

Genetic Inference of Recent Fragmentation

Rémi Tournebize, Simon Boitard, Olivier Mazet, Lounès Chikhi

▶ To cite this version:

Rémi Tournebize, Simon Boitard, Olivier Mazet, Lounès Chikhi. Genetic Inference of Recent Fragmentation. Conservation Genomics Paris, Jun 2024, Paris, France. hal-04659072

HAL Id: hal-04659072 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04659072v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ni Tournebize on Boitard 9

.

1-







MidJourney





MidJournei

in the long run, this can lead to increased **divergence**



MidJourneu

Most current genetic methods infer only **ancient** changes in connectivity



Arredondo et al. (2021) Steux et al. (2024) (*in prep.*)

but human activities have

created **Very recent** possible barriers to gene flow (< 10 generations ago)



A study using **simulations**



stationary model

fragmented model

2,500 simulated

genetic data per model



G=5×25 Mbp

Test the performance to infer underlying models using **ABC** with **random forests**



Test the performance to infer underlying models using **ABC** with **random forests**



using different types of genomic data

types of genomic **data**

unphased











genotypic statistics	genealogical statistics
within-pop <u>between</u>	within-popbetween
 2D-jAFS (Allele Frequency Spectrum) Tajima's D Nucleotide diversity/divergence F_{ST} + subtr between - E(wite) 	 → Distributions of (T_k)_k → Distributions of (T_c)_k → PLFT (Proportion of Lineages as a Function of Time) Maruvka et al. (2011) acted thin1, within2)





Detection power





fragmentation

stationary



Accuracy 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

100 gen° ago

0.9

0.9

10 gen° ago

0.9

200→

100→

20→

10→

2→

1-

0.5→

0.1→

0.05→

0

0

0.05

Pre-fragmentation

age of fragmentation

0.9

1000 gen° ago

Detection power



limited power to detect weak reduction when pops already weakly connected

fragmentation

Pre-fragmentation

stationary



Accuracy 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 age of fragmentation 10 gen° ago 100 gen° ago 1000 gen° ago 200→ 0.9 100→ 0.9 Number of migrants per gen $^\circ$ 0.9 20→ 0.9 10→ 2-0.9 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.8 1-0.9 0.5→ 0 0.1→ 0 0.05→ 0.05 10

Accuracy 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00





likely to **underdetect** weak fragmentation

Pre-fragmentation

Detection power



yet **power** increases as fragmentation **intensity increases**

fragmentation

stationary



Accuracy 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

0.9

0.9

100 gen° ago

0.9

10 gen° ago

0.9

200→

100→

20→

10→

2-

0.5→

0.1→

0.05→

0

0

0.05

Pre-fragmentation

age of fragmentation

0.9

1000 gen° ago

0.8



Importance % Noise

Variable importance





Conclusions

Genomic data **useful** to detect recent fragm°

Genotypic statistics already sufficient

Genealogical data improve detection accuracy

Still problematic to identify fragmentation if: weak & very recent (< 10 gen°) & pops were already poorly connected

But alternatives possible... i.e. *M* in **other** *C* non-fragmented pops as a proxy to *M*_{anc}





Simon Boitard Olivier Mazet Lounès Chikhi & the DevOcGen team members











Institut de Recherche pour le Développement F R A N C E

Appendix

Accuracy 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00



Detection power



power tends to **increase** with founder **intensity**

fragmentation

Pre-fragmentation

stationary



Accuracy

0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

Detection power



power to detect stationary model decreases with migration rate

fragmentation

Pre-fragmentation

stationary



0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 age of fragmentation 10 gen° ago 100 gen° ago 1000 gen° ago 200→ 0.9 100→ 0.9 20→ 0.9 0.9 10→ 0.8 2→ 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.5→ 0.1→ 0 0.05→ 0.05 10

Accuracy



Accuracy GT + GL 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 10 gen° ago 100 gen° ago 1000 gen° ago 200-0.9 100→ 0.9 20-0.9 0.9 10→ 2-0.9 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.5→ 0 0.9 0 0 0.1→ 0.05-0.05 0.05 10 0 .05 10

Number of migrants per gen $^\circ$

Post-fragmentation

Number of migrants per gen°

Hudson

DTWF

