

The water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus in North Africa dryland farming: a multi-criteria analysis of climate-resilient innovations in Morocco

Emirjona Kertolli, Paolo Prosperi, Rachid Harbouze, Rachid Moussadek,

Ghizlane Echchgadda, Hatem Belhouchette

To cite this version:

Emirjona Kertolli, Paolo Prosperi, Rachid Harbouze, Rachid Moussadek, Ghizlane Echchgadda, et al.. The water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus in North Africa dryland farming: a multi-criteria analysis of climate-resilient innovations in Morocco. Agricultural and Food Economics, 2024, 12, pp.34. fold.1186/s40100-024-00327-5 hal-04696569

HAL Id: hal-04696569 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04696569>

Submitted on 13 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

RESEARCH

Open Access

The water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus in North Africa dryland farming: a multi-criteria analysis of climate-resilient innovations in Morocco

Emirjona Kertolli^{1,2[*](http://orcid.org/0009-0009-8323-3435)}®, Paolo Prosperi^{1,2}, Rachid Harbouze³, Rachid Moussadek^{4,5}, Ghizlane Echchgadda⁶ and Hatem Belhouchette^{7,8}

*Correspondence: emirjonakertolli1@gmail.com

1 CIHEAM-IAMM, UMR MoISA, 34093 Montpellier, France ² MoISA, Univ Montpellier, CIHEAM-IAMM, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, IRD, Montpellier, France ³ Agronomic and Veterinary Institute Hassan II (IAV), Rabat, Morocco 4 International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Rabat, Morocco 5 Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Rabat, Morocco ⁶ Plant Ecology Unit, Department of Plant Protection and Environment, National School of Agriculture, Meknes, Morocco 7 CIHEAM-IAMM, UMR ABSys, 34093 Montpellier, France ⁸ ABSys, Univ Montpellier, CIHEAM-IAMM, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France

Abstract

Smallholder farmers, who mostly engage in low-value agriculture in the drylands of Northern Africa, were the frst to have felt the efects of climate change, with threats to their livelihoods and food security. The increasing costs of agricultural production, poor water and energy infrastructure, loss of agricultural land due to urban expansion, fragmented resource management, and unsustainable management practices all contribute to this vulnerability to climate change. This highlights the urgent need for innovative practices in farming systems. Within the framework of the water–energy–food– ecosystem nexus, this paper explores innovative practices in dryland farming systems, by assessing their impact on water, energy, food, and ecosystem through stakeholder perception. In this work, we aim to present a systems approach for assessing the resilience of the water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus in arid and semiarid regions. By using a multi-criteria analysis (MCA) approach, the study—which focuses on the Fès–Meknès region in Morocco—involves local actors to help researchers identify the key variables in order to assist farmers in their adaptation to climate change. The fndings revealed diferent priorities between farmers and other stakeholders regarding the adoption of agricultural innovations. Farmers prioritize innovations that guarantee higher proftability and more market opportunities, such as integrating olive trees with cereal crops, by highlighting the importance of sustainable income sources. Meanwhile, stakeholders, such as researchers, engineers, government officials, and agribusiness entrepreneurs, prioritize innovations that emphasize high water use efficiency, which is crucial for the resilience of dryland farming areas: for instance, rainwater harvesting or the use of drought-resistant crop varieties that directly address the need for water conservation. But in doing so they are overlooking broader aspects within the water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus.

Keywords: Global change, Drylands, North Africa, Multi-criteria decision analysis, Smallholders, Focus group discussion

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

Climate change has become a major concern, and is causing severe problems worldwide, especially for drylands, which are home to over 2 billion people (UNCCD 2017). In drylands, agriculture is particularly afected, which results in low productivity, water depletion, increased irrigation demand, disrupted food availability, droughts, and so on. In addition to climate change, dryland areas are facing a wide range of socioeconomic challenges. There is a growing demand for energy, a decline in agricultural productivity, and an increase in food demand due to population growth, as the drylands are experiencing a high population growth rate worldwide (Feng and Fu 2013; Fan et al. 2020). Such growth presents both challenges and opportunities, which require innovative solutions to ensure the sustainable development and resilience of these regions. In dryland areas, smallholders are primarily engaged in producing low-value crops for home consumption, and a small percentage for selling; they were the frst ones to have felt the impacts of these changes (DALRRD 2023; UNESCO and European Commission, 2021; Waha et al. 2017). This makes it difficult for them to maintain their activities, and in some cases, they are forced to switch to other sectors to survive, or to migrate from rural areas (Szaboova 2023). What makes the situation worse is that in these areas, water, energy, food, and ecosystem assets have been managed separately from one another (OECD 2023). Tis fragmented approach has hindered the sustainable use of water resources, led to the degradation of ecosystems and aquifers, and prevented the recognition of the interlinkages between water, energy, and food security (OECD 2023). The lack of integrated management has also contributed to the vulnerability of small producers in remote drylands, thus further exacerbating the risks of poverty traps and land degradation due to increasing climate shocks (Jobbins and Henley 2015). In addition, the fuctuation in precipitation and water availability is afecting farming systems, which has a signifcant impact on both the economy and society due to its implications on agricultural productivity (Ahmed et al. 2022; Kee-Tui et al. 2021). Furthermore, current management techniques used by farmers are questionable since they are unsustainable over the long term, especially considering water scarcity, which is exacerbated by climate change (FAO 2014). Losses resulting from the suboptimal management of farming systems, which include all the agricultural practices used in irrigated areas, such as crop selection and rotation, technical packages, etc., are signifcant.

When it comes to evaluating the stress impact of a scarce resource like water on other sectors such as energy, food production, and ecosystems, new theoretical and methodological approaches such as the nexus approach help to renew the understanding of the trade-ofs that regulate agri-food system sustainability (Brunori et al. 2020). According to Ponce Oliva et al. (2021), the nexus framework recognizes the interdependencies and interconnections between multiple sectors that share natural resources and have different management schemes. It is particularly efective for identifying and quantifying trade-ofs and synergies, as well as helping to manage the resource competition between urban and agricultural sectors under climatic and demographic stressors. In practice, the adoption of innovative agricultural techniques such as advanced farming processes and technologies by large-scale farmers in the context of the water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus can present both benefits and challenges. These practices offer advantages such as improved water and energy efficiency, enhanced yields, and reduced environmental impacts. However, they also present challenges, including water scarcity (Fitton et al. 2019), soil degradation, and biodiversity loss. The adoption of these techniques is influenced by ownership structures, market dynamics, and regulatory frameworks, with implications for sustainability and resilience within the WEFE nexus (Namany et al. 2023; Nhamo et al. 2020). Nevertheless, innovative techniques play a crucial role in addressing the complex interactions within the WEFE nexus, and can contribute to the promotion of sustainable agriculture, resource efficiency, and environmental conservation (Peña-Torres et al. 2022). Some large-scale farms also use innovative techniques and are well integrated into domestic and foreign markets, and are mostly owned by investors, companies, and large historical landowners (Dugué et al. 2015).

All the pressures faced by agriculture mentioned above—climate, economic, and human pressure—have a direct impact on four crucial elements: food, water, energy, and ecosystems (Karan et al. 2018; El Gafy et al. 2017). Understanding the intertwined relationships between these four elements is crucial for sustainable development and a stable future, starting on a local scale. However, several existing studies (Hamiche et al. 2016; Tan and Zhi 2016; Maftouh et al. 2022; El Azhari and Loudyi 2019) focus only on one or two of these elements. Tis limited approach may not lead to relevant results as these four elements are strongly interlinked (Bizikova et al. 2013). Therefore, this research explores the innovative practices that can positively impact these four elements directly or indirectly by triggering scenarios in a study area characterized by dryland farming.¹ Applying the water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus approach to quantify resilience is a useful way to identify and describe the characteristics of vulnerable areas of the system, such as drylands, and then target solutions to reduce the impact of resource and asset scarcity (Núñez-López et al. 2022; Tebaldi and Vignali 2023). Furthermore, to increase the consideration of stakeholder and farmer views in the present research, we have involved local actors to help researchers identify the key variables to be taken into account for the climate adaptation of farmers. To the best of our knowledge, and according to previous literature [e.g., (Hoff et al. 2019; UNESCO and European Commission 2021; Jobbins et al. 2015)], there is limited use of multi-criteria WEFE nexus analysis in climate innovation assessment, specifically at farm level, which has not sufficiently been explored in the area.

In the following section, we present an extended literature review of farming in North African drylands, and of the implementation of resilience and WEFE approaches. We then present our methodology based on multi-criteria analysis (MCA) for prioritizing innovations that address the needs of farmers and other stakeholders in combating climate change efects in the Saïss plain in Morocco, while considering their impact on water, energy, food, and the ecosystem. The paper then shows the results obtained regarding the adoption of innovations in the study area. The findings highlight the complex nature of diferences in prioritization between stakeholders and farmers concerning the feasibility and impacts of innovations at farm level.

 $\frac{1}{1}$ According to Stewart and Thapa (2016), dryland farming is a particular type of rainfed agriculture used in arid and semiarid regions in which annual precipitation represents about 20–35% of potential evapotranspiration.

Literature review and theoretical background

Dryland farming in North Africa

North African drylands cover approximately 725 million hectares (FAO 2019), including arid, semiarid, hyperarid, and dry subhumid areas. With a population of around 240 million and an annual growth rate of 1.8 percent (FAO 2019; World Bank Data 2022), these regions often face poverty, resource scarcity, and political marginalization (FAO 2022; Hirwa et al. 2022). They are also hotspots for natural disasters, social conflicts, and inequalities (FAO 2015; FAO 2007b). Drylands are characterized by limited water availability (Ribbe and Dehnavi 2020), high temperatures (Daramola and Xu 2021), low and unpredictable rainfall (Bedair et al. 2023; FAO 2018), land degradation and deforestation (FAO 2019), which lead to signifcant issues such as drought (FAO 2018), and food insecurity (Devkota et al. 2022b). Moreover, the scarcity of water and arable land (FAO 2022), coupled with a limited potential for increasing output without irrigation, has led to a high and growing dependence on international markets for key staple foods (OECD and FAO 2018). The degradation of drylands in the region is also forcing people who can no longer make a living of the land to move to urban areas, which has led to increased pressure on limited water resources (Boshra 2008). Despite having a long history of agriculture (Morales et al. 2013), the agricultural sector in North Africa contributed less than 9% to the regional GDP in 2016 (AFDB 2018). However, it remains a primary source of employment, particularly for women, who make up 55% of the workforce (Kühn 2019) in rural areas (FAO 2018; OECD and FAO 2018). Around 52% of the total population in North Africa, including small-scale farmers and farm workers, live in rural areas, and are among the poorest and most afected by agroecological crises (Sowers et al. 2011). Although they face challenges such as low yields, limited access to quality seeds, and insufficient resources, smallholders play a crucial role in North Africa's agricultural sector. They contribute significantly to food production and are essential for ensuring food security in the region (FAO 2024).

Drylands in North Africa have witnessed a sharp increase in rural poverty, malnutrition, and social inequalities (FAO 2015; FAO 2007b). The challenges relating to climate change and the vulnerability in coping with these changes have directly afected food security (Schilling et al. 2012), and posed signifcant issues for farming systems and the livelihoods of inhabitants of the drylands. The main type of farming system is the irrigated farming system, which is of crucial importance in generating much of the region's agricultural output. It contains both large- and small-scale irrigation schemes, with the large-scale system consisting of irrigated cropland and an agricultural population. The second most important type, the dryland mixed farming system, covers a signifcant area and contains a large agricultural population (FAO 2001). It is primarily dependent on wheat and barley production, with strong interaction with small livestock, primarily sheep (Alary and Frija 2022). Crop production relies heavily on rainfall, and the whole system is vulnerable to inter-annual and seasonal variability. The risk of drought is high, and considerable food insecurity exists within this system (FAO 2001). The third type is the rainfed mixed farming system, which is characterized by the cultivation of rainfed crops such as wheat, barley, legumes, olives, grapes, fruit, and vegetables. Livestock, mainly sheep and goats, are an important feature of this system. The prevalence of poverty within this system is moderate, but would be higher without extensive of-farm

income from seasonal labor migration (Hirwa et al. 2022; Ryan 2011; FAO 2001). Also, the location of farms in North African drylands, particularly in Morocco, can be categorized into both remote rural and peri-urban contexts. Remote rural farms are often isolated with limited market access, poor infrastructure, and signifcant challenges such as water scarcity and poor soil fertility. They rely on subsistence farming and face high vulnerability to climate change (Kmoch et al. 2018). In contrast, peri-urban farms beneft from proximity to urban centers, and ofer better market access, infrastructure, and diversifed agricultural activities. However, they face threats of urban encroachment and pollution (El Hassani and Laziri 2022).

The concept of resilience in dryland farming systems

In the agricultural context, resilience refers to the ability of farming systems to withstand and recover from various challenges, such as climate change (Bafour-Ata et al. 2023), market fuctuations, and natural disasters, while continuing to maintain productivity and adapt to changing circumstances (Martin et al. 2019; Cabell and Oelofse 2012; Darnhofer et al. 2010a; OECD 2020). This approach considers the interconnectedness of various components, such as ecological, social, and economic factors, to understand the system's capacity to withstand and recover from disturbances (Meuwissen et al. 2019; Tebaldi and Vignali 2023). In other words, resilient agriculture is not just about the ability of farms to cope; it is about their ability to transform, be robust and innovative, and adapt to the increasing environmental, economic, social, and institutional challenges of today's world (OECD and FAO 2021). However, resilience does not mean that the agricultural system and the farm itself need to react only when the challenges occur, as in some cases this might be too late. Resilience also involves the importance of building the capacity of the agricultural sector to prepare for risks under a wide range of future scenarios. Several studies (Robinson et al. 2015; Jellason et al. 2022; Meyer 2020; Ephraim et al. 2023; Devkota et al. 2022a) on resilience in agriculture have made signifcant contributions to the understanding of the resilience of farming systems and farmers in drylands. These studies have emphasized the importance of agricultural resilience in ensuring that management actions do not push the surrounding landscape beyond its limits, that future opportunities to produce goods or income are not lost, and that new opportunities are created to allow producers to market, learn, innovate, and adapt when shocks occur. Furthermore, these studies have underlined the signifcance of persistence, adaptability, and the ability to transform as key factors in enhancing the resilience of farming systems and farmers in drylands (Coulibaly 2023). Various studies provide valuable insights into the perceptions and practices of smallholder farmers in diferent regions of Morocco, such as the Fès–Meknèss and Marrakesh-Saf areas, regarding the potential for resilience and adaptation in the face of challenges (Boutagayout et al. 2023). Additionally, research has been conducted to assess the resilience of farming systems in the Saïss plain in Morocco, by focusing on farmer knowledge, existing agricultural production systems, and agricultural practices in the region (Hossard et al. 2021). Furthermore, a study has explored the heterogeneity of resilience in pastoral and agro-pastoral farming systems in semiarid to arid rural areas of Morocco, thus providing a comprehensive understanding of the resilience of livelihood strategies in these regions (Alary et al. 2022). These studies Robinson et al. (2015), Jellason et al. (2022), Hossard et al.

(2021), Meyer (2020), Ephraim et al. (2023), Devkota et al. (2022a), Amede and Tsegaye (2016), Boutagayout et al. (2023), Alary et al. (2022202220222022) have shed light on the factors infuencing agricultural resilience, including persistence, adaptability, and the ability to transform, and have emphasized the importance of building robust and adaptable farming systems to cope with environmental, economic, and social challenges. Therefore, they contribute to the development of sustainable and adaptive agricultural practices in the country.

The water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus applied to dryland farming

The growing recognition of the interdependencies between water, energy, food, and ecosystem highlights the importance of innovations in fostering resilience and adaptation (Pérez 2023). Brunori et al. (2020) outlined the importance of innovative policies that should not rely on outdated knowledge, by proposing four approaches that could be used by the scientifc community. Firstly, systems approaches analyze the interconnectedness between activities, stakeholders, and outcomes in food systems within changing environments. This involves analyzing the interactions and dynamics within the farming system as a whole. Secondly, nexus approaches, which are at the core of this system, mean that intervening in one sector will have impacts on others (Brunori et al. 2020). Tirdly, future-oriented approaches involve acknowledging that past trends may not dictate future events, and considering the unintended consequences of choices, from consumption to technology (Brunori et al. 2020). This involves assessing the resilience and sustainability of farming systems by considering future scenarios and potential impacts. Tis approach helps to identify strategies for developing alternative systems, and to assess their compatibility with projected exogenous factors, thereby guiding decisionmaking toward more adaptive and resilient farming practices (Paas et al. 2021). Lastly, inter- and trans-disciplinary approaches embrace collaboration and synergies with other disciplines to tackle complex issues in agriculture and food studies (Brunori et al. 2020), by facilitating a deeper understanding of interactions within farming systems and the development of integrated strategies for resilience and sustainability (van der Lee et al. 2022). In line with the nexus approach advocated by Brunori et al. (2020), this methodology is best suited for assessing the resilience of farming systems due to its focus on the interconnected nature of water, energy, and food systems within farming systems. Tis approach seeks to reconcile the interdependencies between water, energy, food, and natural ecosystems, by providing a more targeted assessment of the specifc relationships and interactions that infuence the resilience of farming systems. Recent studies have further expanded the nexus framework, such as Ponce Oliva et al. (2021), who highlighted the importance of incorporating urban water use within the nexus framework to better understand the economic interdependencies between agriculture and urban sectors. Their research demonstrates how nexus thinking can reveal the compatibilities and divergence between food production and urban water use under diferent climatic and demographic stressors. Tis perspective highlights the need to integrate economic dimensions, such as household welfare and agricultural income, into the nexus analysis, by providing a comprehensive approach to resource management at basin level. By considering these factors, we can develop more efective strategies for enhancing resilience and sustainability in dryland farming systems.

The concept of the WEFE nexus has evolved since the decision to add "Ecosystem" to the WEF nexus (Hoff 2011). The WEFE nexus has transitioned from a focus on resource security to a more comprehensive approach that considers interconnections and integration to achieve sustainable development goals. The goal of the water–energy–food– ecosystem nexus approach is to demonstrate that food and energy production are dependent on water resources. They must be studied together, and multidimensional interventions are needed to identify optimized and adequate management and technical solutions. In light of these considerations, understanding the consequences of shortages of water, energy, food, and ecosystem services is crucial for comprehensively addressing the challenges faced by farming systems (Muthee et al. 2021).

In order to grasp the complexity of the WEFE nexus in drylands, and to identify key and innovative solutions for farmers to better manage water, energy, ecosystem resources, and food production, diferent approaches have been adopted by academics. Several studies have used spatial analysis (Raya Tapia et al. 2023; Liang et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2018), Geographic Information Systems (GIS) (Lin et al. 2019), participatory approaches (Ghafoori Kharanagh et al. 2021), or sector-based resource management approaches (De Andrade Guerra et al. 2021) to analyze the water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus in various contexts, including drylands. Azzam et al. (2023) introduced a developed WEF nexus framework based on Geographic Information Systems (GIS), which aims to assess the interlinkages between water, energy, and food on a spatial scale. Moreover, sector-based resource management approaches fail to recognize the interconnectedness of water, energy, and food resources, thus leading to insecurities within each sector (Taguta et al. 2022). Among the strong eforts of bio-economic modeling that are applied to the NEXUS approach [e.g., (Correa et al. 2022; Ngammuangtueng et al. 2023; Bazilian et al. 2011], farmer and stakeholder opinions and views are not sufficiently taken into account when it comes to selecting and identifying the key variables which are needed for the assessment and identifcation of the best adaptation strategies for farmers. Previous bio-economic modeling approaches (Akinsete et al. 2022; Vahabzadeh et al. 2023) applied to the NEXUS approach often prioritized economic factors such as crop yields and market prices, while overlooking technical and environmental considerations such as local knowledge and ecosystem services. Moreover, the combination of qualitative actor-based data with quantitative methodologies such as bio-economic modeling is strongly recommended for improving research on the sustainability of agrifood systems and climate adaptation (Cammarano et al. 2023; Jennings et al. 2024).

WEFE‑based innovations in dryland farming systems

Innovation in agriculture is essential for addressing the complex challenges facing the global food system and achieving the sustainable development goals, especially in countries where agriculture is an important feld of the economy (Markovic et al. 2020). By using the power of technology, science, and collaboration, we can unlock the potential of agriculture to feed people, protect the planet from diferent hazards such as climate change, and build prosperous and resilient communities for future generations (Vyas and Singh 2022; Masi et al. 2022; Gremmen et al. 2019; El Bakali et al. 2023b). The concept of innovation in farming systems encompasses the introduction of new strategies, methods, and practices aimed at improving four elements: water, energy, food,

and ecosystem. Implementing innovations in farming systems not only depends on willingness for development and resilience, but there are also barriers that limit these innovations, such as the size and type of farms, their technological advancement and fnancial resources, as well as the experience and educational attainment level of farmers (Diederen et al. 2003). Innovations in the water–energy–food–ecosystem nexus in farming systems are crucial for achieving sustainable and resilient agricultural practices (Ansari et al. 2023). Based on the needs of farmers in these areas, these innovations should encompass diverse approaches aimed at enhancing the resilience, productivity, and sustainability of farming systems while mitigating their impacts on water, energy, food, and the ecosystem. Key WEFE-related innovations implemented in dryland farming involve the adoption of minimum tillage methods, which aim to reduce soil disturbance and erosion while preserving soil moisture and fertility (Singh et al. 2023). In addition to tillage practices, the use of organic fertilizers, such as compost and manure, provides multiple benefits for the environment. These natural inputs enhance soil fertility and structure, thus reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers and minimizing nutrient runoff into water bodies (Zhou et al. 2022). Furthermore, smallholders implement the integration of drought-resistant crop varieties, which helps to mitigate the impacts of water scarcity on agricultural production. Reducing fertilizer use in agriculture is an innovation that is generally not accepted by farmers, as to them it involves sacrifcing food; however, it may be feasible in some cases (Yuan et al. 2023). The adoption of drip irrigation technologies enables precise water delivery to crops, thus minimizing water losses through evaporation and runoff (Yang et al. 2023). Intercropping, rainwater harvesting, and agroforestry practices, such as the integration of olives trees with cereals crops, further contribute to water conservation, energy efficiency, and environmental sustainability in farming systems (Stott et al. 2023; Reddy et al. 2023; Tamagnone et al. 2020; Ouali et al. 2022; Daoui and Fatemi 2014). Concerning the fnancial aspect, subsidies and support mechanisms can encourage farmers to adopt water-saving technologies (Burt et al. 2023). Moreover, the fnancial incentives of revolving loans for women can be a means of supporting women in agriculture.

Innovations impacting the WEFE nexus for smallholders

When examining the studies conducted on WEFE in Morocco, we found only limited literature. The studies mostly focus on the relationship between water and energy (Humphrey et al. 2022; Almulla et al. 2022; Maftouh et al. 2022; El Azhari and Loudyi 2019), and refect the fact that Morocco is a net energy-importing country that is heavily reliant on imported coal, oil, and gas to meet its energy needs (Almulla et al. 2022). At the same time, the country faces water scarcity, and the study by Siddiqi and Anadon (2011) demonstrates the interdependence of energy and water. Furthermore, Ouassissou et al. (2022) incorporated water and energy in irrigated agriculture, thus fnding that farming systems in Morocco are characterized as water-intensive and energy-inefficient, and that this situation is caused by government subsidies. Other studies explore the relationship between water, energy, and food (Zarkik and Ouhnini 2022; Meir et al. 2022; Sang-Hyun et al. 2020; El Youssf et al. 2020), but without including the environment, and not at farm level. One key observation highlighted in the review paper by El Youssfi et al. (2020) is the lack of consideration for interdependencies within the WEF nexus in Morocco, as

Fig. 1 The potential direct or indirect impact of innovations on WEFE (water, energy, food, and ecosystem). *Source*: Authors' own elaboration

Fig. 2 Method used to assess innovations for smallholdings' adaptation to climate change in drylands

well as the absence of comprehensive policies that address all components of the nexus. Some of the challenges faced by dryland farmers, including those in Morocco, are water scarcity, soil erosion, land degradation, and food security. Innovations in the water– energy–food–ecosystem nexus in farming systems are crucial for achieving sustainable and resilient agricultural practices (Ansari et al. 2023). These innovations should encompass diverse approaches aimed at enhancing the resilience, productivity, and sustainability of farming systems while mitigating their impacts on water, energy, food, and the ecosystem, based on the characteristics of the area. The elaboration of innovations focuses on agro-ecology, conservation agriculture (CA), and fnancial incentives (Fig. 1).

Methodological approach and case study description

The methodological flow is illustrated in Fig. 2, and consists of five main steps: (i) innovation selection that combined a literature review with expert validation; (ii) stakeholder mapping with experts, which aims to ensure the diverse representation of farmers, government officials, researchers, engineers, and agribusiness entrepreneurs; (iii) the assessment of innovations by building the framework which served as a structured guide for stakeholder interactions and evaluations; (iv) a stakeholder focus group which allowed for dynamic discussions and evaluations of criteria and innovations by participants; (v) the prioritization of innovations by synthesizing stakeholder input and multicriteria analysis fndings to identify the most suitable innovations for the study area.

Assessment method

This research is initially supported by a literature review that identified many innovations related to the issue identifed (climate change), all of which have already been implemented, or whose implementation feasibility has been identifed for dryland areas. From this initial list, only measures adapted to the drylands that could have an impact on water, energy, food, and ecosystem were kept and further analyzed. These innovations were then validated as part of a focus group discussion by a small team of local experts working closely with farmers in Morocco, in particular in the Fès–Meknès area.

A multi-criteria analysis can also be useful in WEFE-related climate innovation assessment: it makes it possible to identify the most suitable climate innovations to be implemented in farming systems, due to its ability to provide a structured approach for evaluating and comparing various innovations (Champion et al. 2023), and by considering a wide range of indicators—for instance, economic, environmental, and technical—and including various stakeholders (USAID 2013). In addition, it can facilitate the integration of expert judgment and local knowledge, thus making it a valuable tool for assessing climate adaptation and mitigation strategies, especially at farm level (Houngue et al. 2022; Setyantho et al. 2021). Therefore, careful consideration of the criteria, stakeholder engagement, and transparency is essential to ensure the credibility of the MCA results. The research undertakes a thorough evaluation of adaptation strategies based on the multi-criteria analysis methodology. The MCA methodology stands out with its stakeholder-inclusive approach, and not only incorporates normative judgment but also integrates technical expertise, thus providing a nuanced and comprehensive assessment process (De Brucker et al. 2013). By using MCA, the framework designed facilitates the identifcation and prioritization of innovations, by strategically aligning them with the critical needs of farmers following the adverse efects of climate change. Tis strategic combination ensures a robust and thorough evaluation, attuned to the dynamic nature of climate change and responsive to the diferent needs of vulnerable communities (USAID 2013). By embedding these methods within our research, we aim to contribute to a more efective and tailored approach to climate change adaptation in drylands. The primary objective is to conduct an initial evaluation of innovative strategies from the perspective of local farmers and experts. This assessment, which addresses the challenges posed by evolving risks in the context of climate change, employs a qualitative multi-criteria analysis methodology.

Fig. 3 Location of the "Saïss plain" study area

Regional context and case study description

The Saïss plain in Morocco is a vast and fertile plain with semiarid climate whose average annual rainfall ranges from 207 to 677 mm. The plain is located between the Rif and the Middle Atlas mountain range, and covers a land area of about 2200 km 2 in northern Morocco (El Ansari et al. 2023), of which 1910 km² are used for agriculture, thus making it an important agricultural region (Ameur et al. 2020; Bossenbroek et al. 2015). It is traditionally oriented toward rainfed crops (cereal crops, grain legumes, fodder) (Hossard et al. 2021; El Ansari et al. 2020; Baccar et al. 2017; Dugué et al. 2015). The smallholdings in this area are family-owned, and present homogenous production systems with limited access to market, services, and production factors (Baccar et al. 2017), which makes them less likely to adopt innovations in their farming practices (Harmanny and Malek 2019). Moreover, the cultivation area for these small farms is less than 20 ha, and they are equipped with minimal agricultural machinery (Dugué et al. 2015) (Fig. 3).

The resilience of this area is in jeopardy due to many issues caused by climate change or human pressures. In the region, the inefficient adoption of agricultural practices such as organic agriculture (slow progress (El Ghmari et al. 2022), limited access to improved seeds (Irhza et al. 2023; Yigezu et al. 2021)) has led to market limitations. Water scarcity and decreased and irregular rainfall have forced farmers to turn to costly coping mechanisms such as digging wells or abandoning plots of land (Meddi and Eslamian 2021). The depletion of the Saïss aquifer directly threatens agriculture, as over 82% of the water from the Sebou-Saïss basin is used for agriculture. Furthermore, the cost of agricultural production has been increasing (El Ansari et al. 2023; Ameur et al. 2020; El Ansari et al. 2020; Quarouch et al. 2014), for instance, the price of seeds (Sahnouni 2023), energy (Rahhali 2022), and fertilizers; a strong drop in grain production has also been observed (Meddi and Eslamian 2021). Additionally, poor water and electricity infrastructure, as well as the loss of agricultural land to urban expansion (Soulard et al. 2017) are considered key challenges for local farmers. Within this complex and challenging context for farmers, eforts have been made to improve the climate resilience of agricultural systems in the plain, in order to address these challenges by switching from unsustainable practices to sustainable ones, and implementing climate-resilient infrastructure. The 2020– 2030 Green Generation Plan (GGP) is working toward improved and more sustainable agricultural development in Morocco, to transition from resource-intensive agriculture to climate-resilient practices (Hossard et al. 2021; Ameur et al. 2020). The Fès-Meknès region has been identifed as a priority zone by the GGP, with the specifc objective to convert 200,000 hectares to conservation agriculture as part of the 1 million hectare initiative (Essiari and Fadlaoui 2023). However, concerns have been noted, starting with the Green Morocco Plan: these issues are mainly related to investment climate reforms, water and land resource pressure, missing links between its goals and implementation, and outdated data used for its assessment (Faysse 2015).

Data collection

Scenario design

The meeting was set up in January 2023, initially to validate the study area and potential innovations, then later to identify the stakeholders. After considerable deliberations with local stakeholders, a consensus was reached that innovations would take several forms, but they would concern Conservation Agriculture² and agroecological practices, followed by fnancial incentives which would impact four elements directly or indirectly: water, energy, food, and ecosystem. In such a context, several innovations expressed as adaptation strategies were put forward for more eco-efficient agricultural systems, better-balanced food production, and a sustainable provision of ecosystem services. These strategies were often considered with a relative degree of interest by stakeholders (including farmers) due to the specifcity of the challenges posed and the diversity of agricultural systems at a territorial level. The meeting was divided into four main stages: (i) frst, a presentation highlighting the study area and objectives; (ii) a discussion concerning the proposed innovations from the literature review with stakeholders (iii) a general discussion aimed at identifying the impacts of innovations on WEFE, (iv) the creation of a list of potential stakeholders.

Stakeholder mapping

(ii) Once the innovations had been validated, together with local experts, we went through the list of potential stakeholders and mapped them based on their operational level: local, regional, and national. Active participation from farmers, government officials, researchers, engineers, and agribusiness entrepreneurs was sought to foster a wellrounded understanding of the potential impacts and efectiveness of the innovations. Tis approach not only enriches the assessment process but also makes sure that the perspectives of key stakeholders are adequately represented, thus contributing to a more informed evaluation (Table 1).

Defning the criteria for assessing the feasibility of innovations

(iii) These criteria play the most important role in ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of the innovations. Despite suggestions from prior studies that a simplifed approach might be more practical (Baills et al. 2020; Boruf et al. 2005), ten criteria were defned to

² Conservation agriculture consists in conserving and improving soil properties, conserving moisture, stabilizing and increasing crop yields (Hobbs et al. 2008; FAO 2022). Conservation agriculture relies on three principles: (a) minimization of soil disturbance by using methods such as no-tillage (NT), minimum tillage (MT), or strip tillage (ST); (b) continuous maintenance of soil cover which includes crop residues and cover crops; (c) implementing practices such as crop rotation, combination, or diversifcation within the feld to optimize agricultural output and ecological balance (Marenya et al. 2017; El Bakali et al. 2023a).

Table 1 List of the stakeholders consulted

assess the innovations in this study. The chosen criteria were categorized into three main groups: technical, economic, and environmental (Table 2).

Criteria weighting and scoring

(iv) The fourth step aimed to include technical expertise in the assessment process, and focused on the involvement of stakeholders through a focus group discussion as well as on criteria weighting and innovation scoring. The judgments of experts were crucial for the assessment of innovations by mobilizing a technical, economic, and environmental nexus analysis.

Criteria weighting was at the heart of group discussions, as it could ultimately change the ranking of innovations. Each criterion was evaluated independently by each stakeholder using their knowledge and experience of the suggested innovations. In this step, the stakeholders decided which criteria should be given more or less weight with respect to others based on their interest. The criteria were ranked from most important to least important, where the most important (frst ranked) criterion was rated as 1, the second most important criterion as 2, the third one as 3, and so on.

To calculate the weight of each criterion, we used the following formula:

Weight of criteria =
$$
\left(\text{Value} / \sum \text{Values} \right) \times 100
$$
 (1)

where the *Value* is the number given by the stakeholder from 10 to 100, the ∑*Values* is the sum of all values given to each criterion by the stakeholder.

Prioritization of options

(v) In the ffth step, innovation scoring is followed by a second stage called standardization of scoring values, which involves neutralizing the infuence of diferent criteria on ranking results, thus ensuring a fair and unbiased assessment, and allowing for a more accurate and meaningful overall ranking. Consider a scenario where the stakeholder rates the "technical capacity" as 5, which means that a specific innovation needs a very high level of technical capacity, and this is a barrier for the innovation to be implemented: despite the fact that the total score will contribute to a better ranking. To address this, we applied standardization, by assigning a standardized value, in this case of 1, to the original score of 5 (Table 3). The criteria subjected to scoring standardization

Table 2 Explanation of criteria

¹ Assessing technical capacity is essential for understanding the resources and capabilities of a farming system (Meuwissen et al. 2019)

² It is essential to recognize that farmers are at the core of innovation adaptation. Their willingness to adopt innovations significantly impacts the feasibility and success of implementation efforts (Darnhofer et al. 2010b; Hall and Clark 2010; Asayehegn et al. 2017)

³ Burrell (2010) emphasizes that if farmers are to take action, they need to see that the benefits of adaptation outweigh the costs

4 Understanding market dynamics enables farmers to align their adaptation eforts with market demands and opportunities for economic growth. If there is no market for what is produced on the farm with the help of the adapted innovation, then the innovation can be considered as not feasible (Feenstra and Lewis 1999)

⁵ This comprehensive view aligns with the neo-institutional school of thought

 6 A crucial criterion to be considered in the drylands due to water scarcity for innovation assessment is water use efficiency

⁷ Energy plays a significant role in agricultural operations, from powering machinery and equipment to processing and transportation. Assessing energy use efficiency involves the identification of opportunities to reduce energy consumption

(Burrell 2010)

Table 3 Scoring standardization

are: technical capacity, social complexity, institutional complexity, costs, and transaction costs.

The last step involved the prioritization of options, where innovations were ranked based on the final weighted scores. The formula for weighted scores considered the weight of each criterion and the score of each option in relation to that criterion. The stakeholders assigned numerical scores from 1 to 5 to each option against specifc criterion.

The following Eq. (2) was used to calculate the value of attribute score (x_i) for each criterion multiplied by the weights (w_i), thus giving the weighted sum (S) for each innovation criterion.

$$
S = \sum w_i * x_i; \tag{2}
$$

To calculate the total of all the criteria for each innovation option, we used the following formula:

$$
S_1 = \sum w_1 * x_1 + w_2 * x_2 + w_3 * x_3 \dots w_n * x_n \tag{3}
$$

Findings

WEFE weighting criteria obtained from farmers and other stakeholders

Farmers and stakeholders taking part in the focus group discussion ranked a list of ten criteria based on the importance accorded to their objectives.

According to Dessart et al. (2019), if resources are abundant and farmers perceive an immediate threat, they may prioritize the environmental criteria in their decision-making process. This is applicable in the study area, where there is a scarcity of resources. Although it is unclear to what extent farmers perceive this scarcity as a threat, they are aware of it. Despite this awareness, they do not prioritize environmental criteria when selecting innovations. The results showed that there is a consensus among farmers regarding the crucial role of proftability and the cost of action when it comes to adopting innovations in farming practices (Table 4).

Both the mean and median values indicate a strong consensus and highlight a unanimous agreement on the signifcance of these factors. However, the reasoning behind this choice goes beyond farmers simply wanting to minimize costs and maximize profts. We must consider various factors that influence their decision-making process. These include educational and awareness levels (Ben Khadda et al. 2021; Moinina et al. 2018; Berni et al. 2016), previous experience with innovations, economic considerations, limited resources, risk management, and market dynamics. Additionally, the high level of

Table 4 Criteria weighting by farmers

S—stakeholder, V—values given by farmer from 10 to 100, W—weights, the bold indicates the criterion ranked as the highest

cooperation among farmers in the study area, which leads to shared interests, is another reason for this choice. However, these choices can also be impacted by family composition and farmers' life cycle stage. For instance, larger families may have more labor available, while younger farmers might be more open to adopting new technologies. Tis approach may infuence their preferences and priorities diferently compared to older or smaller family units.

Technical capacity emerges as a key concern for farmers, with a consensus and minimal divergence in viewpoints, as it directly afects their ability to adopt and successfully integrate new practices into their existing farming practices. Farmers in the Fès–Meknès region recognize the need to enhance their technical capacity not only to remain competitive, but also to adapt to climate change.

In this case, farmers are likely to change their priorities in response to government interventions, subsidies, and other support programs (Taramuel et al. 2023).

The importance placed on water and energy use efficiency, as well as environmental emergency, by other stakeholders is highlighted in Table 5. This consensus among them is driven by government policies and incentives such as the 2020–2030 Green Generation Plan, vulnerability to climate change, and the increase in energy consumption despite policy efforts (Fragkos 2023).

Experts recognize a connection between the environment and economic criteria, where sustainable resource use, specifically water, and energy efficiency, contribute to long-term cost savings and enhance the overall economic viability of the agricultural sector. While social complexity was given less weight compared to other criteria, this does not imply that it is negligible (Table 5). Rather, it refects the perspective that farmers and other stakeholders will not be a barrier to the adoption of these innovations.

Additionally, technical capacity is acknowledged as an important criterion, but they assigned it a moderate weight to avoid the risk of not capturing the broader challenges and considerations that impact implementation feasibility and success.

Prioritization of WEFE innovations

Based on the fndings of the MCA assessment, the two groups of stakeholders that took part in the focus group discussion made diferent choices regarding the innovations to be adopted in the study area (as shown in Tables 6, 7). The proposed innovations were generally well aligned with local cultural and traditional farming practices, as well as local characteristics, which are key drivers of decision-making when adopting innovations. However, the group of stakeholders believed that some of the innovations put forward, such as the loan initiatives for women, did not ft the local context. Tis misalignment underlines the importance of tailoring innovations to the specifc needs and conditions of the target area to ensure their successful implementation.

These findings collectively demonstrate the complex and multifaceted nature of the diferences in prioritization of innovations in terms of their impacts on WEFE, and their implementation feasibility at the farm level.

The group of other stakeholders considers drought-resistant crop varieties to be crucial innovations in the area due to their high water use efficiency and resistance to crop yield losses in drought-prone areas (Table 6), while the analysis of social complexity revealed that other stakeholders showed a high willingness to adopt drought-resistant crop varieties due to their potential to mitigate crop yield losses in drought-prone areas. This strategy scored notably high in water use efficiency (0.78) and environmental emergency (0.76). Overall, the group stated that addressing water scarcity and promoting the economic viability of drought-resistant crops make this strategy a comprehensive choice for sustainable agriculture in the Fès–Meknès area. Likewise, intercropping secured the second position in the prioritization process among other stakeholders, thus highlighting its importance in addressing water scarcity, just like drought-resistant crop varieties. It is worth noting that intercropping has a moderate level of technical complexity (0.45), yet according to local experts, in order to successfully implement it, farmers need to have a certain level of technical knowledge, including understanding the compatibility of different crops, their growth patterns, and nutrient requirements. Additionally, intercropping is compatible with existing market systems and has the potential to improve market access (0.32), which makes it accessible and proftable as an agricultural innovation.

Rainwater harvesting is considered crucial for improving water resources and ofering farmers an alternative to expensive external water sources such as groundwater or municipal supplies. This translates into cost savings, particularly in areas with water scarcity. On the social front, the level of social complexity is low (0.27), which highlights the need for community awareness, education, and, in some cases, collaborative efforts. The success of rainwater harvesting initiatives not only depends on the technology itself but also on efective communication and community engagement. Given that the level of cooperation among farmers is generally high in the study area, this creates a positive environment for collective action in adopting innovations and addressing resource scarcity issues, especially for practices that provide clear mutual benefts, such as rainwater harvesting. However, unlike other strategies, the

Kertolli *et al. Agricultural and Food Economics (2024) 12:34* Page 19 of 31

implementation costs of rainwater harvesting are not low. Once the infrastructure is in place, maintenance involves routine checks and simple repairs, which leads to sustainable and cost-efficient water management, and results in a positive return on investment.

Lastly, the implementation of fnancial incentives to encourage farmers to adopt water conservation practices highlights the complex social and institutional factors involved. Tis suggests that fnancial incentives can be crucial in engaging farmers. Nonetheless, it is important to recognize that this strategy also carries the risk of becoming a maladaptation, based on past experiences. It is common for farmers to prioritize planting cash crops, such as vegetables, when they have ample water resources for crop production. Tis choice is driven by the desire to maximize profts, as these cash crops typically yield higher returns. However, it is crucial to note that such crops also require more water, thus creating a trade-off between profitability and water consumption. This trade-off goes against the original purpose of suggesting this innovation in the frst place.

Meanwhile, the ranking carried out by farmers is infuenced by the diferent perceptions and priorities of farmers, as well as by their experience, local concerns, and interactions within the farming community. Based on farmer choices, it becomes evident that economic incentives outweigh the environmental benefts of innovations (Table 7). Farmers argue that the adoption of certain practices is not solely determined by their willingness, but rather requires favorable conditions, such as soil type, water availability, farmer experience, and cultural context, to be met beforehand.

Integrating olive trees with cereal crops is ranked as one of the top fve priorities for both "other stakeholders" (in the third position) and farmers (in the first position). The preference of farmers for this integration refects a localized understanding of the high economic benefts that come with diversifed agroforestry. Tis understanding may be infuenced by historical agricultural practices in the region and the demands of local markets. For instance, in the 2020–2021 agricultural year, the production of olives was 623,539 t (Idrissi 2021). The scoring patterns among farmers indicate an increasing recognition of agroforestry as a multifaceted solution within sustainable agriculture. Practical experiences and challenges related to water scarcity in the region further reinforce the importance of technologies such as drip irrigation. This aligns with the findings of Elouadi et al. (2020), which highlight the positive impact of drip irrigation on crop water use efficiency and overall farm productivity. The high profitability score (0.62) emphasizes the economic attractiveness of drip irrigation. This choice aligns with the global trend of precision agriculture, which prioritizes resource-efficient practices.

Furthermore, regarding organic fertilizers, farmers still see their use as proftable (0.62), especially because they can obtain manure from their own livestock without incurring additional costs (0.45). While they are open to incorporating organic fertilizers into their farming practices and recognize their benefts, they still prefer fertilizers that provide higher yields. As for pest control, only a small number of farms in the Fès–Meknès region use more sustainable methods. This finding is supported by a study conducted by Ben Khadda et al. (2021) , which revealed that 85.6% of farmers rely solely on chemical methods. Nonetheless, the acceptance of the adoption of more sustainable practices indicates that awareness-raising eforts have been efective in this area.

Other stakeholders			Farmers		
Options		Total Ranking	Options		Total Ranking
Use of drought-resistant crop varie- ties	4.36		Integration of olive trees with cereal crops	4	
Intercropping	4.09		Drip irrigation	3.52	$\overline{}$
Integration of olive trees with cereal crops	3.97	3	Organic fertilizers such as compost and manure	3.52	- 3
Rainwater harvesting	3.90	$\overline{4}$	Soil conservation practices	3.45	$\overline{4}$
Providing financial incentives to farmers who adopt water conserva- tion practices	3.78	.5	Minimum tillage practices	3.27	5

Table 8 Prioritization of adaptation options—Other stakeholders & Farmers

Lastly, it is worth mentioning that farmers ranking soil conservation and minimum tillage practices as their fourth and ffth preferences are in line with the objectives of the Green Generation Plan. Tis demonstrates that the government's active promotion of and education in sustainable agriculture have likely played a role in infuencing farmers to prioritize methods that align with national policy.

Moreover, the increasing awareness of environmental issues and the economic viability of these practices among farmers also contributes to their selection. They are also inspired by success stories from other farmers, known as farmer leaders in the region, who have successfully implemented these practices. Additionally, access to resources and government support further infuence the decisions of farmers.

Discussions

Both groups of stakeholders (farmers and other stakeholders) prioritize strategies with high water use efficiency, which aligns with the study of Rejekiningrum et al. (2022), who emphasize the importance of maximizing water efficiency in dryland farming areas for resilience. Innovations such as drip irrigation and crop rotation directly address this need by conserving water resources, thereby helping farmers withstand periods of drought and water scarcity. However, while other stakeholders place a stronger emphasis on environmental considerations, farmers prioritize economic viability and market opportunities. For instance, integrating olive trees with cereal crops, thus ensuring a sustainable source of income: this innovation not only enhances economic resilience but also promotes ecological benefts, such as improved soil health and biodiversity, and contributes to the overall resilience of dryland farming ecosystems (Table 8). Studies by Waha et al. (2017) and Szaboova (2023) also highlight the economic considerations of smallholders in adopting new agricultural practices.

Findings by Fadina and Barjolle (2018) and Burt et al. (2023) highlighted that fnancial support mechanisms are critical for the adoption of sustainable practices. Our study adds a layer of complexity by discussing the unintended consequences of fnancial incentives, such as the prioritization of high water use cash crops. These insights suggest that while fnancial mechanisms can drive the adoption of sustainable practices, they must be carefully designed to avoid promoting unsustainable agricultural choices. It is essential to integrate these perspectives in order to develop sustainable water management policies that align with both ecological imperatives and the economic realities of local agriculture in the Fès-Meknes region. The collaborative identification of strategies that balance technical feasibility, economic viability, and environmental emergency is crucial for the successful implementation of innovations. Based on this work, we observed a gap in the perception of the impact of innovations between the two groups, even though some farmers in the area adopted several practices suggested in the WEFE assessment. Tis fnding indicates that the impacts of these practices were not signifcant enough to be widely acknowledged. By comparing indicators, we observed that the same strategies scored better in terms of impacts on water use efficiency, energy efficiency, and the environment, for instance, crop rotation or drip irrigation, and in the farmers' group these same strategies received moderate scores in terms of these impacts.

There are several potential reasons for this perception gap. One of them is the lack of knowledge and awareness of certain practices, which infuences their perception and ranking of the impacts of these practices. Smallholders in the region have a low level of education and rely mostly on their own experience or unreliable sources. Furthermore, we have observed skepticism among farmers about certain innovations, as some of the farmers tried to implement these practices but did not achieve satisfactory production, and perceived yield impact plays a role in decision-making as well. Given that the farmers who took part in this study were men, the strategy we put forward to involve women in providing loans for farm investments was rejected by both the group of farmers and that of other stakeholders (Tables $6, 7$). This indicates that cultural influence remains strong in Morocco. This is primarily due to the limited cases of women being in charge of farms: they cannot be head of the family even when the latter includes no men. According to cultural norms, another male relative will take responsibility for managing the family and the farm in such cases. These factors contribute to the gap in the perception of the impacts of agricultural innovations, which highlights the complex interplay of knowledge, experience, cultural dynamics, and perceived yield impact in shaping the attitudes and decision-making of farmers in the Saïss Plain. Addressing these challenges requires tailored approaches that consider the educational, cultural, and gender dynamics within the farming community.

A key result of the Multi-Criteria Assessment revealed that other stakeholders do not prioritize Conservation Agriculture practices within their top fve rankings. Tis is surprising not only due to its important role in enhancing the resilience of dryland farming within the WEFE nexus, but also since Morocco has made signifcant eforts in recent years for the extension of CA. In 2008, the implementation of no-tillage practices was limited to only 4000 ha (Kassam and Friedrich 2010), whereas the current plan is to convert 1 million hectares, and 200 k ha out of these are planned for the Fès–Meknès region alone. Farmers rated CA higher than other stakeholders, even though the diference in scoring is very small and they still rank these practices in the 4th and 5th places rather than in their top three priorities. Tis could be explained by the proximity of these farmers to other leading farmers currently practicing CA. Tis can also be attributed to the country's commitment to sustainable agricultural innovations. The Moroccan government has prioritized sustainable agriculture and food production as part of its economic and social development, thus recognizing the role of agriculture in achieving broad-based economic growth and reducing poverty. Furthermore, the promotion of CA practices, and the commitment to water-saving technologies have helped

Fig. 4 The potential impacts of innovations on WEFE (water, energy, food, and ecosystem) (according to stakeholders)

to strengthen resilience to drought and increased water scarcity due to climate change. These efforts and commitments create a conducive environment for the acceptance of CA practices by farmers in Morocco, nevertheless not enough to motivate them to be part of the implementation yet.

As shown in the literature review (see Fig. 1), studies not only examine the direct impacts that certain innovations will have on the WEFE nexus, but also consider the indirect impacts. According to the literature, one innovation can somehow afect the entire WEFE nexus, either directly or indirectly. Meanwhile, in our case, stakeholders' perspectives on the impacts of innovations on the farming system mainly focus on the immediate efects on water, often neglecting the broader aspects (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, the identifed innovations (Fig. 4) are related to water–food–energy–ecosystem, and are closely linked to the resilience of dryland farming, by addressing water scarcity (through innovations such as drip irrigation, rainwater harvesting, use of drought-tolerant varieties), promoting environmental sustainability (through soil conservation practices and the use of organic fertilizers), improving energy efficiency (through minimum tillage), as well as improving economic viability, which is in the interest of farmers (such as intercropping).

Overall, stakeholders' perspectives on the impacts of innovations are shaped by a combination of interests, economic priorities, knowledge gaps, and communication dynamics within the agricultural sector. Tis is infuenced by various factors; frstly, stakeholders, in this case farmers, tend to prioritize issues with direct and immediate consequences, such as water scarcity and energy efficiency, due to their tangible impacts on production costs and resource availability. For instance, as minimum tillage practices directly afect water usage and energy consumption, they are more inclined to focus on these aspects, which they perceive as more immediately relevant to their operations. Consequently, the broader environmental implications of minimum tillage practices, such as soil health and biodiversity, may be overlooked in favor of more immediate economic concerns. Similarly, fnancial incentives provided to farmers for adopting water conservation practices are perceived diferently by academics and stakeholders. While

studies suggest that such incentives primarily impact water resources and their management, both group of stakeholders considered the impact on energy consumption. Moreover, farmers may possess limited awareness or understanding of the multifaceted impacts of agricultural practices. Tis lack of comprehensive knowledge can result in a narrowed focus on the relationship between water, energy, food, and ecosystem. Additionally, there may be a communication gap between farmers, researchers, and policymakers, regarding the impacts that these innovations have on farming.

Conclusions

Tis work has developed a systems approach for assessing the resilience of the water– energy–food–ecosystem nexus in arid and semiarid regions, by exploring innovative practices in dryland farming systems, and assessing their impact on water, energy, food, and ecosystem through stakeholder perceptions. In this study, we observed that farmers and local experts in dryland farming systems have difering priorities with regard to the impact of innovative practices on WEFE nexus resources. By identifying and prioritizing innovations that directly or indirectly impact the WEFE nexus in farming systems, this study emphasizes the signifcance of taking into account stakeholder perspectives, including those of farmers, when implementing innovation strategies in agriculture. Unlike previous literature that examined both the direct and indirect impacts of innovations on the WEFE nexus, in the research case presented in this paper, stakeholder perspectives on the impacts of innovations on farming systems mainly focus on immediate efects on water, often neglecting the broader aspects of the WEFE approach. A deeper examination of stakeholder opinions makes it possible to further explore more systemic impacts of innovations on WEFE resources, beyond the positive efects on water scarcity, such as environmental sustainability, energy efficiency, and farm economic viability, all of which contribute to the resilience of dryland farming systems.

While the adoption of innovations is rising, there is still a long way to go. There seems to be a knowledge gap both at farm and extension service levels, as well as among policymakers, which requires more tailored advice to know which innovations should be encouraged, and where. Future research should focus on including socioeconomic analysis to design appropriate incentives for the adoption of innovations by farmers. Furthermore, as the Moroccan government is working toward more sustainable farming innovations, there is a need to work on information programs, not only designed for farmers but also for extension services and policymakers.

Abbreviations

- CA Conservation agriculture
- GGP Green Generation Plan
- GIS Geographic Information Systems
- MT Minimum tillage
- MCA Multi-criteria analysis
- NT No-tillage
- ST Strip tillage
- WEFE Water, energy, food, and ecosystem

Acknowledgements Not applicable.

Author contributions

EK, PP, and HB were involved in study conception and design. EK, PP, RH, RM, GE, and HB helped in review and acquisition of data/material. EK, PP, RH, RM, GE, and HB contributed to analysis and interpretation. EK helped in drafting of manuscript. Review and comments were done by PP, RH, RM, GE, and HB. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

This work was carried out with support from CGIAR Initiatives on Climate Resilience, ClimBeR and CWANA. Thanks are due to CGIAR funders' support via the CGIAR Trust Fund.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available as they were obtained from a workshop but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 7 March 2024 Revised: 19 July 2024 Accepted: 8 August 2024 Published online: 05 September 2024

References

- AFDB (2018) North Africa economic outlook: macroeconomic developments and poverty, inequality, and employment. Agricultural Production and Food Security. [https://www.afdb.org/fleadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Publicatio](https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Publications/2018AEO/African-Economic-Outlook-2018-North-Africa.pdf) [ns/2018AEO/African-Economic-Outlook-2018-North-Africa.pdf](https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Publications/2018AEO/African-Economic-Outlook-2018-North-Africa.pdf). Accessed 10 Dec 2023
- Ahmed M, Hayat R, Ahmad M, ul-Hassan M, Kheir A, ul Hassan F, ur-Rehman M, Shaheen F, Raza MA, Ahmad S (2022) Impact of climate change on dryland agricultural systems: a review of current status, potentials, and further work need. Int J Plant Prod 16:341–363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42106-022-00197-1>
- Akinsete E, Koundouri P, Landis C (2022) Modeling the wef nexus to support sustainable development: an African case. Sustain Dev Goals Series.<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-01336-28>
- Alary V, Frija A (2022) Crop–livestock systems transformation in the semiarid zones of North Africa over a decade: approach and case-study in Southern Tunisia. J Agri Sci 160:1–15.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S002185962200003X>
- Alary V, Caulfeld ME, Amsidder L, Juanes X, Boujenane I, Sraïri TM, Sam A, Hammond J, Van Wijk M (2022) Heterogeneity of resilience of livelihood strategies in pastoral and agropastoral farming systems of rural semi-arid to arid areas in Morocco. Front Sustain Food Syst. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.723994>
- Almulla Y, Ramirez C, Joyce B, Huber-Lee A, Fuso-Nerini F (2022) From participatory process to robust decision-making: an Agriculture–water–energy nexus analysis for the Souss-Massa basin in Morocco. Energy Sustain Dev. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2022.08.009) [org/10.1016/j.esd.2022.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2022.08.009)
- Amede T, Tsegaye A (2016) Nurturing agricultural productivity and resilience in drylands of Sub-Saharan Africa. Innov Dryland Agric. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47928-6_16
- Ameur F, Amichi H, Leauthaud C (2020) Agroecology in North African irrigated plains? Mapping promising practices and characterizing farmers' underlying logics. Reg Environ Change. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-020-01719-1>
- Ansari A, Wuryandani S, Pranesti A, Telaumbanua M, Ngadisih, Hardiansyah MY, Alam T, Supriyanta, Martini T, Taryono (2023) Optimizing water–energy–food nexus: achieving economic prosperity and environmental sustainability in agriculture. Front Sustain Food Syst. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1207197>
- Asayehegn K, Iglesias A, Triomphe B, Pédelahore P, Temple L (2017) The role of systems of innovation in adapting to climate change: the case of the Kenyan cofee and dairy sectors. J Innov Econ Manag 24:127–149. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3917/jie.pr1.0015) [10.3917/jie.pr1.0015](https://doi.org/10.3917/jie.pr1.0015)
- Azzam A, Samy G, Hagras MA, El Kholy R (2023) Geographic information systems-based framework for water–energy– food nexus assessments. Ain Shams Eng J 14:102224
- Baccar M, Bouaziz A, Dugué P, Yves le Gal P (2017) Shared environment, diversity of pathways: dynamics of family farming in the Saïs Plain (Morocco). Reg Environ Change 17:739–751.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-016-1066-4>
- Bafour Ata F, Atta Aidoo J, Said RO, Nkrumah V, Atuyigi S, Analima SM (2023) Building the resilience of smallholder farmers to climate variability: using climate-smart agriculture in Bono East Region. Heliyon. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21815) [heliyon.2023.e21815](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21815)
- Baills A, Garcin M, Bulteau T (2020) Assessment of selected Climate change adaptation measures for coastal areas. Ocean Coast Manag.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.105059>
- Bazilian M, Rogner H, Howells M, Hermann S, Arent D, Gielen D, Steduto P, Mueller A, Komor P, Tol RSJ, Yumkella KK (2011) Considering the energy, water and food nexus: towards an integrated modelling approach. Energy Policy 39:7896–7906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.09.039>
- Bedair H, Alghariani MS, Omar E, Anibaba QA, Remon M, Bornman C, Kiboi SK, Rady HA, Salifu AMA, Guuroh RT, Sanou L, Alzain HM (2023) Global warming status in the African continent: sources, challenges, policies, and future Direction. Int J Environ Res.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41742-023-00534-w>
- Ben Khadda Z, Fagroud M, El Karmoudi Y, Ezrari S, Berni I, De Broe M, Behl T, Bungau SG, Houssaini TS (2021) Farmers' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions regarding carcinogenic pesticides in Fez Meknes region (Morocco). Int J Environ Res Public Health. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182010879>
- Berni I, Atassi M, Chakib N, Ahmed Z, Samir EJ, Karima ER (2016) pesticide use pattern among farmers in a rural district of Meknes: Morocco. Open Access Lib J.<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1103125>
- Bizikova L, Roy D, Henry D, Venema D, McCandless M (2013) The water–energy–food security nexus: towards a practical planning and decision-support framework for landscape investment and risk management. IISD. [http://www.](http://www.iisd.org/library/water-energy-food-security-nexus-towards-practical-planning-and-decision-support-framework) [iisd.org/library/water-energy-food-security-nexus-towards-practical-planning-and-decision-support-framework.](http://www.iisd.org/library/water-energy-food-security-nexus-towards-practical-planning-and-decision-support-framework) Accessed 25 Jan 2024
- Boruf B, Emrich C, Cutter S (2005) Erosion hazard vulnerability of US coastal counties. J Coast Res 21:932–942. <https://doi.org/10.2112/04-0172.1>

Boshra S (2008) Sustainable management of the North African marginal drylands. UNDP. [https://hdr.undp.org/conte](https://hdr.undp.org/content/sustainable-management-north-african-marginal-drylands) [nt/sustainable-management-north-african-marginal-drylands.](https://hdr.undp.org/content/sustainable-management-north-african-marginal-drylands) Accessed 13 Jan 2024

Bossenbroek L, van der Ploeg JD, Zwarteveen M (2015) Broken dreams? Youth experiences of agrarian change in Morocco's Saïss region. Cah Agric 24:342–348.<https://doi.org/10.1684/agr.2015.0776>

- Boutagayout A, El Bouiamrine H, Lahlali R, Rhioui W, Hamdani A, Nassiri L, El Jarroudi M, Belmalha S (2023) Resilience and adaptation in Moroccan agriculture during the COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives from farmers. Paper presented at international scientifc conference on biotechnology and food technology, Saint Petersburg, 19–21 September 2023
- Brunori G, Branca G, Cembalo L, D'Haese M, Dries L (2020) Agricultural and Food Economics: the challenge of sustainability. Agric Food Econ 8:1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-020-00156-2>
- Burrell A (2010) Agriculture and adaptation to climate change—workshop report. OECD. [https://www.oecd.org/](https://www.oecd.org/greengrowth/sustainable-agriculture/46134084.pdf) [greengrowth/sustainable-agriculture/46134084.pdf.](https://www.oecd.org/greengrowth/sustainable-agriculture/46134084.pdf) Accessed 27 Jan 2024.
- Burt Z, Leal S, Workman J, McElroy M, Bouhia H (2023) The design of climate-adaptive water subsidies: fnancial incentives for urban water conservation in Morocco. J Water Sanit Hyg Dev 13:424–432. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2023.236) [2166/washdev.2023.236](https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2023.236)
- Cabell JF, Oelofse M (2012) An indicator framework for assessing agroecosystem resilience. Ecol Soc. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04666-170118) [10.5751/ES-04666-170118](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04666-170118)
- Cammarano D, Olesen JE, Helming K, Foyer CH, Schönhart M, Brunori G, Bandru KK, Bindi M, Padovan G, Thorsen BJ, Freund F, Abalos D (2023) Models can enhance science-policy-society alignments for climate change mitigation. Nat Food 4:632–635.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00807-9>
- Champion C, Lawson JR, Pardoe J, Cruz DO, Fowler AM, Jaine F, Schilling HT, Coleman MA (2023) Multi-criteria analysis for rapid vulnerability assessment of marine species to climate change. Clima Chan. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10584-023-03577-2) [10.1007/S10584-023-03577-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10584-023-03577-2)
- Correa M, Salmoral G, Rey D, Knox JW, Graves A, Melo O, Foster W, Naranjo L, Zegarra E, Johnson C, Viteri SO, Yan X (2022) A novel modelling toolkit for unpacking the water–energy–food–environment (WEFE) nexus of agricultural development. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112182>
- Coulibaly M (2023) Ensuring agriculture resilience in the North African region: farmer seeds as a solution to major crises. Rosa-Luxemburg-Sift North Afr Reg Off Tunis. [https://www.rosalux.de/en/publication/id/50559/ensur](https://www.rosalux.de/en/publication/id/50559/ensuring-agricultural-resilience-in-the-north-african-region) [ing-agricultural-resilience-in-the-north-african-region.](https://www.rosalux.de/en/publication/id/50559/ensuring-agricultural-resilience-in-the-north-african-region) Accessed 15 Jan 2024

DALRRD (2023) Evidence-based case studies in South Africa. [https://www.dalrrd.gov.za/images/Docs/climate-smart](https://www.dalrrd.gov.za/images/Docs/climate-smart-agriculture-book.pdf)[agriculture-book.pdf.](https://www.dalrrd.gov.za/images/Docs/climate-smart-agriculture-book.pdf) Accessed 29 Jan 2024.

Daoui K, Fatemi ZA (2014) Agroforestry systems in Morocco: the case of olive tree and annual crops association in Saïs region. Saudi J Biol Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7957-019>

Daramola MT, Xu M (2021) Recent changes in global dryland temperature and precipitation. Int J Clima 42:1267– 1282.<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7301>

Darnhofer I, Fairweather J, Moller H (2010a) Assessing a farm's sustainability: insights from resilience thinking. Int J Agric Sustain 8:186–198. <https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2010.0480>

- Darnhofer I, Bellon S, Dedieu B, Milestad R (2010b) Adaptiveness to enhance the sustainability of farming systems. Agro Sustain Dev 30:545–555.<https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2009053>
- De Andrade GJBSO, Berchin II, Garcia J, da Silva NS, Jonck AV, Faraco RA, de Amorim WS, Ribeiro JMP (2021) A literature-based study on the water–energy–food nexus for sustainable development. Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess 35:95–116.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-020-01772-6>
- De Brucker K, Macharis C, Verbeke A (2013) Multi-criteria analysis and the resolution of sustainable development dilemmas: a stakeholder management approach. Eur J Oper Res 224:122–131. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2012.02.021) [2012.02.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2012.02.021)
- Dessart FJ, Barreiro-Hurlé EJ, van Bavel R (2019) Behavioural factors afecting the adoption of sustainable farming practices: a policy-oriented review. Eur Rev Agric Econ 46:417–471. <https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbz019>

Devkota M, Mrabet R, Moussadek R, Yigezu Y, Bashour I, Singh YS (2022b) Conservation agriculture in the drylands of the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region: past trend, current opportunities, challenges and future outlook. Adv Agro. <https://doi.org/10.1016/Bs.Agron.2021.11.001>

- Devkota M, Frija A, Dhehibi B, Rudiger U, Alary V, M'hamed H, Louahdi N, Idoudi Z, Rekik M (2022a) Better croplivestock integration for enhanced agricultural system resilience and food security in the changing climate: case study from low-rainfall areas of North Africa. In: Behnassi M, Baig MB, Sraïri MT, Alsheikh AA, Abu Risheh AWA (eds) Food security and climate-smart food systems. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92738-7_13) [92738-7_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92738-7_13)
- Diederen P, Van Meijl H, Wolters A, Bijak K (2003) Innovation adoption in agriculture: innovators, early adopters and laggards. Cah Econ Soc Rural. <https://doi.org/10.3406/reae.2003.1714>
- Dugué P, Fatah A, Benouniche M, El Amrani M, Kuper M (2015) Lorsque les agriculteurs familiaux innovent: cas des systèmes de production irrigués de la plaine du Saïs (Maroc). Agro Environ Soc 5:87–95
- El Gafy I (2017) Water–food–energy nexus index: analysis of water–energy–food nexus of crop's production system applying the indicators approach. Appl Water Sci 7:2857–2868.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-017-0551-3>
- El Azhari M, Loudyi D (2019) Analysis of the water-energy nexus in central Oum Er-Rbia sub-basin-Morocco. Int J Riv Basin Manag 17:1–33.<https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2018.1446966>
- El Hassani YA, Laziri F (2022) Evaluation of the physicochemical quality of water used for irrigation in urban and periurban farms of Meknes city Morocco. Alex Sci Exch J 43:471–474. [https://doi.org/10.21608/asejaiqjsae.](https://doi.org/10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2022.256117) [2022.256117](https://doi.org/10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2022.256117)
- El Ansari L, Chenoune R, Yigezu Y, Gary C, Belhouchette H (2020) Trade-ofs between sustainability indicators in response to the production choices of diferent farm household types in drylands. Agro. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10070998) [3390/agronomy10070998](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10070998)
- El Ansari L, Chenoune R, Yigezu Y, Komarek AM, Gary C, Belhouchette H (2023b) Intensifcation options in cereal-legume production systems generate trade-ofs between sustainability pillars for farm households in northern Morocco. Agric Syst.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103769>
- El Bakali I, Ait El Mekki A, Maatala N, Harbouze R (2023a) A systematic review on the impact of incentives on the adoption of conservation agriculture: new guidelines for policymakers and researchers. Int J Agric Sustain. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2023.2290415) [10.1080/14735903.2023.2290415](https://doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2023.2290415)
- El Bakali I, Brouziyne Y, Ait El Mekki A, Maatala N, Harbouze R (2023b) The impact of policies on the difusion of agricultural innovations: systematic review on evaluation approaches. Outlook Agric. [https://doi.org/10.1177/00307](https://doi.org/10.1177/00307270231215837) [270231215837](https://doi.org/10.1177/00307270231215837)
- El Ghmari H, Harbouze R, El Bilali H (2022) Pathways of transition to organic agriculture in Morocco. World 3:718–735. <https://doi.org/10.3390/world3030040>
- El Youssf L, Doorsamy W, Aghzar A, Cherkaoui SI, Elouadi I, Godoy-Faundez A, Rivera D, El Ouadi I (2020) Review of water energy food nexus in Africa: Morocco and South Africa as case studies. Paper presented at the conference on climate nexus perspectives: water, food and biodiversity, Khenifra, 4 June 2020
- Ephraim N, Edward K, Mthabisi M, Nomqhele N (2023) Climate shock response and resilience of smallholder farmers in the drylands of south-eastern Zimbabwe. Front Clim.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2023.890465>
- Essiari M, Fadlaoui A (2023) La difusion du semis direct dans la region Fes-Meknes. INRA Meknes Magazine. [http://mag.](http://mag.inrameknes.info/?p=3263) [inrameknes.info/?p](http://mag.inrameknes.info/?p=3263)=3263. Accessed 15 Jan 2024
- Fadina AMR, Barjolle D (2018) Farmers' adaptation strategies to climate change and their implications in the Zou department of south Benin. Environments. <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments5010015>
- Fan P, Ouyang Z, Chen J, Messina J, Moore N, Qi J (2020) Population and urban dynamics in drylands of China. In: Gutman G, Chen J, Henebry G, Kappas M (eds) Landscape dynamics of drylands across greater Central Asia: people, societies and ecosystems. Springer, Berlin. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30742-4_7
- FAO (2001) Improving farmers' livelihoods in a changing world. Farming Systems and Poverty. [https://www.fao.org/3/](https://www.fao.org/3/y1860e/y1860e00.htm) [y1860e/y1860e00.htm](https://www.fao.org/3/y1860e/y1860e00.htm). Accessed 15 Jan 2024
- FAO (2007a) Adaptation to climate change in agriculture, forestry and fsheries: perspective, framework and priorities. <https://www.fao.org/3/au030e/au030e.pdf>. Accessed 23 Jan 2024
- FAO (2007b) The status of rural poverty in the Near East and North Africa.<https://www.fao.org/3/bl162e/bl162e.pdf>. Accessed 14 Feb 2024
- FAO (2014) The Water–Energy–Food Nexus: a new approach in support of food security and sustainable agriculture. FAO mediabase. [https://www.fao.org/3/bl496e/bl496e.pdf.](https://www.fao.org/3/bl496e/bl496e.pdf) Accessed 12 Jan 2024
- FAO (2015) Regional overview of food insecurity—Near East and North Africa: strengthening regional collaboration to build resilience for food security and nutrition. [https://www.fao.org/3/i4644e/i4644e.pdf.](https://www.fao.org/3/i4644e/i4644e.pdf) Accessed 10 Feb 2024
- FAO (2018) Drought characteristics and management in North Africa and the Near East. [https://www.fao.org/3/CA003](https://www.fao.org/3/CA0034EN/ca0034en.pdf) [4EN/ca0034en.pdf](https://www.fao.org/3/CA0034EN/ca0034en.pdf). Accessed 14 Jan 2024
- FAO (2019) Trees, forests and land use in drylands: the frst global assessment. FAO Forestry Paper. [https://www.fao.org/](https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/ca7148en) [documents/card/en/c/ca7148en.](https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/ca7148en) Accessed 28 Feb 2024
- FAO (2022) The state of land and water resources for food and agriculture in the Near East and North Africa region-summary report. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc1137en>
- FAO (2024) Water stress plugin for water evaluation and planning system (WEAP). Using the water evaluation and planning tool for the calculation of sustainable development goal indicator.<https://doi.org/10.4060/cc7435en>
- Faysse N (2015) The rationale of the Green Morocco Plan: missing links between goals and implementation. J North Afr Stud 20:622–634. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13629387.2015.1053112>
- Feenstra G, Lewis C (1999) Farmers' markets ofer new business opportunities for farmers. Calif Agric 53:25–29. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3733/ca.v053n06p25) [org/10.3733/ca.v053n06p25](https://doi.org/10.3733/ca.v053n06p25)
- Feng S, Fu Q (2013) Expansion of global drylands under a warming climate. Atmos Chem Phys 13:10081–10094. [https://](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-10081-2013) doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-10081-2013

Fitton N, Alexander P, Arnell N, Bajzelj B, Calvin K, Doelman J, Gerber JS, Havlik P, Hasegawa T, Herrero M, Krisztin T, van Meijl H, Powell T, Sands R, Stehfest E, West PC, Smith P (2019) The vulnerabilities of agricultural land and food production to future water scarcity. Glob Environ Change.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101944>

- Fragkos P (2023) Assessing the energy system impacts of Morocco's nationally determined contribution and low-emission pathways. Energy Strateg Rev. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2023.101081>
- Ghafoori Kharanagh S, Banihabib ME, Javadi S, Randhir T (2021) Participatory water–food–energy nexus approach for evaluation and design of groundwater governance. Water Resour Manag 35:3481–3495. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-021-02894-4) [s11269-021-02894-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-021-02894-4)
- Gremmen B, Blok V, Bovenkerk B (2019) Responsible innovation for life: fve challenges agriculture ofers for responsible innovation in agriculture and food, and the necessity of an ethics of innovation. J Agric Environ Ethics 32:673–679. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-019-09808-w>
- Hall A, Clark N (2010) What do complex adaptive systems look like and what are the implications for innovation policy? J Int Dev 22:308–324. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.1690>
- Hamiche A, Stambouli A, Flazi S (2016) A review of the water–energy nexus. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 65:319–331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.07.020>
- Harmanny KS, Malek Z (2019) Adaptations in irrigated agriculture in the Mediterranean region: an overview and spatial analysis of implemented strategies. Reg Environ Change 19:1401–1416.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-019-0>
- Hirwa H, Li F, Qiao Y, Measho S, Muhirwa F, Tian C, Leng P, Ingabire R, Itangishaka AC, Chen G, Turyasingura B (2022) Climate change-drylands-food security nexus in Africa: from the perspective of technical advances, challenges, and opportunities. Front Environ Sci. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.851249>
- Hobbs PR, Sayre K, Gupta R (2008) The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. Bio Sci 363:543–555. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2169>
- Hoff H (2011) Understanding the Nexus. Paper presented at Bonn 2011 conference for water, energy and food security nexus: solutions for the green economy, Bonn, 16–18 November 2011
- Hoff H, Alrahaife SA, El Hajj R, Lohr K, Mengoub FE, Farajalla N, Fritzsche K, Jobbins G, Özerol G, Schultz R, Ulrich A (2019) A nexus approach for the MENA region—from concept to knowledge to action. Front Environ Sci. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00048) [10.3389/fenvs.2019.00048](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00048)
- Hossard L, Fadlaoui A, Ricote E, Belhouchette H (2021) Assessing the resilience of farming systems on the Saïs plain, Morocco. Reg Environ Change.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-021-01764-4>
- Elouadi I, Ouazar D, El Youssf L (2020) A decision support model to improve water resources management in agriculture: evaluation of the drip irrigation efficiency in the Ait Ben Yacoub region, East of Morocco. E3S Web of Conferences. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202018302006>
- Houngue NR, Almoradie ADS, Evers MA (2022) Multi-criteria decision analysis approach for regional climate model selection and future climate assessment in the Mono river basin Benin, and Togo. Atmosphere. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos1309147) [atmos1309147](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos1309147)
- Idrissi M (2021) Fès-Meknès: bilan positif de la campagne agricole 2020–2021. LesEco ma. [https://leseco.ma/maroc/fes](https://leseco.ma/maroc/fes-meknes-bilan-positif-de-la-campagne-agricole-2020-2021.html)[meknes-bilan-positif-de-la-campagne-agricole-2020-2021.html.](https://leseco.ma/maroc/fes-meknes-bilan-positif-de-la-campagne-agricole-2020-2021.html) Accessed 05 Jan 2024
- Irhza A, Nassiri L, El Jarroudi M, Rachidi F, Lahlali R, Echchgadda G (2023) Description of the gap between local agricultural practices and agroecological soil management tools in Zerhoun and in the Middle Atlas Areas of Morocco. Land 12:268. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land12020268>
- Jellason NP, Salite D, Conway JS, Ogbaga CC (2022) A systematic review of smallholder farmers' climate change adaptation and enabling conditions for knowledge integration in Sub-Saharan African (SSA) drylands. Environ Dev. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2022.100733>
- Jennings S, Challinor A, Smith P, Macdiarmid JI, Pope E, Chapman S, Bradshaw C, Clark H, Vetter S, Fitton N, King R, Mwamakamba S, Madzivhandila T, Mashingaidze I, Chomba C, Nawiko M, Nyhodo B, Mazibuko N, Yeki P, Kuwali P, Kambwiri A, Kazi V, Kiama A, Songole A, Coskeran H, Quinn C, Sallu S, Dougill A, Whitfeld S, Kunin B, Meebelo N, Jamali A, Kantande D, Makundi P, Mbungu W, Kayula F, Walker S, Zimba S, Yamdeu JHG, Kapulu N, Galdos MV, Eze S, Tripathi H, Sait S, Kepinski S, Likoya E, Greathead H, Smith HE, Mahop MT, Harwatt H, Muzammil M, Horgan G, Benton T (2024) Stakeholder-driven transformative adaptation is needed for climate-smart nutrition security in sub-Saharan Africa. Nat Food 5:37–47.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00901-y>
- Jobbins G, Henley G (2015) Food in an uncertain future: the impacts of climate change on food security and nutrition in the Middle East and North Africa. Overseas Dev Inst. [https://www.preventionweb.net/fles/46974_46974odiwf](https://www.preventionweb.net/files/46974_46974odiwfpimpactofcconfnsinmena201.pdf) [pimpactofcconfnsinmena201.pdf.](https://www.preventionweb.net/files/46974_46974odiwfpimpactofcconfnsinmena201.pdf) Accessed 20 Feb 2024
- Jobbins G, Kalpakian J, Chriyaa A, Legrouri A, El Houssine EM (2015) To what end? Drip irrigation and the water–energy– food nexus in Morocco. Int J Water Res Dev 31:393–406.<https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2015.1020146>
- Karan E, Asadi S, Mohtar R, Baawain M (2018) Towards the optimization of sustainable food–energy–water systems: a stochastic approach. J Clean Prod 171:662–674.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.051>
- Kassam A, Friedrich T, Derpsch R (2010) Conservation agriculture in the 21st century: a paradigm of sustainable agriculture. Paper presented at European congress on conservation agriculture, Madrid, October 2010.
- Kee-Tui SH, Descheemaeker K, Valdivia RO, Masikati P, Sisito G, Moyo EN, Crespo O, Ruane AC, Rosenzweig C (2021) Climate change impacts and adaptation for dryland farming systems in Zimbabwe: a stakeholder-driven integrated multi-model assessment. Clima Change 168:10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03151-8>
- Kmoch L, Pagella T, Palm M, Sinclair F (2018) Using local agroecological knowledge in climate change adaptation: a study of tree-based options in northern Morocco. Sustainability.<https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103719>
- Kühn S (2019) Global employment and social trends. World Employ Soc Outlook. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wow3.150>
- Ribbe L, Dehnavi S (2020) Water resources and water security in the MENA Region. In: Mohajeri S, Horlemann L, Besalatpour AA, Raber W (eds) Standing up to climate change. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50684-1_2) [978-3-030-50684-1_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50684-1_2)
- Liang Y, Li Y, Liang S, Feng C, Xu L, Qi J, Yang X, Wang Y, Zhang C, Li K, Li H, Yang Z (2020) Quantifying direct and indirect spatial food–energy–water (few) nexus in China. Environ Sci Technol 54:9791–9803. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06548) [est.9b06548](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06548)
- Lin YC, Lin CC, Lee M, Chiueh PT, Lo SL, Liou ML (2019) Comprehensive assessment of regional food–energy–water nexus with GIS-based tool. Resour Conserv Recycl. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104457>
- Maftouh A, El Fatni O, Fayiah M, Liew RK, Lam SS, Bahaj T, Butt MH (2022) The application of water–energy nexus in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region: a structured review. Appl Water Sci 12:1–21. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-022-01613-7) [s13201-022-01613-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-022-01613-7)
- Marenya PP, Kassie M, Jaleta M, Rahut DB, Erenstein O (2017) Predicting minimum tillage adoption among smallholder farmers using micro-level and policy variables. Agric Food Econ 5:1–22. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-017-0081-1) [s40100-017-0081-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-017-0081-1)
- Markovic M, Marjanovic I, Radenovic Z (2020) Innovation in agriculture and sustainable development. Paper presented at the 4th conference on economics and management, association of economists and managers of the Balkans, Belgrade, 3 September 2020
- Martin EA, Feit B, Requier F, Friberg H, Jonsson M (2019) Assessing the resilience of biodiversity-driven functions in agroecosystems under environmental change. In: Bohan DA, Dumbrell AJ (eds) Advances in Ecological Research, vol 60. Academic Press, pp 59–123
- Masi M, De Rosa M, Vecchio Y, Bartoli L, Adinolf F (2022) The long way to innovation adoption: insights from precision agriculture. Agric Econ.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-022-00236-5>
- Meddi M, Eslamian S (2021) Uncertainties in rainfall and water resources in Maghreb countries under climate change. Afr Handb Clim Change Adapt. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45106-6_114
- Meir YB, Opfer K, Hernandez E (2022) Decentralized renewable energies and the water–energy–food nexus in rural Morocco. Environ Chall. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100432>
- Meuwissen MPM, Feindt PH, Spiegel A, Termeer CJAM, Mathijs E, de Mey Y, Finger R, Balmann A, Wauters E, Urquhart J, Vigani M, Zawalińska K, Herrera H, Davies PN, Hansson H, Paas W, Slijper T, Coopmans I, Vroege W, Ciechomska A, Accatino F, Kopainsky B, Poortvliet MP, Candel JJL, Maye D, Severini S, Senni S, Soriano B, Lagerkvist CJ, Peneva M,

Gavrilescu C, Reidsma P (2019) A framework to assess the resilience of farming systems. Agric Syst. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.102656) [10.1016/j.agsy.2019.102656](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.102656)

Meyer MA (2020) The role of resilience in food system studies in low- and middle-income countries. Global Food Sec. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100356>

Moinina A, Lahlali R, MacLean D, Boulif M (2018) Farmers' knowledge, perception and practices in apple pest management and climate change in the Fes-Meknes region, Morocco. Horticulturae. [https://doi.org/10.3390/horticultu](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae4040042) [rae4040042](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae4040042)

Morales J, Pérez-Jordà G, Peña-Chocarro L, Zapata L, Ruíz-Alonso M, López-Sàez JA, Linstädter J (2013) The origins of agriculture in North-West Africa: macro-botanical remains from Epipalaeolithic and Early Neolithic levels of Ifri Oudadane (Morocco). J Archaeol Sci 40:2659–2669.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.01.026>

- Muthee K, Duguma L, Nzyoka J, Minang P (2021) Ecosystem-based adaptation practices as a nature-based solution to promote water–energy–food nexus balance. Sustainability. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031142>
- Namany S, Govindan R, Al-Ansari T (2023) Operationalising transboundary cooperation through game theory: an energy water food nexus approach for the Middle East and North Africa. Futures. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2023.103198) [103198](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2023.103198)

Ngammuangtueng P, Nilsalab P, Chomwong Y, Wongruang P, Jakrawatana N, Sandhu S, Gheewala SH (2023) Water– energy–food nexus of local bioeconomy hub and future climate change impact implication. J Clean Prod. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136543) doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136543

- Nhamo L, Ebrahim GY, Mabhaudhi T, Mpandeli S, Magombeyi M, Chitakira M, Magidi J, Sibanda M (2020) An assessment of groundwater use in irrigated agriculture using multi-spectral remote sensing. Phys Chem Earth. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2019.102810) [10.1016/j.pce.2019.102810](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2019.102810)
- Núñez-López J, Cansino-Loeza B, Sánchez-Zarco X, Ponce-Ortega J (2022) Involving resilience in assessment of the water–energy–food nexus for arid and semiarid regions. Clean Technol Environ Pol. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-022-02273-6) [s10098-022-02273-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-022-02273-6)
- Obińska-Wajda E (2016) The new institutional economics—main theories. Univ Inf Technol Manag 12:78–85. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.14636/1734-039X_12_1_008) [org/10.14636/1734-039X_12_1_008](https://doi.org/10.14636/1734-039X_12_1_008)
- OECD (2020) Strengthening agricultural resilience in the face of multiple risks. OECD Publishing, Paris. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1787/2250453e-en) [1787/2250453e-en](https://doi.org/10.1787/2250453e-en)
- OECD (2023) Greening the MENA-OECD competitiveness programme. [https://www.oecd.org/mena/competitiveness/](https://www.oecd.org/mena/competitiveness/Greening%20the%20MENA-OECD%20Competitveness%20Programme.pdf) [Greening%20the%20MENA-OECD%20Competitveness%20Programme.pdf.](https://www.oecd.org/mena/competitiveness/Greening%20the%20MENA-OECD%20Competitveness%20Programme.pdf) Accessed 15 Feb 2024

OECD, FAO (2018) Agricultural outlook 2018–2027. OECD Publishing, Paris

- OECD, FAO (2021) Building agricultural resilience to natural hazard-induced disasters: insights from country case studies. OECD Publishing, Paris.<https://doi.org/10.1787/49eefdd7-en>
- Ouali L, Hssaisoune M, Kabiri L, Slimani M, Mouquaddam K, Namous M, Arioua A, Moussa A, Benqlilou H, Bouchaou L (2022) Mapping of potential sites for rainwater harvesting structures using GIS and MCDM approaches: case study of the Toudgha watershed, Morocco. Euro-Mediterr J Environ Integr.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41207-022-00294-7>
- Ouassissou R, Lacombe G, Kuper M, Hammani A, El Amrani M (2022) The role of water and energy use in expanding the boundaries of irrigated agriculture in the Berrechid plain of Morocco. Irrig Drain 71:1077–1088. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2720) [1002/ird.2720](https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2720)
- Paas W, San Martín C, Soriano B, van Ittersum MK, Meuwissen MPM, Reidsma P (2021) Assessing future sustainability and resilience of farming systems with a participatory method: a case study on extensive sheep farming in Huesca, Spain. Ecol Indic.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108236>
- Peña-Torres D, Boix M, Montastruc L (2022) Optimization approaches to design water–energy–food nexus: a literature review. Comput Chem Eng.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2022.108025>
- Pérez L (2023) Water–energy–food–ecosystem (WEFE) nexus: a key concept for a more resilient adaptation to the climate crisis. Nat Resour Conserv Res. <https://doi.org/10.24294/nrcr.v6i1.2324>
- Ponce ORD, Fernández FJ, Vasquez-Lavín F, Arias ME, Julio N, Stehr A (2021) Nexus thinking at river basin scale: food, water and welfare. Water. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13071000>

Quarouch H, Kuper M, Abdellaoui EH, Bouarfa S (2014) Eaux souterraines, sources de dignité et ressources sociales: cas d'agriculteurs dans la plaine du Saïss au Maroc. Cah Agric 23:158–165. <https://doi.org/10.1684/agr.2014.0699>

Rahhali L (2022) Morocco prepares for alarming rise in Energy Prices. MWN. [https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2022/](https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2022/04/348360/morocco-prepares-for-alarming-rise-in-energy-prices) [04/348360/morocco-prepares-for-alarming-rise-in-energy-prices.](https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2022/04/348360/morocco-prepares-for-alarming-rise-in-energy-prices) Accessed 25 Feb 2024

- Raya Tapia AY, Cansino Loeza B, Sánchez Zarco XG, Ramírez-Márquez C, Martín M, Ponce Ortega JM (2023) A spatial and temporal assessment of resource security in the water, energy, food and waste nexus in Spain. Sustain Prod Consum 39:109–122.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2023.05.008>
- Reddy MG, Yernaidu Y, Chittibomma K, Kumar TS (2023) Intercropping—an approach towards sustainability in dry land agriculture. Int J Environ Clim Change 13:182–190. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i92221>
- Rejekiningrum P, Apriyana Y, Sutardi EW, Sosiawan H, Susilawati HL, Hervani A, Alifa AD (2022) Optimising water management in drylands to increase crop productivity and anticipate climate change in Indonesia. Sustainability. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811672) doi.org/10.3390/su141811672
- Robinson LW, Ericksen PJ, Chesterman S, Worden JS (2015) Sustainable intensifcation in drylands: what resilience and vulnerability can tell us. Agric Syst 135:133–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2015.01.005>
- Ryan J (2011) Rainfed farming systems in the West Asia-North Africa (WANA) region. In: Tow P, Cooper I, Partridge I, Birch C (eds) Rainfed farming systems. Springer, Dordrecht
- Sahnouni M (2023) Morocco launches mad 10 billion program to tackle agricultural challenges. MWN. [https://www.](https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2023/06/355932/morocco-launches-mad-10-billion-program-to-tackle-agricultural-challenges) [moroccoworldnews.com/2023/06/355932/morocco-launches-mad-10-billion-program-to-tackle-agricultural](https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2023/06/355932/morocco-launches-mad-10-billion-program-to-tackle-agricultural-challenges)[challenges](https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2023/06/355932/morocco-launches-mad-10-billion-program-to-tackle-agricultural-challenges). Accessed 25 Feb 2024
- Sang-Hyun L, Amjad A, Bassel D, Mengoub EF, Rabi M (2020) A water–energy–food nexus approach for conducting trade-of analysis: Morocco's phosphate industry in the Khouribga region. Hydro Earth Syst Sci 24:4727–4741. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-4727-2020>

Schilling J, Korbinian PF, Hertig E, Schefran J (2012) Climate change, vulnerability and adaptation in North Africa with focus on Morocco. Agric Ecosyst Environ 156:12–26.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.04.021>

Setyantho GR, Park H, Chang S (2021) Multi-criteria performance assessment for semi-transparent photovoltaic windows in diferent climate contexts. Sustainability. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042198>

Siddiqi A, Anadon L (2011) The water–energy nexus in Middle East and North Africa. Energy Policy 39:4529–4540. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.04.023) doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.04.023

- Singh D, Mishra AK, Patra S, Dwivedi AK, Ojha CS, Singh V, Mariappan S, Babu S, Singh NJ, Yadav D, Ojasvi PR, Kumar G, Madhu MG, Sena DR, Chand L, Kumar S (2023) Effect of long-term tillage practices on runoff and soil erosion in sloping croplands of Himalaya India. Sustainability. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108285>
- Soulard CT, Valette E, Perrin C, Anthopoulou T, Benjaballah O, Bouchemal S, Dugue P, El Amrani M, Lardon S, Marraccini E, Mousselin G, Napoleone C, Paoli JC (2017) Peri-urban agro-ecosystems in the Mediterranean: diversity, dynamics, and drivers. Reg Environ Change 18:651–662. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1102-z>
- Sowers J, Vengosh A, Weinthal E (2011) Climate change, water resources, and the politics of adaptation in the Middle East and North Africa. Clim Change 104:599–627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-010-9835-4>
- Stewart BA, Thapa S (2016) Dryland farming: concept, origin and brief history. In: Farooq M, Siddique KHM (eds) Innovations in dryland agriculture. Springer, pp 3–29
- Stott KJ, Wallace AJ, Khanal U, Christy BP, Mitchell ML, Rifkin PA, McCaskill MR, Henry FJ, May MD, Nuttall JG, O'Leary GJ (2023) Intercropping-towards an understanding of the productivity and proftability of dryland crop mixtures in southern Australia. Agronomy. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13102510>

Szaboova L (2023) Climate change, migration and rural adaptation in the Near East and North Africa region. FAO, Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc3801en>

- Taguta C, Senzanje A, Kiala Z, Malota M, Mabhaudhi T (2022) Water–energy–food nexus tools in theory and practice: a systematic review. Front Water.<https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2022.837316>
- Tamagnone P, Cea L, Comino E, Rosso M (2020) Rainwater harvesting techniques to face water scarcity in African drylands: hydrological efficiency assessment. Water. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12092646>
- Tan C, Zhi Q (2016) The energy–water nexus: a literature review of the dependence of energy on water. Energy Procedia 88:277–284.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2016.06.154>

Taramuel JP, Montoya-Restrepo IA, Barrios D (2023) Drivers linking farmers' decision-making with farm performance: a systematic review and future research agenda. Heliyon. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20820>

- Tebaldi L, Vignali G (2023) Is it possible to quantify the current resilience level of an agri-food system? A review of the literature. Agric Econ 11:45.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-023-00286-3>
- UNCCD (2017) Drylands. Global Land Outlook. p 246
- UNESCO, European Commission (2021) Implementing the water–energy–food–ecosystems nexus and achieving the sustainable development goals. UNESCO, Paris

USAID (2013) Analyzing climate change adaptation options using multi-criteria analysis. [https://www.climatelinks.org/](https://www.climatelinks.org/sites/default/files/asset/document/MultiCriteria%2520Analysis_CLEARED_0.pdf) [sites/default/fles/asset/document/MultiCriteria%2520Analysis_CLEARED_0.pdf](https://www.climatelinks.org/sites/default/files/asset/document/MultiCriteria%2520Analysis_CLEARED_0.pdf). Accessed 23 Jan 2024

- Vahabzadeh M, Afshar A, Molajou A (2023) Energy simulation modeling for water–energy–food nexus system: a systematic review. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24300-1>
- van der Lee J, Kangogo D, Gülzari SO, Dentoni D, Oosting S, Bijman J, Klerkx L (2022) Theoretical positions and approaches to resilience assessment in farming systems. Agron Sustain Dev. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-022-00755-x) [s13593-022-00755-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-022-00755-x)
- Vyas S, Singh S (2022) Role of innovation for sustainable development in agriculture: a review. Agric Rev. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.r-2536) [10.18805/ag.r-2536](https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.r-2536)
- Waha K, Krummenauer L, Adams S, Aich V, Baarsch F, Coumou D, Fader M, Hoff H, Jobbins G, Marcus R, Mengel M, Otto IM, Perrette M, Rocha M, Robinson A, Schleussner CF (2017) Climate change impacts in the Middle East and Northern Africa (MENA) region and their implications for vulnerable population groups. Reg Environ Change 17:1623–1638. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1144-2>

Wang S, Fang D, Tian Zh, Fang J, Chen B (2018) Spatial energy-water nexus through economic trade network. Energy Procedia 152:307–311.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.09.130>

- World Bank Data (2022) Population growth (annual %)—Middle East & North Africa. [https://data.worldbank.org/indic](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.GROW) [ator/SP.POP.GROW](https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.GROW). Accessed 21 Jan 2024
- Yang P, Wu L, Cheng M, Fan J, Li S, Wang H, Qian L (2023) Review on drip irrigation: impact on crop yield, quality, and water productivity in China. Water. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w15091733>
- Yigezu YA, Bishaw Z, Niane AA, Alwang J, El Shater T, Boughlala M, Aw Hassan A, Tadesse W, Bassi FM, Amri A, Baum M (2021) Institutional and farm-level challenges limiting the difusion of new varieties from public and CGIAR centers: the case of wheat in Morocco. Food Secur 13:1359–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01191-7>
- Yuan Y, Wang C, Zai X, Song Y, Zhang X (2023) Optimizing fertilizer use for sustainable food systems: an evaluation of integrated water-fertilizer system adoption among cotton farmers in China. Front Sustain Food Syst. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1310426) [org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1310426](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1310426)
- Zarkik A, Ouhnini A (2022) Integrated Transition Toward Sustainability: the case of the water-energy-food nexus in Morocco. In: Behnassi M, Baig MB, Sraïri MT, Alsheikh AA, Abu Risheh AWA (eds) Food security and climate-smart food systems. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92738-7_8
- Zhou Z, Zhang S, Jiang N, Xiu W, Zhao J, Yang D (2022) Efects of organic fertilizer incorporation practices on crops yield, soil quality, and soil fauna feeding activity in the wheat-maize rotation system. Front Environ Sci. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1058071) [10.3389/fenvs.2022.1058071](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1058071)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.