



IFSA 2024

2nd July 2024

Changes in cropping systems associated with biogas plants in French cereal-growing areas

**Léa Boros^{1,2}, Marco Carozzi², Sophie Carton³,
Sabine Houot¹, Philippe Martin², Florent Levavasseur¹**


¹ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR EcoSys, 91120 Palaiseau, France

² Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR SADAPT, 91120 Palaiseau, France

³ Ferme expérimentale AgroParisTech, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France


Context

- Rapid growth of anaerobic digestion (AD) in Europe and more recently in France, with AD mainly relying on agricultural inputs



Evolution of number of biogas plants per year between 2002 and 2022 in France

(Extracted from SINOE database, ADEME, 08/12/2023)



Biogas production is promoted as it allows:


- Substitution of fossil energy with **renewable energy**
- Improvement of farmers' autonomy (financially and in terms of fertilizer)

Context

- > Biogas production without manure, mainly based on energy cover crops (ECC) and agro-industrial waste has surged in France
- > ECC are expected to become the main input for biogas plants in France and in Europe in the coming decades (Béline et al. 2023; Brémond et al., 2023)

→ ECC are grown **between two main crops** and have **limited impact on food production**, contrary to main crops produced for biogas

→ **No limit to the amount of ECC that can be added to the digester in France**, contrary to main energy crops (15% of the annual feedstock gross tonnage in the digester)



> Growing ECC can have either positive or negative impacts on cropping systems:

→ Positive:

- Reduction in GHG emissions with the use of digestate instead of chemical fertilizers (Bacenetti et al. 2016 ; Esnouf et al. 2021 ; Hijazi et al. 2016)
- Nitrogen leaching reduction (Heggenstaller et al. 2008, Malone et al. 2018)
- Soil carbon storage through roots and digestate spreading (Launay et al. 2022, Levavasseur et al. 2022)

→ Negative:

- Potential yield loss on crop following winter ECC (Marsac et al. 2019)
- Soil compaction following silage and digestate spreading (Lantz and Börjesson, 2014)
- Potential increase in fertilizer, pesticide or water use at the farm scale (Launay et al., 2022)



Rye grown as ECC

Source : reference-agro.fr

- Despite the anticipated impacts of energy cover crops for biogas, cropping systems involving ECC remain understudied regarding farmers' practices
- AD environmental assessments* are based on theoretical practices, potentially disconnected from farm practices

Objective

Characterize the cropping system changes associated with AD in French cereal-growing areas



* (Bacenetti et al. 2016 ; Berger et al. 2022 ; Esnouf et al. 2021 ; Malet et al. 2023 ; Nilsson et al. 2024 ; Riau et al. 2021 ; Styles et al. 2015)

Methods

→ **Semi-structured interviews with non-livestock farmers owning a biogas plant** in French cereal-growing areas between end 2020 and beginning 2023


(33 farms associated with 24 biogas plants)

→ **Interview guide focusing on:**

- Farm scale changes linked with AD (crop rotations, fertilization use, level of pesticides applied...)
- ECC management practices
- Digestate management and associated fertilizer savings

Map of biogas plants in French cereal-growing areas in 2021


(biogas plants to interview are represented in blue)



Methods

> Quantitative and qualitative analysis of the interviews were supplemented with the following calculations:

Comparison of main crop land cover changes before and after anaerobic digestion start-up



> Land cover of farms from 2007 to 2021 were obtained from French Land Parcel Identification System (LPIS)

> **Mean land cover** of interviewed farms before and after AD were compared with the following method:

Y : start-up year of the biogas plant						
Y-4	Y-3	Y-2	Y-1	 ⚡	Y+1	Y+2
Before				After		

Simplified N, P and K balances at the farm scale, in order to determine theoretical fertilizer savings

> For example, simplified N balance after AD is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta N_{\text{ferti}} = \sum \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{N exports of} \\ \text{main crops + ECC} \end{array} \right) - \begin{array}{c} \text{Efficient N from} \\ \text{digestate} \\ \text{(50\% of N available for plant)} \end{array}$$



Source: SipalingPNG



Source: The Silage Consultant

> **Balances before and after AD are then compared:** if it is lower after AD, potential fertilizer savings are theoretically achievable

Results

Most encountered ECC during interviews

Winter ECC



Winter barley



Rye

Mean yield ~ 10 t DM.ha⁻¹

Summer ECC



Maize



Sorghum

Mean yield ~ 8 t DM.ha⁻¹


- ➔ **30% of farm surfaces dedicated to ECC production** in average (n = 33 farms)
- ➔ **Growing preference for winter ECC instead of summer ECC** (more stable yield)

ECC management

- ▶ **Plant protection product usage:** 30 farms out of 33
Level of pesticides lower than what is applied on main crops (~50%)
- ▶ **Mean fertilization rate:** 133 kg N_{eff}.ha⁻¹ on winter ECC
106 kg N_{eff}.ha⁻¹ on summer ECC
- ▶ **Summer ECC irrigation:** 17 farms out of 33
- ▶ **Varying yield loss on main crops following winter ECC:** -10 to -40%
- ▶ **Practices more intensive than those considered in the environmental assessments of anaerobic digestion**

Results

> Significant land cover changes on main crops were observed after AD, due to the introduction of ECC



Mean land cover changes on main crops before and after AD of the interviewed farms
(significant changes are specified by stars (*) $p < 0.05$; (***) $p < 0.001$)

Maize, barley and other cereal surfaces increased while common wheat and rapeseed surfaces decreased, as their growth period is too long to grow ECC

Results

> Digestate management practices of interviewed farms

- All interviewed farms used **umbilical systems with trailing hoses** to spread digestate, thus **limiting NH₃ volatilization and soil compaction**




Source: LB Visuel - Tramspreed

Digestate spreading using an umbilical system with trailing hoses

- **Reported fertilizer savings varied significantly across farms**, ranging from **0% to -60%** of purchased fertilizer volume per year

Comparison of simplified N balance of the crop systems before and after AD
(P and K results were similar)



- Calculated theoretical fertilizer savings were **positively correlated** with the amount of agro-industrial waste added to the digester

1

- ▶ Cropping systems in **French cereal farms associated with AD** are **more intensive** than expected (pesticide use and occasional irrigation on ECC, yield loss on main crops following winter ECC, land cover changes ...)
- ▶ **These practices differ from those considered in AD environmental assessments***

→ **Need to reevaluate AD's environmental impacts taking into account real farming practices to ensure the sustainability of energy transition**

2

- ▶ **Half** of the interviewed farms **irrigated their summer ECC**, while **farms without irrigation** experienced **highly fluctuating yields**

→ **This raises concerns about the resilience of these systems in the face of climate change**

3

- ▶ ECC impacts on **food production** are **less severe** than those of energy crops, but **significant land cover changes** were observed, as well as **potential yield losses** in subsequent crops following winter ECC

→ **This raises the question of where to produce the displaced crops (iLUC issues) and the competition between food and energy crops**

4

- ▶ Achievable fertilizer savings are **highly dependent** on the **amount of agro-industrial waste** added to the digester

→ **This reliance could reduce farmer autonomy, as they become dependent on the waste market and its rising prices**

* (Bacenetti et al. 2016 ; Berger et al. 2022 ; Esnouf et al. 2021 ; Malet et al. 2023 ; Nilsson et al. 2024 ; Riau et al. 2021 ; Styles et al. 2015)



Thank you for your attention

Contact: lea.boros@inrae.fr



- Bacenetti, Jacopo, Cesare Sala, Alessandra Fusi, et Marco Fiala. « Agricultural Anaerobic Digestion Plants: What LCA Studies Pointed out and What Can Be Done to Make Them More Environmentally Sustainable ». *Applied Energy* 179 (octobre 2016): 669-86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.07.029>.
- Beline, Fabrice, Francine De Quelen, Romain Girault, Sabine Houot, Marie-Hélène Jeuffroy, Julie Jimenez, Jean-Philippe Steyer, et al. « La méthanisation agricole en France, entre opportunité énergétique et transition agroécologique », juin 2023. <https://revue-sesame-inrae.fr/la-methanisation-agricole-en-france-entre-opportunit-e-energetique-et-transition-agroecologique-1-2/>.
- Berger, Sylvaine, et Antoine Esnouf. « Outil de calcul des émissions de GES de la production d'énergie par méthanisation suivant les règles de calcul prévues par la directive RED II - Rapport méthodologique version 2 ». Solagro et INRAE Transfert, septembre 2022.
- Brémond, Ulysse, Aude Bertrandias, Jean-Philippe Steyer, Nicolas Bernet, et Hélène Carrere. « A Vision of European Biogas Sector Development towards 2030: Trends and Challenges ». *Journal of Cleaner Production* 287 (mars 2021): 125065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125065>.
- Esnouf, Antoine, Doris Brockmann, et Romain Cresson. « Analyse du Cycle de Vie du biométhane issu de ressources agricoles - Rapport d'ACV ». INRAE Transfert, 2021.
- Heggenstaller, Andrew H., Robert P. Anex, Matt Liebman, David N. Sundberg, et Lance R. Gibson. « Productivity and Nutrient Dynamics in Bioenergy Double-Cropping Systems ». *Agronomy Journal* 100, n° 6 (novembre 2008): 1740-48. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2008.0087>.
- Hijazi, O., S. Munro, B. Zerhusen, et M. Effenberger. « Review of Life Cycle Assessment for Biogas Production in Europe ». *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 54 (février 2016): 1291-1300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.013>.
- Lantz, Mikael, et Pål Börjesson. « Greenhouse Gas and Energyassessment of the Biogas from Co-Digestion Injected into the Natural Gas Grid: A Swedish Case-Study Including Effects on Soil Properties ». *Renewable Energy* 71 (novembre 2014): 387-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.05.048>.
- Launay, Camille, Sabine Houot, Sylvain Frédéric, Romain Girault, Florent Levavasseur, Sylvain Marsac, et Julie Constantin. « Incorporating Energy Cover Crops for Biogas Production into Agricultural Systems: Benefits and Environmental Impacts. A Review ». *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 42, n° 4 (août 2022): 57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-022-00790-8>.
- Levavasseur, Florent, Patrice K. Kouakou, Julie Constantin, Romain Cresson, Fabien Ferchaud, Romain Girault, Vincent Jean-Baptiste, et al. « Energy Cover Crops for Biogas Production Increase Soil Organic Carbon Stocks: A Modeling Approach ». *GCB Bioenergy* 15, n° 2 (février 2023): 224-38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.13018>.
- Malet, Nicolas, Sylvain Pellerin, Romain Girault, et Thomas Nesme. « Does Anaerobic Digestion Really Help to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions? A Nuanced Case Study Based on 30 Cogeneration Plants in France ». *Journal of Cleaner Production* 384 (janvier 2023): 135578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135578>.
- Malone, R. W., J. F. Obrycki, D. L. Karlen, L. Ma, T. C. Kaspar, D. B. Jaynes, T. B. Parkin, et al. « Harvesting Fertilized Rye Cover Crop: Simulated Revenue, Net Energy, and Drainage Nitrogen Loss ». *Agricultural & Environmental Letters* 3, n° 1 (janvier 2018): 170041. <https://doi.org/10.2134/ael2017.11.0041>.
- Marsac, Sylvain, Manuel Heredia, Marie Bazet, Nicolas Delaye, Robert Trochard, Hélène Lagrange, Caroline Quod, et Eve-Anna Sanner. « Optimisation de la mobilisation de CIVE pour la méthanisation dans les systèmes d'exploitation », 2019. <https://bibliographie.ademe.fr/dechetseconomie-circulaire/3993-opticive.html>.
- Nilsson, Johan, Maria Ernfors, Thomas Prade, et Per-Anders Hansson. « Cover Crop Cultivation Strategies in a Scandinavian Context for Climate Change Mitigation and Biogas Production – Insights from a Life Cycle Perspective ». *Science of The Total Environment* 918 (mars 2024): 170629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170629>.
- Riau, V., L. Burgos, F. Camps, F. Domingo, M. Torrellas, A. Antón, et A. Bonmatí. « Closing Nutrient Loops in a Maize Rotation. Catch Crops to Reduce Nutrient Leaching and Increase Biogas Production by Anaerobic Co-Digestion with Dairy Manure ». *Waste Management* 126 (mai 2021): 719-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.04.006>.
- Styles, David, James Gibbons, Arwel P. Williams, Jens Dauber, Heinz Stichnothe, Barbara Urban, David R. Chadwick, et Davey L. Jones. « Consequential Life Cycle Assessment of Biogas, Biofuel and Biomass Energy Options within an Arable Crop Rotation ». *GCB Bioenergy* 7, n° 6 (novembre 2015): 1305-20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12246>.