



**HAL**  
open science

# Agricultural Machines in 2045: tractors of the future or futures of the tractor? A Future Studies approach

Mosé Tosin, Davide Rizzo

## ► To cite this version:

Mosé Tosin, Davide Rizzo. Agricultural Machines in 2045: tractors of the future or futures of the tractor? A Future Studies approach. Agritech Day, AXEMA, Oct 2024, Compiègne, Université Technologique, France. 10.5281/zenodo.13984478 . hal-04720690

**HAL Id: hal-04720690**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04720690v1>**

Submitted on 24 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# **Agricultural Machines in 2045: tractors of the future or futures of the tractor? A Future Studies approach**

**TOSIN Mosé** – Global Commodity Director, AGCO  
**RIZZO Davide** – Researcher, UMR LISAH, IRD



# Who are we?



**Mosé TOSIN**

MSc Economics, Trento University, Italy  
Executive Master Strategic Foresight, Trento University, Italy  
Global Commodity Director, Purchasing – AGCO



**Davide RIZZO**

PhD Agriculture, Food, and Environment, Sant'Anna, Pisa, Italy  
Tenured researcher in Landscape Agronomy – LISAH, IRD

# Summary

1. Future Studies: an introduction
2. Strategic Interviews: “7 Questions” technique
3. Interview analysis: key topics
4. Summary and conclusions




01

# Future Studies: an introduction

# 1900

- Electrification
- Wired devices
- Innovative machines
- Monocropping
- Remote control

...and a farmer with a hat out in the field



Un Agriculteur très occupé

| A very busy farmer Jean-Marc Côté, 1900

1900's postcard from a series of futuristic pictures by Jean-Marc Côté came to light after Isaac Asimov (1986) in "Futuredays: A Nineteenth Century Vision of the Year 2000".


<https://publicdomainreview.org/collections/france-in-the-year-2000-1899-1910/>

2015

- Smart tractors
- Survey drones
- Fleet of agribots
- Connected livestock
- Mixed cropping
- Remote control

...and a farmer with a hat inside an office

Nesta. 'Precision Agriculture'. Futurescoping. Nesta, 2015. <https://www.nesta.org.uk/feature/precision-agriculture/>



# Future Studies

“An interdisciplinary field that aggregates and analyzes trends, with both lay and professional methods, to compose possible futures. It includes analyzing the sources, patterns, and causes of change and stability in an attempt to develop foresight.”

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Futures\\_studies](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Futures_studies)

“In our complex world, the global challenges we face require more inclusive and agile approaches to policy design and decision-making. Rooted in the discipline of anticipation, Futures Literacy can improve our capacity to shape policies and systems that withstand shocks and create long-term resilience.”

<https://www.unesco.org/en/futures-literacy>



Benedetta Cappa Marinetti, *Speeding Motorboat (Velocità di motoscafo)*, 1923-24 (detail)

See also: Rohrbeck, R., Battistella, C., & Huizingh, E. (2015). Corporate foresight: An emerging field with a rich tradition. *TFSC*, 101(12), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2015.11.002>





02

**Strategic Interviews:  
“7 Questions”  
technique**

# Strategic Interviews – “7 Questions” technique

## What it is:

- Approach developed by Shell as part of its Scenario Planning exercises
- Structured interview approach to get strategic insights from different stakeholders
- By design, questions are not requesting to think chronologically
- Ideally, interviewees are familiar with the topic, however with diverse background and expectations

## What it delivers:

- Suggests critical topics to be further explored in the foresight exercise
- Highlight conflicting views and positions
- Raise awareness of possible solutions
- No ranking nor statistical analysis
- Provide input for other exercises (e.g. Scenario Planning or Anticipatory Risk Management)



Government Office for Science (2024). The Futures Toolkit. GO-Science Futures resources. London UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/futures-toolkit-for-policy-makers-and-analysts>

# Strategic Interviews – “7 Questions” technique

## Focus area of each question:

### 1) Critical issues

If you could know the future of this topic in X years, what would you like to know first?

### 2) Favourable outcome

If everything goes well, how do you see the future related to this topic?

### 3) Unfavourable outcome

What could happen if things were not going as desired?

### 4) Key changes

What should be changed to achieve the desirable future described?

### 5) Lessons from the past

Looking back in the past X years, what positive or negative factors impacted this topic as we know it today?

### 6) Priorities

What is the priority to be done now, in order to achieve the desirable future?

### 7) Limitations

Free from all constraints, what would you do differently or more?



Government Office for Science (2024). The Futures Toolkit. GO-Science Futures resources. London UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/futures-toolkit-for-policy-makers-and-analysts>

# Strategic Interviews – “7 Questions” technique

## Our panel: 18 interviews

- **6 European nationalities**



- **4 machinery manufacturers** groups and interviewees representing **7 brands**

- **4 employment sectors:**

- Academy
- Machinery manufacturers
- Farmers
- Start-up / IT

- **4 Profiles** within machinery manufacturers:

- Brand general manager
- Marketing and product management
- Research & Development
- Sales

# Strategic Interviews – “7 Questions” technique

Answers and comments have been reviewed and classified in five groups, in accordance with the process defined by the “Strategic Interviews” methodology:

## Worries Map



Fundamental areas of concern, which are perceived as drivers for future states, however not sufficiently taken into account in current proposed solutions

## Pole of risk



Limitations or threats emerging from anticipated future states, alternative options without clear direction

## Uncertainties map



Explorations or wild cards with disrupting potential

## Perimeter of priorities



What is perceived as most urgent and relevant

## Futures poles



Ideal: how interviewees describe ideal states  
Dystopic: how interviewees describe worst expected outcomes

# Strategic Interviews – “7 Questions” technique

Answers in each group have been classified in three clusters, based on the stakeholder which would perceive the most significant change/impact:

**Farmers, consumers,  
and society**



**Machinery  
and OEM**



**Agronomy and  
the Environment**



03

## Interview analysis: key topics

## Key Topics

- ▶ Energy/Fuel transition and autonomous machines
- ▶ Farming, labour and future agricultures
- ▶ Agriculture: systemic view
- ▶ Farmers income, profitability, machines cost
- ▶ Pollution, sustainability, rules and society
- ▶ Connectivity, AI (Artificial Intelligence) and Big Data
- ▶ Value Chain: production, distribution, competitive landscape





# Energy/Fuel transition and (autonomous) machines

## Energy transition:

- Paradigm shift confirmed; new regulations anticipated.
- No preferred solution; need for a clear roadmap on emissions/energy standards.
- Focus on resiliency: farm-based energy production

## Autonomous machines:

- Full autonomy is a future possibility, but not a priority (a "necessary evil" for labor shortages).
- Farmers want machines to remain controllable
- Preference for smaller, versatile machines over complex large tractors
- Soil compaction is a key concern

*« If the machines drives in the field autonomously, what will I do? »*

*« Autonomous machines mean that there will be no more people needed in our villages »*

Quotes from the interviews

# Farming, labour and future agricultures

## Labour and farming:

- Farmers need broader skills (agronomy, management).
- Automation won't fully replace unskilled labor due to costs and peak demands.
- Attracting skilled workers is hard; focus on safety, comfort, and simple interfaces.

## Future agricultures:

- More focus on agronomy and precision farming.
- Need for consolidation to manage costs and access skills.
- Growth of niche emerging systems (e.g., vertical farming, hydroponics) offers diversification.

*« Farms were used to be managed by the son with most manual attitude. More educated siblings were leaving the farm... this is already not a successful choice today, it will be even less in the future »*

*« In the past, agronomic choices were driven by machines availability: larger ones were needed to increase productivity on larger plots »*

Quotes from the interviews

# Agriculture: systemic view

Participants across sectors (farmers, academia, suppliers) agree on the need for a **systemic approach**.

**No single solution** is expected; diverse options are needed:

- Smaller machines and plots (e.g., pixel farming, patch cropping).
- Greater diversification and reduced soil compaction.

**Digitalization** (big Data, AI) and Precision Farming:

- Enhanced transparency in decisions and resource allocation.
- Improved decision-making with data-driven insights.
- New farming methods.

*More integration and exchanges needed... most interviewees complained about lack of communication and interaction with other stakeholders*

*« AI has a huge potential and poses big threat: will agriculture become a black box, just following AI recommendation without knowing what is behind and which bias it includes? »*

Quotes from the interviews

# Farmers income, profitability, machines cost

## Consolidation and collaboration

- Farms need to integrate more processes to avoid commoditization.
- Pooling talents and machines to increase efficiency and improve needs coverage
- Higher utilization may favor specialized machines over multi-purpose tractors
- Individual farms struggle to afford new technologies

## Machines becoming more complex:

- Increasing complexity raises costs, self-maintenance, and difficulty for seasonal workers
- Simpler machines preferred; complexity often unnecessary

## Desirable futures:

- Basic, upgradeable machines and reconditioned models for flexibility

### Recurring themes:

- Modularity
- Personalization
- Simplification
- (Self-)Maintenance
- Reliability
- Payback

# Pollution, sustainability, rules and society

## **Sustainability: here to stay** according to most interviewees

- Wrong understanding of farming by the general public, viewed as polluting
- New techniques, products or certifications perceived as inherently good (e.g. organic)

## **Regulations:**

- Stricter CO2 and phytochemical limits will increase machinery costs.
- Carbon markets may offer new revenue.
- Precision farming and electric solutions are expected to grow.
- Future machines will differ significantly in design.

## **Autonomous Driving:**

- Clear road rules, insurance, and remote control are necessary for autonomy.

### Quotes from the interviews

« Politicians are taking decisions in the field of energy or chemicals restrictions not based on scientific evidence, only to please the general public, making it more difficult and expensive to produce machines and eventually to farm »

« In Europe machines will have to drive on public roads, without clear regulations on this and insurance contracts, autonomous vehicles cannot be a viable option »

# Connectivity, AI (Artificial Intelligence) and Big Data

## Connectivity and harmonization: how data is

- collected and transferred: implement ↔ tractor ↔ positioning
- processed by the tractor
- transferred to the management system
- used to improve the next round of planting/harvesting

## Multi-machines communication:

- More machines working on the same activity/plot (e.g. tractor, robots, drone) need to exchange data in real time and effectively
- **Standard** required for easy data exchange and elaboration (hardware/signal and data/software)

## Traceability:

- Blockchain to certify production methods/techniques
- Proprietary vs open source vs government imposed

## Artificial Intelligence:

- Can use of AI change **radically** the way we farm today?
- Will AI only bring **marginal gains**?
- AI requires data sharing to be effective
  - The more **data** is shared, the more it can bring results
  - The more **data** is shared, the more valuable it becomes
  - Who will manage, own and store **data**? Risk of concentration?

Quotes from the interviews

« Producers of implements are typically smaller companies in a more fragmented market compared to tractor producers, there is time-lag between new technologies are made available on a tractor and how these can be exploited by the implement »

« Farmers are already feeling ripped off, data revolution should not be adding on this, rather give the opportunity to be part of this transition and benefit from it »

# Value Chain: production, distribution, competitive landscape

## Impact of sustainability/social responsibility:

- Machines *durability*, self-repair, *simpler* and *upgrade-ready* machines

## Cost of switching to new machines/technologies:

- Consolidation and innovative financing (renting, subcontracting) to mitigate risks.
- Risk-sharing models between producers and farmers

## New entrants in the market of agricultural machines (focus on tractors):

- Modular and specialized solutions from new market players (including startups and non-traditional manufacturers).
- Tractors may no longer be central to farm investments, becoming just one part of a broader system.

Quotes from the interviews

« It might happen that management of the farm is retained, this can be done in parallel with other activities, most operations are externalized to specialized companies »

« Why should tractors continue to be the main investment? We should be looking at the whole process: who can offer the best solution to farmers? »


« New technologies and solutions need to allow for a better income allocation across the chain, farmers will be looking to get a larger share, today it is too small »

04

## Summary & Conclusions



# Summary & Conclusions



## Status Quo & Market Disruption

- Large investments maintain the status quo.
- Disruptive ideas and new technologies are already emerging.
- Multiple products and solutions expected to coexist.

## Debate: Can current leaders retain leadership?

- They must add complex tech.
- New entrants offer simpler, cost-effective solutions.

# Summary & Conclusions

## Resiliency at the farm:

- self-production of energy
- Self-repair
- Niche productions
- Vertical integration to increase value
- Diversify into energy production

## Autonomous machines:

- Current trend, not really a requirement
- Still able to control machines at least when needed
- Need to be cheap and effective to be a breakthrough

## Energy sources:

- Agnostic view on preferred option
- Expected to be driven by regulation and economic benefit

## Farm grouping:


- Resource sharing
- More investments
- Better outcomes, more efficiency
- Larger farms, smaller plots

## Systemic view:

- Agronomy (enhanced by AI) as driver for innovation and new machines type
- In times of change threats for current producers are increasing: new entrants from other markets, industries, radical new solutions
- A single solution to farmers might not be driven by machines (focus on « software », « hardware » as interchangeable)

## Machines:

- Smaller rather than bigger
- More simple to operate
- Safer and ergonomic
- Reduced soil compression
- Working in conjunction with other machines, drones, implements...
- Data management and data exchanges
- Multiple solutions and products expected to co-exist
- Modularity (need for simplicity and options)
- Machines that can evolve over time



**THANK YOU**

# Citation and License

**Suggested citation:** Mosé Tosin and Davide Rizzo (2024) **Agricultural Machines in 2045: tractors of the future or futures of the tractor? A Future Studies approach (slideshow)**. In 7th AgriTech Day [Editors] *International Conference of Technologies and Solutions for Efficient and Sustainable Agriculture*, 24 October, Compiègne, France <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13984479>

This slideshow, except where otherwise indicated, is provided under the license CC BY 4.0 “Tosin & Rizzo, 2024” <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

You are free to:

**Share** — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially.

**Adapt** — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:

**Attribution** — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

**No additional restrictions** — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

