

The root-knot nematode effector MiEFF12 targets the host ER quality control system to suppress immune responses and allow parasitism

Salomé Soulé, Kaiwei Huang, Karine Mulet, Joffrey Mejias, Jérémie Bazin, Nhat My Truong, Junior Lusu Kika, Stéphanie Jaubert, Pierre Abad, Jianlong Zhao, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Salomé Soulé, Kaiwei Huang, Karine Mulet, Joffrey Mejias, Jérémie Bazin, et al.. The root-knot nematode effector MiEFF12 targets the host ER quality control system to suppress immune responses and allow parasitism. Molecular Plant Pathology, 2024, 25 (7), 10.1111/mpp.13491. hal-04637246

HAL Id: hal-04637246 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04637246v1

Submitted on 5 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	The root-knot nematode effector MiEFF12 targets the host ER quality
2	control system to suppress immune responses and allow parasitism
3	
4	Salomé Soulé ^{1, #} , Kaiwei Huang ^{2, #} , Karine Mulet ¹ , Joffrey Mejias ^{1, 3} , Jérémie Bazin ⁴ , Truong
5	Nhat My ^{1, 5} , Junior Lusu Kika ¹ , Stéphanie Jaubert ¹ , Pierre Abad ¹ , Jianlong Zhao ^{2, *} , Bruno
6	Favery ^{1, *} , Michaël Quentin ^{1, *}
7	
8	¹ INRAE-Université Côte d'Azur-CNRS, UMR Institut Sophia Agrobiotech, FR-06903 Sophia
9	Antipolis France
10	² State Key Laboratory of Vegetable Biobreeding, Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese
11	Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 100081, Beijing, China
12	³ Present address: CIRAD, UMR PHIM, Montpellier, France
13	⁴ Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay (IPS2), CNRS, INRAE, Université Paris Saclay - Evry,
14	Université de Paris, Gif sur Yvette 91192, France
15	⁵ Present address: Vietnamese-German Center for Medical Research, 108 Military Central Hospital, Ha
16	Noi, Vietnam
17	
18	[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.
19	*Authors for correspondence:
20	Michaël Quentin (michael.quentin@inrae.fr), Bruno Favery (bruno.favery@inrae.fr) and Jianlong Zhao
21	(zhaojianlong@caas.cn).
22	
23	
24	Summary: 193 words. Text: 6702 words; Figures: 7.
25	Supplementary informations: 7 supplementary tables and 13 supplementary figures.
26	
27	

- 28 Abstract
- 29

30 Root-knot nematodes (RKN) are microscopic parasitic worms able to infest the roots of thousands of plant species, causing massive crop yield losses worldwide. They evade the plant's immune system and 31 32 manipulate plant cell physiology and metabolism to transform a few root cells into giant cells, which serve as feeding sites for the nematode. RKN parasitism is facilitated by the secretion in planta of 33 34 effector molecules, mostly proteins that hijack host cellular processes. We describe here a conserved RKN-specific effector, EFFECTOR12 (EFF12), which is synthesized exclusively in the esophageal 35 glands of the nematode, and we demonstrate its function in parasitism. In the plant, MiEFF12 localizes 36 to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). A combination of RNA-sequencing analysis and immunity-37 38 suppression bioassays revealed the contribution of MiEFF12 to the modulation of host immunity. Yeast 39 two-hybrid, split luciferase and co-immunoprecipitation approaches identified an essential component of the ER quality control system, the Solanum lycopersicum PLANT BAP-LIKE (PBL), and BASIC 40 LEUCINE ZIPPER 60 (BZIP60) proteins as host targets of MiEFF12. Finally, silencing the PBL genes 41 42 in N. benthamiana decreased susceptibility to M. incognita infection. Our results suggest that EFF12 manipulates PBL function to modify plant immune responses to allow parasitism. 43

44

45 Keywords: *Meloidogyne incognita*, effector, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), ER quality control, *Solanum*46 *lycopersicum*, *Nicotiana benthamiana*

47

49 1 | INTRODUCTION

50

51 Root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp., RKN) are extremely polyphagous plant pathogens responsible for huge losses in agriculture (Jones et al., 2013). These obligate biotrophic root parasites 52 manipulate plant functions to induce a permanent feeding structure. Following root penetration, the 53 RKN second-stage juveniles (J2s) migrate between the cells to reach the vascular cylinder, where they 54 55 induce the redifferentiation of five to seven selected vascular parenchyma cells into hypertrophied 56 multinucleate feeding cells or "giant cells". These giant cells are the sole source of nutrients for the 57 nematode and are essential for RKN development and reproduction. Concomitantly, the surrounding 58 cells, xylem and phloem proliferate, inducing typical root deformations known as galls or root knots 59 (Favery et al., 2020; Rutter et al., 2022). After successive molts, the adult female RKN lays eggs on the root surface. The success of RKNs as parasites depends on their ability to hijack essential host-cell to 60 induce and maintain a functioning feeding site. The formation of this feeding site is mediated by the 61 secretion into the host of effector proteins essential for RKN parasitism. These effectors hijack host cell 62 63 processes, including those involved in immune responses, thereby facilitating successful parasitism 64 (Vieira & Gleason, 2019; Rutter et al., 2022).

Plants can detect RKN infestation, which, like infections with other pathogens, triggers immune 65 66 responses (Kaloshian & Teixeira, 2019; Sato et al., 2019; Siddique et al., 2022). Plants specifically 67 recognize RKN pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or damage-associated molecular 68 patterns (DAMPs) released by the RKN during host invasion, via plasma membrane-associated pattern-69 recognition receptors (PRRs), which initiate an immune response known as pattern-triggered immunity 70 (PTI) (Sato et al., 2019; Goode & Mitchum, 2022; Siddique et al., 2022; Huang et al. 2023). PTI enables 71 the plant to respond to nematode attack by producing reactive oxygen species (ROS), antimicrobial 72 pathogenesis-related proteins (PR) and metabolites, and by reinforcing their cell walls (Sato et al., 2019; 73 Goode & Mitchum, 2022). In response, pathogens have evolved effector proteins that they secrete into 74 the host to suppress PTI (Jones & Dangl, 2006). Plants have acquired resistance genes enabling them to recognize such effectors specifically, leading to the initiation of effector-triggered immunity (ETI), 75 which results in localized cell death through the hypersensitive response (HR) (Jones & Dangl, 2006; 76

Sato *et al.*, 2019). More than 20 RKN effectors have been reported to be involved in suppression of the
PTI or ETI (Rutter *et al.*, 2022). These effectors include MjShKT, which has a *Stichodactyla* toxin
(ShKT) domain and is secreted by *Meloidogyne javanica* (Kumar *et al.*, 2023). MjShKT has been
implicated in suppression of the ETI and is the only RKN effector known to target the host-plant
endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Kumar *et al.*, 2023).

The ER is a highly dynamic organelle consisting of a complex network of cisternae and tubules 82 (Kriechbaumer & Brandizzi, 2020). It is physically connected to the nucleus, the plasma membrane, 83 84 plastids and mitochondria, and is continuous between cells, via the plasmodesmata (Michaud & Jouhet, 85 2019; Kriechbaumer & Brandizzi, 2020). The ER, thus, participates in intracellular and intercellular communications It is responsible for the biosynthesis and quality control of ER-resident proteins and 86 proteins destined for transportation to the vacuole, plasma membrane or apoplast (Kriechbaumer & 87 Brandizzi, 2020). It is involved in lipid biosynthesis and storage (Kanehara et al., 2022) and is an 88 important organelle for the storage of calcium (Ca^{2+}), an instrumental intracellular messenger (Costa *et* 89 al., 2018) involved in plant immune responses (Köster et al., 2022). The ER can therefore respond and 90 91 adapt to the biosynthetic requirements imposed on plant cells during plant growth and by environmental stress (Brandizzi, 2021). The ER quality control system (ERQC) identifies misfolded proteins and 92 directs them to the ER-associated degradation (ERAD) machinery (Strasser, 2018). In the absence of 93 94 such control, the accumulation of unfolded proteins within the ER would trigger ER stress, inducing the 95 unfolded protein response (UPR), leading to the production of proteins of the ERAD pathway and of 96 chaperones responsible for protein refolding, to restore ER homeostasis (Liu & Howell, 2016). If 97 prolonged, the UPR eventually leads to plant cell death (Liu & Howell, 2016).

The plant ER and ERQC system components are targeted by several plant pathogen effectors (reviewed by Jing & Wang, 2020; Breeze et al., 2023). For example, proteins acting downstream from ER stress sensors and responsible for inducing the UPR, such as the BZIP60 and NAC transcription factors, have been shown to be targeted by pathogen effectors (Jing & Wang, 2020; Breeze et al., 2023). Similarly, effectors secreted by the oomycete *Phytophthora sojae* interact with ER-resident chaperones, such as plant binding immunoglobulin proteins (BiPs), to suppress host immune responses (Jing *et al.*, 104 2016). Consistently, plants with an impaired ability to sense ER stress or to trigger the UPR are generally 105 more susceptible to pathogens (Moreno et al., 2012; McLellan et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). 106 We describe here EFF12, an RKN-specific effector expressed in the dorsal esophageal glands and conserved in different Meloidogyne species. We show that MiEFF12 is involved in M. incognita 107 parasitism and associates with the host-cell ER. A combination of transcriptomic and molecular analyses 108 109 indicated that MiEFF12 was involved in suppressing host immune responses. We show that MiEFF12 110 interacts with the ER-resident PLANT BAP-LIKE (PBL), orthologs of the human B-CELL RECEPTOR-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN 31 (BAP31 or BCAP31) and the BASIC LEUCINE ZIPPER 111 60 (BZIP60) proteins, two known components of ERQC systems. The silencing of PBL genes in N. 112 benthamiana decreased susceptibility to M. incognita, suggesting a role for this protein in plant defense 113 114 responses directed against RKN.

115

116 **2 | RESULTS**

117

118 2.1 | EFF12 is an RKN-specific effector required for parasitism

MiEFF12s are putative secreted effectors encoded by the MiEFF12a/Minc3s00905g18741/Minc12754 119 120 and its MiEFF12b/Minc3s01322g22768/Minc13608 gene two paralogs, and 121 MiEFF12c/Minc3s00876g18368/Minc01345 (Abad et al. 2008; Blanc-Mathieu et al., 2017) in M. 122 incognita. MiEFF12a, MiEFF12b and MiEFF12c are 96- to 98-amino acid (aa) proteins with a 23- to 123 25-amino acid signal peptide for secretion (SP) (Figure 1a). Secreted 73-aa MiEFF12 proteins display 124 no similarity to any other sequence in the genus *Meloidogyne* and they carry none of the functional 125 domains listed in public databases. The conserved C-terminal regions are enriched in positively (lysine-K) and negatively (asparagine-D and glutamic acid-E) charged residues. A protein blast search with 126 127 Wormbase (Kevin et al. 2016) identified one EFF12 homolog in M. hapla and four in M. arenaria and *M. javanica* (Figure 1b and 1c). A phylogenetic tree constructed from an alignment of 15 EFF12 protein 128 sequences and a pairwise analysis of nucleotide sequence identity revealed variations between copies 129 within the same species and identified MhEFF12 as the most divergent of these effectors (Figure 1b and 130 1c; Figure S1 and S2). These results indicate that EFF12 is an RKN-specific effector. *MiEFF12* genes 131

are more strongly expressed at the juvenile parasitic stages than at the J2 pre-parasitic stage, suggesting
that EFF12 may play a role in parasitism (Nguyen *et al.*, 2018; Da Rocha *et al.*, 2021).

We analyzed the possible secretion of EFF12 into the plant during parasitism, by using *in situ* hybridization to localize *EFF12* expression in nematode J2s. *MiEFF12* expression was observed exclusively in the dorsal esophageal gland of pre-parasitic *M. incognita* J2s, consistent with previous findings (Nguyen et al., 2018). A similar expression pattern, restricted to the dorsal gland cell, was observed in *M. enterolobii* with an antisense *MeEFF12* probe (Figure 2). Sense probes were used as negative controls and gave no staining in *M. incognita* or *M. enterolobii* (Figure 2).

We investigated the role of MiEFF12 in parasitism through a host-induced gene-silencing approach. 140 We silenced *MiEFF12* during *M. incognita* feeding on *Nicotiana benthamiana*, using the tobacco rattle 141 virus (TRV) for virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS). An empty VIGS construct and a construct 142 143 targeting the GFP transcript were used as controls. RT-qPCR analyses showed lower levels of MiEFF12 mRNA in nematodes collected from *N. benthamiana* roots (Figure 3a). Relative to the two controls, the 144 silencing of *MiEFF12* significantly decreased the numbers of both galls and egg masses produced by 145 146 *M. incognita* on *N. benthamiana* roots six weeks post-infection (Figure 3b). These results demonstrate 147 that MiEFF12 is an effector involved in parasitism.

148

149 2.2 | MiEFF12 modulates plant immune responses

150 Transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana lines overexpressing MiEFF12a were generated. A large-scale 151 investigation of the possible effects of MiEFF12 secretion on root physiology in planta was performed 152 by sequencing the transcripts of wild-type (Col-0) and MiEFF12-expressing Arabidopsis (line #C3; 153 Figure S3) roots. The RNA-sequencing data analysis identified 4,080 differentially expressed genes 154 (DEGs) (adjusted *P*-value ≤ 0.01). By analyzing DEGs with a log₂FoldChange of expression ≥ 1 or ≤ -1 , 155 we found that 1,103 genes were upregulated and 1,126 were downregulated in the roots of the MiEFF12expressing line relative to the wild type (Table S1). A gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis with 156 157 AgriGO v2.0 showed that genes associated with the GO biological process 'response to decreased oxygen level' were overrepresented among the DEGs upregulated in the MiEFF12-expressing line 158 (P=4.27e-7; Figure 4a; Table S2 and S3). Thirteen of the 19 genes associated with this GO term are also 159

upregulated in galls induced by *M. incognita* in *A. thaliana* (Table S3; Yamaguchi et al., 2017). Genes
involved in 'defense response' were overrepresented among the 1,126 DEGs downregulated in the
MiEFF12a-expressing line (*P*=2.16e-6; Figure 4b; Table S4 and S5). Most of the 103 genes associated
with this GO term and downregulated in MiEFF12-expressing *Arabidopsis* encoded nucleotide-binding
site (NBS) leucine-rich repeat (LRR) proteins, LRR-receptor kinase like the EF-TU receptor (EFR),
receptor-like proteins (RLPs) and PR proteins. These 103 genes included 48 known to be downregulated
in galls induced by *M. incognita* (Table S5; Yamaguchi et al., 2017).

167 Given the role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cellular signaling to initiate plant immune responses, we assessed the ability of MiEFF12a to affect the ROS burst induced by the bacterial PAMP 168 169 flg22 responsible for triggering PTI (Lee *et al.*, 2020). We quantified H_2O_2 in a previously described 170 luminol-based assay (Zhao et al., 2021), in agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana leaves with and without MiEFF12a expression, after treatment with flg22 or mock treatment. Almost no H₂O₂ production was 171 detected in plant leaves expressing MiEFF12a, whereas an ROS burst was observed in the negative 172 control following treatment with flg22 (Figure 4c). Thus, MiEFF12a abolished the H_2O_2 production 173 174 associated with PTI.

We also investigated whether MiEFF12a could suppress the programmed cell death physiologically 175 resembling the HR triggered by the mouse pro-apoptotic protein BAX (Lacomme & Santa Cruz, 1999). 176 177 BAX constructs were introduced into N. benthamiana leaves by agroinfiltration 24 h after MiEFF12 or 178 the control construct. As observed for the control, no inhibition of BAX-induced apoptosis was observed 179 when a GFP-MiEFF12a fusion was expressed in the plant (Figure 4d and Figure S3). ETI assays were 180 also performed with the *Globodera pallida* GpRBP-1 protein and the potato Gpa2 resistance protein. 181 GpRBP-1 is recognized by Gpa2 when co-expressed in N. benthamiana, triggering an HR (Sacco et al., 182 2009; Figure 4d). The induction of the Gpa2/GpRBP-1-mediated HR was suppressed by the co-183 expression of MiEFF12a in N. benthamiana leaves (Figure 4e and Figure S3). MiEFF12a suppressed the HR as efficiently as the Globodera rostochiensis effector GrCEP12 used as a control (Figure 4e; 184 Chronis et al., 2013). These findings indicate a possible role for MiEFF12 in suppressing plant immunity 185 186 during plant-nematode interactions.

188 2.3 | MiEFF12 targets the host-cell endoplasmic reticulum

The subcellular localization of proteins can help to elucidate their function. We localized EFF12 in plant 189 190 cells by performing transient expression assays in *N. benthamiana* leaves. The coding sequence (CDS) of MiEFF12a without the SP was transiently expressed as an N- or C-terminal fusion to GFP under 191 control of the CaMV p35S promoter. The GFP-MiEFF12a fusion was detected in the ER - visualized 192 as a reticulated network at the cell periphery and around the nucleus (Figure 5a). Intriguingly, the signal 193 194 for the MiEFF12a-GFP fusion was different, displaying localization within large perinuclear structures 195 (Figure 5b). We studied the localization of MiEFF12a further, using an RFP-ER marker (Nelson et al., 2007). With this marker, both the GFP fusions were found to colocalize with the ER marker (Figure 5c 196 197 and 5d). The use of the RFP-ER marker confirmed that the MiEFF12a-GFP signal associated with perinuclear structures was indeed associated with the ER, the distribution of which was disturbed by the 198 presence of the effector (Figure 5d). These findings indicate that MiEFF12 is an effector targeting the 199 200 ER, the structure and/or function of which it is capable of altering.

201

202 2.4 | MiEFF12a interacts with ER-associated proteins

203 We investigated the function of the MiEFF12 effector in manipulating host cell physiology in more 204 detail by performing a yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) screen to identify direct interactors in tomato. We used 205 MiEFF12a without its signal peptide as a bait, and a tomato root cDNA library from healthy and M. 206 incognita-infected roots as the prey (Hybrigenics service, France), as previously described (Zhao et al., 207 2020; Mejias et al., 2021). We screened 100 million interactions between MiEFF12 and the cDNA library. We identified two major effector targets, the Solanum lycopersicum PLANT BAP-LIKE 208 209 PROTEINS (SIPBL1 and SIPBL2) and the BASIC REGION/LEUCINE ZIPPER MOTIF 60 210 (SIBZIP60), which were captured 12 and 7 times, respectively (Table S6; Figure S4). Other selected 211 clones carried putative targets captured five times or less (Table S6). Both PBL and BZIP60, known ER-associated proteins involved in ERQC, the ERAD and/or UPR systems (Liu & Howell, 2016; 212 Atabekova et al., 2017), were considered in subsequent analyses. 213

214 Proteins present in the same subcellular compartment are more likely to be true interactors than those215 found in different compartments. We therefore performed agroinfiltration experiments to investigate the

subcellular distribution of SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 in N. benthamiana epidermal leaf cells. In S. 216 lycopersicum, five genes — SIPBL1 (Solyc12g005910), SIPBL2 (Solyc10g053910), SIPBL3 217 218 (Solyc09g059570), SlPBL4 (Solyc02g032930) and SlPBL5 (Solyc02g080870) — encode PBL proteins 219 (Atabekova et al., 2017), whereas SIBZIP60 is encoded by a single gene, Solyc04g082890 (Kaur & Kaitheri Kandoth, 2021) (Figure S5). The full-length CDS of SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 were cloned to 220 generate fusion proteins with GFP. Coexpression experiments confirmed the colocalization of RFP-221 222 MiEFF12 with GFP-SIPBL1 and GFP-BZIP60 in the ER (Figure 6a and 6b). Unlike RFP-MiEFF12 and 223 GFP-SIPBL1, GFP-SIBZIP60 was also observed in the nucleoplasm (Figure 6b). For the SIPBL1-GFP 224 fusion, fluorescence was observed in large perinuclear structures similar to those observed for the 225 MiEFF12a-GFP fusion, while SIBZIP60-GFP was mostly detected in the nucleoplasm (Figure S6). 226 These results confirm that SIPBL and SIBZIP60 are located in the ER and could interact with MiEFF12 227 in planta.

Co-immunoprecipitation assays (Co-IP) were then performed to validate these interactions. The full-228 length SIPBL1 and its soluble fragment and the full length of SIBZIP60 were fused separately with GFP 229 230 to generate the SIPBLtotal-GFP, SIPBLsoluble-GFP and GFP-SIBZIP60 constructs, respectively (Figure 6c). These constructs were co-expressed together with MiEFF12a carrying an HA tag 231 (MiEFF12a-HA), in N. benthamiana leaves. The complete SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 proteins were co-232 233 immunoprecipitated with MiEFF12a (Figure 6d). Furthermore, because RKN calreticulin (CRT) 234 effectors also localize in the ER when expressed in plant cells (Jaouannet et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2024), we used the *M. incognita* MiCRT1 effector as a negative control. MiCRT1 was unable to interact with 235 the full-length SIPBL1 or with its cytosolic fragment, nor with SIBZIP60 (Fig. 6d). These findings 236 237 demonstrate that MiEFF12a interacts with SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 at the ER of the plant cell.

238

239 2.5 | *PBLs* promote root-knot nematode parasitism

We then investigated the possible role of PBL and BZIP60 proteins in the plant immune response and RKN parasitism. A knockout *bzip60* Arabidopsis mutant line was challenged with *M. incognita*. Six weeks after inoculation, we observed no significant effect of the *BZIP60* mutation on the number of females producing egg masses (Figure S7a). Similarly, silencing the unique *NbBZIP60* gene in *N*.

benthamiana (Niben101Scf24096g00018) through a VIGS approach did not affect RKN development 244 245 or reproduction (Figure S8 and S7b). These results indicate that plant BZIP60 is not required for RKN 246 parasitism. We then silenced PBL genes in N. benthamiana, using the TRV for VIGS. Using the SGN 247 VIGS tool (Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2015), we selected six genes encoding NbPBLs in N. benthamiana: 248 NbPBL1a (Niben101Scf02543g02013), NbPBL1b (Niben101Scf08039g00007), NbPBL2a 249 (Niben101Scf00435g05003), NbPBL2b (Niben101Scf04477g03012), NbPBL3a 250 (Niben101Scf02516g00006) and NbPBL3b (Niben101Scf02145g09007) (Figure S9). Using a Co-IP approach, we could verify that MiEFF12a could indeed interact with NbPBL1a, NbPBL2a and 251 252 NbPBL3a (Figure S10). We then designed a chimeric TVR2 construct to silence all *NbPBL* genes. This 253 construct specifically targeted a 200-nucleotide region of each NbPBL1a/b, NbPBL2a/b and NbPBL3a/b 254 pair (Figure S11). A TRV2 targeting a GFP transcript was used as a negative control. The TRV1 and 255 TRV2 were introduced into three-week-old N. benthamiana plants with A. tumefaciens. Seven days after inoculation (dai), root samples were harvested for RNA extraction, and the remaining plants were 256 257 inoculated with 200 M. incognita J2s (Figure 7a). RT-qPCR was performed to confirm that the targeted 258 NbPBL genes in the treated N. benthamiana plants were effectively silenced relative to the control 259 (Figure 7b). The plants were recovered six weeks post-infection with *M. incognita*. The plants displayed no macroscopic developmental phenotype, and root weight was not altered by the silencing of NbPBL 260 261 genes (Figure S12). Roots were stained with eosin, and galls and egg masses were counted. In three 262 independent experiments, the numbers of galls and egg masses were found to be significantly smaller 263 in plants with silenced PBL genes than in controls (Figure 7c). To uncover PBLs involvement in 264 regulating plant response to RKN infection, we analyzed SIPBL1 function in suppressing plant defenses 265 as described earlier. SIPBL1 could suppress ROS burst triggered by fgl22 in N. benthamiana (Figure 266 S13). These results suggest that RKNs hijack the function of the plant PBL proteins, negative regulators 267 of plant defense, to promote host susceptibility to *M. incognita*.

268

269 3 | DISCUSSION

270

271 3.1 | MiEFF12 is an effector targeting the host ER and involved in defense suppression

272 Numerous RKN effectors have been reported to suppress plant immunity (Vieira and Gleason; Rutter et al., 2022). MiCRT, a calreticulin secreted into the host apoplast, was the first RKN effector shown to 273 274 suppress PTI-triggered callose deposition and the induction of PR genes (Jaouannet et al., 2013). Several 275 PTI-suppressing effectors have since been reported, including M. incognita MiMIF-2, MiCTL1 and MiPDCD6, and M. graminicola MgMO289 (Zhao et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021; 276 277 Kamaruzzaman et al., 2022). RKN effectors have also been implicated in the suppression of ETI-type 278 cell death. The effectors involved include M. enterolobii MeTCTP, M. javanica Mj10A08 and Mimsp40, 279 which suppress the programmed cell death triggered by the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family protein BAX 280 (Hu et al., 2022; Niu et al., 2016; Zhuo et al., 2017). The co-expression of a resistance gene with the 281 corresponding avirulence effector is often used to trigger an HR in such bioassays (Naalden et al., 2018; 282 Nguyen et al., 2018). Low levels of cell death via the HR are triggered by the recognition of G. pallida 283 RBP1 proteins by the potato Gpa2 (Carpentier et al., 2013), which can be suppressed by some RKN 284 effectors, such as *M. graminicola* MgMO289 (Song et al., 2021).

We describe here a conserved RKN effector, EFF12, which is produced in the dorsal gland. Despite the absence of a known functional domain, MiEFF12 suppresses the ROS burst induced by the flg22 peptide and the HR triggered by co-expression of the GpRBP1 effector and the potato Gpa2 resistance protein. However, like the HR-suppressing MiSGCR1 effector (Nguyen et al., 2018), MiEFF12 was unable to suppress the strong cell death response induced by BAX. A genome-scaled transcriptomic analysis on an MiEFF12a-overexpressing *Arabidopsis* line further confirmed the function of EFF12 in repressing defense-associated genes.

292 Transient expression experiments in N. benthamiana epidermal leaf cells demonstrated that 293 MiEFF12, while its not having an ER-retention signal, targets the ER. Several RKN effectors, such as 294 MiASP2 and 6D4 (Vieira et al., 2011), have been reported to target the host apoplast, whereas others, 295 such as MiPDI1 and MiCTL-1 are secreted into the cytoplasm (Zhao et al., 2020 and 2021), or target 296 the nucleus, as reported for MiEFF1 and MiEFF18 (Truong et al., 2021; Mejias et al., 2021). Only a few 297 have been reported to target the plant endomembrane system. Several pathogens are known to target the ER to ensure the successful infestation of plants (Jing & Wang, 2020) and MiEFF12 is the third RKN 298 299 effector demonstrated to target this endomembrane compartment. Kumar et al. (2023) recently described the *M. javanica* MjShKT effector, which localizes to the ER and Golgi compartments when transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* epidermal leaf cells. However, the host cell targets of MjShKT and the mechanism of its suppression of plant cell death have not yet been identified. MgCRT1 is another RKN effector, secreted into giant cells, that localize in the ER during parasitism (Liu et al., 2024). MgCRT1 was shown to interact with an ER-localised rice calmodulin-like protein OsCML31 to regulate rice immunity and promote susceptibility to *M. graminicola*.

306

307 3.2 | PBL is a new host-cell player in RKN parasitism

308 We identified BZIP60 and PBLs, which are known to be resident in the ER, as the host-cell targets of 309 MiEFF12, consistent with the subcellular distribution reported here for this effector. The full length BZIP60 is anchored in the ER membrane, where its function remains unknown. But when ER stress is 310 elevated, the stress sensor and activator INOSITOL-REQUIRING ENZYME 1 (IRE1) is splicing 311 312 BZIP60 mRNA, resulting in the production of a transcription factor that can be translocated in the nucleus allowing the UPR to restore ER homeostasis. Roles for IRE1 and BZIP60 in plant immunity 313 314 have been documented (Bao & Howell, 2017; Jing & Wang, 2020). Our results indicate that suppressing BZIP60 function does not alter plants susceptibility to RKN. The role of other UPR branches, having 315 overlapping functions with IRE1/BZIP60 pathway, in this biotrophic interaction remains, however, to 316 be investigated. B-CELL RECEPTOR-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN 31 (BAP31) is a conserved integral 317 318 ER-associated protein with three transmembrane domains in its N-terminal region and a C-terminal 319 cytosolic tail (Quistgaard, 2021). In animals, BAP31 has been described as an important chaperone or 320 quality control factor involved in ER membrane protein sorting, promoting protein retention in the ER, 321 transport from the ER to the downstream secretory pathway or other cellular compartments, or targeting 322 to the ERAD system for degradation (Quistgaard, 2021; Wakana et al., 2008). It is also cleaved by 323 caspase and plays a role in apoptosis (Breckenridge et al., 2003; Quistgaard, 2021). The equivalent proteins to BAP31 in plants are probably BAP-like proteins (PBLs) and are encoded by multigene 324 families (Atabekova et al., 2017). The functions of PBLs remain largely undescribed. A PBL from N. 325 tabacum, NtPBL, was shown to interact with the tobacco protein Nt-4/1, a protein known to interact 326 with plant virus movement proteins and to affect the long-distance transport of potato spindle tuber 327

328 viroid (PSTVd) via the phloem (Pankratenko et al., 2017). Interestingly, NtPBL was shown to induce the relocalization of Nt-4/1 to ER bodies and large aggregates with a granular structure (Pankratenko et 329 330 al., 2017) similar to those we observed when overexpressing MiEFF12a- or SIPBL1-GFP fusions. In animal cells, the re-localization of BAP31 under stress conditions to a juxta-nuclear ER compartment 331 332 involved in the ER-associated degradation of misfolded proteins is well documented (Quistgaard, 2021). In addition, the cytosolic tail of NtPBL has been shown to bind RNA (pre-miRNA and viroid RNA), 333 334 and the expression of NtPBL in N. benthamiana via a TRV-based approach strongly affects plant development and/or the symptoms induced by TRV (Atabekova et al., 2017). We show here that 335 336 NbPBLs play a role in RKN parasitism, as plants in which NbPBL genes were silenced were less 337 susceptible to *M. incognita*. Furthermore, our finding indicates that PBLs negatively regulate host 338 immunity.

339

340 3.3 | MiEFF12 targets plant PBLs to suppress ER-mediated immunity

The ER plays an important role in plant immunity. PRRs are synthesized in the ER and are subject to 341 342 ER quality control to ensure that they are transported in the correctly folded form from the ER to the 343 plasma membrane (Park & Seo, 2015). As an example, actors of the ER quality control were shown to be involved in the EF-Tu receptor (EFR) biogenesis (Li et al., 2009; Nekrasov et al., 2009). Similarly, 344 345 antimicrobial PR proteins secreted following recognition of the infesting pathogens are produced and 346 folded at the ER and then processed by the Golgi apparatus for delivery to the apoplast. As a result, the 347 ER is frequently targeted by pathogens and several ER-associated proteins have been implicated in plant responses to pathogen infections (Jing & Wang, 2020). Given the role of BAP31 as a chaperone 348 349 controlling the fate of the protein — retention in the ER, export, degradation by the ERAD or escape 350 from degradation (Quistgaard, 2021) — we suggest that these functions are conserved in the PBLs of 351 plants and are hijacked by MiEFF12 to prevent the initiation or full expression of PTI. We have shown that MiEFF12a can suppress HR-cell death, possibly through its interaction with SIPBLs. In plants, the 352 353 ER, or more specifically ER stress, is a recognized initiator of programmed cell death (Eichmann & Schäfer, 2012; Simoni et al., 2022). The role of PBLs in modulating these pathways remains to be 354 elucidated, but the induction of plant cell death programs must be prevented if biotrophic interactions 355

are to be initiated and maintained throughout the nematode life cycle. Several molecules have already
been identified as involved in both PTI and ETI, and PBLs may play such a role at the interaction of the
PTI and ETI signaling pathways.

Further analysis would be required to define more precisely the functions of PBLs in regulating plant immunity and the ways in which the effector affects them. This study has taken the first step in this process by revealing a new pathogenic strategy used by RKN to hijack plant metabolism. In this strategy, the MiEFF12 effector targets PBL corrupting their functions so as to promote RKN parasitism. PBLencoding genes, thus, emerge as promising susceptibility genes that could be targeted in innovative breeding strategies with the aim of generating RKN-resistant crops.

365

366 4 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

367

368 4.1 | Nematode and plant materials

Meloidogyne incognita strain "Morelos" was multiplied in tomato (S. lycopersicum cv. "Saint Pierre") 369 370 grown in a growth chamber (25°C, with a 16 h photoperiod). Freshly hatched J2s were collected as described by Caillaud & Favery (2020). The previously described bzip60 mutant (SALK 050203; Lu 371 & Christopher, 2008) was obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC, Ohio 372 373 State University, Columbus, OH, USA). For VIGS experiments, N. benthamiana seeds were sown on 374 soil and incubated at 4°C for two days. After germination, plantlets were transplanted into pots containing soil and sand (1:1) and were grown at 24°C (photoperiod, 16 h light: 8 h dark). For 375 376 transcriptome analysis, Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilized and added to liquid MS medium (0.5 377 x MS salts, 1% sucrose, pH 6.4). They were incubated at 25°C, under a 12-hour period, with gentle 378 shaking (70 rpm), as previously described (Mejias et al., 2021).

379

380 4.2 | EFF12 sequence analysis, alignment, and phylogenetic tree

The sequences of putative EFF12 paralogs and orthologs were obtained from *Meloidogyne* genomic
resources (http://www6.inra.fr/meloidogyne_incognita and Wormbase parasite). We used 15 *Meloidogyne* EFF12 sequences from *M. arenaria*, *M. enterolobii*, *M. incognita*, *M. floridensis*, and *M.*

javanica in this analysis. The sequences of the proteins encoded by these genes were analyzed with PHOBIUS and Prosite to identify the SP and to search for putative functional domains, respectively (<u>http://phobius.sbc.su.se/; https://prosite.expasy.org/</u>). EFF12 sequences were aligned with the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson *et al.*, 2003) and their evolutionary history was inferred by maximum likelihood methods, as previously described (Berger *et al.*, 2020). *Meloidogyne hapla* was used as the outgroup for the phylogenetic tree based on putative orthologs of EFF12.

390

391 4.3 | *In situ* hybridization (ISH)

ISH was performed on freshly hatched *M. incognita* and *M. enterolobii* J2s, as previously described
(Jaouannet *et al.*, 2018). For probe production, the MiEFF12a, and MeEFF12 sequences were amplified
specifically from entry vectors with the primers MiEFF12_GW3 and MiEFF12_GW5 (for MiEFF12a)
or MeEFF12_GW3 and MeEFF12_GW5 (for MeEFF12) (Table S7). Sense probes for MiEFF12a and
MeEFF12 were used as negative controls. Photomicrographs were obtained with a Zeiss Axioplan2
microscope (Zeiss, Germany).

398

399 4.4 | RKN infection assay, juveniles in the plant

Three-week-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings were inoculated with 200 *M. incognita* J2s per plant. Roots were collected six weeks after infection and stained with 0.5% eosin. The number of females forming egg masses was then determined. *N. benthamiana* plants subjected to HIGS/VIGS were inoculated with 200 *M. incognita* J2s per plant, seven dpi with TRV, and incubated at 24°C. Infected *N. benthamiana* roots were collected six weeks after infection. Galls or egg masses were counted under a binocular microscope, and the root system was weighted.

406

407 **4.5** | Subcellular distribution in the plant

The *M. incognita* MiEFF12 CDS lacking the signal peptide, the *S. lycopersicum* PBL (total and soluble portion) and BZIP60 (unspliced form) were amplified by PCR with specific primers (Table S7) and inserted into the pDON207 donor vector. They were recombined in pK2GW7 (P35S:MiEFF12),

pK7WGR2 (P35S:mRFP-MiEFF12a), pK7FGW2 (P35S:eGFP-SIPBL, P35S:eGFP-SIBZIP60 and 411 P35S:eGFP-MiEFF12a), or pK7FWG2 (P35S:SIPBL-eGFP, P35S:SIBZIP60-eGFP and P35S: 412 413 MiEFF12a-eGFP) (Karimi et al., 2002) with Gateway technology (Invitrogen). All the constructs were sequenced (GATC Biotech) and transferred into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. Leaves from three- to 414 four-week-old N. benthamiana plants were subjected to agroinfiltration with recombinant strains of A. 415 tumefaciens containing GFP or RFP vectors, as described by Mejias et al. (2021). Leaves were imaged 416 417 48 hours after agroinfiltration, with an inverted confocal microscope (LSM 880; Zeiss) equipped with 418 an argon ion laser as the excitation source. Samples were excited at 488 nm for GFP and 543 nm for 419 RFP. GFP and RFP emissions were detected selectively with 505-530 nm and 560-615 nm band-pass 420 emission filters, respectively.

421

422 **4.6** | Yeast two-hybrid screen

For the Y2H screen, the CDS of the MiEFF12 without the SP was used as a bait. The MiEFF12a
sequence was amplified (Table S2) and inserted into the pB27 vector (Hybrigenics Services, Paris,
France). The Y2H screen was performed with an infested tomato root cDNA library (Hybrigenics
Services, Paris, France), as previously described (Mejias *et al.*, 2021).

427

428 4.7 | Host-induced silencing of the MiEFF12a gene and virus-induced silencing of PBL and BZIP60 429 genes

VIGS/HIGS assays were performed on N. benthamiana. For HIGS experiments, fragments of 430 431 MiEFF12a (291 bp) and GFP (298 bp) were amplified by PCR with the primers listed in Table S7 and 432 inserted into the TRV2 vector. HIGS experiments were performed as described by Zhao et al. (2021), and RT-qPCR were performed to validate the silencing of the MiEFF12 genes 10 dai with the TRV. We 433 434 used the VIGS-Tool (https://vigs.solgenomics.net/) to design the best sequence for silencing BZIP60 and PBL genes in N. benthamiana (Figure S7 and S9). A 300 nucleotides fragment of NbBZIP60 was 435 PCR-amplified using specific primers (Table S7) before ligation into the TVR2 plasmid. The nucleotide 436 sequences for the following pairs of genes - NbPBL1a/NbPBL1b, NbPBL2a/NbPBL2b, 437 *NbPBL3a/NbPBL3b* — were sufficiently similar for the design of a chimeric construct targeting 200 438

nucleotides for each pair synthesized with pUC57 (Gene Universal Inc, Newark DE, USA). The insert
was then inserted into the TRV2 plasmid (Figure S9) and the resulting construct was used to transform *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101. VIGS experiments were performed as previously described (Mejias et
al., 2022). Each treatment involved at least 20 *N. benthamiana* plants, and the entire experiment was
performed at least twice.

444

445 **4.8** | Cell death and PTI suppression assay in *N. benthamiana*

The CDS of MiEFF12 and GFP were amplified and inserted into the super1300 vector. The resulting constructs were then used to transform *A. tumefaciens* GV3101. *Agrobacterium* cells carrying BAX or GpRBP1/Gpa2 were used to trigger cell death in *N. benthamiana* leaves (Sacco *et al.*, 2009; Jing *et al.*, 2016). GrCEP12 was used as a positive control to suppress GpRBP1/Gpa2-induced cell death (Chronis et al., 2013). Agro-infiltrations into four-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves were performed as described elsewhere (Nguyen *et al.*, 2018). Photographs were taken 5 dpi for the assessment of cell death.

For the ROS assays the CDS of MiEFF12 without its native SP and SIPBL1 were inserted into the super 1300 vector. Four-weeks old *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* carrying the plasmid, and buffer was used for control. Two days after infiltration, leaf discs were collected and prepared for the ROS assay (luminol-based method) as previously described (Chen *et al.*, 2013).

456

457 **4.9 | Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy® Mini kit (Qiagen), and cDNA was synthesized with the SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis system (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. At least three separate biological replicates were performed for each experiment. PCR was performed with Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (29; Fermentas, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) on an I-Cycler (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) with gene-specific primers (Table S1). Quantifications and statistical analyses were performed with SATqPCR (Rancurel *et al.*, 2019).

465

466 4.10 | Co-immunoprecipitation assays

For the Co-IP assay, the CDS of MiCRT and MiEFF12a (without the signal peptide sequence) or 467 SIPBL1total, SIPBL1soluble, NbPBL1a, NbPBL2a and NbPBL3a were inserted into the super1300 468 469 vectors with a HA-tag and a GFP-tag, respectively, fused to the C-terminal end of the sequence, and 470 SIBZIP60 was cloned into pBIN vector with a GFP-tag fused to the N-terminal of the sequence. Total protein was extracted from four-week-old N. benthamiana leaves co-expressing SIPBL1 or SIBZIP60 471 and MiEFF12a or MiCRT, after 48 h of infiltration. Co-IPs were performed with BeyoMagTM anti-HA 472 473 Magnetic Beads (Beyotime, China), and the eluted proteins were identified by western blotting with 474 anti-GFP (Beyotime, China) and anti-HA (Beyotime, China) antibodies as described by Zhao et al. 475 (2023).

476

477 **4.11 | Transcriptome analysis**

478 For RNA-seq experiments, seeds were surface-sterilized and sown in liquid MS medium (1/2MS salts, 479 1% sucrose, pH 6.4) with gentle shaking (70 rpm), under a 12 h : 12 h, light : dark photoperiod, at 25°C. 480 Roots were collected after 11 days and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted 481 with TriZol (Invitrogen), according to the Invitrogen protocol. The RNA was treated with DNAse (Ambion), and its quality and integrity were assessed with a Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Library construction, 482 paired-end sequencing and data analysis were performed as described by Mejias et al. (2021). Gene 483 484 ontology enrichment analysis performed with the agriGO v2.0 toolkit was 485 (http://systemsbiology.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2/; Tian et al., 2017), using default parameters.

486

487 4.12 | Statistical analysis

Graphs and plots were created with R and Microsoft® Office Excel® 2019. Statistical analyses were
performed with R software (R Development Core Team, version 4.1.0). and SATqPCR
(https://satqpcr.sophia.inrae.fr/cgi/home.cgi) (Rancurel *et al.*, 2019).

491

492 **4.13** | Accession numbers

493 Sequence data from this article can be found in Solgenomics (https://solgenomics.net/) and
494 GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: *Meloidogyne incognita*

MiEFF12a/Minc12754 (KX907763), MiCRT/Minc06693 (AF402771.1); Arabidopsis thaliana 495 AtBZIP60 (AT1G42990); Nicotiana benthamiana NbBZIP60 (Niben101Scf24096g00018), NbPBL1a 496 497 (Niben101Scf02543g02013), NbPBL1b (Niben101Scf08039g00007), NbPBL2a (Niben101Scf00435g05003), (Niben101Scf04477g03012), 498 NbPBL2b NbPBL3a (Niben101Scf02516g00006), NbPBL3b (Niben101Scf02145g09007); Solanum 499 lycopersicum SIBZIP60 (Solyc04g082890), SIPBL1 (Solyc12g005910), SIPBL2 (Solyc10g053910), SIPBL3 500 501 (Solyc09g059570), SIPBL4 (Solyc02g032930), SIPBL5 (Solyc02g080870). The transcriptome data are available from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession number PRJNA641665 and 502 503 PRJNA719908 (A. thaliana Col-0 and P35S:MiEFF12 roots, respectively).

504

505 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

506 We thank Dr Johnathan Dalzell and Steven Dyer (Queen's University Belfast, UK) for the tomato VIGS 507 protocol and vectors, Prof. S.P. Dinesh-Kumar (University of California, Davis, USA) for VIGS 508 vectors and Hybrigenics Services (Paris, France) for providing the pB27 vector and the L40 Δ Gal4 yeast 509 strains. We thank Pauline Foubert for taking care of the plant material. Microscopy work was performed at the SPIBOC imaging facility of Institut Sophia Agrobiotech and we thank Dr Olivier Pierre for his 510 help with microscopy. This work was funded by INRAE, by the French Government (National 511 512 Research Agency, ANR) through the LabEx Signalife (#ANR-11-LABX-0028-01), IDEX UCAJedi 513 (#ANR-15-IDEX-0001), the LabEx Saclay Plant Sciences (#ANR-10-LABX-40) and the MASH project (#ANR-21-CE20-0002), the INRAE-Syngenta Nem-Targetom project, and the National Key 514 515 Research and Development Program of China (2023YFD1400400). S.S. holds a doctoral fellowship 516 from INRAE SPE department and Université Côte d'Azur. J.M. holds a doctoral fellowship from the 517 French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, de la Recherche et de l'Innovation (MENRT grant). 518 N.M.T. was supported by a USTH fellowship, as part of the 911-USTH program of the Ministry of 519 Education and Training of The Socialist Republic of Vietnam.

520

521 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- S.S., J.Z., B.F. and M.Q. designed the experiments. S.S., K.H., K.M., J.M., J.B, T.N.M., J.L.K. and 522
- M.Q. performed the experiments. S.S., J.B., S.J., P.A., J.Z., B.F. and M.Q. analyzed the data. S.J., P.A., 523
- 524 J.Z., B.F. and M.Q. obtained funding, and supervised experiments and data analysis. SS., P.A., B.F.
- and M.Q. wrote the manuscript. All the authors read and edited the final manuscript. 525
- 526

527 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

- 528 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.
- 529
- 530 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon 531

- 532 reasonable request.
- 533

544

534 REFERENCES

- Abad, P., Gouzy, J., Aury, J.M., Castagnone-Sereno, P., Danchin, E.G., Deleury, E. et al. (2008) 535 536 Genome sequence of the metazoan plant-parasitic nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Nature Biotechnology, 26, 909-15. 537
- Atabekova, A.K., Pankratenko, A.V., Makarova, S.S., Lazareva, E.A., Owens, R.A., Solovyev, A.G. et 538
- 539 al. (2017) Phylogenetic and functional analyses of a plant protein related to human B-cell receptor-540 associated proteins. Biochimie, 132, 28-37.
- Berger, A., Boscari, A., Horta Arau' jo, N., Maucourt, M., Hanchi, M., Bernillon, S. et al. (2020) Plant 541
- 542 nitrate reductases regulate nitric oxide production and nitrogen-fixing metabolism during the 543 Medicago truncatula-Sinorhizobium meliloti symbiosis. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 1313.
- Blanc-Mathieu, R., Perfus-Barbeoch, L., Aury, J.-M.M., Da Rocha, M., Gouzy, J., Sallet, E., et al.
- 545 (2017) Hybridization and polyploidy enable genomic plasticity without sex in the most devastating
- plant-parasitic nematodes. PLoS Genetics, 13, e1006777. 546
- 547 von Bargen, S., Salchert, K., Paape, M., Piechulla, B. & Kellmann, J.W. (2001) Interactions between
- the tomato spotted wilt virus movement protein and plant proteins showing homologies to myosin, 548
- kinesin and DnaJ-like chaperones. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 39, 1083-1093. 549

- Brandizzi F. (2021) Maintaining the structural and functional homeostasis of the plant endoplasmic
 reticulum. Developmental Cell, 56, 919-932.
- 552 Breckenridge, D.G., Stojanovic, M., Marcellus, R.C. & Shore, G.C. (2003) Caspase cleavage product
- of BAP31 induces mitochondrial fission through endoplasmic reticulum calcium signals, enhancing
 cytochrome c release to the cytosol. Journal of Cell Biology, 160, 1115-1127.
- 555 Breeze, E., Vale, V., McLellan, H., Pecrix, Y., Godiard, L., Grant, M. et al. (2023) A tell tail sign: a
- conserved C-terminal tail-anchor domain targets a subset of pathogen effectors to the plant
 endoplasmic reticulum. Journal of Experimental Botany, 74, 3188-3202.
- Caillaud, M.C. & Favery, B. (2016) In vivo imaging of microtubule organization in dividing giant cell.
 Methods in Molecular Biology, 1370,137-44.
- 560 Carpentier, J., Grenier, E., Esquibet, M., Hamel, L.P., Moffett, P., Manzanares-Dauleux, M.J. et al.
- 561 (2013) Evolution and variability of Solanum RanGAP2, a cofactor in the incompatible interaction
- between the resistance protein GPA2 and the Globodera pallida effector Gp-RBP-1. BMCEvolutionary Biology, 13, 87.
- Chen, H., Zou, Y., Shang, Y., Lin, H., Wang, Y., Cai, R. et al. (2008) Firefly luciferase complementation
 imaging assay for protein-protein interactions in plants. Plant Physiology 146: 368–376.
- 566 Chronis, D., Chen, S., Lu, S., Hewezi, T., Carpenter, S.C.D., Loria, R. et al. (2013), A ubiquitin carboxyl
- secreted from a plant-parasitic nematode *Globodera rostochiensis* is cleaved in
 planta to promote plant parasitism. Plant Journal, 74, 185-196.
- Costa, A., Navazio, L. & Szabo, I. (2018) The contribution of organelles to plant intracellular calcium
 signalling. Journal of Experimental Botany, 69, 4175-4193.
- 571 Eichmann, R. & Schäfer, P. (2012) The endoplasmic reticulum in plant immunity and cell death.
 572 Frontiers in Plant Science, 3, 1-6.
- 573 Favery, B., Dubreuil, G., Chen, M.S., Giron, D. & Abad, P. (2020) Gall-inducing parasites: convergent
- and conserved strategies of plant manipulation by insects and nematodes. Annual Review of
- 575 Phytopathology, 58, 1-22.

- Fernandez-Pozo, N., Rosli, H.G., Martin, G.B. & Mueller, L.A. (2015) The SGN VIGS tool: userfriendly software to design virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) constructs for functional genomics.
 Molecular Plant, 8, 486-8.
- Goode, K. & Mitchum, M.G. (2022) Pattern-triggered immunity against root-knot nematode infection:
 a minireview. Physiologia Plantarum, 174, e13680.
- 581 Gu, Y., Zavaliev, R. & Dong, X. (2017) Membrane Trafficking in Plant Immunity. Molecular Plant, 10,
 582 1026–1034.
- Hu, L., Lin, B., Chen, J., Song, H., Zhuo, K., & Liao, J. (2022). The effector MJ-10A08 of Meloidogyne
 javanica is required for parasitism that suppressed programmed cell death in Nicotiana benthamiana.
 Nematology, 24, 939-952.
- Huang, L., Yuan, Y., Lewis, C., Kud, J., Kuhl, J.C., Caplan, A., et al. (2023) NILR1 perceives a
 nematode ascaroside triggering immune signaling and resistance. Current Biology, 33, 3992-3997.
- Jaouannet, M., Magliano, M., Arguel, M.J., Gourgues, M., Evangelisti, E., Abad, P. et al. (2013) The
- root-knot nematode calreticulin Mi-CRT is a key effector in plant defense suppression. Molecular
 Plant-Microbe Interactions, 26, 97-105.
- Jaouannet, M., Nguyen C.N., Quentin, M., Jaubert-Possamai, S., Rosso, M.N. & Favery, B. (2018) In
- situ hybridization (ISH) in preparasitic and parasitic stages of the plant-parasitic nematodeMeloidogyne spp. Bio-Protocol, 8, 1-13.
- Jing, M., Guo, B., Li, H., Yang, B., Wang, H., Kong, G. et al. (2016) A Phytophthora sojae effector
 suppresses endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated immunity by stabilizing plant Binding
 immunoglobulin Proteins. Nature Communications, 7, 11685.
- 597 Jing, M. & Wang, Y. (2020) Plant pathogens utilize effectors to hijack the host endoplasmic reticulum
- as part of their infection strategy. Engineering, 6, 500-504.
- Jones, J.D.G. & Dangl, J.L. (2006) The plant immune system. Nature, 444, 323-329.
- Jones, J.T., Haegeman, A., Danchin, E.G.J., Gaur, H.S., Helder, J., Jones, M.G.K. et al. (2013) Top 10
- 601 plant-parasitic nematodes. Molecular Plant Pathology, 14, 946-961.
- 602 Kaloshian, I. & Teixeira, M. (2019) Advances in plant-nematode interactions with emphasis on the
- notorious nematode genus Meloidogyne. Phytopathology, 109, 1988-1996.

- Kamaruzzaman, M., Zhao, L-F., Zhang, J-A., Zhu, L-T., Li, Y. et al. (2023) MiPDCD6 effector
 suppresses host PAMP-triggered immunity to facilitate Meloidogyne incognita parasitism in tomato.
 Plant Pathology, 72, 195–206.
- Kanehara, K., Cho, Y. & Yu, C.Y. (2022) A lipid viewpoint on the plant endoplasmic reticulum stress
 response. Journal of Experimental Botany, 73, 2835-2847.
- Karimi, M., Inzé, D. & Ann, D. (2002) GATEWAYTM vectors for Agrobacterium-mediated plant
 transformation. Trends in Plant Science, 7, 193-195.
- Karssen, G. & Moens, M. (1983) Root-knot nematodes. In: Perry, R.N and Moens, M. (Eds.) Plant
 Nematology. Wallingford, CABI, pp. 59-90.
- 613 Kaur, N. & Kaitheri Kandoth, P. (2021) Tomato bZIP60 mRNA undergoes splicing in endoplasmic
- reticulum stress and in response to environmental stresses. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 160,397-403.
- Köster, P., DeFalco, T.A. & Zipfel, C. (2022) Ca2+ signals in plant immunity. The EMBO Journal, 41,
 1-18.
- Kriechbaumer, V. & Brandizzi F. (2020). The plant endoplasmic reticulum: an organized chaos of
 tubules and sheets with multiple functions. Journal of Microscopy, 280, 122-133.
- 620 Kumar, A., Fitoussi, N., Sanadhya, P., Sichov, N., Bucki, P., Bornstein, M., et al. (2023) Two candidate
- 621 Meloidogyne javanica effector genes, MjShKT and MjPUT3: a functional investigation of their roles
- 622 in regulating nematode parasitism. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 36, 79-94.
- 623 Kamaruzzaman, M., Zhao, L.F., Zhang, J.A., Zhu, L.T., Li, Y. & Deng, X.D. et al. (2023) MiPDCD6
- 624 effector suppresses host PAMP-triggered immunity to facilitate Meloidogyne incognita parasitism in
- tomato. Plant Pathology, 72, 195-206.
- Lacomme, C. & Santa Cruz, S. (1999) Bax-induced cell death in tobacco is similar to the hypersensitive
 response. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 96, 7956-7961.
- 628 Landry, D., Mila, I., Sabbagh, C.R.R., Zaffuto, M., Pouzet, C., Tremousaygue, D. et al. (2023) An NLR
- 629 Integrated Domain toolkit to identify plant pathogen effector targets. The Plant Journal, 115, 1443-
- **630** 1457.

- Lee, D.H., Lal, N.K., Lin, Z.J.D., Ma, S., Liu, J., Castro, B., et al. (2020) Regulation of reactive oxygen
 species during plant immunity through phosphorylation and ubiquitination of RBOHD. Nature
 Communications 11, 1838.
- 634 Li, J., Zhao-Hui, C., Batoux, M., Nekrasov, V., Roux, M., Chinchilla, D., et al. (2009) Specific ER
- 635 quality control components required for biogenesis of the plant innate immune receptor EFR.
- 636 Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA, 106, 15973-15978.
- Liu, J.X. & Howell, S.H. (2016) Managing the protein folding demands in the endoplasmic reticulum
 of plants. The New phytologist, 211, 418-428.
- Liu, J., Zhang, J., Wei, Y., Su, W., Li, W., Wang, B. et al. (2024) The nematode effector calreticulin
- 640 competes with the high mobility group protein OsHMGB1 for binding to the rice calmodulin-like
- 641 protein OsCML31 to enhance rice susceptibility to *Meloidogyne graminicola*. Plant, Cell &
- 642 Environment, 47, 1732–1746.
- Lu, DP. & Christopher, D.A. (2008) Endoplasmic reticulum stress activates the expression of a subgroup of protein disulfide isomerase genes and AtbZIP60 modulates the response in Arabidopsis
 thaliana. Molecular Genetics and Genomics, 280, 199-210.
- 646 Makarova, S.S., Minina, E.A., Makarov, V.V., Semenyuk, P.I., Kopertekh, L., Schiemann, J. et al.
- 647 (2011) Orthologues of a plant-specific At-4/1 gene in the genus Nicotiana and the structural properties
 648 of bacterially expressed 4/1 protein. Biochimie, 93, 1770-1778.
- 649 Mejias, J., Bazin, J., Truong, N.M., Chen, Y., Marteu, N., Bouteiller, N. et al. (2021) The root-knot
- 650 nematode effector MiEFF18 interacts with the plant core spliceosomal protein SmD1 required for giant
- cell formation. New Phytologist, 229, 3408-3423.
- 652 Mejias, J., Chen, Y, Bazin, J., Truong, N.M., Mulet, K., Noureddine, Y., et al. (2022) Silencing the
- conserved small nuclear ribonucleoprotein SmD1 target gene alters susceptibility to root-knot
 nematodes in plants. Plant Physiology, 189, 1741-1756.
- Michaud, M. & Jouhet, J. (2019) Lipid trafficking at membrane contact sites during plant development
- and stress response. Frontiers in Plant Science, 10, 2.

- Naalden, D., Haegeman, A., de Almeida-Engler, J., Birhane Eshetu, F., Bauters, L. & Gheysen, G.
 (2018) The Meloidogyne graminicola effector Mg16820 is secreted in the apoplast and cytoplasm to
- suppress plant host defense responses. Molecular Plant Patholohy, 19, 2416-2430.
- 660 Nekrasov, V., Li, J., Batoux, M., Roux, M., Chu, Z.H., Lacombe, S., et al. (2019) Control of the pattern-
- recognition receptor EFR by an ER protein complex in plant immunity. The EMBO Journal, 28, 34283438.
- Nelson, B.K., Cai, X. & Nebenführ, A. (2007) A multicolored set of in vivo organelle markers for colocalization studies in Arabidopsis and other plants. Plant Journal, 51, 1126-1136.
- 665 Nguyen, H.P., Chakravarthy, S., Velásquez, A.C., McLane, H.L., Zeng, L., Nakayashiki, H. et al. (2010)
- Methods to study PAMP-triggered immunity using tomato and Nicotiana benthamiana. Molecular
 Plant-Microbe Interactions, 23, 991-999.
- 668 Nguyen, C.N., Perfus-Barbeoch, L., Quentin, M., Zhao, J., Magliano, M., Marteu, N. et al. (2018) A
- root-knot nematode small glycine and cysteine-rich secreted effector, MiSGCR1, is involved in plant
 parasitism. New Phytologist, 217, 687–699.
- Niu, J., Liu, P., Liu, Q., Chen, C., Guo, Q., Yin, J. et al. (2016) Msp40 effector of root-knot nematode
 manipulates plant immunity to facilitate parasitism. Scientific Reports, 6,19443.
- 673 Pankratenko, A.V., Atabekova, A.K., Lazareva, E.A., Baksheeva, V.E., Zhironkina, O.A., Zernii, E.Y.
- et al. (2017) Plant-specific 4/1 polypeptide interacts with an endoplasmic reticulum protein related to
- 675 human BAP31. Planta, 245: 193-205.
- Park, C.J. & Seo, Y.S. (2015) Heat shock proteins: A review of the molecular chaperones for plant
 immunity. Plant Pathology Journal, 31, 323-333.
- 678 Quistgaard, E.M. (2021) BAP31: Physiological functions and roles in disease. Biochimie, 186, 105-129.
- 679 Rancurel, C., van Tran, T., Elie, C. & Hilliou, F. (2019) SATQPCR: Website for statistical analysis of
- real-time quantitative PCR data. Molecular and Cellular Probes, 46, 101418.
- da Rocha M, Bournaud C, Dazenière J, Thorpe P, Bailly-Bechet M, Pellegrin C, et al. (2021) Genome
- 682 expression dynamics reveal the parasitism regulatory landscape of the root-knot nematode
- 683 Meloidogyne incognita and a promoter motif associated with effector genes. Genes 12, 771.

- Rutter, W.B., Franco, J. & Gleason, C. (2022) Rooting out the mechanisms of root-knot nematode-plant
 interactions. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 60, 1-34.
- 686 Sacco, M.A., Koropacka, K., Grenier, E., Jaubert, M.J., Blanchard, A., Goverse, A. et al. (2009) The
- 687 cyst nematode SPRYSEC protein RBP-1 elicits Gpa2- and RanGAP2-dependent plant cell death.
- 688 PLoS Pathogens, 5, e1000564.
- Sato, K., Kadota, Y. & Shirasu, K. (2019) Plant immune responses to parasitic nematodes. Frontiers in
 Plant Science, 10, 1165.
- 691 Siddique, S., Coomer, A., Baum, T. & Williamson, V.M. (2022) Recognition and response in plant692 nematode interactions. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 60, 143-162.
- 693 Simoni, E.B., Oliveira, C.C., Fraga, O.T., Reis, P.A.B. & Fontes, E.P.B. (2022) Cell death signaling
- 694 from endoplasmic reticulum stress: plant-specific and conserved features. Frontiers Plant Science, 13,695 835738.
- 696 Song, H., Lin, B., Huang, Q., Sun, L., Chen, J., Hu, L. et al. (2021) The Meloidogyne graminicola
- 697 effector MgMO289 targets a novel copper metallochaperone to suppress immunity in rice. Journal of698 Experimental Botany, 72, 5638-5655.
- Strasser R. (2018) Protein quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum. Current Opinion in CellBiology, 65, 96-102.
- 701 Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG. 2003. Multiple Sequence Alignment Using ClustalW and
 702 ClustalX. Current Protocols in Bioinformatics 1: 2–22.
- Tian, T., Liu, Y., Yan, H., You, Q., Yi, X., Du, Z. et al. (2017) AgriGO v2.0: A GO analysis toolkit for
 the agricultural community, 2017 update. Nucleic Acids Research 45: W122–W129.
- 705 Truong, N.M., Chen, Y., Mejias, J., Soulé, S., Mulet, K., Jaouannet, M. et al. (2021) The Meloidogyne
- incognita nuclear effector MiEFF1 interacts with Arabidopsis cytosolic glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
 dehydrogenases to promote parasitism. Front Plant Science, 12, 641480.
- Vieira, P., Danchin, E.G., Neveu, C., Crozat, C., Jaubert, S., Hussey, R.S. et al. (2011) The plant
 apoplasm is an important recipient compartment for nematode secreted proteins. Journal of
- **710**Experimental Botany, 62,1241-53.

- Vieira, P. & Gleason, C. (2019) Plant-parasitic nematode effectors insights into their diversity and new
 tools for their identification. Current Opinion Plant Biology, 50, 37-43.
- Wakana, Y., Takai, S., Nakajima, K., Tani, K., Yamamoto, A., Watson, P. et al. (2008) Bap31 is an
 itinerant protein that moves between the peripheral endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and a juxtanuclear
 compartment related to ER-associated Degradation. Molecular Biology of the Cell, 19, 1825-1836.
- 716 Wang, K., Remigi, P., Anisimova, M., Lonjon, F., Kars, I., Kajava, A. et al. (2016) Functional
- assignment to positively selected sites in the core type III effector RipG7 from Ralstonia solanacearum.
- 718 Molecular Plant Pathology, 17, 553-564.
- 719 Yamaguchi, Y.L., Suzuki, R., Cabrera, J., Nakagami, S., Sagara, T., Ejima, C. et al. (2017) Root-knot
- and cyst nematodes activate procambium-associated genes in arabidopsis roots. Frontiers in PlantScience, 8, 1195.
- Zhao, J., Huang, K., Liu, R., Lai, Y, Abad, P., Favery, B. et al. (2023) A root-knot nematode effector
 Mi2G02 hijacks a host plant trihelix transcription factor for nematode parasitism. Plant
 Communications, doi : 10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100723.
- Zhao, J., Mejias, J., Quentin, M., Chen, Y., de Almeida-Engler, J., Mao, Z. et al. (2020) The root-knot
 nematode effector MiPDI1 targets a stress-associated protein (SAP) to establish disease in Solanaceae
- and Arabidopsis. New Phytologist, 228, 1417-1430.
- 728 Zhao, J., Sun, Q., Quentin, M., Ling, J., Abad, P., Zhang, X. et al. (2021) A Meloidogyne incognita C-
- type lectin effector targets plant catalases to promote parasitism. New Phytologist 232, 2124-2137.
- 730 Zhuo, K., Chen, J., Lin, B., Wang, J., Sun, F., Hu, L. et al. (2017) A novel Meloidogyne enterolobii
- effector MeTCTP promotes parasitism by suppressing programmed cell death in host plants.
- 732 Molecular Plant Pathology, 18, 45-54.
- 733

734 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **Table S1.** Differentially expressed genes identified in the MiEFF12-expressing *Arabidopsis* line.
- 736 Table S2. Gene ontology (GO) analyses of the 1,103 genes upregulated in the MiEFF12a-expressing
- 737 line with a $\log_2 fd \ge 1$.

- 738 Table S3. List of 19 genes upregulated in the EFF12-expressing line and associated with GO term '
- 739 GO:0036293 response to decreased oxygen levels'.
- **Table S4.** Gene ontology (GO) analyses of the 1,126 genes downregulated in the MiEFF12a-expressing

741 line with $\log_2 fd \leq -1$.

- 742 Table S5. List of 103 genes downregulated in the EFF12-expressing line and associated with GO term
- 743 'GO:0006952 defense response'.
- **Table S6.** Results of the yeast two-hybrid screen using MiEFF12a as a bait against the tomato rootcDNA library.
- 746 **Table S7.** Primers used in this study.
- 747 Figure S1. Amino acid sequences of EFF12 effector proteins identified in RKN species.
- **Figure S2.** Nucleotide sequences of *EFF12*-encoding genes identified in RKN species.
- 749 Figure S3. Ectopic expression of MiEFF12a in Arabidopsis thaliana does not affect root development.
- **Figure S4.** MiEFF12a interacts with SIPBL1, SIPBL2 and SIBZIP60 in yeast.
- 751 **Figure S5.** Nucleotide sequences of SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60
- 752 Figure S6. MiEFF12a and SIPBL1 colocalize in N. benthamiana epidermal leaf cells and both
- 753 MiEFF12a- and SIPBL1-GFP fusions were localized in large subcellular structures mostly juxtanuclear.
- **Figure S7.** Nucleotide sequences of *N. benthamiana* BZIP60 and and design of the VIGS construct.
- **Figure S8.** Plant BZIP60 is not required for *M. incognita* parasitism.
- **Figure S9.** Nucleotide sequence of *N. benthamiana* PBL genes targeted by the VIGS approach.
- **Figure S10.** MiEFF12a physically interacts in planta with NbPBL1a, NbPBL2a and NbPBL3a.
- Figure S11. A chimeric sequence was introduced into the TRV2 RNA to silence the NbPBL genesthrough VIGS.
- **Figure S12.** The silencing of *PBL* genes by VIGS does not affect *N. benthamiana* root development.
- 761 Figure S13. MiEFF12a and SIPBL1a suppress flg22-mediated reactive oxygen species (ROS)
- 762 production in *Nicotiana benthamiana*.
- 763

764 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Effector 12 (EFF12) is a conserved effector in root-knot nematodes. (a) Alignment 766 767 of the MiEFF12 protein sequences. The green box indicates the position of the signal peptide for secretion. The conserved C-terminal region is enriched in positively (lysine-K) and 768 769 negatively (asparagine-D and glutamic acid-E) charged residues. (b) Phylogenetic tree of *Meloidogyne* spp. EFF12 amino-acid sequences. The percentages displayed next to each branch 770 represent the number of tree replicates in which the associated taxa were assembled together in 771 772 1,000 bootstraps. The lengths of the branches are not proportional to phylogenetic distance. (c) 773 Pairwise sequence identity matrix for RKN EFF12 nucleotide sequences.

774

Figure 2. The *Meloidogyne EFF12* genes are specifically expressed in the dorsal esophageal gland. *In situ* hybridization with specific antisense probes localized *EFF12* transcripts exclusively in the dorsal gland cell of pre-parasitic juveniles of *M. incognita* and *M. enterolobii*. Sense probes for *MiEFF12* and *MeEFF12* transcripts were used as a negative control and gave no signal. DG, dorsal gland. Bars = $20 \,\mu$ m.

780

Figure 3. The silencing of *MiEFF12* genes by host-induced gene silencing affects *M. incognita* 781 parasitism. (a) Transcript quantification by RT-qPCR confirmed the effective silencing of 782 MiEFF12 genes in parasitic nematodes extracted from N. benthamiana roots infected with 783 TRV2-MiEFF12 relative to controls (TRV2-empty and TRV2-GFP). Normalized relative 784 transcript levels for three independent biological replicates are shown. (b) Infection test on N. 785 benthamiana control plants (TRV2-empty and TRV2-GFP) and plants producing siRNA for 786 the silencing of MiEFF12 genes in M. incognita (TRV2-MiEFF12). Galls were counted six 787 weeks after inoculation with 200 *M. incognita* second-stage juveniles per plant. Results from 788 two independent experiments are shown (n=15 and n=17 plants for test N°1 and N°2, 789 respectively). The cross represents average value. Box indicates interquartile range (25th to the 790

791 75th percentile). The central line within the box represents mean value. Whiskers indicate the 792 minimum and maximum values for the normal values present in the dataset. Statistical 793 significance was assessed in Student's *t* tests. Significant differences were observed between 794 controls and TRV-MiEFF12 plants (* p < 0.05).

795

Figure 4. MiEFF12 suppress host defense responses. (a-b) Gene ontology (GO) enrichment 796 analysis of DEGs in the Arabidopsis MiEFF12a-expressing line with AgriGO v2.0. (a) GO 797 798 enrichment analysis for the 1,103 genes upregulated in the MiEFF12a-expressing line with $\log_2 fd \ge 1$, indicating an enrichment in genes related to the response to decreased oxygen levels. 799 800 (b) GO enrichment analysis on the 1,126 genes downregulated in the MiEFF12a-expressing line with $\log_2 fd \leq -1$, indicating an enrichment in genes related to the defense response. (c) 801 MiEFF12 suppresses flg22-mediated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in Nicotiana 802 803 benthamiana. Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 carrying MiEFF12a was used to infiltrate the leaves of N. benthamiana plants. Infiltrated leaf discs were collected 48 h post-804 805 agroinfiltration and assayed for ROS production in response to treatment with the flg22 elicitor. ROS production was monitored for 40 min, and the values shown are the mean relative 806 luminescence units \pm SD for 28 leaf discs. (d) BAX-triggered cell death was not suppressed by 807 MiEFF12a. Photographs for assessment of the cell-death phenotype were taken five days after 808 the last infiltration. (e) Gpa2/RBP-1-triggered cell death was suppressed by MiEFF12a. 809 Photographs showing the suppression of cell death were taken five days after the last 810 infiltration. Each cell death suppression bioassay was performed at least three times; results 811 812 from a representative experiment are shown.

813

Figure 5. MiEFF12a was localized to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of epidermal *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf cells. (a) Single-plane confocal images of *N. benthamiana* leaf cells infiltrated

with Agrobacterium tumefaciens and producing MiEFF12a without its signal peptide, fused to 816 817 the C-terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (GFP-MiEFF12a; green signal; left pictures). Overlays of differential interference contrast and fluorescence images are 818 shown (right pictures). (b) Single-plane confocal images of *N. benthamiana* leaf cells infiltrated 819 with A. tumefaciens and producing MiEFF12a without the signal peptide, fused to the N-820 821 terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (MiEFF12a-GFP; green signal; left pictures). Overlays of differential interference contrast and fluorescence images are shown 822 (right pictures). (c) The monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) signal (RFP-ER; red signal) 823 of an ER marker was used to analyze colocalization of the GFP-MiEFF12a fusion (green signal) 824 and the ER. (d) The mRFP signal (red signal) of the ER marker was used to analyze its co-825 localization with the MiEFF12a-GFP fusion (green signal). Both fusions between the GFP and 826 827 MiEFF12a colocalized with the ER marker in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells. Asterisk; nucleus. 828 Scale bars: 20 µm.

829

Figure 6. MiEFF12a physically interacts in planta with SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60. SIPBL1 and 830 SIBZIP60 co-localized localized with MiEFF12a in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of 831 epidermal Nicotiana benthamiana leaf cells. (a) Single-plane confocal images of N. 832 benthamiana leaf cells infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens and producing SIPBL1, 833 fused to the C-terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (GFP-SIPBL1; green 834 signal) and MiEFF12a fused to the c-terminal end of the red fluorescent protein (RFP) reporter 835 836 (RFP-MiEFF12a; red signal). (b) Single-plane confocal images of *N. benthamiana* leaf cells infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens and producing SIBZIP60, fused to the C-terminal 837 end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (GFP-SIBZIP60; green signal) and the RFP-838 MiEFF12a recombinant protein (RFP-MiEFF12a: red signal). Overlays of fluorescence images 839 840 are shown (merge). Scale bars: 20 µm. (c) Schematic representation of the full-length (tot, total)

and truncated (sol, soluble) SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 proteins used for interactomic assays. (d) 841 842 Co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that MiEFF12a interacted with the full-length SIPBL1 and BZIP60. SIPBLtot-GFP, SIPBLsol-GFP or SIBZIP60 were transiently co-843 expressed with MiEFF12a-HA or MiCRT in tobacco leaves. The Co-IP experiment was 844 performed with anti-HA affinity gel, and the protein isolated was analyzed by immunoblotting 845 with anti-GFP antibodies to detect SIPBLtot and SIPBLsol, and with anti-HA antibodies to 846 847 detect MiEFF12a and MiCRT. Three independent experiments were performed, with similar results. 848

849

850 Figure 7. The silencing of *PBL* genes in *N. benthamiana* affects susceptibility to *M. incognita*. (a) Timeline used for virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) experiments. (b) RT-qPCR showing 851 the efficient silencing of the NbPBL1a/b, NbPBL2a/b and NbPBL3a/b gene pairs in N. 852 853 benthamiana control plants (TRV-GFP) and plants in which NbPBLs were silenced (TRV2-PBLs). The data shown are normalized relative transcript levels for three independent biological 854 855 replicates obtained with SatqPCR software. The NbEF1a and NbGADPH housekeeping genes were used for data normalization. Error bars indicate the SEM. (c) Infection test on N. 856 benthamiana TRV-GFP or TRV2-PBLs plants. Galls were counted six weeks after inoculation 857 with 200 *M. incognita* second-stage juveniles (J2s) per plant. Results from three independent 858 experiments are shown (n=19, n=15 and n= 21 plants for test N°1, N°2 and N°3, respectively). 859 The cross represents average value. Box indicates interquartile range (25th to the 75th 860 percentile). The central line within the box represents mean value. Whiskers indicate the 861 minimum and maximum values for the normal values present in the dataset. Statistical 862 significance was determined in Student's t test and significant differences were observed 863 between TRV-GFP control and TRV-PBL plants (* p < 0.01). 864

865



Figure 1. Effector 12 (EFF12) is a conserved effector in root-knot nematodes. (a) Alignment of the MiEFF12 protein sequences. The green box indicates the position of the signal peptide for secretion. The conserved C-terminal region is enriched in positively (lysine-K) and negatively (asparagine-D and glutamic acid-E) charged residues. (b) Phylogenetic tree of Meloidogyne spp. EFF12 amino-acid sequences. The percentages displayed next to each branch represent the number of tree replicates in which the associated taxa were assembled together in 1,000 bootstraps. The lengths of the branches are not proportional to phylogenetic distance. (c) Pairwise sequence identity matrix for RKN EFF12 nucleotide sequences.

183x123mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. The Meloidogyne EFF12 genes are specifically expressed in the dorsal esophageal gland. In situ hybridization with specific antisense probes localized EFF12 transcripts exclusively in the dorsal gland cell of pre-parasitic juveniles of M. incognita and M. enterolobii. Sense probes for MiEFF12 and MeEFF12 transcripts were used as a negative control and gave no signal. DG, dorsal gland. Bars = 20 µm.

115x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3. The silencing of MiEFF12 genes by host-induced gene silencing affects M. incognita parasitism. (a) Transcript quantification by RT-qPCR confirmed the effective silencing of MiEFF12 genes in parasitic nematodes extracted from N. benthamiana roots infected with TRV2-MiEFF12 relative to controls (TRV2empty and TRV2-GFP). Normalized relative transcript levels for three independent biological replicates are shown. (b) Infection test on N. benthamiana control plants (TRV2-empty and TRV2-GFP) and plants producing siRNA for the silencing of MiEFF12 genes in M. incognita (TRV2-MiEFF12). Galls were counted six weeks after inoculation with 200 M. incognita second-stage juveniles per plant. Results from two independent experiments are shown (n=15 and n=17 plants for test N°1 and N°2, respectively). The cross represents average value. Box indicates interquartile range (25th to the 75th percentile). The central line within the box represents mean value. Whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum values for the normal values present in the dataset. Statistical significance was assessed in Student's t tests. Significant differences were observed between controls and TRV-MiEFF12 plants (* p < 0.05).

335x118mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4. MiEFF12 suppress host defense responses. (a-b) Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of DEGs in the Arabidopsis MiEFF12a-expressing line with AgriGO v2.0. (a) GO enrichment analysis for the 1,103 genes upregulated in the MiEFF12a-expressing line with log2fd≥1, indicating an enrichment in genes related to the response to decreased oxygen levels. (b) GO enrichment analysis on the 1,126 genes downregulated in the MiEFF12a-expressing line with log2fd≤-1, indicating an enrichment in genes related to the defense response. (c) MiEFF12 suppresses flg22-mediated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in Nicotiana benthamiana. Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 carrying MiEFF12a was used to infiltrate the leaves of N. benthamiana plants. Infiltrated leaf discs were collected 48 h post-agroinfiltration and assayed for ROS production in response to treatment with the flg22 elicitor. ROS production was monitored for 40 min, and the values shown are the mean relative luminescence units ± SD for 28 leaf discs. (d) BAX-triggered cell death was not suppressed by MiEFF12a. Photographs for assessment of the cell-death phenotype were taken five days after the last infiltration. (e) Gpa2/RBP-1-triggered cell death was suppressed by MiEFF12a. Photographs showing the suppression of cell death were taken five days after the last infiltration. Each cell death suppression bioassay was performed at least three times; results from a representative experiment are shown.

354x277mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 5. MiEFF12a was localized to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of epidermal Nicotiana benthamiana leaf cells. (a) Single-plane confocal images of N. benthamiana leaf cells infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens and producing MiEFF12a without its signal peptide, fused to the C-terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (GFP-MiEFF12a; green signal; left pictures). Overlays of differential interference contrast and fluorescence images are shown (right pictures). (b) Single-plane confocal images of N. benthamiana leaf cells infiltrated with A. tumefaciens and producing MiEFF12a without the signal peptide, fused to the N-terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (MiEFF12a-GFP; green signal; left pictures). Overlays of differential interference contrast and fluorescence images are shown (right pictures). (c) The monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) signal (RFP-ER; red signal) of an ER marker was used to analyze colocalization of the GFP-MiEFF12a fusion (green signal) and the ER. (d) The mRFP signal (red signal) of the ER marker was used to analyze its co-localization with the MiEFF12a-GFP fusion (green signal). Both fusions between the GFP and MiEFF12a colocalized with the ER marker in N. benthamiana leaf cells. Asterisk; nucleus. Scale bars: 20 µm.

225x226mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6. MiEFF12a physically interacts in planta with SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60. SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 co-localized localized with MiEFF12a in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of epidermal Nicotiana benthamiana leaf cells. (a) Single-plane confocal images of N. benthamiana leaf cells infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens and producing SIPBL1, fused to the C-terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (GFP-SIPBL1; green signal) and MiEFF12a fused to the c-terminal end of the red fluorescent protein (RFP) reporter (RFP-MiEFF12a; red signal). (b) Single-plane confocal images of N. benthamiana leaf cells infiltrated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens and producing SIBZIP60, fused to the C-terminal end of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter (GFP-SIBZIP60; green signal) and the RFP-MiEFF12a recombinant protein (RFP-MiEFF12a: red signal). Overlays of fluorescence images are shown (merge). Scale bars: 20 µm. (c) Schematic representation of the full-length (tot, total) and truncated (sol, soluble) SIPBL1 and SIBZIP60 proteins used for interactomic assays. (d) Co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that MiEFF12a interacted with the full-length SIPBL1 and BZIP60. SIPBLtot-GFP, SIPBLsol-GFP or SIBZIP60 were transiently co-expressed with MiEFF12a-HA or MiCRT in tobacco leaves. The Co-IP experiment was performed with anti-HA affinity gel, and the protein isolated was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP antibodies to detect

SIPBLtot and SIPBLsol, and with anti-HA antibodies to detect MiEFF12a and MiCRT. Three independent experiments were performed, with similar results.

138x243mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 7. The silencing of PBL genes in N. benthamiana affects susceptibility to M. incognita. (a) Timeline used for virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) experiments. (b) RT-qPCR showing the efficient silencing of the NbPBL1a/b, NbPBL2a/b and NbPBL3a/b gene pairs in N. benthamiana control plants (TRV-GFP) and plants in which NbPBLs were silenced (TRV2-PBLs). The data shown are normalized relative transcript levels for three independent biological replicates obtained with SatqPCR software. The NbEF1a and NbGADPH housekeeping genes were used for data normalization. Error bars indicate the SEM. (c) Infection test on N. benthamiana TRV-GFP or TRV2-PBLs plants. Galls were counted six weeks after inoculation with 200 M. incognita second-stage juveniles (J2s) per plant. Results from three independent experiments are shown (n=19, n=15 and n= 21 plants for test N°1, N°2 and N°3, respectively). The cross represents average value. Box indicates interquartile range (25th to the 75th percentile). The central line within the box represents mean value. Whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum values for the normal values present in the dataset. Statistical significance was determined in Student's t test and significant differences were observed between TRV-GFP control and TRV-PBL plants (* p < 0.01).

242x133mm (300 x 300 DPI)