

# Comparing soil properties between LUCAS Soil and National Soil Information Monitoring System (N-SIMS): major differences and implications for future policies to evaluate soil quality

Claire Froger, Elena Tondini, Dominique Arrouays, Katrien Oorts, Christopher Poeplau, Johanna Wetterlind, Elsa Putku, Nicolas P. A. Saby, Maria Fantappié, Quentin Styc, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Claire Froger, Elena Tondini, Dominique Arrouays, Katrien Oorts, Christopher Poeplau, et al.. Comparing soil properties between LUCAS Soil and National Soil Information Monitoring System (N-SIMS): major differences and implications for future policies to evaluate soil quality. EJP SOIL Annual Science Days & General Meeting, Jun 2024, Vilnius, Lithuania. hal-04661373

## HAL Id: hal-04661373 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04661373v1

Submitted on 24 Jul2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Comparing soil properties between LUCAS Soil and National Soil Information Monitoring System (N-SIMS): major differences and implications for future policies to evaluate soil quality

Claire Froger<sup>1\*</sup>, Elena Tondini<sup>2</sup>, Dominique Arrouays<sup>1</sup>, Katrien Oorts<sup>3</sup>, Christopher Poeplau<sup>4</sup>, Johanna Wetterlind<sup>5</sup>, Elsa Putku<sup>6</sup>, Nicolas Saby<sup>1</sup>, Maria Fantappiè<sup>2</sup>, Quentin Styc<sup>1</sup>, Claire Chenu<sup>7</sup>, Joost Salomez<sup>3</sup>, Seth Callewaert<sup>3</sup>, Frédéric Vanwindekens<sup>7</sup>, Bruno Huyghebaert<sup>7</sup>, Julien Henrickx<sup>7</sup>, Stefan Heilek<sup>4</sup>, Laura Sofie Harbo<sup>8,4</sup>, Lucas De Carvalho Gomes<sup>8</sup>, Alberto Lázaro López<sup>9</sup>, Jose Antonio Rodriguez<sup>9</sup>, Sylwia Pindral<sup>10</sup>, Bozena Smreczak<sup>10</sup>, András Benő<sup>11</sup>, Zsofia Bakacsi<sup>11</sup>, Kees Teuling<sup>12</sup>, Fenny van Egmond<sup>12</sup>, Vladimír Hutár<sup>13</sup>, Boris Palka<sup>13</sup> and Antonio Bispo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INRAE, Info&Sols, F-45000, Orléans, France

<sup>2</sup>Council for Agricultural Research and Economics, Research Center for Agriculture and Environment, Via di Lanciola 12/A, 50125 - Firenze, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Flemish Planning Bureau for the Environment and Spatial Development - Departement Omgeving, Brussel, Belgium

<sup>4</sup>Thünen Institute of Climate-Smart Agriculture, Bundesallee 68, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Department of Soil and Environment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 53223, Skara, Sweden

<sup>6</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agrochemistry, Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Kreutzwaldi 1a, EE-51014 Tartu, Estonia

<sup>7</sup> Ecosys, Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Palaiseau, France

<sup>8</sup> Walloon Agricultural Research Centre, Gembloux, Belgium

<sup>9</sup>Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Blichers alle 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark

<sup>10</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA-CSIC), Ctra. de la Coruña, km 7,5, 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>11</sup> Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation – State Research Institute, Czartoryskich 8, 24-100, Puławy, Poland

<sup>12</sup> Institute for Soil Sciences, Centre for Agricultural Research, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>13</sup>Wageningen Environmental Research, Droevendaalsesteeg 3, 6708PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

<sup>14</sup> National Agricultural and Food Center, Soil Science and Conservation Research Institute, Trenčianska 55, 821 09 Bratislava, Slovakia

#### \* <u>claire.froger@inrae.fr</u>

Soil is crucial for life as it provides us food and fibre, regulates water and climate, and hosts thousands of organisms. A recent assessment states that 60-70% of soils in Europe can be considered as unhealthy due to different soil degradation processes. To protect this non-renewable resource at human scale, we first need to acquire knowledge about it and implement soil monitoring to determine the current soil properties, assess the soil status and detect soil changes over time.

In Europe, two types of monitoring networks currently exist in parallel. Many EU Member states (MS) developed their own soil information monitoring system (N-SIMS), some of them in place for decades. Since 2009, a European topsoil monitoring programs has been established by the European Commission based on the Land Use/Land Cover Area Frame Survey (LUCAS) led by EUROSTAT. This survey was repeated several times since 2009 and offers a consistent spatial database. Nevertheless, N-SIMS and LUCAS Soil were established for different purposes with diverging monitoring strategies.

To evaluate soil quality and support European policies, there is a clear need to establish reference values to assess soil health, based on reliable soil data. Consequently, a question remains whether

the soil properties obtained by both monitoring programs (N-SIMS and LUCAS Soil) are comparable, and what could be the limitations of using either one dataset or the other.

In the context of workpackage 6 of EJP Soil, a comparison of statistical distribution of three soil properties (organic carbon, pH and clay content) has been conducted among 12 different EU countries including BE, DE, DK, EE, ES, FR, DE, HU, IT, NL, PL, SE and SK. In addition, a comparison of the results of two indicators including soil loss indicator OC/Clay and pH classes using N-SIMS and LUCAS Soil datasets has been conducted. The results underlined substantial differences in soil properties statistical distributions between N-SIMS and LUCAS Soil in many countries, particularly for woodland and grassland soils, affecting the evaluation of soil quality using indicators. Such differences that might be explained by both the monitoring strategy (spatial distribution of sites) and sampling protocols exposes the significance of selecting reliable data to support European and national policies. Those results advocate for a further effort of dialogue between national institutions conducting soil monitoring and LUCAS Soil to strengthen future soil monitoring and provide reliable data to reach the objectives of healthy soils.