

Transmission of synthetic seed bacterial communities to radish seedlings: impact on microbiota assembly and plant phenotype

Marie Simonin, Anne Préveaux, Coralie Marais, Tiffany Garin, Gontran Arnault, Alain Sarniguet, Matthieu Barret

▶ To cite this version:

Marie Simonin, Anne Préveaux, Coralie Marais, Tiffany Garin, Gontran Arnault, et al.. Transmission of synthetic seed bacterial communities to radish seedlings: impact on microbiota assembly and plant phenotype. Peer Community Journal, 2023, 3, pp.e95. 10.24072/pcjournal.329. hal-04688330

HAL Id: hal-04688330 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04688330v1

Submitted on 4 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Peer Community Journal

Section: Microbiology

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Published 2023-10-04

Cite as

Marie Simonin, Anne Préveaux, Coralie Marais, Tiffany Garin, Gontran Arnault, Alain Sarniguet and Matthieu Barret (2023) Transmission of synthetic seed bacterial communities to radish seedlings: impact on microbiota assembly and plant phenotype, Peer Community Journal, 3: e95.

Correspondence

marie.simonin@inrae.fr

Peer-review

Peer reviewed and recommended by PCI Microbiology,

https://doi.org/10.24072/pci. microbiol.100008



This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Transmission of synthetic seed bacterial communities to radish seedlings: impact on microbiota assembly and plant phenotype

Marie Simonin^{®,1}, Anne Préveaux¹, Coralie Marais¹, Tiffany Garin¹, Gontran Arnault^{®,1}, Alain Sarniguet^{®,1}, and Matthieu Barret^{®,1}

Volume 3 (2023), article e95

https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.329

Abstract

Seed-borne microorganisms can be pioneer taxa during germination and seedling emergence. Still, the identity and phenotypic effects of these taxa that constitute a primary inoculum of plant microbiota is mostly unknown. Here, we studied the transmission of bacteria from radish seeds to seedlings using the inoculation of individual seed-borne strains and synthetic communities (SynComs) under in vitro conditions. The SynComs were composed of highly abundant and prevalent, sub-dominant, or rare bacterial seed taxa. We monitored the transmission of each strain alone or in communities using gyrB gene amplicon sequencing and assessed their impacts on germination and seedling phenotype. All strains and Syn-Coms successfully colonized seedlings and we were able to reconstruct a richness gradient (6, 8 and 12 strains) on both seeds and seedlings. Stenotrophomonas rhizophila became dominant on seedlings of the three SynComs but most strains had variable transmission success (i.e increasing, stable or decreasing during seed to seedling transition) that also depended on the SynCom richness. Most individual strains had no effect on seedling phenotypes, with the exception of Pseudomonas viridiflava and Paenibacillus sp. which had detrimental effects on germination and seedling development. Abnormal seedling morphologies were also observed with SynComs but their proportions decreased at the highest richness level. Interestingly, some bacterial strains previously identified as core taxa of radish seeds (Pseudomonas viridiflava, Erwinia persicina) were associated with detrimental effects on seedling phenotypes either in isolation or in SynComs. These results confirm that the plant core microbiome includes pathogenic and not only commensal or mutualistic taxa. Altogether, these results show that SynCom inoculation can effectively manipulate seed and seedling microbiota diversity and thus represents a promising tool to better understand the early stages of plant microbiota assembly. This study also highlights strong differences between native seed-borne taxa in the colonization and survival on plant habitats.

¹Univ Angers, Institut Agro, INRAE, IRHS, SFR QUASAV, F-49000 Angers, France





Introduction

The impact of seed-borne pathogens on plant fitness has been extensively studied but the influence of all the other commensal or mutualistic microorganisms living on/in seeds is mostly unknown. Seed microbiota harbor diverse microbial communities that constitute a primary inoculum for plant microbiota assembly that could originate from maternal transmission or environmental sources during seed maturation (Chesneau et al., 2020, 2022). Several individual seed endophytic bacteria, such as methylotrophic taxa, have been reported to promote stress tolerance and germination (Raja et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2019). However, the research is still scarce on the influence of complex seed microbial communities on germination and seedling phenotypes or on the role of microbial interactions in the observed phenotypes (Lamichhane et al., 2018). Moreover, many knowledge gaps remain regarding the fraction of seed-borne taxa that can be transmitted to seedlings and the influence of the initial seed microbiota composition on their transmission success.

Few studies investigated the transmission of seed microbiota to seedlings and they reported a high variability in the contribution of seed taxa to plant microbiota depending on the soil tested (Rochefort et al., 2021; Walsh et al., 2021). These studies had the advantage to work directly on native seed communities but this comes with the limitation that the seed microbiota had to be characterized on pools of seeds due to the insufficient microbial biomass and DNA present on individual seeds. As a consequence, it is not possible to truly determine which microbial taxa were transmitted from one seed to one seedling. Few studies attempted to characterize the microbiota of individual seeds using culture-dependent (Mundt & Hinkle, 1976; Newcombe et al., 2018) and culture-independent techniques (Bintarti et al., 2021; Chesneau et al., 2022). These studies demonstrated a very high natural variability in microbiota composition between individual seeds and a low bacterial richness. In particular, our work on radish seeds showed a high variability in richness (1 to 46 bacterial taxa, Figure S1) and composition between individual seeds (Chesneau et al., 2022). An important finding was that seeds were generally dominated by one bacterial taxon (> 75% relative abundance) but its identity was highly variable between parental plants and even between seeds originating from the same plant.

Hence, studying the transmission of natural microbiota from seed to seedling and assessing their impacts on seedling phenotype is extremely challenging. Controlled conditions with the inoculation of known microbial assemblages are required to characterize the seed to seedling transmission and establish causal links between microbiota and seedling phenotypes.

The contribution of the plant microbiota to host nutrition or resistance to pathogens has been recently investigated through inoculation of synthetic microbial communities (SynComs) in the rhizosphere and the phyllosphere (e.g. Kwak et al., 2018; Carlström et al., 2019; Finkel et al., 2020). But so far, assembly and role of the plant microbiota in the early stage of the plant's life cycle has been neglected. One correlative study between seed microbiota structure and seed germination of different rapeseed genotypes offers promising results about the key role of microbiota in seed vigor (Rochefort et al., 2019). One SynCom study by Figueiredo dos Santos et al., (2021) showed that seed disinfection reduced maize germination rates that could be recovered after inoculation of a SynCom on seeds. Additionally, Matsumoto et al., (2021) demonstrated the role of seed endophytes in disease resistance to the seed-borne pathogen *Burkholderia plantarii*. These reports encourage further investigations on the influence of seed microbiota on seedling microbiota assembly to uncover the role of this primary inoculum of plants.

In this context, we set up seed inoculation experiments under *in vitro* conditions to address the following objectives:

- Monitor the transmission of individual seed-borne bacteria and synthetic bacterial communities from seed to seedlings (here on radish plants) using *gyrB* amplicon sequencing
- Test the hypothesis that individual seed-borne bacteria and/or synthetic bacterial communities have significant effects on seedling phenotype

Twelve bacterial strains representative of radish seed microbiota were selected based on their abundance – prevalence in seeds without any *a priori* on their potential functions or effects on plants. The strains were studied either individually or in communities (mix of 6, 8, or 12 strains). In this study, we considered the entire seed and seedling microbiota, including both endophytes and bacteria living on the plant surfaces.

Material and methods

Seed material and bacterial strains

The radish seeds (*Raphanus sativus* var. Flamboyant5) used for bacterial strain isolation and the inoculation experiments were obtained from a field trial conducted in 2013 and 2014 at the experimental station of the National Federation of Seed Multipliers (FNAMS, 47°28'012.42"N - 0°23'44.30"W, Brain-sur-l'Authion, France).

A bacterial culture collection was obtained from a seed sample composed of approximately 1000 mature seeds (Torres-Cortés et al., 2019). Seed samples were soaked in 25 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Sigma-Aldrich) with Tween® 20 (0.05 % v/v, Sigma-Aldrich) at 6°C under agitation (150 rpm) for 2h30. This seed soaking technique has been developed by the International Seed Testing Association for the detection of bacterial pathogens of Brassicaceae. This technique permits isolation and characterization of both the endophytic and epiphytic fractions of the radish seed microbiota (Chesneau et al., 2022). One hundred microliters of the suspension were plated on tryptic soy agar 1/10 strength (10% TSA) supplemented with cycloheximide (50 µg.ml⁻¹, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Louis, Missouri, USA). Plates were incubated at 20°C during a minimum 5 days. Isolated colonies were picked and grown on 10% TSA plates for 24-48 hours to obtain pure cultures. The taxonomy of the isolated strains was determined using Sanger sequencing of the *gyrB* gene. A total of 528 strains were obtained that represented the 4 main phyla (Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria) found in radish seeds, for a total of 11 assigned bacterial families and 17 genera.

To select strains representative of the radish seed bacterial community, we used the Seed Microbiota Database (Simonin et al., 2022) originating from a meta-analysis that gathers re-processed amplicon sequencing datasets from 50 plant species. All the radish seed datasets (*R. sativus* Flamboyant5) obtained with the *gyrB* marker gene (7 independent studies, n=295 seed samples) were extracted from the database. After filtering the ASVs with a low read number (<100 reads), the prevalence and relative abundance of ASVs (n=139) were calculated across all samples. The *gyrB* sequences of the ASVs were compared to the strains of our culture collection (Supplementary Data).

Seed inoculation experiment

Subsamples of seeds (1g ~100 seeds) were surface-sterilized using the following protocol: 1 min sonication (40 Hertz), soaking for 1 min in 96° ethanol, 5 min in 2.6% sodium hypochlorite, 30 sec in 96° ethanol and rinsed 3 times with sterile water. A subsample of 30 seeds was used to verify the efficacy of the surface-sterilization by soaking the seeds under agitation (150 rpm) for 2h30, plating on 10% TSA and incubating a minimum of 2 days at 20°C. The seeds were dried on sterile paper before the inoculation. Of note, the surface-sterilization cannot eliminate the seed endophytes without impairing seed physiology and germination potential. Endophyte diversity was therefore estimated in non-inoculated control seeds and seedlings.

Twelve bacterial strains of our culture collection conserved at the CIRM-CFBP were selected to build a simplified seed radish bacterial community (more details in the Result section, Figure 1). The strains were either inoculated alone on seeds or as SynComs of 6, 8, or 12 strains in sterile water. Subsamples of 30 seeds were dipped into bacterial suspensions (OD_{600nm} = 0.01, approximately 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹) for 30 minutes under constant agitation (70 rpm) at 20°C. For the SynComs, each strain was added in equal proportion in the bacterial suspension to reach a final concentration of 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹. Control seeds were inoculated with sterile water only. This concentration was selected based on previous seed inoculation experiments conducted in our team (Chesneau et al., 2022) and multiple reports of this concentration used in synthetic community inoculation on plants (e.g. Kwak et al., 2018; Pfeilmeier et al., 2021). The inoculated or control seeds were then placed individually at the surface of a sterile cotton pad moistened with 4 mL of sterile water in sterile glass tubes. A total of 30 seeds were sown by condition (control, single strains or SynComs, total of 16 conditions) that were incubated for 4 days in a growth chamber (photoperiod: 16h/8h, temperature 25/22°C).

The bacterial cell density of the inocula and inoculated seeds (pool of 30 seeds) were assessed by plating on 10% TSA (CFU mL $^{-1}$ or /seed) immediately after inoculating the seeds. In the SynCom experiment, an amplicon sequencing approach was used to measure the relative abundance of the different strains in the inocula, inoculated seeds and seedlings. Thus, 3 repetitions of 500 μ L of the inocula and of the macerate

of inoculated seeds were stored at -80°C in a 96-well plate before DNA extraction and *gyrB* amplicon library preparation.

After 4 days of growth, the phenotypes of the seedlings were determined and then the seedlings were individually processed to assess bacterial cell density by plating and bacterial community composition by amplicon sequencing. The effects on seedling phenotypes were assessed using the protocol established by the International Seed Testing Association (https://www.seedtest.org/en/handbooks-calibration-samples/seedling-evaluation-4th-edition-2018-product-1016.html). Three types of phenotypes could be observed: non-germinated seeds, normal seedling or abnormal seedling. A seedling was considered abnormal if at least one of the cotyledons was necrotic or rotten, if the hypocotyl or epicotyl were deformed, or if the root system was absent, stunted or rotten.

To measure the bacterial cell density and the bacterial communities of seedlings, each entire plant (shoot and root together) without the remaining seed and tegument was crushed in a sterile plastic bag (n=30 seedlings by condition), resuspended in 2 mL of sterile water and homogenized. The seedling suspension was then serial-diluted and plated on 10% TSA to determine the CFU seedling⁻¹ and to assess the capacity of strains and SynComs to colonize seedlings. For graphical representations, we calculated the log values of bacterial population density for each sample separately and then calculated the descriptive statistics of each condition to represent them as box plots. The remaining seedling suspensions were stored at -80°C in a 96-well plate before DNA extraction and library preparation for the *gyrB* gene amplicon sequencing.

Amplicon sequencing of seed and seedling bacterial communities

DNA extraction was performed on the inocula, inoculated seeds and seedlings of the SynCom conditions (control, 6-strain, 8-strain, 12-strain SynComs) with the NucleoSpin® 96 Food kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions.

The first PCR was performed with the primers gyrB_aF64/gyrB_aR553 (Barret et al., 2015), which target a portion of *gyrB* gene in bacteria. PCR reactions were performed with a high-fidelity Taq DNA polymerase (AccuPrimeTM Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) using 5μL of 10X Buffer, 1μL of forward and reverse primers (100μM), 0.2μL of Taq and 5 μl of DNA. PCR cycling conditions constituted an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of amplification at 94°C (30 s), 55°C (45 s) and 68°C (90 s), and a final elongation at 68°C for 10 min. Amplicons were purified with magnetic beads (Sera-MagTM, Merck, Kenilworth, New Jersey). The second PCR was conducted to incorporate Illumina adapters and barcodes. The PCR cycling conditions were: denaturation at 94°C (1 min), 12 cycles at 94°C (1 min), 55°C (1 min) and 68°C (1 min), and a final elongation at 68°C for 10 min. Amplicons were purified with magnetic beads and pooled. Concentration of the pool was measured with quantitative PCR (KAPA Library Quantification Kit, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Amplicon libraries were mixed with 5% PhiX and sequenced with MiSeq reagent kits v2 500 cycles (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA). A blank extraction kit control, a PCR-negative control and PCR-positive control (*Lactococcus piscium*, a fish pathogen that is not plant-associated) were included in each PCR plate. The raw amplicon sequencing data are available on the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with the accession number PRJEB58635.

The bioinformatic processing of the amplicons was performed in R. In brief, primer sequences were removed with cutadapt 2.7 (Martin, 2011) and trimmed fastq files were processed with DADA2 version 1.10 (Callahan et al., 2016). Chimeric sequences were identified and removed with the removeBimeraDenovo function of DADA2. Amplicon Sequence Variant (ASV) taxonomic affiliations were performed with a naive Bayesian classifier (Wang et al., 2007) with our in-house *gyrB* database (train_set_gyrB_v4.fa.gz) available upon request. Unassigned sequences at the phylum level and *parE* sequences (a *gyrB* paralog) were filtered. The identification of sequence contaminants was assessed using decontam version 1.8.0 based on our negative controls. After these filtering steps, samples with less than 1000 reads were excluded from the study. The index hopping rate was assessed using our positive controls and the values for this sequencing run were < 0.01% as expected for the Illumina platform.

Statistics and microbial community analyses

All the scripts and datasets used to conduct the study are available on GitHub (https://github.com/marie-simonin/Radish_SynCom). We assessed the effect of the inoculation and sample type on the various univariate variables (e.g. seedling bacterial cell density, strain relative

abundance, ASV richness) using generalized mixed models (*glm* function in Ime4 package) and post hoc comparisons were performed using the Tukey method (*warp.emm* function in package *emmeans*). The statistical tests performed to compare the number of normal and abnormal seedling phenotypes between control and inoculated seeds (single strain or SynCom) was done using a Fisher exact test for count data.

The analyses on bacterial community structure based on the amplicon sequencing data were done after rarefaction at 14116 reads per sample to conserve sufficient replicates while having a good sampling depth. Diversity and community structure analyses were performed in R 3.6.2 using the *phyloseq* (v1.28.0), *vegan* (v2.5-7) and *microbiome* (v1.7.21) packages (Oksanen et al., 2007; McMurdie & Holmes, 2013; Lahti et al., 2017). The diversity of the inocula, inoculated seeds and seedlings was characterized using ASV richness. The effects of inoculation and sample types on bacterial community structure were assessed using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity associated with a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (*adonis and pairwise.adonis* functions, 999 permutations). Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) were used to plot the ordinations. The influence of the inoculation of community beta-dispersion was assessed using the *betadisper* function in *vegan* based on Bray-Curtis distance matrix and distance to centroid to each condition. The statistical effects were evaluated using a permutation-based test of multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions followed by a Tukey's honest significant differences test.


All figures were prepared using the *ggplot2* (v3.3.3) package and the data management was done using the *dplyr* (v1.0.4) and *tidyverse* (v1.3.0) packages in R.

Results

Bacterial strain selection based on a meta-analysis of radish seed microbiota

A bacterial culture collection isolated form radish seeds composed of 528 strains was obtained. The strains represented the 4 main phyla (Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria) found in radish seeds, for a total of 11 assigned bacterial families and 17 genera. For this study, we have selected 12 bacterial strains representatives of the diversity and prevalence-abundance profiles of radish seed microbiota (Figure 1A). These strains were selected without any a priori on their potential functions or effects on plant phenotype since seed bacterial communities include a diversity of plant-pathogens, commensals and mutualistic taxa (e.g. Simonin et al. 2022). The selection included three (out of nine) extremely abundant and prevalent taxa (i.e core taxa, prevalence >80%, Figure 1A and 1B), but also five sub-dominant (relative abundance < 1.5% and prevalence < 20%) and four rare taxa (prevalence < 20%). Ten strains belonged to Gammaproteobacteria (Enterobacteriaceae, Erwiniaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Xanthomonadaceae) and the two remaining strains were from the Actinobacteria and Bacilli classes (Figure 1B). In addition to selecting strains that were phylogenetically diverse, we also included infra-species diversity with four strains of the Pseudomonas fluorescens subgroup (Hesse et al., 2018) with contrasted prevalence and abundance profiles. Indeed, 380 ASVs affiliated to Pseudomonas (25% of the total bacterial richness and 33% of the bacterial relative abundance) were previously detected in the seven radish datasets used in the meta-analysis (Simonin et al. 2022). Among this genus, the most diverse species group was Pseudomonas fluorescens with 62 ASVs that justified its selection to study interactions between closely related species. The twelve strains selected presented different gyrB gene sequences (subspecies level detection) to enable their individual tracking using gyrB amplicon sequencing. The gyrB sequences of the selected strains and of all bacteria detected in the study (seeds and seedlings) are available in Supplementary Data. The strains were studied in isolation and in SynComs of 6, 8 and 12 strains (Figure 1B) to match the bacterial diversity observed on individual radish seeds (median = 8 ASVs; Figure S1; Chesneau et al. 2022). We performed a nested design for the three diversity levels, with the 6-strain SynCom composed of two high prevalence strains, two intermediate and two low prevalence strains. The 8-strain and 12-strain SynComs were built on this initial community with an increasing number of high-, intermediate- and low-prevalence strains.

A. Prevalence-Abundance of selected taxa in radish seed microbiota



B. Identity of selected strains for SynCom experiments

Strain	Class	Species	Type	Prevalence	Relative Abundance	SynCom 6 strains	SynCom 8 strains	SynCom 12 strains
CFBP13505	Gammaproteobacteria	Pantoea agglomerans	Core	96.3%	39.04%		•	•
CFBP13507	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonas viridiflava	Core	91.9%	10.86%			•
CFBP13511	Gammaproteobacteria	Erwinia persicina	Core	88.1%	4.42%			•
CFBP13509	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonas fluorescens subgroup 1		45.8%	1.03%			•
CFBP13503	Gammaproteobacteria	Stenotrophomonas rhizophila		42.7%	0.32%			•
CFBP13528	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonas fluorescens subgroup 2		35.3%	1.60%			•
CFBP13506	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonas fluorescens subgroup 3		28.5%	0.93%			•
CFBP13513	Actinobacteria	Plantibacter sp		25.1%	0.05%			•
CFBP6650	Gammaproteobacteria	Xanthomonas campestris		18.0%	0.28%		•	•
CFBP13502	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonas fluorescens subgroup 4		17.6%	1.58%			•
CFBP13530	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacter cancerogenus		11.2%	0.09%		•	•
CFBP13512	Bacilli	Paenibacillus sp		10.2%	0.08%		•	•

Figure 1: Selection of bacterial strains representative of the radish seed microbiota. A. Prevalence and relative abundance of bacterial ASVs (*gyrB* amplicon sequencing) in radish seed microbiota (*R. sativus* Flamboyant5) from seven independent studies. The colored points represent the taxa selected in this study and the triangle shapes represent the taxa identified as members of the radish core seed microbiota. B. Identity of the strains isolated from radish seeds and used in the SynCom experiments.

Transmission of single strains and synthetic communities from seed to seedling

Bacterial colonization of seedlings by all strains and SynComs

Transmission of the twelve individual strains and the three SynComs to radish seedlings was first assessed. When individual strains were seed-inoculated, they were all successfully transmitted to seedlings with a median of 6.8 log CFU seedling⁻¹. The seedlings originating from seeds inoculated with SynComs were colonized at similar levels of bacterial cell density (median = 7.4 log CFU seedling⁻¹). Single strains and SynComs all reached around 7 log CFU seedling⁻¹ that appear to be the bacterial carrying capacity of a radish seedling in our system. The bacterial densities on the control seedlings that originated from surface-sterilized seeds were below detection limit or close to 2 log CFU seedling⁻¹, validating that the bacterial populations observed on seedlings in the different conditions originated from our inocula.




Figure 2: High seedling bacterial colonization (bacterial population densities > Log 7 CFU seedling⁻¹) in the different inoculation treatments (n=30 seedlings per condition). CFU: Colony Forming Unit. The different letters represent the results of a post-hoc Tukey HSD test.

Bacterial community structure of seeds and seedlings inoculated with SynComs

The next step was to assess our ability to manipulate the seed and seedling bacterial community using SynCom inoculation compared to non-inoculated control seeds. Using *gyrB* gene amplicon sequencing, it was validated that the SynCom inoculation led to a significant modification of seed and seedling bacterial community compared to the control condition (pairwise adonis: P<0.007; Figure 3A). On the NMDS ordination, the three sample types (inoculum, seed and seedling) clustered closely by SynCom indicating a high degree of similarity, whereas the control seeds and seedlings were very dispersed. This observation was further confirmed by a beta-dispersion analysis (distance to centroid) indicating that the SynCom inoculation strongly reduced the variability in seed and seedling community structure compared to the control condition (Figure 3B, 3C). Furthermore, it was confirmed that the three SynComs were significantly distinct in the three types of samples (inocula, seeds, seedlings, Figure 3D), with an expected structuration showing the 6 and 12-strain SynComs as the most different and the 8-strain SynCom with an intermediate composition.

Effect of SynCom inoculation on seed and seedling richness

Next, the effect of SynCom inoculation on the bacterial richness of seeds and seedlings was assessed. It was confirmed that SynCom inoculation enabled the reconstruction of a diversity gradient on both seeds and seedlings (Figure 4A). The bacterial richness measured on seeds and seedlings corresponded to the expected taxa richness present in the inocula (6, 8 or 12 ASVs). The control seeds were surface-disinfected but they still harbored a low bacterial diversity likely to be endophytic bacteria, including ASVs of strains included in the SynComs because the strains selected have been isolated from the same radish genotype.

The microbiota comparison of native and surface-disinfected seeds suggests that seed endophytes still present after disinfection are dominated by *Pseudomonas* species and *Pantoea agglomerans* (Figure S2). Altogether, these results show the efficacy of the SynCom inoculation on seeds to drive the bacterial diversity of both seeds and seedlings.




Figure 3: Impact of SynCom inoculation on seed and seedling bacterial community structure. A) Bacterial community structure for the three sample types and inoculation treatments visualized through an NMDS ordination based on Bray-Curtis distances (stress = 0.155). Beta-dispersion assessed using distance to centroid of the B) seed and C) seedling community structure. D) Bacterial community structure of the three SynComs in the inocula, seeds and seedlings visualized through an NMDS ordination based on Bray-Curtis distances (stress = 0.165). The asterisks represent the significance of the Permanova test: ***P<0.001, NS=Non-Significant. The different letters represent the results of a post-hoc Tukey HSD test; two conditions with no letters in common are statistically different.




Figure 4: Shifts in bacterial diversity and composition of seeds and seedlings inoculated with SynComs A) Bacterial taxa richness (ASV richness) of the inocula, inoculated seeds and seedlings in the different conditions. B) Taxonomic profile of the inoculum, seeds and seedlings in the four different conditions (control, 6 strains, 8 strains and 12 strains). Each stacked bar represents a sample. The composition of the "other taxa" in control seeds and seedlings are presented in Figure S2 and S3, respectively.

Tracking of the transmission of the SynCom strains between the inoculum, seeds and seedlings

Based on the unique *gyrB* ASV of the 12 strains, we were able to track their transmission patterns from the inoculum to the seedling. First, we characterized the natural abundance of the strain ASVs in the control seeds and seedlings. The 12 ASVs were naturally found in control seeds and seedlings, especially the ASVs associated with the *Pseudomonas viridiflava*, *Pantoea agglomerans*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 4 and

Erwinia persicina strains (Figure 4B). A complete taxonomic description of the control seedlings (including the "other taxa") is available in Figure S3. It shows that control seedling bacterial community is highly variable between individuals and is generally dominated by 2 to 9 ASVs. As already seen in Figure 3A, the SynCom inoculations led to completely different seed and seedling bacterial community compositions than in the control condition (Figure 4B). Interestingly, we observed some differences in the abundance of strains between the inoculum and the seed community profile (Figure 5A), indicating differences between strains for their capacity to colonize the seed during inoculation (Figure 5B). For instance, the strain Pseudomonas fluorescens 3 had a higher relative abundance on seeds (40-70%) compared to the inocula (20-40%), while the strains Pseudomonas viridiflava and Stenotrophomonas rhizophila had the opposite pattern (Figure 5B).




Figure 5: Contrasted capacities of strains in seed colonization A. Relative abundance patterns of each strain during the transmission from inoculum to seed (A) in synthetic bacterial communities. B. Ability of each strain to colonize seeds compared to their initial relative abundance in the inocula: ratio of relative abundance seed / inoculum.

During the phenological transition from seed to seedling, we observed an important restructuration of the bacterial community (Figure 4B). All the strains were able to transmit from seeds to seedlings but with variable success depending on the SynCom richness and the seedling individual (Figure 4B, 6). Across the three SynComs, *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* was the most successful seedling colonizer (mean relative abundance = 30 to 36%), followed by *Pantoea agglomerans* but only in the 6- and 8-strain SynComs (mean relative abundance = 34 and 25%). In contrast, the strain *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 3 that was dominant on seeds strongly decreased in abundance on seedlings (11 to 24%).

The fate of each strain was monitored during the seed to seedling transmission across the three SynComs and we grouped the strains in three categories: increasers, stable, decreasers (Figure 6A). Four 'increaser' strains were identified and three of them presented this positive transmission pattern across the three SynComs (Stenotrophomonas rhizophila, Pseudomonas viridiflava, Paenibacillus sp). In contrast, four 'decreaser' strains were identified with three of them with a consistent negative transmission pattern (Pseudomonas fluorescens 3, Xanthomonas campestris, Plantibacter sp.). Finally, four strains presented a stable transmission or a variable profile depending on the inoculated SynCom. For instance, Pantoea agglomerans and Enterobacter cancerogenus had a stable transmission in the 6- or 8-strain SynComs, but decreased in the 12-strain SynCom. Interestingly, the 4 strains of Pseudomonas fluorescens tested presented contrasted transmission patterns or abundance profiles and were found in the three categories (increaser, stable or decreaser). Another interesting result was that the four strains identified as "increasers" were not the best seed colonizers after inoculation (Figure 5A, 5B). Inversely, some of the best seed colonizers (Pseudomonas fluorescens 3) were identified as "decreasers" on seedlings, indicating contrasted strain fitness depending on the plant phenological stage.

We also analyzed the influence of the SynCom composition on the ability of each strain to colonize seedlings compared to their initial relative abundance on seeds (i.e ratio of relative abundance seedling / seed, Figure 6B). We observed that the SynCom richness strongly influenced the abundance patterns of the strains. Most strains had a lower seedling colonization in the 12-strain SynCom (e.g. *Pantoea*

agglomerans, Enterobacter cancerogenus), with the exception of Stenotrophomonas rhizophila (Figure 6B). Some strains had a higher seedling colonization in the 6-strain SynCom than the 8-strain SynCom (Pseudomonas viridiflava, Paenibacillus sp, Stenotrophomonas rhizophila). Altogether, these results indicate strong fitness differences between strains in the seed and seedling habitat, that is highly dependent on the surrounding community.




Figure 6: Contrasted capacities of strains in seedling colonization A. Relative abundance patterns of each strain during the transmission from seed to seedling. B. Ability of each strain to colonize seedlings compared to their initial relative abundance on seeds: ratio of relative abundance seedling / seed.

Effect of single bacterial strains or synthetic communities on seedling phenotype

Identification of strains and SynComs increasing the proportion of abnormal seedlings and non-germinated seeds

The impact of the inoculation of single strains or SynComs on seedling phenotypes was assessed based on the proportion of non-germinated seeds, normal and abnormal seedlings observed (Figure 7). In the control condition, we observed a low proportion of non-germinated (7%) and abnormal seedlings (7%). Several individual strains and the three SynComs caused detrimental effects on seedling phenotypes. In particular, *Pseudomonas viridiflava*, *Paenibacillus sp* and two of the SynComs (6 and 8 strains) had significantly larger proportions of abnormal seedlings (60 to 73%). The 12-strain SynCom also led to an increased proportion of abnormal seedlings (34%), but to a lesser extent than the other two SynComs. The conditions that presented the highest proportion of normal seedlings were *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* (90%), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 3 (90%) and *Plantibacter sp* (87%).

Modifications in the composition of seedling microbiota between normal and abnormal seedlings

Next, we analyzed if the seedling bacterial community structure was different depending on the seedling phenotype (Figure 8A). The seedling phenotype was a significant driver of seedling microbiota (R²=3.7%), especially in the 12-strain SynCom (interaction Condition x Phenotype, *P*=0.006), for which the number of normal and abnormal seedlings was more balanced (14 normal vs 10 abnormal). In the 12-strain SynCom, we analyzed if some strains had a significantly higher or lower relative abundance in abnormal seedlings (Figure 8B). The strains *Enterobacter cancerogenus* and *Erwinia persicina* were more abundant in abnormal seedlings, while *Pseudomonas viridiflava* and *Xanthomonas campestris* were less abundant.




Figure 7: A) Effect of the inoculation of single bacterial strains or synthetic bacterial community on germination and seedling phenotypes. The asterisks represent the significance of the Fisher exact test that compares the proportions of normal and abnormal seedling phenotypes between control and inoculated seeds: ***P<0.001, **P<0.01. B) Image of the typical phenotypes observed in the experiment (Credit: Guillaume Chesneau).




Figure 8: Influence of seedling phenotype (normal or abnormal) on A) bacterial community structure (stress=0.17) and B) on the strain relative abundance on the 12-strain SynCom. The asterisks represent the significance of the Permanova test or post-hoc Tukey test: *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.

Discussion

Community- and strain-specific patterns of seedling transmission of seed-borne bacteria

This study demonstrates that a precise manipulation of the seed and seedling bacterial community is possible through SynCom inoculations. The main achievements of this experiment rely on the successful reconstruction of a richness gradient (6, 8 and 12 strains) on both seeds and seedlings and on the strong reduction in the natural variability of microbiota structure (beta-dispersion). SynCom inoculation leads to more homogeneous microbial community compositions between replicates compared to native communities described in Figure S2 or in previous reports from the literature (Moroenyane et al., 2021; Walsh et al., 2021). This homogenization of community structure is due to the high abundance of inoculated strains (10⁷ CFU seedling⁻¹), which makes the members of the native endophytic community (10² CFU seedling⁻¹) undetectable. This similarity of seed microbiota between seed replicates is a

prerequisite to study the transmission of microorganisms from seed to seedling and establish causal relationship between seed microbiota and plant microbiota or phenotype.

The inoculation of the three different SynComs on seeds led to the assembly of significantly distinct seedling bacterial communities. However, the relative abundance of the strains changed drastically from the inocula to seeds and then to seedlings. Some strains like *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 3 were excellent seed colonizers, while others had a reduced abundance compared to the inocula (e.g. *S. rhizophila*). These differences in seed colonization can be due to contrasted adhesion capacity of strains related to the secretion of large adhesins (i.e. LapA, LapF) and the presence of flagella (DeFlaun et al., 1994; Yousef-Coronado et al., 2008; Duque et al., 2013).

Interestingly, the best seed colonizers were not the best seedling colonizers. In contrast, all the strains that declined during the inocula-seed transition phase increased in the seed-seedling transition (i.e "increasers"). In particular, *S. rhizophila* became dominant on seedlings of the three SynComs. These results indicate that the bacterial traits required to colonize seeds and seedlings are different. Previous studies indeed indicate that seed colonization is associated with high tolerance to desiccation and chemotaxis-induced motility (Truyens et al., 2015), while successful seedling colonization is linked to copiotrophic lifestyles with fast growth in response to increased nutrient availability (Barret et al., 2015; Torres-Cortés et al., 2018). Moreover, these findings suggest that being dominant on seeds does not provide an advantage to become dominant on seedlings. These findings are in line with Rochefort et al., (2021) and Chesneau et al., (2022) demonstrating a low transmission success of abundant seed taxa to seedlings in natural microbial communities.

Additionally, we observed that SynCom richness influenced the seedling transmission of the strains, indicating an important role of biotic interactions in seedling microbiota assembly. For instance, *Pantoea agglomerans* had a positive seedling colonization success in the 6- and 8-strain SynComs and a negative one in the 12-strain SynCom. These SynCom-specific patterns of each strain are likely driven by exploitative and interference competition between individuals that necessitate subsequent drop-out SynCom experiments to identify the specific mechanisms expressed *in planta* (Hibbing et al., 2010; Granato et al., 2019).

Our experiments included four different strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* to compare the intraspecific patterns of seedling transmission. Both seed and seedling colonization patterns were highly strain-specific. We observed all possible outcomes depending on the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain considered (increasers, stable or decreasers). This observation is in line with another study on diverse *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains that greatly varied in their ability to colonize *Arabidopsis thaliana* alone or in competition with another *Pseudomonas sp.* strain (Wang et al., 2022). This result suggests important differences between strains in traits involved in habitat colonization and competitive interactions, that prevent any generalization of these observations at the species level.

Altogether, these results show that SynCom inoculation can effectively manipulate seed and seedling microbiota diversity and highlight strong fitness differences between native seed-borne taxa in the colonization of these habitats.

Contrasting effects of seed-borne bacteria on seedling phenotype in isolation and in a community context

This study demonstrates the value to study plant phenotype modulation by bacteria in a community setting using SynComs. The proportion of abnormal seedling phenotypes decreased at the highest SynCom richness level (12 strains). This observation could be explained by a "dilution" of the negative effects of the detrimental strains as the diversity increased and their relative abundances decreased. Still surprisingly, the strains enriched in abnormal seedlings in the 12-strain SynCom had not been identified as detrimental in isolation (*Enterobacter cancerogenus* and *Erwinia persicina*). Furthermore, we found that the detrimental *Pseudomonas viridiflava* and the seed-borne pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* were even reduced in abundance in abnormal seedlings in this condition. We can propose different hypotheses to explain these results. One is that the identity of the strains responsible for the abnormal phenotypes are different when inoculated in a community (*Enterobacter cancerogenus* and *Erwinia persicina*) or in isolation (*Pseudomonas viridiflava* and *Paenibacillus sp.*). Another hypothesis is that *Enterobacter cancerogenus* and *Erwinia persicina* are opportunistic taxa that are enriched in abnormal seedlings (e.g necrotrophy or "cry for help" of the plant) but are not responsible for the altered phenotype.

Previous reports on diseased plants caused by a known pathogen support these hypotheses as the increase in abundance of beneficial protective strains or opportunistic copiotrophic taxa is frequently observed (Masson et al., 2020; Pfeilmeier et al., 2021; Arnault et al., 2023).

In isolation *Pseudomonas viridiflava* and *Paenibacillus sp* strongly increased the proportion of abnormal seedlings. *Pseudomonas viridiflava* is a very common plant-associated bacterium with various life-styles (endophytes, epiphytes, saprotrophs, pathogens) reported to be extremely prevalent in seeds (Simonin et al., 2022) and in the phyllosphere of a large diversity of hosts (Karasov et al., 2018; Lipps & Samac, 2022; Lundberg et al., 2022). *Pseudomonas viridiflava* is frequently seed-transmitted and can cause germination arrest or symptoms on seedlings, including in radish (Shakya & Vinther, 1989; Samad et al., 2017). *Paenibacillus* strains are mainly recognized for their biocontrol capacities against various bacterial or fungal pathogens (Ryu et al., 2006; Ling et al., 2011), but one report indicates root damages caused by this bacteria under gnotobiotic conditions like in our study (Rybakova et al., 2016). Another important observation was that the three bacterial strains identified as seed core taxa (*Pseudomonas viridiflava*, *Pantoea agglomerans*, *Erwinia persicina*) were associated with detrimental effects on seedling phenotypes either in isolation or in SynComs. These results are in line with other studies showing that the plant core microbiota includes pathogens or conditional pathogens that have a pathogenic potential but are generally kept in check by other members of the microbiota and the host (Simonin et al., 2020; Pfeilmeier et al., 2021).

Additionally, we show that the inoculation of multiple strains with potential pathogenic effects (i.e pathobiome) do not have additive effects that could have led to an absence of germination or 100% of abnormal seedlings. The reduction of detrimental effects in the 12-strain SynCom experimentally confirms the importance of bacterial interactions in plant disease development in a pathobiome context (Bass et al., 2019). This observation is supported by other SynCom experiments on the phyllosphere demonstrating the role of single or consortia of commensal microorganisms (e.g *Pseudomonas*) in disease protection (Vogel et al., 2021; Shalev et al., 2022). Increasing microbial interactions with higher SynCom diversity is a promising leverage for reducing the impact of deleterious bacterial strains.

Altogether, these results indicate that this synthetic ecology approach is valuable to better understand the assembly of plant microbiota in early life stages and the context dependency of disease expression in a community setting. This approach permitted identifying several seed core bacteria with detrimental effects on germination and seedlings but also to characterize the transmission success of diverse bacterial strains (i.e increasers, stable, decreasers) to seedlings in three community contexts.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Guillaume Chesneau, Gloria Torres-Cortes and Samir Rezki that produced several radish seed microbiota datasets used in the meta-analysis performed. We thank Emmanuelle Laurent and Vincent Odeau (FNAMS) for field crop management and the production of the initial seed samples used in this study. We thank Muriel Bahut (ANAN platform, SFR QuaSav) for amplicon sequencing. We also thank the International Centre of Microbial Resource (CIRM) - French Collection for Plant-associated Bacteria (CFBP – INRAE, https://doi.org/10.15454/E8XX-4Z18) for the conservation of the bacterial strains of the study. Preprint version 3 of this article has been peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community In Microbiology (Massart, 2023, https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.microbiol.100008).

Funding

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency [ANR-17-CE20-0009-01].

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to the content of the article.

Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability

The raw amplicon sequencing data are available on the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with the accession number PRJEB58635.

All the scripts (bioinformatics, analyses, figures) and datasets used to conduct the study are available here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8403589 (Simonin 2023).

References

- Arnault G, Mony C, Vandenkoornhuyse P (2023) Plant microbiota dysbiosis and the Anna Karenina Principle. Trends in Plant Science, 28, 18–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2022.08.012
- Barret M, Briand M, Bonneau S, Préveaux A, Valière S, Bouchez O, Hunault G, Simoneau P, Jacques M-A (2015) Emergence Shapes the Structure of the Seed Microbiota. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 81, 1257–1266. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03722-14
- Bass D, Stentiford GD, Wang H-C, Koskella B, Tyler CR (2019) The Pathobiome in Animal and Plant Diseases. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 34, 996–1008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.07.012
- Bintarti AF, Sulesky-Grieb A, Stopnisek N, Shade A (2022) Endophytic Microbiome Variation Among Single Plant Seeds. Phytobiomes Journal, 6, 45–55. https://doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-04-21-0030-R
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature Methods, 13, 581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Carlström CI, Field CM, Bortfeld-Miller M, Müller B, Sunagawa S, Vorholt JA (2019) Synthetic microbiota reveal priority effects and keystone strains in the Arabidopsis phyllosphere. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3, 1445–1454. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0994-z
- Chesneau G, Laroche B, Préveaux A, Marais C, Briand M, Marolleau B, Simonin M, Barret M (2022) Single Seed Microbiota: Assembly and Transmission from Parent Plant to Seedling. mBio, 13, e01648-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01648-22
- Chesneau G, Torres-Cortes G, Briand M, Darrasse A, Preveaux A, Marais C, Jacques M-A, Shade A, Barret M (2020) Temporal dynamics of bacterial communities during seed development and maturation. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 96. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa190
- DeFlaun MF, Marshall BM, Kulle E-P, Levy SB (1994) Tn 5 insertion mutants of Pseudomonas fluorescens defective in adhesion to soil and seeds. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 60, 2637–2642. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.7.2637-2642.1994
- Duque E, de la Torre J, Bernal P, Molina-Henares MA, Alaminos M, Espinosa-Urgel M, Roca A, Fernández M, de Bentzmann S, Ramos J-L (2013) Identification of reciprocal adhesion genes in pathogenic and non-pathogenic Pseudomonas. Environmental Microbiology, 15, 36–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02732.x
- Figueiredo dos Santos L, Fernandes Souta J, de Paula Soares C, Oliveira da Rocha L, Luiza Carvalho Santos M, Grativol C, Fernando Wurdig Roesch L, Lopes Olivares F (2021) Insights into the structure and role of seed-borne bacteriome during maize germination. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 97, fiab024. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiab024
- Finkel OM, Salas-González I, Castrillo G, Conway JM, Law TF, Teixeira PJPL, Wilson ED, Fitzpatrick CR, Jones CD, Dangl JL (2020) A single bacterial genus maintains root growth in a complex microbiome. Nature, 587, 103–108. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2778-7
- Granato ET, Meiller-Legrand TA, Foster KR (2019) The Evolution and Ecology of Bacterial Warfare. Current Biology, 29, R521–R537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.04.024
- Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB (2010) Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 8, 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2259
- Karasov TL, Almario J, Friedemann C, Ding W, Giolai M, Heavens D, Kersten S, Lundberg DS, Neumann M, Regalado J (2018) Arabidopsis thaliana and Pseudomonas pathogens exhibit stable associations over evolutionary timescales. Cell host & microbe, 24, 168–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.06.011

Kumar M, Kour D, Yadav AN, Saxena R, Rai PK, Jyoti A, Tomar RS (2019) Biodiversity of methylotrophic microbial communities and their potential role in mitigation of abiotic stresses in plants. Biologia, 74, 287–308. https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-019-00190-6

- Kwak M-J, Kong HG, Choi K, Kwon S-K, Song JY, Lee J, Lee PA, Choi SY, Seo M, Lee HJ, Jung EJ, Park H, Roy N, Kim H, Lee MM, Rubin EM, Lee S-W, Kim JF (2018) Rhizosphere microbiome structure alters to enable wilt resistance in tomato. Nature Biotechnology, 36, 1100–1109. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4232
- Lahti L, Shetty S, Blake T (2017) Tools for microbiome analysis in R. Microbiome Package Version 0.99, 88, 2012–2017.
- Lamichhane JR, Debaeke P, Steinberg C, You MP, Barbetti MJ, Aubertot J-N (2018) Abiotic and biotic factors affecting crop seed germination and seedling emergence: a conceptual framework. Plant and Soil, 432, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3780-9
- Ling N, Huang Q, Guo S, Shen Q (2011) Paenibacillus polymyxa SQR-21 systemically affects root exudates of watermelon to decrease the conidial germination of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. niveum. Plant and Soil, 341, 485–493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0660-3
- Lipps SM, Samac DA (2022) Pseudomonas viridiflava: An internal outsider of the Pseudomonas syringae species complex. Molecular Plant Pathology, 23, 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13133
- Lundberg DS, De Pedro Jové R, Pramoj Na Ayutthaya P, Karasov TL, Shalev O, Poersch K, Ding W, Bollmann-Giolai A, Bezrukov I, Weigel D (2022) Contrasting patterns of microbial dominance in the Arabidopsis thaliana phyllosphere. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119, e2211881119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2211881119
- Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal, 17, 10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200
- Massart S (2023) Seed synthetic community matters and its impact on seedling is strain- and not species-dependant. Peer Community in Microbiology, 100008. https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.microbiol.100008
- Masson A-S, Ho Bich H, Simonin M, Nguyen Thi H, Czernic P, Moulin L, Bellafiore S (2020) Deep modifications of the microbiome of rice roots infected by the parasitic nematode Meloidogyne graminicola in highly infested fields in Vietnam. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 96, fiaa099. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa099
- Matsumoto H, Fan X, Wang Y, Kusstatscher P, Duan J, Wu S, Chen S, Qiao K, Wang Y, Ma B, Zhu G, Hashidoko Y, Berg G, Cernava T, Wang M (2021) Bacterial seed endophyte shapes disease resistance in rice. Nature Plants, 7, 60–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00826-5
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PloS one, 8, e61217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Moroenyane I, Tremblay J, Yergeau É (2021) Soybean Microbiome Recovery After Disruption is Modulated by the Seed and Not the Soil Microbiome. Phytobiomes Journal, 5, 418–431. https://doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-01-21-0008-R
- Mundt JO, Hinkle NF (1976) Bacteria within ovules and seeds. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 32, 694–698. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.32.5.694-698.1976
- Newcombe G, Harding A, Ridout M, Busby PE (2018) A Hypothetical Bottleneck in the Plant Microbiome. Frontiers in Microbiology, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01645
- Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara B, Stevens MHH, Oksanen MJ, Suggests M (2007) The vegan package. Community ecology package.
- Pfeilmeier S, Petti GC, Bortfeld-Miller M, Daniel B, Field CM, Sunagawa S, Vorholt JA (2021) The plant NADPH oxidase RBOHD is required for microbiota homeostasis in leaves. Nature microbiology, 6, 852–864. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-00929-5
- Raja K, Anandham R, Sivasubramaniam K (2019) Infusing microbial consortia for enhancing seed germination and vigour in pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.). Current Science, 117, 2052–2058. https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v117/i12/2052-2058
- Rochefort A, Briand M, Marais C, Wagner M-H, Laperche A, Vallée P, Barret M, Sarniguet A (2019) Influence of Environment and Host Plant Genotype on the Structure and Diversity of the Brassica napus Seed Microbiota. Phytobiomes Journal, 3, 326–336. https://doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-06-19-0031-R
- Rochefort A, Simonin M, Marais C, Guillerm-Erckelboudt A-Y, Barret M, Sarniguet A (2021) Transmission of Seed and Soil Microbiota to Seedling. mSystems, 6, e00446-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00446-21

Rybakova D, Schmuck M, Wetzlinger U, Varo-Suarez A, Murgu O, Müller H, Berg G (2016) Kill or cure? The interaction between endophytic Paenibacillus and Serratia strains and the host plant is shaped by plant growth conditions. Plant and Soil, 405, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2572-8

- Ryu C-M, Kim J, Choi O, Kim SH, Park CS (2006) Improvement of biological control capacity of Paenibacillus polymyxa E681 by seed pelleting on sesame. Biological Control, 39, 282–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.04.014
- Samad A, Antonielli L, Sessitsch A, Compant S, Trognitz F (2017) Comparative genome analysis of the vineyard weed endophyte Pseudomonas viridiflava CDRTc14 showing selective herbicidal activity. Scientific Reports, 7, 17336. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16495-y
- Shakya DD, Vinther F (1989) Occurrence of Pseudomonas viridiflava in Seedlings of Radish. Journal of Phytopathology, 124, 123–127. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.1989.tb04905.x
- Shalev O, Karasov TL, Lundberg DS, Ashkenazy H, Pramoj Na Ayutthaya P, Weigel D (2022) Commensal Pseudomonas strains facilitate protective response against pathogens in the host plant. Nature ecology & evolution, 6, 383–396. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01673-7
- Simonin M (2023) Script Code for bioinformatics and Figures of Simonin et al. 2023 PCI Microbiol (Version 1). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8403589
- Simonin M, Briand M, Chesneau G, Rochefort A, Marais C, Sarniguet A, Barret M (2022) Seed microbiota revealed by a large-scale meta-analysis including 50 plant species. New Phytologist, 234, 1448–1463. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18037
- Simonin M, Dasilva C, Terzi V, Ngonkeu ELM, Diouf D, Kane A, Béna G, Moulin L (2020) Influence of plant genotype and soil on the wheat rhizosphere microbiome: evidences for a core microbiome across eight African and European soils. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 96. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa067
- Torres-Cortés G, Bonneau S, Bouchez O, Genthon C, Briand M, Jacques M-A, Barret M (2018) Functional microbial features driving community assembly during seed germination and emergence. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, 902. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00902
- Torres-Cortés G, Garcia BJ, Compant S, Rezki S, Jones P, Préveaux A, Briand M, Roulet A, Bouchez O, Jacobson D (2019) Differences in resource use lead to coexistence of seed-transmitted microbial populations. Scientific Reports, 9, 6648. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42865-9
- Truyens S, Weyens N, Cuypers A, Vangronsveld J (2015) Bacterial seed endophytes: genera, vertical transmission and interaction with plants. Environmental Microbiology Reports, 7, 40–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12181
- Vogel CM, Potthoff DB, Schäfer M, Barandun N, Vorholt JA (2021) Protective role of the Arabidopsis leaf microbiota against a bacterial pathogen. Nature Microbiology, 6, 1537–1548. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-00997-7
- Walsh CM, Becker-Uncapher I, Carlson M, Fierer N (2021) Variable influences of soil and seed-associated bacterial communities on the assembly of seedling microbiomes. The ISME Journal, 15, 2748–2762. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00967-1
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR (2007) Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 73, 5261–5267. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07
- Wang NR, Wiesmann CL, Melnyk RA, Hossain SS, Chi M-H, Martens K, Craven K, Haney CH (2022) Commensal Pseudomonas fluorescens Strains Protect Arabidopsis from Closely Related Pseudomonas Pathogens in a Colonization-Dependent Manner (DS Guttman, Ed,). mBio, 13, e02892-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02892-21
- Yousef-Coronado F, Travieso ML, Espinosa-Urgel M (2008) Different, overlapping mechanisms for colonization of abiotic and plant surfaces by Pseudomonas putida. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 288, 118–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01339.x

Supplementary information




Figure S1: Observed ASV richness of individual mature seeds of radish (Flamboyant5). The data were obtained from Chesneau et al. (2022) based on 149 seeds analyzed. The horizontal line represents the median value at 8 ASVs per seed.




Figure S2: Characterization of the bacterial community of native and surface-sterilized seeds. A. Ordination based on Bray-Curtis distances, B. Taxonomic composition at the species level of each seed sample analyzed.




Figure S3: Bacterial taxonomic profile of the control seedlings at the species level. "Other" species represents taxa with a relative abundance inferior to 1%.