



**HAL**  
open science

# What are the limits for agricultural collectives under Geographical indications (GI) to take action in transitions?

Delphine Neumeister, Florence Bedoin, Sylvain Dernat

## ► To cite this version:

Delphine Neumeister, Florence Bedoin, Sylvain Dernat. What are the limits for agricultural collectives under Geographical indications (GI) to take action in transitions?. 75th annual meeting of the European Association for Animal Production (EAAP), EAAP, Sep 2024, Florence (IT), Italy. pp.703. hal-04705427

**HAL Id: hal-04705427**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04705427v1>**

Submitted on 23 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# What are the limits for agricultural collectives under Geographical Indications to take action in transitions?

*Six case studies in French cheese sector  
from the ADAOPT project*

**ADAoPT**

**Delphine Neumeister<sup>1</sup>, Florence Bedoin<sup>1</sup>, Sylvain Dernas<sup>2</sup>**

1/ IDELE - Institut de l'élevage, 149 Rue de Bercy, 75012 Paris, France

2/ INRAE, UMR Territoires, 9 avenue Blaise Pascal, 63170 Aubière, France



# Cheese geographical indications in France

46



*Protected Designations of Origin*

10



*Protected Geographical Indications*

- **Definition of Geographical Indication (GI)**
  - Product originating from a specific place
  - Whose quality or characteristics are essentially due to the geographical environment
  - Whose at least one (PGI) or all (PDO) stages of production take(s) place in the geographical area
- **Each GI has its Cheese Protection Consortium (PrC)**
  - Organized around a Board of Administrators
  - Representing the various actors in the chain



# GI sectors face growing challenges, including climate change


(Charlotte Oudart)



Foundations of PDOs and PGIs

A strong link to the land  
"terroir"

A collective organization



Collectives need to adapt

A set of specifications

Optimized use of the region's natural resources




Feed criteria: type of feed, self-sufficiency

ADAoPT



Support six dairy PDO and PGI sectors in drawing up and monitoring their climate change adaptation strategies.

INCREASINGLY  
FREQUENT CLIMATIC  
HAZARDS



Requests for **temporary changes** to specifications

(26 requests for exemption during the drought in 2022)



# ADAoPT : supporting GI dairy chains in adapting to climate change

## 6 pilot areas




**DOP Valençay**

Copyright : Francis Guillard



**DOP Camembert de Normandie**

Copyright: Fromages AOP de Normandie, ASGARD



**DOP Mont d'Or**

Copyright: Staccato



**DOP Laguiole**



**DOP Picodon**

Copyright : Francis Guillard




**PGI Tomme de Savoie**


Copyright : AFTALP

# ADAoPT : supporting GI dairy chains in adapting to climate change

6 pilot areas...



... illustrating diversity :



Their objective: develop a **forecast approach** to :

- Gain a **better understanding** of the **medium- to long-term** consequences of CC for their sector.
- Determine their **strategy for adapting** to climate change.

➔ Sector-wide reflection

# A wide range of methodologies deployed to meet pilot areas needs and characteristics

## Spatial

Large-scale approach covering the entire GI territory



*PDO Laguiole*



*PDO Picodon*



*PDO Camembert de Normandie*

## Thematic

Focus on technical and economic issues



*PDO Mont d'Or*



*PDO Valençay*

## Systemic

Global approach to the sector



*PGI Tomme de Savoie*

## Organizational

Small, dedicated local working group



**A cross-cutting difficulty in the case studies: mobilizing groups, some of which are inherent to GIs specificities.**



Copyright  
Fromages AOP de Normandie, ASGARD  
Staccato  
Francis Guillard  
AFTALP

# Geographical scope of GIs hinders collective decisions

GIs sometimes cover large territories

Heterogeneity of production systems and climatic conditions

Unequal distribution of resources

Difficulty making collective decisions

➔ *Solutions for some may not be relevant for others*

**Camembert de Normandie**

Wide area

Diverse soil and climate conditions

➔ Choice of a very dry area

**Mont d'Or**

Relatively small area

Homogeneous production conditions

➔ Solutions suitable to all



# Lack of clear governance limits the scope of decisions taken

- Generally top-down organization within the PDO organizations
  - Delegation of technical subjects to working groups
    - In charge of investigating problems: producing results and possible solutions
    - *Mont d'Or, Valençay, Camembert de Normandie*
  - Reflection process directly led by PDO decision-making bodies
    - *Tomme de Savoie, Picodon, Laguiole*

## Lack of clarity on the mandate of these groups

- Decision-making or consultative power?
  - Possible demotivation of Working Groups
- 
- Actions generally carried out at the level of participants in the various Working Groups



# Action often limited to the production link

- Difficulty of holding debates that encompass the entire industry chain (dairies, wholesalers)
  - Downstream players underestimate, or even ignore, the stakes involved in the transition and in adapting the entire chain.
- Risk-bearing by producers
  - Slows down lever adoption
- Dependence on transformers
  - Restriction of possible choices for the sector
  - E.g.: scenarios of lower milk production often not considered (*Tomme de Savoie, Valençay, Camembert de Normandie*)



# The multi-stakeholder approach, yes but...



## A real interest in maximizing mobilization

- **More presence on the field** to widen the mobilisation force and to foster participation in **training groups**
- **Expanded skills** (technical, social, environmental, economical) to tackle the numerous challenges PrC have to face with

## But a risk of over-soliciting operators

- Risk of overlap
- Lack of coordination: similar subjects, but with different objectives for different projects



Factor of fragmentation and loss of meaning



# Conclusion: innovating to better support GI collectives

## Systemic approach: the key to a global sector strategy

- Understanding of Climate Change while **taking into account other major GI issues** (biodiversity, economy, health, renewal of the workforce, etc.).
- Need to **rethink tools and methods** to facilitate understanding (serious games, innovative animation, etc.).

## Multi-partner action, a lever for involving and training groups

- **Involve commercial and technical structures** (cooperatives, technical working groups, processor networks, farm advisory services, etc.) to facilitate awareness-raising, training and the implementation of adaptation levers.
- Need to **imagine risk management** at the chain level
- **Support of research** to facilitate the awareness and systemic approach required for a sustainable transition.



# Thank you for your attention !

View the slideshows of our conferences at  
[idele.fr](http://idele.fr)



[delphine.neumeister@idele.fr](mailto:delphine.neumeister@idele.fr)

**Delphine Neumeister<sup>1</sup>, Florence Bedoin<sup>1</sup>, Sylvain Dernas<sup>2</sup>**

- 1/ IDELE - Institut de l'élevage, 149 Rue de Bercy, 75012 Paris, France
- 2/ INRAE, UMR Territoires, 9 avenue Blaise Pascal, 63170 Aubière, France

