



**HAL**  
open science

## Value chains middlemen's roles in the market gardeners' crop planning: which impact on farmers adaptive capacity?

Axel Graner, Claire Lesur-Dumoulin, Marie-Hélène Jeuffroy, Ronan Le Velly,  
Laure Hossard

### ► To cite this version:

Axel Graner, Claire Lesur-Dumoulin, Marie-Hélène Jeuffroy, Ronan Le Velly, Laure Hossard. Value chains middlemen's roles in the market gardeners' crop planning: which impact on farmers adaptive capacity?. SYSTEMIC CHANGE FOR SUSTAINABLE FUTURES, International Farming Systems Association - Europe Group; Council for Agricultural Research and Economics; University of Palermo, Territorial Pole Trapani, Jun 2024, Trapani, Italy. hal-04647701

**HAL Id: hal-04647701**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04647701v1>**

Submitted on 15 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Value chains middlemen's roles in the market gardeners' crop planning: which impact on farmers adaptive capacity?

**IFSA Congress Trapani**

**Axel GRANER**, Claire LESUR-DUMOULIN, Marie-Hélène  
JEUFFROY, Ronan LE VELLY and Laure HOSSARD

[axel.graner@inrae.fr](mailto:axel.graner@inrae.fr)

**INRAE**

IFSA 01/07/2024  
Axel GRANER

**UE Maraîchage**



**ideas**  
INITIATIVE FOR DESIGN  
IN AGRIFOOD SYSTEMS



**INRAE**

## > Context

Fresh vegetable systems

- Features

- Fresh products (unprocessed)
- Small surfaces (<50ha)
- Manual
- Not in rotation with arable crops



- Specificity

- Perishable and seasonal (de Raymond et al., 2013)
  - Tight production timing
- Large number of potential crops


## ➤ Context

Fresh vegetable long value chain

### • Middlemen

- value chain actors who buy production directly to farmers, and are involved in crop planning (Tordjman et al., 2005)
- “convert a production *dispersed and fluctuating* into a *concentrated and steady supply*” (Nozières-Petit 2014, p.125)


### ➤ Planning coordinated between farmers and middlemen



Non exhaustive  
(Adapted from Levet  
et Hutin 2019)

## ➤ Research question


Farmers planning and selling practices



(Navarrete & Le Bail, 2007) :  
Agronomic, Strategic and  
organisational factors

## ➤ Research question

Farmers adaptive capacity



### Adaptive capacity

*“capacity to anticipate perturbation [...]; to design and implement strategies so as not to be harmed by those perturbations; and to maintain system function, structure, identity, and feedback”*  
(Van der Lee et al., 2022, p.3).

## ➤ Research question


- **To what extent farmers adapt their crop planning to face a context of increasing disturbances ?**

# ➤ Material and Methods

## Method

- **Diagnosis of uses (Cerf et al., 2012)**
  - Understanding the diversity of ways to address an issue in a concrete situation of use
  - Diagnosis of crop planning
    - How farmers and middlemen plan production
    - Which disturbances are faced
    - How farmers adapt their crop planning to disturbances
- **Case study: Roussillon Plain, France**
  - One of main french vegetable production basin
  - Historically oriented on long value chain

## Artichoke 2018





## ➤ Material and Methods

### Semi-structured interviews

- **Snowball sampling method (Parker et al., 2019)**

- Diversity of situations
- Symetric viewpoints of farmers and middlemen
- 18 farmers, 6 middlemen and 1 advisors\*

- **Analysis**


- Themes inductively identified
- Answers compared and linked to farmers/middlemen features

Type of actor	Organic/ conventional	Size	Number of vegetable crops
Farmers	Organic : 9	<5 ha : 8	≤3 crops : 5
	Conventional : 5	5-9ha : 4	3-9 crops : 8
	Mixed : 4	10-56 ha : 6	≥ 10 crops : 5
Middlemen	Organic : 3	5 farmers: 2	≤5 crops : 2
	Conventional : 1	5-15 farmers: 2	6-10 crops : 2
	Mixed : 2	30-40 farmers: 2	≥ 10 crops : 2

\* Advisors paid by middlemen to work with farmers for crop planning and monitoring

## ➤ Coordinated planning procedure

- 2 campaigns
  - «Spring-summer and Autumn-winter, as in fashion» (M1)
- Example: Autumn-winter campaign



**Negotiations :**  
**Crops, volume, period**


## > Adaptive capacity

	Negotiations		
	Crops	Period	Volume
<b>Farmers' constraints and objectives</b>	Equipment Other crops Profitability Know-how	Seasonality Labor peak Price/risk	Labor Land + management units Gross margin
<b>Middlemen's expectations</b>	List of potential crops mainly decided by middlemen	Long and continuous supply	> Bulk threshold < Commercial capacity
<b>Farmers' adaptive capacity</b>	Propose a new crop, if validated by the middleman Find another outlet	Adjust harvest date Hire seasonal workers	Compensate between crops Hire seasonal workers

- > Divergences between farmers' constraints and objectives and middlemen's expectations may limit farmers' adaptive capacity

## ➤ Coordinated planning procedure


- 2 campaigns
  - «Spring-summer and Autumn-winter, as in fashion» (M1)
- Example: Autumn-winter campaign



➤ Adaptive dynamic is limited by irreversible step of seedlings ordering

## ➤ Coordinated planning procedure

- 2 campaigns
  - «Spring-summer and Autumn-winter, as in fashion» (M1)
- Example: Autumn-winter campaign



**Negotiations :**  
**Crops, volume,**  
**period**

No contract : reciprocal commitment

*“We have a moral duty of supply, and they have a moral duty of outlet and price” (F5)*


➤ How farmers adapt selling to overproduction?

## ➤ Outlet organization

- 3 types of agreements with middlemen

Agreements	Characteristics
1st circle	Planned, reciprocal prioritization
2nd circle	Planned, not prioritized
3rd circle	Not planned

- 4 Planned outlet combination (farmers marketing system)



3rd circle : dynamic,  
hard to identify


## ➤ Outlet organization

- 3 types of agreements

Agreements	Characteristics
1st circle	Planned, reciprocal prioritization
2nd circle	Planned, not prioritized
3rd circle	Not planned

If the period where it is stuck is too long, I'm glad to commit with [M1], I tell them [...] I'll bring you 500kg everyday next week, and they say OK, so they stop taking to other [suppliers] who did not commit [with them] (F4)

As I didn't used to serve them, [...] I had to enter step by step, one pallet after the other. (F2)



They can have a market completely stuck. And in that case, if you managed to have two customers, one is getting a bit better than the other. And you can pass some [of your production] (F18)

[the volume of] a retailer it's 200kg. So if you have 60 tons of artichokes on your hands, they won't sell it (F7)

➤ Agreement with middlemen influence the way farmers face overproduction

## ➤ Practical implications

- Farmers' adaptive capacity depends on:
  - Constraints (e.g. farm equipment)
  - Objectives (e.g. strategy for marketing system)
  - Coordination with middlemen (e.g. coordinated planning)
  - Farm typology to better understand differences of adaptive capacity
- Transform agreements between farmers and middlemen?
  - Joint risk-sharing (Scholten & Schilder, 2015)



## ➤ Theoretical implications

- Collective adaptive capacity?
  - E.g. at supply basin scale (Le Bail & Le Gal, 2011)
- Timing of adaptation
  - Temporal adaptation dynamic
- Broader analysis of resilience
  - Including robustness and transformability (Meuwissen et al., 2019)
  - Combining structural and social approaches (Darnhofer et al., 2016)
- Focus on other practices than planning
  - E.g. crop management

## References

Avelin, C. (2020). *Les chiffres-clé de la filière Fruits & Légumes frais et transformés—2018*. France AgriMer.

Cerf, M., Jeuffroy, M.-H., Prost, L., & Meynard, J.-M. (2012). Participatory design of agricultural decision support tools : Taking account of the use situations. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 32(4), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-012-0091-z>

Darnhofer, I., Lamine, C., Strauss, A., & Navarrete, M. (2016). The resilience of family farms : Towards a relational approach. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 44, 111-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.01.013>

de Raymond, A. B., Bonnaud, L., & Plessz, M. (2013). *Introduction : Les fruits et légumes dans tous leurs états. La variabilité, la périssabilité et la saisonnalité au cœur des pratiques sociales*.

Le Bail, M. M., & Le Gal, P.-Y. P.-Y. (2011). Analyse et conception de systèmes de production végétale à l'échelle des bassins d'approvisionnement agro-alimentaires. *Agronomie, Environnement & Sociétés*, 1(2), 75-86.

Levet, A.-L., & Hutin, C. (2019). *Le diagramme de la distribution des fruits et légumes en 2018 / Marketing channels of the fresh fruit and vegetable sector in 2018*.

Navarrete, M., & Le Bail, M. (2007). SALADPLAN : A model of the decision-making process in lettuce and endive cropping. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 27(3), 209-221. <https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2007009>

Nozières-Petit, M.-O. (2014). *La commercialisation des produits, source de flexibilité pour les éleveurs?*

Parker, C., Scott, S., & Geddes, A. (2019). Snowball Sampling. *SAGE Research Methods Foundations*. <http://methods.sagepub.com/foundations/snowball-sampling>

Scholten, K., & Schilder, S. (2015). The role of collaboration in supply chain resilience. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 20(4), 471-484. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-11-2014-0386>

Tordjman, S., Navarrete, M., & Papy, F. (2005). Les formes de coordination technique entre une structure de première mise en marché et ses fournisseurs : Le cas de la salade en Roussillon. *Cahiers Agricultures*, 14(5), 5.

Van der Lee, J., Kangogo, D., Gülzari, Ş. Ö., Dentoni, D., Oosting, S., Bijman, J., & Klerkx, L. (2022). Theoretical positions and approaches to resilience assessment in farming systems. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 42(2), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-022-00755-x>

➤ Thank you for your attention !

Questions



**INRAE**

IFSA 01/07/2024

Axel GRANER