (Anand District)

11. Dharampur (Valsad District)



WEST BENGAL

- 12. Debra (Medinipur District)
- 13. Bangaon (North 24 Parganas District)

Petlad block, an alluvial plain with semi-arid climate


Densely populated by **people** (550 inhab./km²) and **animals** (230 bovines/km²) and intensively cultivated with **irrigation**




A highly unbalanced nitrogen metabolism

Water pollution: 11 of the 16 samples taken are over the NO3 potability limit of 50 mg/L

Nitrogen balance at village scale: 600 kgN/ha/yr for crops (22 times higher than the French average); total surplus (including livestock activities): 900 kg/ha/yr



Map of the N surplus at field scale in the village (N balance for crops)




Aubron et al., 2021

High GHG emissions at village level coming from a diversity of sources

> 37 tCO2eq/ha at village level, 15 times higher than the Indian national average

Bovine enteric fermentation is the main source of GHG emissions (25%) but synthetic fertilizers and imported feed account for 42% of the total:




Re-integrating crops and livestock: a solution?

➢ Fostering the cultivation of fodder crops or crops that produce residues (≠ tobacco) to feed animals from local resources.

 \geq Managing animal manure to limit the use of synthetic fertilizers.

Yes, but... it is not the way things are evolving!



		Rs Income per worker per year	N balance (kg N)	N efficiency	Contribution to N surplus at village level
2014					level
Kit Kit	PS1 – Large landowners without livestock	80-820 k	1193	0,19	++++
KK KK KK KK	PS2 – Large dairy farms	205-645 k	11863	0,17	++
R.K.	PS3 – Mid-size diversified farms	56-135 k	1598	0,15	++++
	PS4 — Mid-size dairy farms	145-330 k	3084	0,22	++
N.K.	PS5 – Small diversified farms	35-46 k	197	0,25	+
AN AN AN	PS6 — Small dairy farms	43-49 k	481	0,16	+
RAN RAN RAN	PS7 – Sharecroppers with livestock	24 -40 k	270	0,29	0+
Ne of Ne	PS8 – Daily labourers with livestock	22-25 k	64	0,48	0+
ちょく いりん いちょく しょう しょう しょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひょう ひ	PS9 – Pastoral farmers	13 -32 k	678	0,23	0

Aubron et al., 2021

Conclusion for the 1st case-study

Farmers are not encouraged to use synthetic nitrogen fertilizers sparingly, due to subsidies to the fertilizer industry.

Social categories with sufficient access to land (>1 ha) have no interest in developing livestock farming, as they obtain high incomes from irrigated tobacco growing.

Social categories with limited access to land have an interest in developing livestock farming to generate additional income through the sale of milk, but lack the resources to feed their animals.

2ND CASE STUDY: GROUNDWATER AND FOSSIL ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN ANDHRA PRADESH (2021)




An **rainfed groundnut** area with a late and incomplete development of irrigation (vegetables and **fruit trees**)




... also going in the wrong direction from an environmental perspective

Declining use of animal energy, rising consumption of fossil energy



All values are expressed in GJ of energy

Territorial water balance: still positive but fragile



Managing water better in order to avoid reaching the tipping point


Favouring the cultivation of irrigated crops during the monsoon/rabi seasons and avoiding cultivation during the dry season, when evapotranspiration is high

Limiting the expansion of fruit plantations, which require water all year round


In theory possible ... but that's not what is currently happening!

Evolution of irrigated land and social diversity (1950-2022)

% households belonging to each social category




Huge income gaps, with access to irrigation (especially fruit cultivation) being the game changer



Agricultural income (Rs/family worker/yr)

2022: the poorer social categories recharge groundwater for the wealthier ones



Hemingway, 2023

Conclusion of the 2nd case-study

Farmers are not encouraged to use groundwater sparingly because thanks to subsidies electricity is free and available 6-hours a day

Social categories coming from former large holder farmers who accumulated capital with groundnut cultivation were able to invest earlier in borewells and irrigated crops in the 1990s. Part of them became fruit growers in the 2000s, earning today the highest agricultural incomes and pumping the largest quantities of groundwater in the area

Today groundnut growers, for most of them former landless agricultural labourers who benefited from the agrarian reform in the 1970s, have remained in the blind spot of irrigation. They get very low incomes from rainfed cultivation and depend on the farmers having access to irrigation for employment opportunities. They make a major contribution to water recharge.

CONCLUSION OF THE TWO CASE-STUDIES

- There are good reasons to reintegrate crops and livestock in Petlad and to manage groundwater differently in Rapthadu.
- The technical terms of such evolutions are relatively well known.
- But the political, social and economic conditions are not conducive to the implementation of agroecological practices:
 - Subsidies to chemical fertilizers and electricity for pumping water, on the contrary, encourage the use of inputs
 - Social organization hinders the changes: the well-off farmers have no interest in implementing these agroecological practices, while others do not have the means to do so.

RELEVANCE OF SUCH SOCIO-METABOLISM APPROACH FOR AGROECOLGICAL TRANSITION

Territorial level (ex: water balance between recharge and groundwater pumping on different areas)

Farm level (ex: crop-livestock integration)

Plot/herd level (ex: irrigation, animal feeding practices...) Combining scales of analysis (plot/herd, farm, territory)

Environmental impacts differentiated amongst farm types, with possible interdependences (ex: water recharge/withdrawal)

Inclusion of all social categories, with their specific means and interests for changes (ex: landless workers, pastoralists, farmers without irrigation...)

Combining scales of analysis (plot/herd, farm, territory)

Taking farm

social

diversity into

account

Taking farm social diversity into account Multicriteria assessment (env., social, economic...) Combining scales of analysis (plot/herd, farm, territory)

