

## The effects of Thymus capitatus essential oil topical application on milk quality: a systems biology approach

Ralph Nehme, Chiara Gini, Elise Vanbergue, Sergine Even, Filippo Biscarini, Sonia Andrés, Lucie Rault, Faustine Noel, Valerie Hardit, Said Bouhallab, et

al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Ralph Nehme, Chiara Gini, Elise Vanbergue, Sergine Even, Filippo Biscarini, et al.. The effects of Thymus capitatus essential oil topical application on milk quality: a systems biology approach. 2024. hal-04659415

## HAL Id: hal-04659415 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04659415

Preprint submitted on 23 Jul 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# The effects of Thymus capitatus essential oil topical application on milk quality: a systems biology approach

## **Ralph Nehme**

IDELE Institute

#### Chiara Gini

University of Milan

## Elise Vanbergue

IDELE Institute

## Sergine Even

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO

#### Filippo Biscarini

Institute of Agricultural Biology and Biotechnology

#### Sonia Andrés

Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (CSIC-Universidad de León)

#### Lucie Rault

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO

#### **Faustine Noel**

**IDELE** Institute

#### Valerie Hardit

**IDELE** Institute

#### Said Bouhallab

INRAE, Institut Agro, STLO

## **David Pereira**

University of Porto

## **Riadh Ksouri**

Center of Biotechnogy of Borj Cédria

## **Philippe Roussel**

**IDELE** Institute

## Secundino López

Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (CSIC-Universidad de León)

## Paola Cremonesi

Institute of Agricultural Biology and Biotechnology, Department of Bioinformatics, Biostatistics, Genomics, IBBA-CNR, Milano

## Bianca Castiglioni

Institute of Agricultural Biology and Biotechnology

Donatella Caruso Università degli Studi di Milano Fiorenza Faré Università degli Studi di Milano Manuela Fontana OMICs platform, Università degli Studi di Milano, 20133 Milano Fabrizio Ceciliani Università degli Studi di Milano Latifa Abdennebi-Najar latifana jar 60@gmail.com

**IDELE** Institute

#### Article

Keywords: Milk quality, essential oil, Thymus capitatus, microbiota, food safety.

Posted Date: June 28th, 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4360627/v1

License: 
() This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

## Abstract

Essential oils (EO) are known for their antibacterial and anti-inflammatory properties and can be used as an alternative to reduce the reliance on antimicrobials in dairy cattle. While many studies have explored the beneficial properties of EO *in vitro*, their effects on milk quality and milk microbiota, when applied directly to the udder skin, remain relatively unknown. This study aimed to investigate the impact of *Thymus capitatus* EO (TCEO) on milk microbiota, lipidomic profile and inflammatory biomarkers in dairy cows at the end of the lactation period and udder skin microbiota. Sixteen quarters from 12 Holstein cows were selected, and TCEO was topically applied to the udder skin twice a day for seven days. Milk was collected aseptically at days 0, 7, 21, and 28 before morning farm milking. The results showed no significant changes in microbiota composition after the EO treatment. TCEO had a limited impact on the milk lipidome, primarily affecting diacylglycerol components at T21. The treatment did not affect inflammatory biomarkers, milk sensory properties, and quality. Our study demonstrates that applying 10% TCEO on cow's quarters does not significantly alter milk quality or microbiota composition in milk and skin, suggesting its safe use in dairy cows.

## BACKGROUND

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a significant threat to humans and animals. In 2019 alone, AMR was responsible for 4.95 million deaths. By 2050, it is expected to prematurely kill 10 million people annually (nearly matching the rate of cancer deaths in 2020 ), resulting in an economic output cost of 3.4 trillion USD <sup>1</sup>. Although often unavoidable, antibiotic-based therapy is not always efficient, and the excessive use of antibiotics leads to the development of AMR within the bacterial community <sup>2</sup>. Therefore, alternative strategies and therapeutic approaches are required to reduce the use of antibiotics in humans and animals. Herbal medecine, including crude herbs, herbal compound, herbal crude extracts, and herbal effective secondary metabolites such as essential oils (EO) are promising alternatives to antibiotics in humans<sup>3</sup> and animal husbandry <sup>4</sup>. In the recent decades, EO, obtained through several processes of plant extraction, have become a preferred therapy to replace antibiotics and chemicals because of their excellent therapeutic effective, small adverse effects and less possibility to develop drug resistance <sup>4,5</sup>.

Ethnoveterinary use of medicinal plants is already ongoing: for instance, 75% of small rural farms in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa already use plants or herbal remedies to treat livestock <sup>6</sup>. This trend is expanding in developing countries, such as Ethiopia and Nepal, as well as in developed countries, like Spain and Switzerland, among others <sup>7</sup>. The demand for these natural products is also increasing, especially in organic farms <sup>8</sup>, which currently occupy 1.6% of the world's agricultural lands, corresponding to 76.4 million hectares and a global market that reached 125 billion euros in 2021 <sup>9</sup>.

Several EOs, like *Angelica sinensis, Gaultheria procumbens, Glycyrrhiza uralensis*, and *Thymus vulgaris*, which are commercialized as intramammary infusions (PhytoMast® <sup>10</sup>) or for external use (Master Mint ®) have been already included in animal husbandry routines <sup>11</sup>. Other EO, such as those extracted from *Lavandula angustifolia* and *Origanum vulgare*, were studied for their effects via intramammary infusion and/or external application at the quarter and demonstrated antibacterial activities against *Staphylococcus* spp, and

*Streptococcus* spp <sup>12,13</sup>. The EO from *Origanum vulgare* and *Satureja montana* were investigated for their effects on milk, by determining their antibacterial and antioxidant activity, as well *as Fumaria indica, Nepata cataria*, and *Adiantum capillus*, with promising results <sup>14,15</sup>.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, the EO's effects on milk microbiological content and quality are limitedly known. This gap should be filled as lawmakers' organizations advocate responses to develop adequate regulations. The impact of EO at the system's biology level, including the microbiota and the metabolome/lipidome, remains equally undisclosed <sup>16</sup>.

*Thymus capitatus* is a Mediterranean endemic, perennial shrub and ornamental plant that belongs to the *Lamiaceae* family <sup>17</sup>. Also known as *Satureja capitata L., Coridothymus capitatus (L.) Rchb. f., Thymus capitatus (L.) Hoffmanns. & Link, Thymus marinosci Ten.*, Spanish oregano or *Thymbra capitata* (L.) *Thymus capitatus* has been investigated for its essential oil's antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antiviral properties <sup>18–26</sup> and its anti-inflammatory effects. It has been reported that it can inhibit the expression of inflammatory cytokines, iNOS, and COX-2, as well as suppress the production of neutrophil elastase and the synthesis of PGE2 <sup>27–29</sup>. A previous study has already demonstrated its *in vitro* anti-bacterial properties <sup>24</sup>. More recently, evidence of in vitro immunomodulatory activity on mononuclear cells has also been provided <sup>30</sup>. Further studies are needed to expand the knowledge of the EO in milk at a system biology level.

This study aimed to determine the impact of TCEO on the milk and udder skin microbiome, milk lipidome, and milk production and quality on dairy cows affected by sub-clinical mastitis. Milk sensory analysis and inflammatory markers were also conducted. Mammary glands were topically treated with TCEO at the end of the lactation period. This stage is crucial for udder health as it undergoes involution at the beginning of the dry period, as well as tissue remodeling and repair. Ensuring optimal mammary gland health at this stage is pivotal for successful lactation and achieving high milk yields in subsequent periods. At this stage, antibiotic treatment on cows is used chiefly.

# METHODS

Experimental design

Evaluation of the TCEO

Before starting the in vivo trial, the antibacterial activity of TCEO was compared with that of nine essential oils from *Laurus nobilis, Nigella sativa, Origanum majorana, Salvia officinalis, Rosmarinus officinalis, Pelargonium graveolens, Coriandrum sativum, Artemisia herba alba,* and *Juniperus oxycedrus* against *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) previously isolated from an infected cow udder. The antibacterial activity test was performed according to Vuddhakul et al. (2007) with non-relevant modifications <sup>31</sup>. The bacterial inoculate was streaked onto Mueller-Hinton agar using a sterile swab. Sterile filter discs (diameter 6 mm, Whatman paper N°5) were then impregnated with 10 µl of each EO and placed on the inoculated agar. After incubation (37°C for 18–24 h), the diameter of the inhibition zones around each disc was measured in millimeters (mm) without considering the disc diameter (6 mm). The protocol was repeated with disks impregnated with Colistin, Amoxycillin, Ampicillin, and Cephalexin. The protocol was repeated 5 times for

technical replicates, and the average of the inhibitions area diameters was calculated. The statistically significant differences between EO were carried out by one-way analysis of variance at a 95% confidence level ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Furthermore, Tukey's honest significance test was used.

## Animal selection and collection of milk samples

Twelve Holstein cows were selected in the INRAE experimental dairy farm of Méjusseaume (Le Rheu, Brittany, France). The animals were evaluated as clinically healthy at the veterinary visit. They presented no clinical signs of infection nor clinical mastitis and received no antibiotic treatment for at least 6 months before enrolment. The recruited animals were at the end of the lactation period to study the effects of TCEO in a crucial transition period in the udder and cow health, as mentioned above. The animals were divided into two groups (Control and Treated), homogenous per cows' numbers and parity. Cows had permanent access to pasture, and their diet was composed of 65% corn silage, 12.5% soybean 48 and 12.5% energy concentrate (made by a mix of cereals, predominantly corn), and 10% alfalfa ad libitum (proportions are reported in % of dry matter). Milk was collected twice a day in a rotary milking parlor. Supplementary Table S1 presents the samples included in the experimental design, reporting animal parity, average somatic cell count (SCC), and microbiological results of the milk samples at the beginning of the study.

## Application of TCEO

The favorite administration method for the TCEO is skin massage. The udder is a sensitive gland, and the EO being able to cause, in some cases, intense irritation, a milder approach was preferred, though sustained by the results by Herman et al., who asserted that EO absorption through skin is high and can even enhance the penetration of active compounds <sup>32</sup>.

A mixture of 0.5 g of TCEO was dissolved in 4.5 g of milking grease (Eutra, France), used as an excipient (10% TCEO in the mixing) was prepared beforehand and stored in single-dose (5 g) opaque plastic containers at 4°C. TCEO percentage was previously tested in a separate experiment, with 4 different percentages of TCEO (2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10%) applied on the udder: none of them caused a local or systemic reaction (data not shown), and therefore the highest concentration (10%) was chosen for this experiment. The mixture was applied after each milking (twice daily) for one week by massaging the udder manually while wearing rubber gloves. During the experimental period, the Treated group (6 cows; 8 quarters) was massaged with the mixture, while the Control group (6 cows; 8 quarters) was massaged with milking grease only.

## Milk samples collection

Milk was collected aseptically at days 0, 7, 21, and 28 (hereafter referred to as T0, T7, T21, and T28) before morning farm milking. After eliminating the first three foremilk streams of milk, teats were disinfected using 70% alcohol <sup>33</sup>. Part of the collected samples was used immediately for analysis (SCC, bacteriological analysis, fat and protein content, casein micelles, and sensory analysis). The viscosity analysis, IL-8, Acute Phase Proteins (Haptoglobin (Hp) and Lactoferrin (LF), microbiota, and lipidome analysis were conducted on the milk after storage at -80° C.

Skin sample collection for microbiota determination

The selected quarters were wiped with a wet tissue and then dried. Before milking and using a skin swab (R. Langenbrinck GmbH, Emmendingen, Germany), an area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> of the quarter was rubbed by slightly rotating the swab through an angle of 45° for 10 seconds. The skin microbiota was collected twice on T0, before TCEO application (T0A), after TCEO application (T0B), and once on T7.

Part 1: Microbiota analysis

Bacteriological Analysis

Bacteriological cultures were performed according to the National Mastitis Council guidelines (https://www.nmconline.org/nmc-protocols-guidelines-and-procedures/). A composite sample of 10 ml of milk from the Treated udders was collected in a labeled aseptic container at T0, 7, 21, and 28. Cultures were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C under aerobic conditions on blood agar (Columbia Agar containing 5% defibrinated sheep blood) for 48 hours at 37°C under aerobic conditions. Gram stain, coagulase, catalase, and oxidase assays were conducted on positive cultures. *Staphylococcus* spp. coagulase detection was performed with rabbit plasma <sup>34</sup>.

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA-gene sequencing of milk and skin microbiota.

DNA from milk samples was extracted following the protocol proposed by Cremonesi et al. <sup>35</sup>. DNA from skin swab samples was extracted using a QIAamp PowerFecal Pro DNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), with minor modifications according to the manufacturer's protocol. The skin swabs were dissolved in 200 µL of CD1 solution in the PowerBead Pro Tube and vortex on the TissueLyser II instrument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) for 3 min at maximum speed. In both cases, blank Controls (i.e., no DNA template added to the reaction) were performed. DNA quality and quantity were assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). Sequencing for milk and skin samples was performed as previously reported, with primers targeting the V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene (forward: 5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3', reverse: 5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3') <sup>36</sup>. The 16S rRNA gene raw sequences obtained were deposited in UNIMI Dataverse (https://doi.org/10.13130/RD\_UNIMI/GMPFOB, https://doi.org/10.13130/RD\_UNIMI/OPMKOC).

Bioinformatic processing and statistical analysis of milk and skin microbiota

After demultiplexing, paired-end reads from 16S rRNA-gene sequencing were quality-checked using the software package MultiQC <sup>37</sup>. Subsequently, analyses were performed using QIIME 1.9 <sup>38</sup>, retrieved as a container used through Singularity <sup>39</sup> from the Docker hub (https://hub.docker.com/r/fischuu/qiime-1.9.1). The following steps and parameters were previously described by Biscarini et al. <sup>40</sup>. The SILVA database v. 132 was used for closed-reference Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) identification and quantification, with 97% cluster identity <sup>41</sup>. Quantified and classified OTUs were assembled into an OTU table from which OTUs with a total count lower than 10 in fewer than 2 samples were discarded; samples containing less than 500 reads per sample were discarded. Before downstream analysis, OTU counts were normalized for uneven sequencing depth by cumulative sum scaling <sup>42</sup>.

Alpha- and beta-diversity of milk and skin swab samples

The milk and skin microbial diversity was assessed within- (alpha diversity) and across- (beta diversity) samples with the adaptations required by the dataset as previously described in Ranilla et al. <sup>43</sup>. Briefly, filtered and normalized OTU tables (at the OTU level) were used to estimate all alpha and beta diversity indices. Within-sample microbial richness and diversity were calculated using Chao1, ACE, Shannon, Simpson and InvSimpson, Fisher, and Shannon indices for alpha diversity. On the other hand, Bray-Curtis dissimilarities were calculated to quantify the across-sample microbiota diversity <sup>44</sup>. Permutational analysis of variance approach (999 permutations <sup>45,46</sup>) was used to evaluate non-parametrically among groups (Treated vs. Control) and pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. OTU counts and diversity indices were corrected for a baseline set on the first time point (T0) before statistical analysis, considering that samples at T0 cannot be affected by TCEO as no treatment was applied to quarters assigned to the Treated or Control groups.

Statistical models for milk and skin microbiota analysis

Differences between groups (Treated vs Control) along time points in terms of OTU abundances and diversity indices were evaluated with the three following linear models:

 $y_{ik} = \mu + time point_k + e_{ik}$ , (1)

 $y_{ij} = \mu + treatment_i + e_{ij}$ , (2)

 $y_{ikj} = \mu + time point_k + treatment_j + e_{ikj}$  (3)

where  $y_{ikj}$  is the abundance (counts) or index value for each taxonomy (OTU) and alpha and beta diversity metric per quarter i in time point k and treatment j; time point<sub>k</sub> is the effect of the categorical variable time point (4 classes); treatment<sub>j</sub> is the effect of the categorical variable treatment (2 classes);  $e_{kj}$  are the residuals of the model. Model (1) focuses on the influence of time on the milk and skin microbiota, while model (2) focuses on the effect of treatments. Model (3) considers both time and treatment effects, allowing for an adjusted assessment of OTU counts and alpha diversity index values in the milk and skin microbiota.

Part 2: Milk quality

Fat and protein content

Composite (four glands) milk samples (50 ml) from the whole milk were collected twice a week for 2 weeks prior and 5 weeks after the first application of T0 during the morning milking to determine the proteins and fats content using MilkoscanTM (Foss electric, Hillerød, Denmark).

Hydrodynamic diameters of casein micelles

Particle size analysis of casein micelles was performed using Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK). Six fresh milk samples were chosen randomly at T21 (3 from Treated quarters and 3 from the Control group). The samples were first skimmed by centrifugation at 4°C and filtered (0.8  $\mu$ m pore size), then diluted 1/100 (v/v) in protein-free ultrafiltration permeate of the same milk. The refractive index used was 1.57, and the absorption index was 0.001 <sup>47</sup>. Every measurement was repeated 6 times.

## Milk viscosity

The viscosity measurements were carried out on skimmed (by centrifugation at 4°C) thawed milk at T0, 7, 21, and 28 from 4 randomly chosen samples (2 from the Control group and 2 from the Treated group) according to the method of Doudiès et al. <sup>47</sup>. Flow measurements were performed using a Low Shear 400 viscometer (Lamy Rheology, Champagne au Mont d'Or, France) using a Couette geometry (inner and outer radii = 5.5 and 6.0 mm respectively, shear rate = 2-120 s - 1) at 20°C.

## Sensory analysis

A panel of experts conducted the sensory evaluation of the milk to characterize the organoleptic quality of milk. They were selected, trained, and controlled according to NF ISO 8586-2 and NF ISO 22935 (1, 2, 3). The sensory evaluation session was carried out on fresh whole milk or pasteurized milk of all the quarters collected on T7 (stored and transported at 4°C). Pasteurization was achieved by heating the milk to the boiling point (100° C) for 5 minutes. The pasteurized milk was then served at a temperature between 40°C and 50°C. Pasteurized and fresh milk were characterized by the visual and olfactory aspects, and only pasteurized milk was characterized by the taste aspects. In particular, the panelists rated the odours and aromas perceived in these products. They noted their intensity on a continuous scale, scoring from 0 to 10, where 0 refers to no perception of TCEO and 10 to extremely high perception of TCEO. The sensory analysis proceedings and the room organization were previously described by Listrat et al. <sup>48</sup>.

## Part 3: Lipidomic analysis

Preparation of Milk Samples for Lipidomic Analysis: Lipid Extraction

Two aliquots (100 µL) from each sample were added with internal standards (Splash<sup>™</sup> Lipidomix<sup>®</sup> Internal Standards Avanti<sup>®</sup> Polar and a mix of 13C-Palmitic and 13C-Linoleic Acids at 50 µg/mL), extracted and separated as previously described <sup>49</sup>.

Electrospray ionization and mass spectrometer conditions were set as presented in Supplementary Table S2

## Lipid Data Processing and Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the ratio of analyte to an internal standard area (1-phenoxy-2-propanol), and fatty acids are expressed as ng/mL of milk. Data processing was done using the untargeted data processing program MSDIAL (v. 4.24) with LipidBlast database (v. 68), as previously described by Ceciliani et al. <sup>50</sup>. This database contains 81 lipid classes, 377,313 molecules, and 554,041 spectra in positive polarity, and 94 lipid classes, 356,477 molecules, and 792,757 spectra in negative polarity. Statistical analysis was carried out using the MetabolAnalyst 5.0 Webtool, as previously described <sup>50</sup>. The analysis did not include variables containing more than 20% of missing values (i.e., values less than LOD) <sup>51</sup>. The Principal Component Analysis (PCA), the Partial Least-Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA), and the volcano plots and heatmaps were generated using the MetaboAnalyst 5.0 web tool.

Part 4: Milk inflammatory parameters

Analysis of Acute Phase Proteins (APP) and Interleukin 8 in milk

Haptoglobin (Hp) and Lactoferrin concentration were measured using an in-house developed ELISA as previously described <sup>52</sup>. The inflammatory cytokine Interleukin 8 (IL-8) dosage in milk was carried out according to Roussel et al. <sup>53</sup>. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.0.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, www.graphpad.com).

## Somatic cells count

Composite (four glands) milk samples (50 ml) from the whole milk were collected twice a week for 5 weeks prior and 5 weeks after the first application of T0 during the morning milking to perform the SCC using the flow cytometer Fossomatic (Foss electric, Hillerød, Denmark)

# Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. For the sensory analysis test, the significance levels were determined using the Student's *t*-test. For the other milk quality parameters (Fat and protein content, hydrodynamic diameters of casein micelles, and milk viscosity) one way ANOVA was used.

For the somatic cell count, the levels of significance were determined using the Type III ANOVA. For the IL-8 measurements, one way ANOVA was used. For the other milk inflammatory parameters (APP and LF) two way ANOVA was used.

## RESULTS

The first part focused on analysing the microbial communities on skin and milk with TCEO treatment in a defined timespan, forerun by routine bacteriological analysis. The second part of the study was instead focused on determining if topical treatment with TCEO had any impact on milk quality by evaluating milk composition, protein and fat content (lipidomic), hydrodynamic diameters of casein micelles, milk viscosity, and sensory analysis. Related inflammatory parameters (including somatic cells, IL-8, and APP content) were presented in the final part.

## Evaluation of TCEO

The TCEO antibacterial activity was tested *in vitro* before the *in vivo* experiment, compared to popular antibiotics and other EO. Figure 1 shows the robust antibacterial efficacy of TCEO against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, as evidenced by the substantial zone of inhibition measuring 25.3 mm and 36.8 mm, respectively. These values were found to be significantly higher compared to several other tested components (P = 0.05). Consequently, TCEO was chosen as the optimal candidate for further investigation in the *in vitro* experiments.

Part 1: Microbiota

Bacteriological Analysis of Milk Samples

Table 1 presents the microbiological content of composite milk during the study and the total colony counts (cfu/mL). On day 28, no bacteria were identified in the milk of 4 quarters, 2 in each group. There were no statistically significant changes related to the TCEO application.

Table 1

Table 1:

Quarter	Identification of the quarter	Day 0	Day 7	Day 21	Day 28
Control	CT1A	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (> 1 600)	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (> 1 600)	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (> 1 600)	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (330)
	CT1B	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (> 1 600)	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (> 1 600)	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (> 1 600)	<i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> (330)
	CT2A	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (330)
	CT2B	<i>Microccocus</i> <i>spp.</i> (> 1 600)	Sterile	Sterile	Sterile
	CT3	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (>1 600)
	CT4	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (>1 600)
	CT5	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	Sterile	Sterile
	CT6	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (330)
Treated	TR1A	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (>1 600)
	TR1B	CNS (1 600)	Sterile	CNS (> 1 600)	Sterile
	TR2A	CNS (830)	CNS (332)	CNS (500)	CNS (332)
	TR2B	CNS (124)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (216)	CNS (34)
	TR3	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (>1 600)
	TR4	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (>1 600)
	TR5	CNS (1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS (1 330)
	TR6	CNS (580)	CNS (332)	CNS (> 1 600)	CNS Sterile

## Microbiota analysis

This part of the study determined the changes in the milk microbiota by meta-transcriptomics. Further in the text, the changes in skin microbiota after external topical treatment with EO are also presented. The definiton. at the phyla level, originates from the Silva 132, which did not integrate some updates about bacterial nomenclature. Therefore, readers will find, e.g., Bacillus as Firmicutes, Pseudomonadota as Proteobacteria, Actinomycetota as Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidota as Bacteroidetes.

## Sequencing metrics of milk samples

Sequencing the V3-V4 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene of the 64 milk samples produced 2,871,971 assembled reads (joined R1-R2 paired-end reads). After quality filtering, 749,772 sequences were removed, leaving 2,122,199 sequences for subsequent analyses (77,4% average retention rate, maximum 99,9%, minimum 20,6%). On average, there were 33,159 (± 24,007) sequences per sample in the Control group and 33,302 (± 23,780) in the Treated group. The initial number of identified OTUs was 6,874; after filtering out OTUs with less than 10 counts in at least 2 samples, 1,880 distinct OTUs were left.

Milk core microbiota at phylum and genus level characterization

Three main phyla were detected in the milk microbiota and were shared within all the samples: Actinomycetota (formerly named Actinobacteria, 11.7%), Bacillota (formerly named Firmicutes, 29.25%), and Pseudomonadota (formerly named Proteobacteria, 58.95%). At the genus level, 4 genera were detected in the milk microbiota, including *Cutibacterium* (10,1%), *Halomonas* (8.31%), *Methylobacterium* (49.33%), and *Pseudomonas* (27.78%). The remaining 4.48% was composed of the "uncultured or unknown" group, which was artificially composed for the aim of the statistical analysis, including all the genera that the database (SILVA v. 132) retrieved as "uncultured" or "uncultured bacterium" or "Other" or "uncultured organism" and similar.

Alpha- and beta-diversities in milk microbiota

The estimated alpha diversity indices for describing the richness, diversity, and evenness of the milk microbiota between the two experimental groups are reported in Supplementary Table S3.

Once corrected to a baseline equal to T0 (milk samples collected before treatment application), alpha indices were compared in 3 different linear models to assess their behavior: i) over time points (within the group, Eq. (1)), ii) between treatments (within time point, Eq. (2)) and iii) between treatments accounting for a time point (Eq. (3)) as described in the materials and methods section. Figure 2 reports the scatterplots of i) the significance (P) of treatment at T7, T21, and T28 (Fig. 2A), ii) the significance (P) of the time point within treatment (Fig. 2B), iii) the significance (P) of time point and treatment from the model (3) (Fig. 2C), for the different alpha diversity indices.

From model (1), the effect of time is significant: three indices (Shannon, Simpson, and InvSimpson) have a P < 0.05 on T21, and 6 indices in the significance range between 0.05–0.10 (Shannon, Obseved\_otus, Simpson, Fisher, ACE, and Chao1) plus one index with a P < 0.05 in T28 (InvSimpson). From model (2), significance clusters are similar for Treated and Control groups, with 1 index (InvSimpson) belonging to T21, statistically significant in the 0.05–0.10. From model (3), treatment has a clear effect over time points, with six diversity indices found with P lower than 0.05 (Observed\_OTUs, Chao1, ACE, Shannon, InvSimpson, and Fisher) for the Treated group compared with the Control, influenced by time effect.

The relationships between samples were assessed based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities from the beta diversity analysis. Figure 2D shows the distribution of samples along the first two dimensions from the multidimensional scaling (MDS) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities: a clustering was observed between time points (P = 0.01211), while no clear clustering by treatment nor by animal or quarter was detected (P = 0.09531, P =

0.06507 and P = 0.16199 from PERMANOVA between treatment, time, animals and quarters respectively, 999 permutations).

Effects of the treatments and time points on milk microbiota at phyla and genera level

Five main phyla were found in the milk microbiota with a relative abundance higher than 1% along different time points (Fig. 2E): *Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteoridetes, and Patescibacteria.* On the other hand, thirty-four main genera were found in the milk microbiota with a relative abundance higher than 1% along time points (Fig. 2F).

Only 3 phyla (*Proteobacteria, Euryarchaota*, and *Cyanobacteria*) were found to significantly differ between treatments along time points, interestingly decreasing all at T28 in the Treated group compared to the Control group (Fig. 2G and 2H).

Twenty-one genera were found to differ significantly between treatments within time points. In particular, the genus *Sphingobium* and *Acinetobacter* were increased in the Treated group compared to the Control group at T21 and T21 and T28, respectively (Fig. 2I and 2J). Interestingly, the genus *Romboutsia* has a bimodal trend: it decreases in the Treated group compared to the Control group at T21 but increases at T28 (Supplementary Table S4). The microbial milk community behaved significantly differently along two or more time points and described their behavior in the Treated group compared with the Control.

## Sequencing metrics of skin swab samples

Sequencing the V3-V4 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene of the 32 udder skin swabs samples produced 675938 assembled reads (joined R1-R2 paired-end reads). After quality filtering, 105 300 sequences were removed, leaving 570 638 sequences for subsequent analyses (85.4% average retention rate, maximum 98.1%, minimum 57.6%). On average, the Control group had 17 421 (± 9 085) sequences per sample and 17 832 (± 9 029) in the Treated group. The initial number of OTUs identified was 11,255; after filtering out OTUs with less than 10 counts in at least 2 samples, 3326 distinct OTUs were left.

## Alpha- and beta-diversity in skin microbiota

Figure 3 reports the scatterplots of i) the significance (P) of treatment at T7, (Fig. 3A), ii) the significance (P) of the time within treatments (Fig. 3B), iii) the significance (P) of time and treatments from the model (3) (Fig. 3C), for the different alpha diversity indices. Details about indices are reported in Supplementary Table S5.

From model (1), the effect of time appears not significant when comparing T7 against T0, which is used as the baseline. On the contrary, from the model (2), it is possible to see that, considering treatments only, Control groups show significance while the Treated group did not (6 indices in P < 0.05: Observed\_OTUs, Chao1, ACE, Shannon, Simpson, and Fisher). From model (3), it was possible to observe that combining time and treatment effect, no significance is evidenced, suggesting that the significance in Fig. 3A is strictly related to treatment. Bray-Curtis dissimilarities method was used to assess the relationship between samples for the beta-diversity analysis (Fig. 3D): results showed that samples tend to cluster significantly by time point, while not per

treatment nor when considering time and treatment effect together (p = 0.001 for time, 0.311 for treatment and 0.18 for time and treatment combined effect, from PERMANOVA, 999 permutations).

Effects of the treatments and time points on skin microbiota at phyla and genera level

*Phyla.* Seven main phyla were found in the skin microbiota with a relative abundance higher than 1% along time points (Fig. 3E): *Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteoridetes, Euryarchaota, Verrucomicrobia, and Patescibacteria.* However, no taxa had a significant difference between treatments along time points.

*Genera.* Thirty-one main genera were found in the skin microbiota with a relative abundance higher than 1% along time points (Fig. 3F). Twenty-six genera significantly differed between treatments along time points, of which 16 belonged to T0 and 10 to T7 (Supplementary Table S6) (Fig. 3G and 3H).

## Part 2: Milk Quality

Composition, physical, and organoleptic properties

The overall composition of milk was not affected by the treatment with TCEO. No-significant differences (p = 0.05) were detected in the fat or the protein content in milk, as shown in Fig. 4A. Also, the effects on casein micelles and milk viscosity, two important physical parameters for milk processing, were evaluated. The average hydrodynamic diameter of the casein micelles varied between 140 and 170 nm. No significant difference was found between the two milk groups (p = 0.05), as shown in Fig. 4B. The viscosity between samples from the same group (Treated or Control) varies from 2.2 to 3.4 mPa•s at 20°C. No significant differences in viscosity were observed between the 2 groups of samples (p = 0.05). The same conclusions were drawn concerning the organoleptic properties. The panel of experts didn't notice any significant difference in the milk samples' visual, olfactory, and taste aspects (p = 0.05). Figure 4C details the average score the panel of experts gave on the different kinds of milk (fresh and pasteurized).

Part 3: Lipidomic analysis

## Lipidome profile and analysis

This study aimed to ascertain the changes in the untargeted milk lipidome. The lipidome was determined following a liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry approach. In more detail, it was possible to characterize the untargeted lipidome of milk, identifying 2450 lipid species. Only the species over a cut-off of 5000 (684 species) were considered for further analysis. The lipids were classified into 18 classes, namely acyl steryl glycosides (ASG [10 species]), N-Acylethanolamine (NAE [25 species]), triacylglycerols (TAG [23 species]), diacylglycerols (DAG [97 species]), monoacylglycerols (MAG [12 species]), phosphatidylserine (PS [48 species]), sphingomyelin (SM [52 species]), phosphatidylinositol (PI [35 species]), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE [128 species]), lysophosphatidylcholine (PC [82 species]), lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE [14 species]), lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC [10 species]), lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI [3 species]), ceramides (Cer [27 species]), hexosylceramides (HexCer [35 species]), galactosylceramide sulfate (SHexCer [18 species]), acylcarnitines (AcCarn [5 species]) and fatty acids (FA [60 species]). A list of the species is also reported in Table 2.

Class	Acronym	Number of species
acyl steryl glycosides	ASG	10
N-Acylethanolamine	NAE	25
triacylglycerols	TG	23
diacylglycerols	DG	97
monoacylglycerols	MG	12
phosphatidylserine	PS	48
sphingomyelin	SM	52
phosphatidylinositol	PI	35
phosphatidylethanolamine	PE	128
phosphatidylcholine	PC	82
lysophosphatidylethanolamine	LPE	14
lysophosphatidylcholine	LPC	10
lysophosphatidylinositol	LPI	3
ceramides	Cer	27
hexosylceramides	HexCer	35
galactosylceramide sulfate	SHexCer	18
acylcarnitines	AcCarn	5
fatty acids	FA	60

Table 2 Number of species detected in milk from subclinical mastitis.

The list of lipids identified in milk samples is presented in Supplementary Table S7.

https://unimibox.unimi.it/index.php/s/zPws6CxNWRYFXTd

Figure 5 presents the Partial Least Squares - Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) that measured the difference in the milk lipidome from Treated and non-treated mammary gland quarters along the time points T0, T7, T21, and T28 (Panel A). The results showed that the scatters of the two groups were limitedly separated across PC1 and PC2 at T0, indicating a quite homogeneity of the two groups. The effect of the treatment became more evident with time, as presented in panel A. Panel B shows the Heat maps that include the important features identified as statistically significant (p < 0.05) after a t-test. The most important lipids, shown to be differentially abundant between Treated and Control quarters, were presented in panel C as variable importance in the projection (VIP) score.

At T7, most of the lipids that changed between EO-treated and Control groups (8 out of ten) had a lower concentration in the milk of Treated cows. Of the ten lipids whose abundance changed, the most noticeable feature is an increase of PC 36:3. At T21, 15 lipids changed their abundance: two decreased, and 13 increased with treatment. Most changes were reported in the family of diglycerides, namely DG 33:3, DG 36:8, DG 35:3, DG 33:2, DG 35.2, DG 40:8, and PC 34:2 (VIP score > 1). At 28, the effects of TCEO on milk lipidome were limited to only 9 lipids (four increased and five decreased their abundance), the most interesting feature being MG 15:0, which exhibited an increased abundance after TCEO.

Part 4: Milk inflammatory parameters

SCC of milk after TCEO treatment

The changes in somatic cells in composite milk after TCEO are presented in Supplementary Figure S8. No statistically significant differences were detected after treating mammary gland quarters with TCEO (P = 0.05).

Milk concentration of IL-8 and the acute phase proteins Lf and Hp

The concentration of IL-8 was not homogeneous at T0. Only 2 milk samples from the Control and 3 from the Treated groups contained a concentration of IL-8 higher than 50 pg/mL. At the end of the experiment, the concentration of IL-8 decreased in both groups, as shown in Fig. 6A, but this difference was not significant (p = 0.05).

As reported in Fig. 6B, the concentrations of Lf found in quarter milk ranged between 143 and 217  $\mu$ g/mL: when tested with Two-way ANOVA, interaction between time points and treatment groups resulted significant, with P = 0.0002, suggesting an influence of the EO on Lf even if not in a specific time point alone. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 6C, the concentrations of Hp ranged between 1 and 0.7  $\mu$ g/mL for the Control group and between 1.4 and 0.7  $\mu$ g/mL for the Treated group, but this difference was not significant (P = 0.05, tested with one-way ANOVA)

# DISCUSSION

The present study investigated the impact of topical treatment with TCEO on the mammary gland of healthy cows at the end of the lactation period, which is one of the most delicate phases of the life cycle of a cow and its udder due to the involution and remodeling of the gland to quiescence. The effects of this treatment were unraveled using a systems biology approach. The changes in milk and skin microbiota were determined by microbiological and uncultured microbiota analysis by NGS. The study further included milk quality investigation in terms of composition, sensory analysis, viscosity, the hydrodynamic diameter of casein micelle evaluation, lipidomic profiling, and measurements of the significant markers of inflammation in milk.

The first part of the study investigated the potential changes in milk culturable microbial content and the uncultured bacterial population of milk and skin after TCEO treatment. The treatment did not affect the milk's culturable microbial content in a statistically significant way. Although these results were inconsistent with the *in vitro* studies that show antibacterial properties of TCEO <sup>10,12,13,16–19,54,55</sup>, it can be hypothesized that the surface treatment was less effective than the direct treatment in the teats previously cited in the literature. Different theories could justify this event: i) the oxidation and degradation of TCEO during the massage

negatively affecting the TCEO antibacterial properties <sup>49</sup>, or ii) the concentration of TCEO that penetrated the guarter and arrived at the cistern was below the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the bacteria, or iii) TCEO was captured by the milk's fat. However, it is interesting that results from the culturable microbial analysis and microbiota study were coherent, as the CNS signalled in the first analysis were then easily visible between the genera found in the microbiota analysis (Fig. 2F). On the other hand, metagenomics analysis showed that the investigated treatment induced limited changes in the uncultured milk microbiota: the significance in alpha diversity indexes referred, in fact, to time (Fig. 2A, 3 indices statistically significant at T21, and 6 indices with P between 0.05 and 0.1, plus 1 index with P lower than 0.05 at T28) and to group-related time effect (Fig. 2C, 6 indices statistically significant for treatment), suggesting that changes were related to time effect within the milk ecosystem, rather than to a treatment effect. Similarly, the species diversity between the two communities, described by Bray-Curtis dissimilarities analysis and statistically tested with PERMANOVA, showed significance only when related to time. The milk microbiota confirmed the substantial lack of significant changes between the two groups at both phyla and genus levels. Milk microbiota composition at the phylum level was consistent with previous reports <sup>56</sup>, with no significant treatment-related changes. However, it is possible to observe little changes at the genus level, mainly at T21 and T28. At T21, a decrease of Eubacterium coprostanoligenes and Romboutsia was found, and an increase of Acinetobacter, among others, in the TCEO-treated groups compared to control groups. The TCEO at T28 featured an opposite trend of Romboutsia, which increased and decreased the amount of Ruminococcus 1. Acinetobacter amount further increased: the Acinetobacter genus is related to clinical mastitis <sup>44</sup>, but still, small signals in inflammatory parameters were found, thus suggesting that the increase in the abundance of this genus has no practical consequences on the health status of the mammary gland. Its presence could be interesting due to its influence on cheese production, as it is reported to influence, as an external contaminant linked with silage, the cheese sensory characteristics <sup>57</sup>.

Similarly, *Brachybacterium*, *Corynebacterium*, and *Brevibacterium* were found to be initially lower in the Treated group but to increase to a non-significant difference between the two treated groups in the following time points. This behaviour from these genera previously signalled in the literature for their benefit on milk and cheese production, suggests that EO treatment does not influence them. On the contrary, they seem advantaged, guaranteeing healthy dairy production. Coherently, this beneficial status could favour the use of milk for cheese production, as EO has already been reported as an effective preservative against *Listeria monocytogenes* spoilage <sup>58–60</sup>.

Similarly, changes in the uncultured bacterial population diversity at the skin level were limited, as shown by the statistically significant differences of alpha diversity indices only when related to treatment (Fig. 3B) but not when related to time nor when considering time and treatment effects together. It is important to consider that the application method could have influenced the skin microbiota more than the treatment. Bacterial species diversity between the two groups (Control and Treated) was equally significant only when related to and was not affected by the treatment. Changes at phylum and genus levels between the Treated and Control groups were similarly limited: phyla did not present any significant change. The Genera that was found increased in the treated vs. control group at T7 and did not reveal potential harmful species, in agreement with previous results reporting no damaging effect of the EO <sup>61,62</sup>. In conclusion, these results show that TCEO udder application did not induce significant changes in the uncultured microbiota in milk and udder skin.

The second part of the study evaluated the effects of TCEO application on milk quality, including milk composition and sensory analysis. Concerning the physical properties of milk, the average casein micelle size and milk viscosity are, among others, critical physical parameters that affect the processing of milk into other milk-based products (cheese, yogurt, etc.). No significant difference (P > 0.05) was highlighted after the TCEO application, suggesting that TCEO did not interact with milk casein biosynthesis, which is known to influence milk viscosity. The viscosity values (from 2.2 to 3.4 mPa•s at 20°C) are slightly higher than that classically reported for skimmed bovine milk <sup>63</sup>. The high measured viscosity of some samples was attributed to the presence of coagulated residual fat particles that did not completely dissolve in milk upon thawing <sup>64</sup>.

Consistently, with the limited changes reported for milk quality and microbial content, untargeted lipidome, to which the third part of the study was dedicated, was limitedly affected by TCEO. As described for the microbiota, most differences were detected at T21 and T28, suggesting that TCEO may delay some slight changes mainly limited to diacylglycerols. Diacylglycerols are important milk components, accounting for about 2% of the milk fraction <sup>65</sup>. Diacylglycerols provide a crucial intermediate element before the final step of triglyceride synthesis in the biosynthesis of milk triglycerides. This step is catalysed by the enzyme diacylglycerol acyl-CoA acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1), a promising candidate gene for milk production traits. It would be interesting to ascertain if EO affected the enzyme's activity. It has been shown that feeding with EO induced changes in other species' Triacylglycerol (TAG) metabolism, such as Japanese quails <sup>66</sup>. Further studies are required to ascertain if skin treatment with EO may fulfill the same function in the ruminant mammary gland.

As for completeness, this study evaluated milk inflammatory parameters in its fourth part: TCEO treatment didn't affect the inflammation process, showing any changes in IL-8, Hp, and Lf.

# LIMITATIONS

The authors acknowledge that the study included some unforeseen limitations, which should be considered while improving this pilot study to a more extensive one. Firstly, the size of the animal groups was limited, although aligned with similar studies (<sup>46,50,67,68</sup>) as intended by a pilot study, but can be further increased to better mitigate the limitations. The authors acknowledge that the low numbers considered, although sufficient for the statistical analysis, can weaken the analysis outcomes, particularly given the preliminary nature of this study. Furthermore, the concentration of TCEO or its constituents in the milk was not analyzed, which represents an improvement for further studies. Lastly, the effect of TCEO massage on SCC was assessed at the udder level rather than on the individual quarter, as it is good practice in this kind of study. Future studies can include improvements to ameliorate the overall results, starting from these reported limitations.

# CONCLUSIONS

TCEO topical application on the udder did not modify milk microbiota. At the concentration of 10% TCEO, no significant change was evidenced in skin microbiota or milk lipidomic. In addition, no modifications were observed in milk composition or physicochemical properties, and somewhat similar levels of inflammatory markers were detected in both Treated and Control animal milk quarters. These results suggest that *Thymus capitatus* at the concentration of 10% is safe and has no side effects on milk when used in dairy farms as an

antimicrobial alternative. These effects must be considered preliminary since a more focused study on the single-quarter milk quality is required to attest to the safety of TCEO in healthy dairy cows.

# Abbreviations

AMR Antimicrobial resistance APP Acute Phase Proteins CNS Coagulase-negative Staphylococci CT Control EO Essential oils HP Haptoglobin IL-8 Interleukin 8 Lf Lactoferrin MDS Multidimensional scaling Ρ Significance SCC Somatic cell count TCEO Thymus capitatus essential oils TR Treated OUT Operational taxonomic unit

# Declarations

# Ethics approval and consent to participate.

The present study was approved by the French Ministry for Higher Education, Research and Innovation and the local institutional animal care and use committee (approval number: #2020011709229229).

The authors confirm that: (1) the reported experiments were conducted at Mejusseaume INRAE experimental farm under agreement for animal housing no. C-35–275–23; (2) all methods and animal treatment used in the

study were performed according to the guidelines and regulations stated in Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes (http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2010/63/oj). The study reported in the manuscript follows the recommendations in the ARRIVE guidelines (PLoS Biol 18(7): e3000411. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000411).

# Availability of data and materials

The milk and skin swab 16S rRNA gene sequencing datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available in the UNIMI Dataverse repository. A private URL for reviewers is hereby provided, while datasets will be disclosed upon article acceptance:

- Milk dataset: https://dataverse.unimi.it/privateurl.xhtml?token=66717af9-daad-4466-8a2a-2d87b6593f93
- Skinswabs dataset: https://dataverse.unimi.it/privateurl.xhtml?token=6802248d-09f4-4967-bd7e-7dd00e979e7a

# **Competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Funding

This work was funded by ANR (French National Research Agency) and MUR (Direzione Generale dell'internazionalizzazione e della comunicazione Programma cooperazione internazionale PRIMA – (Decreto Ministeriale 26 luglio 2016 n. 593) in the context of the MILKQUA-H2020-PRIMA 2018–Section 2.

## Author contributions

LAN and FC contributed to the conception and design of the study. RN carried out the *in vivo* study with the help of EV. RN carried out the microbiology analyses. PC and BC sequenced the DNA microbiota samples. CG and FB carried out the bioinformatics analyses. CG performed the statistical analysis for the *in vivo* study. DC, FF, and MF conducted the lipidomic and relative biostatistical and bioinformatics analyses. CG and RN edited the first draft of the manuscript. L.A.N, FC, SB, and SE critically revised the final manuscript. All authors contributed to writing, revising, and reading the manuscript before submission. The final version was approved by all authors.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Helga Sauerwein from Bonn University (Germany) for evaluating acute phase proteins (APP) and for the valuable support during the drafting of this paper. We thank all the technical support staff and animal facilities of Méjusseaume (INRAE) for their help during the achievement of the study

and the Laboratory of Technology of Villers Bocage (IDELE) for its technical assistance in studying milk quality.

## References

- 1. United Nations Environment Programme (2023). Bracing for Superbugs: Strengthening environmental action in the One Health response to antimicrobial resistance. Geneva Production: United Nations Environment Programme, <a href="https://www.unep.org/resources/superbugs/environmental-action">https://www.unep.org/resources/superbugs/environmental-action</a> (
- 2. Sharma, C. *et al.* Antimicrobial Resistance: Its Surveillance, Impact, and Alternative Management Strategies in Dairy Animals. Front Vet Sci 4, 237, doi:10.3389/fvets.2017.00237 (2017).
- 3. Bunse, M. *et al.* Essential Oils as Multicomponent Mixtures and Their Potential for Human Health and Well-Being. Front Pharmacol 13, 956541, doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.956541 (2022).
- 4. Nehme, R. et al. Essential Oils in Livestock: From Health to Food Quality. Antioxidants 10, 330 (2021).
- 5. Ramsey, J. T. *et al.* Essential Oils and Health. Yale J Biol Med 93, 291–305 (2020).
- Masika, P. J. & Afolayan, A. J. Antimicrobial activity of some plants used for the treatment of livestock disease in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 83, 129–134, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(02)00242-8 (2002).
- Uprety, Y., Karki, S., Poudel, R. C. & Kunwar, R. M. Ethnoveterinary use of plants and its implication for sustainable livestock management in Nepal. Front Vet Sci 9, 930533, doi:10.3389/fvets.2022.930533 (2022).
- Mayer, M., Vogl, C. R., Amorena, M., Hamburger, M. & Walkenhorst, M. Treatment of organic livestock with medicinal plants: a systematic review of European ethnoveterinary research. Forsch Komplementmed 21, 375–386, doi:10.1159/000370216 (2014).
- 9. Willer, H., Schlatter, B. & Trávníček, J. *The world of organic agriculture. Statistics and emerging trends 2023.*, <a href="http://www.organic-world.net/yearbook/yearbook-2023.html">http://www.organic-world.net/yearbook/yearbook-2023.html</a> (2023).
- 10. Pinedo, P., Karreman, H., Bothe, H., Velez, J. & Risco, C. Efficacy of a botanical preparation for the intramammary treatment of clinical mastitis on an organic dairy farm. Can Vet J 54, 479–484 (2013).
- 11. Abdelfattah, E. M. *et al.* 2019 Survey of Antimicrobial Drug Use and Stewardship Practices in Adult Cows on California Dairies: Post Senate Bill 27. *Microorganisms* 9, 1507 (2021).
- Cho, B.-W. *et al.* Therapeutic effect of oregano essential oil on subclinical bovine mastitis caused by Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. Korean J Vet Res 55, 253–257, doi:10.14405/kjvr.2015.55.4.253 (2015).
- Abboud, M., El Rammouz, R., Jammal, B. & Sleiman, M. In Vito and In Vivo Antimicrobial Activity of Two Essential Oils Thymus Vulgaris and Lavendula Angustifolia against Bovine Staphylococcus and Streptococcus Mastitis Pathogen. 4, 975–983 (2015).
- 14. Kovačević, Z. *et al.* New Perspective of Origanum vulgare L. and Satureja montana L. Essential Oils as Bovine Mastitis Treatment Alternatives. Antibiotics 10, 1460, doi:10.3390/antibiotics10121460 (2021).
- 15. Reshi, I., Sarkar, T., Malik, H., Muhee, A. & Shoukat, S. Efficacy of Fumaria indica, Nepata cataria and Adiantum capillus Crude Aqueous Extracts in Comparison to Cefuroxime in Sub-clinical Case of Bovine Mastitis. International Journal of Livestock Research, 1, doi:10.5455/ijlr.20170212032414 (2017).

- 16. Lopes, T. S. *et al.* Use of plant extracts and essential oils in the control of bovine mastitis. Research in Veterinary Science 131, 186–193, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.04.025 (2020).
- 17. Bouyahya, A. *et al.* Ethnomedicinal use, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and food benefits of Thymus capitatus. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 259, 112925, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2020.112925 (2020).
- Mkaddem, M. G. *et al.* Essential oil of Thymus capitatus Hoff. et Link. from Matmata, Tunisia: gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis and antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. J Med Food 13, 1500–1504, doi:10.1089/jmf.2009.0117 (2010).
- Bel Hadj Salah, K. *et al.* Activités antivirale et antioxydante in vitro d'huiles essentielles de Thymus capitatus (L.) Hoffmans. & Link de Tunisie. Acta Botanica Gallica 157, 433–444, doi:10.1080/12538078.2010.10516220 (2010).
- Biondi, D., Cianci, P., Geraci, C., Ruberto, G. & Piattelli, M. Antimicrobial activity and chemical composition of essential oils from sicilian aromatic plants. Flavour and Fragrance Journal 8, 331–337, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.2730080608 (1993).
- 21. Goren, A. C., Bilsel, G., Bilsel, M., Demir, H. & Kocabaş, E. E. Analysis of essential oil of Coridothymus capitatus (L.) and its antibacterial and antifungal activity. Z Naturforsch C J Biosci 58, 687–690, doi:10.1515/znc-2003-9-1016 (2003).
- Msaada, K. *et al.* Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activities of Tunisian Thymus capitatus L. Methanolic Extract. International Journal of Food Properties 19, 1381–1390, doi:10.1080/10942912.2015.1082138 (2016).
- 23. Benoutman, A. *et al.* Phytochemical Composition, Antioxidant and Antifungal Activity of Thymus capitatus, a Medicinal Plant Collected from Northern Morocco. Antibiotics (Basel) 11, doi:10.3390/antibiotics11050681 (2022).
- 24. Benjemaa, M. *et al.* Nanoencapsulation of Thymus capitatus essential oil: Formulation process, physical stability characterization and antibacterial efficiency monitoring. Industrial Crops and Products 113, 414–421, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.01.062 (2018).
- 25. Fratini, F. *et al.* Evaluation of the in vitro antibacterial activity of some essential oils and their blends against Staphylococcus spp. isolated from episodes of sheep mastitis. Rendiconti Lincei. Scienze Fisiche e Naturali 32, 407–416, doi:10.1007/s12210-021-00991-5 (2021).
- 26. Obeid, S. *et al.* The phase and charge of milk polar lipid membrane bilayers govern their selective interactions with proteins as demonstrated with casein micelles. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 534, 279–290, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2018.09.033 (2019).
- 27. Cicalău, G. I. P. *et al.* Anti-Inflammatory and Antioxidant Properties of Carvacrol and Magnolol, in Periodontal Disease and Diabetes Mellitus. Molecules 26, 6899 (2021).
- 28. Gonçalves, J. C. R. *et al.* Essential oil composition and antinociceptive activity of Thymus capitatus. Pharmaceutical Biology 55, 782–786, doi:10.1080/13880209.2017.1279672 (2017).
- 29. Cavalcante Melo, F. H. *et al.* Antinociceptive activity of carvacrol (5-isopropyl-2-methylphenol) in mice. J Pharm Pharmacol 64, 1722–1729, doi:10.1111/j.2042-7158.2012.01552.x (2012).
- 30. Pereira, R. B. *et al.* Anti-inflammatory activity of essential oils from Tunisian aromatic and medicinal plants and their major constituents in THP-1 macrophages. Food Research International 167, 112678,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112678 (2023).

- 31. Vuddhakul, V., Bhoopong, P., Hayeebilan, F. & Subhadhirasakul, S. Inhibitory activity of Thai condiments on pandemic strain of Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Food Microbiology 24, 413–418, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2006.04.010 (2007).
- 32. Herman, A. & Herman, A. P. Essential oils and their constituents as skin penetration enhancer for transdermal drug delivery: a review. J Pharm Pharmacol 67, 473–485, doi:10.1111/jphp.12334 (2015).
- 33. Wattenburger, K., Schmidt, R., Placheta, L., Middleton, J. R. & Adkins, P. R. F. Evaluation of 4 different teat disinfection methods prior to collection of milk samples for bacterial culture in dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 103, 4579–4587, doi:https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17338 (2020).
- 34. Kerro Dego, O., Pacha, P. A., Gillespie, B. E. & Pighetti, G. M. Experimental Staphylococcus aureus Mastitis Infection Model by Teat Dipping in Bacterial Culture Suspension in Dairy Cows. Animals (Basel) 10, doi:10.3390/ani10050751 (2020).
- Cremonesi, P. *et al.* Raw Milk Microbiota Modifications as Affected by Chlorine Usage for Cleaning Procedures: The Trentingrana PDO Case. Front Microbiol 11, 564749, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.564749 (2020).
- 36. Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 Suppl 1, 4516–4522, doi:10.1073/pnas.1000080107 (2011).
- 37. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32, 3047–3048, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354 (2016).
- 38. Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7, 335–336, doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303 (2010).
- 39. Kurtzer, G. M., Sochat, V. & Bauer, M. W. Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute. PLOS ONE 12, e0177459, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177459 (2017).
- 40. Biscarini, F. *et al.* Rumen microbiome in dairy calves fed copper and grape-pomace dietary supplementations: Composition and predicted functional profile. PLoS One 13, e0205670, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0205670 (2018).
- 41. Yilmaz, P. *et al.* The SILVA and "All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)" taxonomic frameworks. Nucleic Acids Res 42, D643-648, doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1209 (2014).
- 42. Paulson, J. N., Stine, O. C., Bravo, H. C. & Pop, M. Differential abundance analysis for microbial markergene surveys. Nat Methods 10, 1200–1202, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2658 (2013).
- 43. Ranilla, M. J. *et al.* Effects of Thymbra capitata essential oil on in vitro fermentation end-products and ruminal bacterial communities. Sci Rep 13, 4153, doi:10.1038/s41598-023-31370-9 (2023).
- 44. Biscarini, F., Cozzi, P. & Orozco-Ter Wengel, P. Lessons learnt on the analysis of large sequence data in animal genomics. Anim Genet 49, 147–158, doi:10.1111/age.12655 (2018).
- 45. Chao, A. & Lee, S.-M. Estimating the Number of Classes via Sample Coverage. Journal of the American Statistical Association 87, 210–217, doi:10.1080/01621459.1992.10475194 (1992).
- 46. Ceciliani, F. *et al.* The untargeted lipidomic profile of quarter milk from dairy cows with subclinical intramammary infection by non-aureus staphylococci. J Dairy Sci 104, 10268–10281,

doi:10.3168/jds.2020-19975 (2021).

- 47. Doudiès, F. *et al.* Major Role of Voluminosity in the Compressibility and Sol-Gel Transition of Casein Micelle Dispersions Concentrated at 7°C and 20°C. *Foods* 8, doi:10.3390/foods8120652 (2019).
- 48. Listrat, A. *et al.* What are the drivers of beef sensory quality using metadata of intramuscular connective tissue, fatty acids and muscle fiber characteristics? Livestock Science 240, 104209, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104209 (2020).
- 49. Hagnestam-Nielsen, C., Emanuelson, U., Berglund, B. & Strandberg, E. Relationship between somatic cell count and milk yield in different stages of lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 3124–3133, doi:https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1719 (2009).
- 50. Ceciliani, F. *et al.* Changes in the lipidome of water buffalo milk during intramammary infection by nonaureus Staphylococci. Scientific Reports 12, doi:10.1038/s41598-022-13400-0 (2022).
- 51. Chong, J., Wishart, D. S. & Xia, J. Using MetaboAnalyst 4.0 for Comprehensive and Integrative Metabolomics Data Analysis. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 68, e86, doi:10.1002/cpbi.86 (2019).
- 52. Hiss, S., Weinkauf, C., Hachenberg, S. & Sauerwein, H. Short communication: Relationship between metabolic status and the milk concentrations of haptoglobin and lactoferrin in dairy cows during early lactation. J Dairy Sci 92, 4439–4443, doi:10.3168/jds.2008-1632 (2009).
- 53. Roussel, P. *et al.* Investigating the contribution of IL-17A and IL-17F to the host response during Escherichia coli mastitis. Veterinary Research 46, 56, doi:10.1186/s13567-015-0201-4 (2015).
- 54. Calsamiglia, S., Busquet, M., Cardozo, P. W., Castillejos, L. & Ferret, A. Invited review: Essential oils as modifiers of rumen microbial fermentation. J Dairy Sci 90, 2580–2595, doi:10.3168/jds.2006-644 (2007).
- 55. Winward, G. P., Avery, L. M., Stephenson, T. & Jefferson, B. Essential oils for the disinfection of grey water. Water Research 42, 2260–2268, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.12.004 (2008).
- Kfoury, M., Auezova, L., Greige-Gerges, H. & Fourmentin, S. Encapsulation in cyclodextrins to widen the applications of essential oils. Environmental Chemistry Letters 17, 129–143, doi:10.1007/s10311-018-0783-y (2019).
- 57. Giello, M. *et al.* Dynamics of bacterial communities during manufacture and ripening of traditional Caciocavallo of Castelfranco cheese in relation to cows' feeding. Food Microbiol 63, 170–177, doi:10.1016/j.fm.2016.11.016 (2017).
- 58. Schubert, K. *et al.* Two coryneform bacteria isolated from the surface of French Gruyère and Beaufort cheeses are new species of the genus Brachybacterium: Brachybacterium alimentarium sp. nov. and Brachybacterium tyrofermentans sp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 46, 81–87, doi:10.1099/00207713-46-1-81 (1996).
- 59. Gori, K., Ryssel, M., Arneborg, N. & Jespersen, L. Isolation and identification of the microbiota of Danish farmhouse and industrially produced surface-ripened cheeses. Microb Ecol 65, 602–615, doi:10.1007/s00248-012-0138-3 (2013).
- 60. Gérard, A. *et al.* Study of the microbial diversity of a panel of Belgian artisanal cheeses associated with challenge studies for Listeria monocytogenes. Food Microbiol 100, 103861, doi:10.1016/j.fm.2021.103861 (2021).
- 61. Sorge, U. S., Binger, E. M., Schefers, J. & Plummer, P. J. Short communication: Metagenomic evaluation of skin biopsies of udder sores in dairy cows. J Dairy Sci 102, 11470–11475, doi:10.3168/jds.2018-15863

(2019).

- 62. Shi, F. *et al.* Static composting of cow manure and corn stalk covered with a membrane in cold regions. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 10, 969137, doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.969137 (2022).
- 63. Huppertz, T., Fox, P. F. & Kelly, A. L. High pressure-induced changes in the creaming properties of bovine milk. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies 4, 349–359, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1466-8564(03)00057-2 (2003).
- 64. Laosam, P., Pin, C. & Pakdeechanuan, P. Adjusting the initial milk pH before freezing affected physicochemical properties of thawed goat milk. Food Research 6, 475–481, doi:10.26656/fr.2017.6(2).302 (2022).
- 65. Månsson, H. L. Fatty acids in bovine milk fat. Food Nutr Res 52, doi:10.3402/fnr.v52i0.1821 (2008).
- 66. Hussein, M. M. A., Abd El-Hack, M. E., Mahgoub, S. A., Saadeldin, I. M. & Swelum, A. A. Effects of clove (Syzygium aromaticum) oil on quail growth, carcass traits, blood components, meat quality, and intestinal microbiota. Poultry Science 98, 319–329, doi:https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pey348 (2019).
- 67. Thangaraj, S. V. *et al.* Comparative lipidome study of maternal plasma, milk, and lamb plasma in sheep. Sci Rep 14, 7401, doi:10.1038/s41598-024-58116-5 (2024).
- 68. George, A. D. *et al.* Untargeted lipidomics using liquid chromatography-ion mobility-mass spectrometry reveals novel triacylglycerides in human milk. Sci Rep 10, 9255, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-66235-y (2020).

## Figures



## Figure 1

Inhibition zone diameter of the 9 EO and 4 antibiotics (Amoxycillin, ampicillin, colistin, and cephalexin) against E.coli and S. aureus. Values are the average diameter of six replicates' inhibitory zone (mm) ±SD. Different letters in the column mean the inhibition zone is significantly different (P < 0.05). The diameter of the paper disk (6 mm) is excluded.



## Figure 2

The milk microbiota analysis. A) Scatterplots of P-values from the model (1) evaluating the effects of treatment per time; B) Scatterplots of P values from the model (2) the effect of time points within treatment; C) Scatterplots of Ps from the model (3), or combined model In A), B), and C), Scatterplots refer to milk alphadiversity indices (corrected for baseline). The dashed line in red represents the significance threshold equal to 0.05, and in orange, it is equal to 0.10. D) MDS plot of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities based on the OTU table from the milk microbiota. The shape of data points represents the two groups of quarters (Control vs. Treated with EO). Colours represent the different time points instead. E) Boxplots of the distribution of phylum relative abundance higher than 1% in the milk microbiota (all 64 samples together). F) Boxplots of the distribution of genera relative abundance higher than 1% in the milk microbiota. Significantly abundant taxa in milk microbiota at phyla level: G) Heatmap of the significance of taxa relative abundance in the milk microbiota at phyla level. H) Bar plot representing the behaviour of the significantly different abundant phyla along time points: the scale in the x-axis is the ratio of Treated against Controls. Please note that the scale of the graph does not make Proteobacteria clear. Significantly abundant taxa in milk microbiota at genera level: I) heatmap of the significantly different abundant genera level. J) Bar plot representing the behaviour of the milk microbiota at the genera level. J) Bar plot representing the significantly different abundant taxa in milk microbiota at genera level. I) heatmap of the significantly different abundant taxa in milk microbiota at genera level. I) heatmap of the significantly different abundant genera level. J) Bar plot

The definition at the phyla level originates from Silva 132, which did not integrate some updates about bacterial nomenclature. Therefore, readers will find, e.g., Bacillus as Firmicutes, Pseudomonadota as Proteobacteria, Actinomycetota as Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidota as Bacteroidetes.



## Figure 3

The skin microbiota analysis. A) Scatterplots of Ps from the model (1) evaluating the effects of treatment per time; B) Scatterplots of Ps from the model (2) the effect of time points within treatment; C) Scatterplots of Ps from the model (3), or combined model. In A), B), and C), Scatterplots refer to skin alpha-diversity indices (corrected for baseline). The dashed line in red represents the P threshold equal to 0.05, and in orange, it is equal to 0.10. D) MDS plot of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities based on the OTU table from the milk microbiota. The shape of data points represents the two groups of quarters (Control vs. Treated with EO). Colours represent the different time points instead. E) Boxplots of the distribution of phylum relative abundance higher than 1%

in the skin microbiota (all 64 samples together). F) Boxplots of the distribution of genera relative abundance higher than 1% in the skin microbiota (all 64 samples together). Significantly abundant taxa in milk microbiota: G) heatmap of the P of taxa relative abundance in the milk microbiota at phyla level, H) Bar plot representing the behaviour of the significantly different abundant phyla along time points.

The definition at the phyla level originates from the Silva 132, which did not integrate some updates about bacterial nomenclature. Therefore, readers will find, e.g., Bacillus as Firmicutes, Pseudomonadota as Proteobacteria, Actinomycetota as Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidota as Bacteroidetes.



## Figure 4

The milk quality evaluation. A) Evolution of protein and fat content in the milk before and after the treatment for both groups. B) Distribution of the hydrodynamic diameters of casein micelle in milk in both groups (C for the Control group and T for the treatment group). Each curve corresponds to 1 sampled quarter (3 per condition). C) Distribution of the average grades attributed by the panel of experts to fresh and pasteurized milk from Control and Treated cows. No significant differences were observed between the 2 groups of samples (P=0.05)



#### Figure 5

The metabolome analysis of milk. Panel A Partial Least Squares - Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA). Panel B: Heat maps that include the important features identified as statistically significant (P < 0.05) after a t-test. Panel C: the most important lipids shown to be differentially abundant between treated and untreated quarters were as variable importance in the projection (VIP) score.



## Figure 6

The milk inflammatory parameters. A) Time course of the serum concentrations (means + SEM) of Interleukine 8 (IL-8)\*. B) Time course of the serum concentrations (means + SEM) of acute phase proteins Lactoferrin (LF)\*. C) Time course of the serum concentrations (means + SEM) of acute phase proteins Haptoglobin (Hp)\*. \*In quarter milk all the 4 time points (T0, T7, T21, and T28).

## **Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- SupplementaryTableS1.docx
- SupplementaryTableS2.docx
- SupplementaryTableS3.docx
- SupplementaryTableS4.docx
- SupplementaryTableS5.docx
- SupplementaryTableS6.docx
- SupplementaryTableS7.docx
- SupplementaryFigureS8.tif