

A global systematic map of knowledge of inland commercial navigation effects on freshwater ecosystems

Alienor Jeliazkov, Vanesa Martínez-Fernández, Vassil Y Altanov, Jean-Nicolas Beisel, Anthonie Dirk Buijse, Sofia Consuegra, Swann Felin, Carlos Garcia de Leaniz, Wolfram Graf, Fengzhi He, et al.

To cite this version:

Alienor Jeliazkov, Vanesa Martínez-Fernández, Vassil Y Altanov, Jean-Nicolas Beisel, Anthonie Dirk Buijse, et al.. A global systematic map of knowledge of inland commercial navigation effects on freshwater ecosystems. Journal of Environmental Management, 2024, 370, pp.122474. $f(10.1016/i.$ jenvman.2024.122474. hal-04723256

HAL Id: hal-04723256 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04723256v1>

Submitted on 7 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03014797)

Journal of Environmental Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jenvman

Review

A global systematic map of knowledge of inland commercial navigation effects on freshwater ecosystems

Alienor Jeliazkov^{a,*,1}, Vanesa Martínez-Fernández ^{b,1,**}, Vassil Y. Altanov^f, Jean-Nicolas Beisel ^c, Anthonie Dirk Buijse ^{d,e}, Sofia Consuegra ^k, Swann Felin ^a, Carlos Garcia de Leaniz^k, Wolfram Graf^j, Fengzhi He^{f,g}, Sonja C. Jähnig^{f,g}, Patrick Leitner^j, Astrid Schmidt-Kloiber^j, Aaron N. Sexton^h, Cybill Staentzel^c, Evelyne Tales^a, Karl M. Wantzenⁱ, Christian Wolter^f

^a *University of Paris-Saclay, INRAE, HYCAR Hydrosystems under changes, France*

^b *Departamento de Sistemas y Recursos Naturales, E.T.S. Ingeniería de Montes, Forestal y del Medio Natural, Universidad Polit*´*ecnica de Madrid, Spain*

^d *Wageningen University & Research, Aquaculture and Fisheries group, Wageningen, the Netherlands*

⁸ Geography Department, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

^h *Fondation pour la recherche sur la biodiversit*´*e*—*Centre de Synth*`*ese et d'Analyse sur la Biodiversit*´*e, Montpellier, France*

ⁱ *UNESCO Chair "Fleuves et Patrimoine", CNRS UMRS CITERES, Tours University, Tours, and CNRS UMR LIVE, Strasbourg University, 37000, France*

^j *University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, BOKU Vienna, Institute of Hydrobiology and Aquatic Ecosystem Management, Gregor Mendel Strasse 33, 1180,*

Vienna, Austria

^k *Swansea University, Department of BioSciences, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK*

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Shipping Navigation infrastructures Waterway River management Freshwater ecosystems Biodiversity

ABSTRACT

Inland navigation is one of the most sustainable transport alternatives to help decarbonise the world economy. However, the likely impacts of intensifying inland navigation on freshwater ecosystems are difficult to predict. A global map of knowledge that considers both abiotic and biotic responses to increasing shipping traffic and developing infrastructures is lacking. Deriving general evidence-based assessments is challenging, because most studies on inland navigation impacts are merely descriptive and either consist of local case studies, or address single navigation stressors or specific taxa only. We conducted a systematic mapping of the published literature (1908–2021) to provide a global synthesis of the effects of inland navigation on the biotic and abiotic components of freshwater ecosystems. We show that only half of the reported navigation-related impacts were statistically tested. Navigation itself (vessel operation) had mainly negative effects on native taxa (57%), followed by waterway management (40%), and navigation infrastructures (35%). Navigation has direct negative impacts caused by physical disturbances such as vessel-induced waves, and indirect impacts that facilitate the spread of aquatic invasive species, and altering the abiotic habitat conditions. Thirty percent of the tested relationships showed non-significant impacts on the biotic environment, while in 10% of cases impacts were contextdependent. We identified the main gaps of knowledge, namely (i) impacts of waterway management on communities, (ii) underlying processes of navigation impacts on river ecosystems; and (iii) interactions between multiple navigation factors and cascading effects on multi-taxa responses. These future research directions should improve the diagnosis, mitigate the negative impacts of navigation on rivers and provide guidelines for improving navigated river management.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122474>

Received 8 April 2024; Received in revised form 21 June 2024; Accepted 8 September 2024

Available online 21 September 2024

0301-4797/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^c *Universit*´*e de Strasbourg, ENGEES, CNRS, LIVE, UMR, 7362, F-67000, Strasbourg, France*

^e *Deltares, Department of Freshwater Ecology and Water Quality, Delft, the Netherlands*

^f *Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Müggelseedamm 310, 12587, Berlin, Germany*

^{*} Corresponding author. INRAE, UR HYCAR, 1 rue Pierre-Gilles de Gennes, 92160, Antony, France.

^{**} Corresponding author. Departamento de Sistemas y Recursos Naturales, E.T.S. Ingeniería de Montes, Forestal y del Medio Natural, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain.

E-mail addresses: alienor.jeliazkov@gmail.com, alienor.jeliazkov@inrae.fr (A. Jeliazkov), vanesa.mfernandez@gmail.com (V. Martínez-Fernández).
¹ co-first authors.

1. Introduction

Inland freshwater navigation is promoted as one of the most sustainable transport alternatives in the world (Rohács and Simongáti, 2007; Terziev et al., 2023) and a way to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050 (Barros et al., 2022; INE, 2020; Sys et al., 2020). For instance, in Europe, the European Union Green Deal aims to intensify and promote "green shipping" by improving, restoring or creating new Inland Navigation Infrastructures (INIs) such as canals, sluices, dams, locks, and ports (INE, 2019, 2014). Yet, the extent to which intensified inland navigation will impact river integrity and aquatic biodiversity globally is not clear.

Inland navigation can potentially affect all aspects of river integrity as well as the surrounding landscape (Némethy et al., 2022). It has direct and indirect consequences on ecosystem components by triggering secondary mechanisms that affect ecosystems. Indeed, the five major threats for freshwater biodiversity (Dudgeon et al., 2006) can directly or indirectly be driven by inland navigation and the construction and management of inland navigation infrastructures (INIs) - namely, water pollution (Floehr et al., 2013; Maguire, 1991; Weijters et al., 2009), flow modification (Bunn and Arthington, 2002; Tales and Boët, 2005; Wolter et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2023), habitat degradation (Blanton and Marcus, 2013; Wolter, 2001), loss of river connectivity (Belletti et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2020; Poff et al., 2007), and introduction of invasive species (Leprieur et al., 2008; Leuven et al., 2009; Magliozzi et al., 2020). Vessel operation directly disturbs aquatic species, by wake wash (Gabel et al., 2017), draw down (Holland, 1986), return currents (Wolter et al., 2004), propeller wash (Killgore et al., 2011), boat collisions (Miranda and Killgore, 2013), water pollution such as plastics (Climo et al., 2022), hydrocarbons (Gao et al., 2024; González et al., 2024), or salts from ballast water (Duan et al., 2023a), and noise (Duan et al., 2023b; Graham and Cooke, 2008). In addition, infrastructures supporting navigation (e.g., canals, sluices, and boat ramps) as well as waterway management and maintenance (e.g., water level regulation or dredging activities) impact the environment (Cowx and Welcomme, 1998; Lepori et al., 2005; Simons et al., 2001; Staentzel et al., 2020) and facilitate the spread of invasive species (Rodríguez-Rey et al., 2021). For example, while groynes and rip-rap change habitat for aquatic species (Bischoff and Wolter, 2001; Fischer et al., 2018), dams, weirs and sluices will influence their mobility and dispersal (Duarte et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2019).

On the other hand, INIs can also support selected species and communities, by providing artificial habitats (Harvolk et al., 2015; Horsák et al., 2009), dispersal corridors (Ouédraogo et al., 2020), and preventing the spread of some aquatic exotic species (Favaro and Moore, 2015; Rahel, 2013). Thus, the relative effects of these three main types of navigation-related pressures (namely navigation activity, related-infrastructures and their management), and how they influence both biotic and abiotic components of the river environment are still unclear (but see Wolter et al., 2004) and are difficult to disentangle. Therefore, these effects deserve more attention to better identify and prioritise mitigation actions.

Further intensifying inland navigation will require the creation of new INIs as well as the restoration and upgrading of existing ones (EIWTP, 2021). To minimize impacts on riverine biodiversity a better understanding is needed on how such infrastructures modify water flow, alter habitats, affect river connectivity, and shape species communities by invasions and extirpations. Until now, most studies that addressed navigation impacts focussed on selected INIs, single taxa, or particular components of river integrity (Villemey et al., 2018; Zajicek et al., 2018) which makes it difficult to draw conclusions.

To provide a comprehensive assessment of the global impact of navigation, we carried out a systematic mapping of the scientific literature to provide a global knowledge map of the effects of navigationrelated factors on biotic and abiotic components in river ecosystems while considering the robustness of the analysed relationships. The

systematic map of knowledge "collates, describes and catalogues available evidence on the topic" and allows addressing open-framed questions (James et al., 2016) such as here, the links between navigation factors and river components. Our specific aims were to: (i) assess and summarise direct and indirect effects of navigation and INIs on river integrity, while explicitly differentiating between evidenced (i.e., statistically tested) vs. asserted (i.e., with no statistical support) results; (ii) comparatively analyse effects of all three navigation-induced pressures – navigation, infrastructures, and waterway management – on abiotic and biotic components of river ecosystems including native and exotic taxa; (iii) identify main gaps in knowledge and propose future research fields to make mitigation actions more effective.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Literature search and articles selection

A systematic literature search was done on the September 16, 2021 in [ISI Web of Science](https://www.webofscience.com/wos/woscc/advanced-search) Core Collection and [Scopus](https://www.scopus.com/), which are considered the main reference sources (Mongeon and Paul-Hus, 2016). The literature search focused on articles published in English between 1900 (first selected paper in 1908 according to Scopus, and in 1983 according to WOS) and 2021. Following methodological recommendations for systematic mapping (e.g. Foo et al., 2021; James et al., 2016), the literature search was conducted using the PECO strategy for systematic search that is considered to be the most powerful and reproducible approach for formulating research questions (Miller and Forrest, 2001; Sordello et al., 2019). The PECO method (Population Exposure Comparator Outcomes) requires the formulation of the research equation including four main aspects: the *P*opulation object of the study (here inland freshwater ecosystems), the *E*xposure (here navigation), a *C*omparator (here any comparator that can reflect a relationship), and the *O*utcomes or focus of the effects (here biodiversity in the broad sense). The literature search was applied on titles and abstracts using the search equation shown in Fig. 1a (see also **Appendix S1**).

The initial literature search returned 15,058 published articles in Web of Science (5,696) and Scopus (9,362). To analyse and filter this corpus of articles, we then used the PRISMA approach (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; Page et al., 2021) (Fig. 1b). After removing duplicates, article titles and abstracts were manually screened and articles were included for further analysis if they met the following eligibility criteria: (1) peer-reviewed (journal articles, reviews and book chapters), (2) dealing with inland navigation, i.e. excluding marine and coastal ecosystems, and (3) having mentioned, discussed and/or analysed a relationship between any navigation factor (i.e. inland navigation, navigation-related infrastructures, or their management and maintenance) and any river biotic response (e.g., biodiversity, vegetation, fish) in the abstract. Articles that focused their analyses on the abiotic response of the river were kept as long as they highlighted the implications of their findings for the biotic response of the river. This first screening retained 506 articles. Then, a second screening was carried out excluding (1) articles that did not directly analyse the impacts of inland navigation rather than evaluate the effects of measures to mitigate or rehabilitate navigation effects were excluded from the analyses ($N = 39$ papers); and (2) articles dealing with recreational boating, because its impact on the river environment is not comparable to the impact of commercial navigation (Söhngen et al., 2008) and the topic has already been analysed at large scale by Zajicek and Wolter (2019) as well as synthesized by Schafft et al. (2021). All the manual screening was carried out by a team of 18 researchers, experts in freshwater ecology ([NAVIDIV consortium](https://www.fondationbiodiversite.fr/en/the-frb-in-action/programs-and-projects/le-cesab/navidiv/)). A final cross random screening procedure was carried out by two of the experts to check the inclusion consistency (i.e. each member was assigned two papers initially screened by another member and had to check the inclusion validity – in case of conflict, the member initially in charge of this paper had to verify again their list of papers and revise it following the inclusion rules of the group). The final number of articles included in the systematic review after full-text analysis was 243 (Fig. 1b).

2.2. Information extraction

2.2.1. Geographic and sampling context

The final 243 articles retained were full-text analysed for information synthesis. We recorded geographic origin and sampling context, including: (1) country and catchment, (2) type of hydrosystems (river, canal, lake, reservoir, floodplain, and pond as the most frequent options) and, its name, (3) spatial extent of the study, and geographic coordinates when available, (4) number of sampling observations, (5) length of river stretch that was sampled and/or analysed by the papers (based on maps and/or protocols provided within the original papers, when applicable), and (6) duration and frequency of sampling.

2.2.2. Navigation-related factors

We then identified the navigation-related pressures analysed and found 22 navigation-related factors. These were further classified into three main groups: navigation itself, navigation-related infrastructures and waterway management (Table 1). Navigation itself, hereafter navigation, refers to vessel operation and its direct physical consequences, such as wake wash, draw down, return currents, or noise generation. Navigation-related infrastructures, hereafter inland navigation infrastructures (INIs), refer to those pressures caused by the constructed infrastructures needed to enable or facilitate the navigation, such as locks, canals, channelised rivers, or ports. Finally, waterway management refers to those actions needed to maintain the adequate conditions for navigation such as dredging activities, regular vegetation cutting or water level management. Because some articles analysed combined navigation-related factors, such as the ensemble of shipping activity in canals, or of lock existence plus lock operations, a fourth category was created as the mix of multiple navigation-related factors (Table 1).

2.2.3. Biotic and abiotic responses

Biotic and abiotic responses analysed in the articles were identified. For biotic responses, we extracted information such as the taxonomic group (e.g., fish, macrophyte, or invertebrates); the level of organisation at which the analysis was carried out (e.g., individuals, populations, or communities); and the response metric analysed in the navigationresponse relationship (e.g., mortality, abundance, or species richness;

Table 1

Classification of the 22 navigation-related factors into four main groups: navigation itself and its direct physical consequences (NAVI), navigation infrastructures (INI), waterway management and maintenance (MANAG), and the mix of multiple navigation-related factors (MULTI).

Fig. 1. Literature search and analysis. *a) Literature search equation used for Web Of Science following the PECO search strategy. Note that \$ should be replaced by * when* search is performed in SCOPUS database (see *Appendix S1*). b) PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow diagram (Page et al., 2021) *showing the procedure of article selection applied after the literature search.*

full table available here:<https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR>; Jeliazkov, 2024).

In particular, we carefully considered the status of the taxa analysed, namely either (1) natives, (2) exotics (including invasives), (3) both (for communities mixing both natives and exotics), and (4) unknown.

For abiotic responses, we noted the variables of interest (e.g., salinity, water temperature, conductivity) and their units. Given the high diversity of variables studied, we grouped them for synthesis into eight broad categories: 1) acoustic environment or noise, 2) flow conditions, 3) geomorphological conditions, 4) habitat characteristics, 5) soil characteristics, 6) water conditions, 7) bank characteristics, and 8) mixed (a mix of several responses) (Table 2).

2.2.4. Characteristics and testedness of the relationships analysed

Each individual relationship between a navigation-related factor and a river component analysed was listed along with the particular response metric analysed (fish species richness, vegetation composition, dissolved oxygen, etc.). In addition, evidence of impact was assessed based on statistical testing procedures reported in the paper. An effect was considered evidenced if it was statistically tested; otherwise, the purported effect was considered asserted (i.e., without statistical support). All statistically tested relationships were coded as "negative" (NEG), "positive" (POS), "non-significant" (NS), "change" (CHANGE) when the relationship significance or sign varied depending on a third factor, e.g. location, river, level of alteration, species considered, nonlinear behaviour, etc., or "unclear" (UNK) when the sign of the relationship was ambiguous, not reported or inappropriately interpreted (e.g., a discussion affirming an effect that is not supported by the raw figures). All asserted relationships were similarly coded as "negative" (NEG), "positive" (POS), "no effect" (NS) when authors explicitly discuss neutral effect/absence of effect, "change" (CHANGE) when authors explicitly mention that the relationship depends on other factors, or "unclear" (UNK) when the authors remain elusive about the effect discussed.

Each individual relationship was the statistical unit of observation in the present study, and the main focus of the following analyses.

Table 2

Although some papers analysed and presented multiple relationships, 75% of the papers analysed less than five relationships (Fig. S1). All information was extracted and compiled into a single data table (see original data available in Jeliazkov, 2024).

2.3. Data analysis and synthesis

The final corpus of 243 articles included 89% empirical research articles (including 10% experimental articles), 8% review/synthesis/ opinion articles, and 3% simulation/analytical modelling articles. These articles reported in total 1103 navigation-river ecosystem relationships, which constituted our dataset for assessing and synthesising the current knowledge on the effect of navigation-related factors on river ecosystems.

First, to assess the knowns and the unknowns about navigation effects on river ecosystem, i.e. the potential imbalance in actual evidences, we counted and graphically compared the proportions of relationships evidenced vs. asserted across the different types of navigation-related factors, response types (biotic vs. abiotic), biotic compartment (nonnatives, natives, or both), and taxa (fish, invertebrates, etc.).

Second, to quantitatively synthesize the evidence of navigation-**biotic** relationships, we focused only on the statistically tested relationships ($N = 564$ relationships) for each biotic compartment (natives, exotics, and both) and calculated the proportion of relationships reported as negative, positive, non-significant, unknown, and changing across the four categories of navigation-related factors INI, NAVI, MANAG, and MULTI. All data analyses were performed in R (R4.3.0, R Core Team, 2023). To assess whether there is significant imbalance of effect signs across navigation factors, we statistically compared these proportions using Pearson's goodness-of-fit Chi-squared tests for count data (function 'chisq.test' in package {stats}) with a threshold p-value of 0.05. We further tested the relative dominance of the effect signs (negative [NEG] vs. positive [POS] vs. changing [CHANGE] vs. non-significant [NS]) with separate Chi-squared tests on each combination of navigation factor by biotic response when the sample characteristics allowed it (i.e., expected counts for each factor combination≥5, which excluded all UNK effects). Given the limited sample size for the evidenced **abiotic** responses (77 evidenced relationships for 8 categories), we only explored the number and signs of the relationships graphically for each combination of navigation factors and abiotic responses.

Finally, to draw the map of knowledge, that is, the schematic representation of the hypothesised causal relationships between navigation factors and biotic components, we used the proportions of relationships calculated above and kept the two most supported ones for each factorresponse couple to facilitate figure reading. For instance, 40%, 21%, 19%, 15%, and 5% of NAVI-Exotics relationships were reported as POS, NS, NEG, CHANGE, and UNK, respectively. Then we included the two most frequently observed links on the map, i.e. POS and NS.

It is worthy of note that first, we are creating a systematic map of knowledge and not a meta-analysis. To synthesize data that are mainly textual and/or categorical, and to more deeply review the broad topic of navigation effects on rivers, the principle of systematic mapping was the best compromise between a fully narrative, qualitative literature review, and a quantitative analysis fulfilling the strict constraints of a metaanalysis (Haddaway et al., 2016; Miake-Lye et al., 2016). Especially as we already know there are too few papers fulfilling these constraints on our topic (Ouédraogo et al., 2020; Villemey et al., 2018). The systematic map allows us to use our evidence collection to address an open-framed question (Haddaway et al., 2016; James et al., 2016) - namely the impacts of navigation on river ecosystems - and does not target effect sizes analysis. Therefore, our analyses are contingent on the categories (or 'knowledge clusters' (James et al., 2016)) that emerged from our evidence collection, such as the three main types of navigation factors, or the eight types of abiotic responses of the river environment. Second, we used a 'vote counting' approach (Koricheva and Gurevitch, 2013; Siddaway et al., 2019), where we synthesized the counts of positive, negative, and non-significant navigation-environment relationships. This can be problematic when one does not account for potential differences across studies in statistical power nor reliability of the methods used to test these relationships (Haddaway et al., 2020). In our case, the differentiation we made between evidenced vs. asserted relationships allowed us at least to distinguish two levels of reliability among the examined studies. In addition, we did not detect many papers with noticeably low statistical power; the rare ones that aroused severe doubts were classified as "unknown/unclear" (UNK). Thus, the positive and negative counts – focus of the map – should not be severely biased by the 'vote counting' approach. The count of non-significant effects is interpreted with caution (see *Discussion*), that is not necessarily as an evidence of absence of effect, but rather as insights on the knowledge gaps and study limitations. Questioning further the validity of the studies would equate discrediting the peer-review process that these studies underwent, which seemed rather counter-productive given our objective of first attempt of comprehensive, qualitative knowledge mapping. Furthermore, the extensive metadata information provided in the synthesis table, such as sample size, methods of analysis and comments we made (see original data in Jeliazkov, 2024) will allow the readers to easily check the studies and make their own critical appraisal about those. Finally, another limit classically criticised in any literature syntheses (including meta-analyses) is the reporting bias, where non-significant results would be under-reported, resulting in biased conclusions about the importance of the effect studied (e.g., Kotiaho and Tomkins, 2002). Our approach of dissecting each study and extracting each individual relationship evidenced vs. asserted precisely allowed us to detect the many instances of non-significant results, and even the unexpected ones, which are reported in the figures but not necessarily put in the front by the authors. Therefore, we can be confident that our literature synthesis has managed to detect a substantial and representative part of the complexity and depth of the topic. Due to this relatively good representativeness, our focus on the academic evidences, and the difficulty to access and process non-academic evidences without any country/language bias, we did not add grey literature to our systematic map (Livoreil et al., 2017).

Data accessibility statement:

The data and code supporting the results have been archived on the public repository recherche.data.gouv and are available at: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR) [org/10.57745/N6Y6QR](https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR).

3. Results

3.1. General overview of navigation-environment studies

Studies of navigation-environment interactions were published across the globe with highest numbers from North America, Europe, and China (Fig. 2). Still, we noted four inter-continental studies that covered mainly Europe and North America (Audzijonyte et al., 2008; Czerniejewski et al., 2012; Marescaux et al., 2016; Nagrodski et al., 2012).

The total river length studied varied from several metres to 100,000 km (Fig. 3a). The temporal extent in terms of monitoring years varies from "snapshots" (single observation in time) to more than 100 years with historical data (Fig. 3c). Most relationships involved a biotic response (85%), while 14% evaluated an abiotic response, and 1% a mixed response (Fig. 3d). The majority of navigation-biotic relationships concerned fish and invertebrates (Fig. 3e), mainly native species (Fig. 3f). A wide range of responses were used to assess the biotic responses to navigation, across all levels of biological organisation (from individuals to populations to communities to ecosystems), including DNA damage, organism's metabolism, behaviour, movement, abundance, area or percentage of cover, mortality, distribution, presence/ absence, taxonomic and functional diversity and composition (alpha and beta diversities). The majority of the navigation-abiotic relationships were about water quality (32% of the relationships) and hydrological/ flow conditions (21%) (Fig. S2).

The studied navigation-environment relationships, including both biotic and abiotic responses, investigated effects of navigation itself (47%), navigation infrastructures (35%), waterway management (10%), or a mixture of two (9%) (Fig. 3b). Three quarters of the navigation was represented by shipping activity, traffic, and waves; slightly more than half of the infrastructures were represented by canals, locks and dams; and more than half of the waterway management was represented by dredging, and flow regulation (Fig. S3).

3.2. Navigation effects on river ecosystems

Half (52%) of the 1103 navigation-environment relationships were statistically tested, the other 48% were asserted or only narratively discussed without any statistical test. We observed the highest proportions of tested relationships from studies that analyse the effects of navigation (Fig. S4a), and the responses of mixed communities pooling both exotics and natives (Fig. S4c). We observed the smallest proportions of tested relationships from studies that analyse the effects of

Fig. 2. Global distribution of navigation effects studies. Geographical map of the number of papers per country that study navigation effects on the river environment (country of the study area, not of the author's affiliation). Uncoloured countries are countries with no paper on navigation according to our literature search. Map lines delineate study areas and do not necessarily depict accepted national boundaries.

Fig. 3. Metadata of the navigation-river environment relationships studied. Distribution of the number of studied navigation-river environment relationships across: a) the river length studied (the blue transparent box represent the number of relationships that are local studies, i.e. single site studies of less than 1 km length); b) the four categories of navigation-related factors (navigation, infrastructures, waterway management, and multiple factors); c) the time span of the studies in years with starting and ending years of study (on Y-axis, relationships are represented by ticks, only every 10 are shown to facilitate visualization); the insert represents the distribution of the study durations; d) the type of river response (biotic, abiotic, and both); e) the taxonomic group (FISH = fish, INV = invertebrates, VEG MAC = macrophytes, MAM = mammals, PLANK = plankton, AMP = amphibians, VEG RIP = riparian vegetation, MULTI = multiple taxa, VEG = multiple vegetation strata, ALGAE = algae, BIRD = birds, BRIOZOO = bryozoans, ENVIR = general biotic environment, REP = reptiles), and f) the biotic compartment (natives, exotics or mix of both). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

infrastructures (Fig. S4a), the responses of exotic taxa (Fig. S4c), and the responses of macrophyte and riparian vegetation (Fig. S4d). We also noticed that integrative influences such as multiple navigation effects (Fig. S4a) and integrative responses such as multiple taxa (Fig. S4d) or whole ecosystems (represented by the mix of biotic and abiotic responses in Fig. S4b) are less statistically investigated than their individual components. Finally, most of the statistically tested navigation effects were evidenced negative or non-significant, while most of the asserted effects were considered negative or positive (Fig. S6).

3.2.1. Navigation effects on the biotic components of the river

Focussing on the tested effects of navigation on the biotic components, separate analyses were carried out for native, exotic and mixed compartments. In case of native taxa, most effects were negative, with highest proportions of negative relationships found for navigation itself (55%) and the combination of multiple pressures (52%) (Fig. 4a). In addition, positive relationships between native taxa and navigationrelated factors were also found, in particular with infrastructures and waterway management.

For exotic taxa most navigation-related effects were positive, with

Fig. 4. Tested effects of navigation on biota. Chi-square analyses of the tested effects of navigation-related factors on the different biotic compartments of the river, namely a) native taxa/communities, b) exotic or invasive taxa/groups, and c) mix of both native and exotic taxa. For the association degree and significance between relationship sign and navigation factor, see Fig. S7.

the highest interpretable proportion of positive relationships from navigation itself (70%) (Fig. 4b). Effects from infrastructures and waterway management were harder to discern. Infrastructures effects were mostly non-significant or contingent on other factors. Waterway management effects were statistically balanced between negative, positive, non-significant and unknown (Fig. 4b).

Finally, regarding the navigation-related effects on the mix of both native and exotic taxa, most of the effects were negative, except from waterway management where relationships were either non-significant or contingent on other factors (Fig. 4c).

Overall, we observed the highest amount of unknown effects between the waterway management and the exotic taxa (Fig. 4b); the highest amount of non-significant effects between the waterway management and the mix of native and exotic taxa ($Fig. 4c$); and the highest amount of contingent/changing effects between the infrastructures and the mix of native and exotic taxa (Fig. 4c).

3.2.2. Navigation effects on the abiotic components of the river

Given the small amount of statistically tested relationships between navigation factors and abiotic components (only 77 out of the 160 when including combined responses such as "habitat", "environment", "nature", etc.) and the diversity of abiotic responses studied (8 categories; Table 2), we only could explore the results graphically. In general, more categories of abiotic responses were asserted rather than actually tested, with acoustic environment, water quality and hydrological conditions being most often statistically tested (Fig. 5). Negative effects of navigation were reported on almost all responses, and contrasting effects (both positive and negative) for the response of water quality and hydrological conditions to infrastructures (Fig. 5).

3.2.3. Map of knowledge

Based on the synthesis of statistically tested relationships and selecting only the significant associations obtained from individual Chisquared tests of navigation-biota relationships (Table S8), we created a map of knowledge that draws and compares causal links supported by the scientific literature. These causal links relate the four types of navigation pressure Navigation, Infrastructures, Management and Multiple with the native, exotic and abiotic ecosystem components (Fig. 6). Navigation has the strongest positive relationship with the exotic taxa that are mainly represented by invertebrates. Both navigation, management and combination of multiple navigation pressures have the

strongest negative relationship with the native taxa that are mainly represented by invertebrates and fish. The prevalent signs of the other relationships are less distinct according to our analyses (Fig. 6; Table S8).

4. Discussion

Inland navigation has a long and rich history, from the Lingqu Canal (China), one of the oldest canals of the world (2300 years ago, Qian, 2023), to nowadays. Here, our synthesis had to start at the 18th century, following two centuries of major development of canal construction to connect interior countries to sea, or sea to sea (e.g. in Europe, Ketelaars, 2004; Rijkswaterstaat, 2011). Water transport has prospered through the 18th-19th centuries, as being the cheapest mode of transport for people, materials and goods. It then temporarily declined due to the advent of the railway freight and was finally revived in the 20th century (Crompton, 2004). The replacement of steam ships with first motorised vessels and push barges, the invention of radars, the popularization of containers and bow thrusters, all contributed to the improvement and expansion of inland navigation over the last decades (Crompton, 2004; Rijkswaterstaat, 2011). This has led to the change of vessel fleets towards larger (lowest cost per freight) and more powerful ships (better manoeuvrability and safety of operation). As a result, and in parallel of navigation development, the regulation and legislation of inland navigation on rivers had to evolve from localized, rudimentary controls to sophisticated, internationally coordinated frameworks. Several commissions have born with the role of setting the rules for inland navigation regulation and ensuring its durability in a context of international cooperation, e.g. the CCNR (Central Commission for the Navigation of the Rhine, created in 1815), the European waterway network, and the International Joint Commission (between Canada and the USA). This evolution reflects broader societal changes, technological advancements, and a growing recognition of the need for sustainable and environmentally responsible navigation practices (Wiegmans and Konings, 2016). Indeed, navigation development came with adverse effects from the ecological point of view, including physical impacts of vessel operation, and the need to enlarge, deepen and reinforce bank protections of the fairways (Söhngen et al., 2008). To guide further activities and development of inland navigation, our synthesis provides a knowledge-based assessment of the impacts that inland navigation has exerted on river ecosystems globally over the last decades and proposes

Fig. 5. Abiotic effects of navigation. Distribution of tested (evidenced) vs. narratively discussed (asserted) effects of navigation factors on the abiotic responses of river environment.

Fig. 6. Map of knowledge of navigation effects on river ecosystem. Evidence-based causal map of knowledge of navigation-related factors effects on river environment. Left panel: The dominant relationships (i.e. the ones showing significant imbalance from proportion analyses) are represented with plain arrows. The secondary relationships (i.e. the ones showing no significant imbalance from proportions analyses for the biotic component, and not testable for the abiotic component) are represented with dot-dashed arrows. Thickness of the arrows is proportional to the percentages revealed by the proportion analysis and colour of the arrows indicate the sign of the relationship. Right panel: treemaps showing the relative representativeness of the main taxa and the types of abiotic responses into the map of knowledge. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

future research directions for sustainable inland navigation.

4.1. Effects of navigation-related factors on the river environment

Our literature synthesis shows that, on average, half of the evidenced relationships between commercial inland navigation-related factors and riverine biota were negative for native taxa and positive for exotic taxa and highlights the generalisation of these impacts at the global scale. This assessment confirms impacts of navigation stressors on native biota reported by many empirical, local-scale studies from all over the globe (e.g., Dey et al., 2019; Huckstorf et al., 2011; Killgore et al., 2011; Leclere et al., 2012; Luttenton et al., 1986; Luttenton and Rada, 1986; Moog et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2020; Rivero et al., 2013) or by continental-scale studies mainly from Europe (e.g., Leitner et al., 2021; Zajicek and Wolter, 2019). The general assessment of positive effects of navigation-related stressors on exotic biota results from the synthesis of previous studies reporting significant effects of shipping intensity, ballast water and inland navigation infrastructures on the spread or diversity of exotic and regularly becoming invasive species, such as Ponto-Caspian gobies in Germany (Tavares et al., 2020), zebra and quagga mussels (Allen and Ramcharan, 2001; Rodríguez-Rey et al., 2021), Silver carp (Fritts et al., 2021) and fishhook waterflea (Maxson et al., 2023) in the USA, and several exotic invertebrate species all over Europe (Bij de Vaate et al., 2002; Leitner et al., 2021; Leuven et al., 2009).

In particular, our study supports that navigation impacts native river biodiversity directly and indirectly. Direct impacts include shipping disturbance (e.g., otter, Gomez et al., 2014), propeller wash/wake wash/waves produced by boat passage leading to fish drift and stranding (Gabel et al., 2011; Kucera-Hirzinger et al., 2009; Schludermann et al., 2014), invertebrate dislodgment from preferred habitats (Gabel et al., 2008, 2012), injuries resulting from boat collisions including dolphins (Bechdel et al., 2009), sturgeons (Hondorp et al., 2017), and other fish species (Killgore et al., 2001). Navigation activities further impact native biodiversity indirectly. It does so first through facilitating the spread of invasive species via the transport of macrophytes, fish and invertebrates propagules by boats themselves (Jacobs and Keller, 2017) or by their ballast water (Adebayo et al., 2014 for invertebrates; Tavares et al., 2020 for fish) that might in turn affect native species (Gallardo et al., 2016; Gaye-Siessegger et al., 2022). Second, navigation activities lead to the changes in the abiotic conditions of the river, such as the alteration of acoustic environment (Putland et al., 2021; Rountree et al., 2020) potentially affecting mammals (Dey et al., 2019; Duan et al., 2023b) and fish (Graham and Cooke, 2008; Wysocki et al., 2006), the decrease of water quality (Henry Ogbuagu et al., 2013; Wehr et al., 1997) potentially affecting benthic invertebrates (Arbačiauskas et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2014), and disturbance of hydrological conditions potentially affecting all groups (Habersack et al., 2016). However, only 37 out of the 243 studies actually analysed both the abiotic and biotic responses of the river ecosystem to navigation stressors. Among those, even fewer explicitly analysed the links between the two components in a context of navigation pressures, although they are expected to influence many groups (Niimi, 1982). In particular, vessel traffic and waves influence water turbidity, hydrological conditions, and habitat suitability that in turn affect fish diversity (Gutreuter et al., 2006; Hanafiah et al., 2013; Kano et al., 2013; Koel and Stevenson, 2002), and invertebrates' attachment to their substrate (Fleit et al., 2016). Similarly, vessel traffic results in short-term flow velocity changes and draw down, bed shear stress, bank erosion, sediment alteration and water quality alteration that in turn affect both macrophyte and riparian vegetation (Ali et al., 1999; Karle et al., 2005; Racine et al., 1998; Thunnissen et al., 2019). However, the rarity of comprehensive studies plus limitations of study designs (e.g., difficulty to cross multiple effects *in situ*) challenge drawing general causal conclusions on underlying processes of direct and indirect effects of navigation on biodiversity (see next section *Gaps of knowledge & future research avenues*).

Although navigation itself was the main factor influencing both native and exotic taxa, we showed that inland navigation infrastructures certainly indirectly add to this impact. In particular, navigation dams negatively influence fish, invertebrates and phytoplankton communities and populations via habitat modification and connectivity loss (Arai et al., 2019; Argent et al., 2016; Brewer et al., 1995; Wehr and Thorp, 1997; Yi et al., 2010), and channelization and embankment negatively influence riparian vegetation and fish diversity mainly due to habitat loss (Harvolk et al., 2015; Kano et al., 2013; Valová et al., 2014). Most of the effects of inland navigation infrastructures on river biota are potentially mediated by their primary effects on different abiotic aspects of the river environment, such as water quality (Wehr et al., 1997), flow conditions (Mirza, 1997; Rivero et al., 2013), and geomorphological and sediment characteristics (Brewer et al., 1995). However, considering both direct and indirect effects, infrastructures and waterway management may not be more impactful than navigation alone. This is also supported by studies reporting successful mitigation of navigation-induced impacts, such as by regulating boat traffic (Bradbury et al., 1995; Grant; Lewis, 2010; Rüdel et al., 2007) and ballast water (Ricciardi and MacIsaac, 2022), or by restoring more natural flow conditions (Collas et al., 2018; Schorg and Romano, 2018; Theiling et al., 1996). However, it must be noted that most INIs were constructed, and impacted riverine communities decades before the first studies of navigation-induced environmental impacts. One example being the documented historical extinction of diadromous fish as a result of earlier dam construction (e.g., Le Pichon et al., 2020; Merg et al., 2020). The rarity of evidence-based studies at this time scale probably resulted in an underestimation of the impacts of INIs on aquatic biota in the present synthesis.

More surprisingly, INIs and waterway management sometimes showed positive effects on native taxa (26% and 14% of the tested relationships, respectively). This could be observed for instance when studies analysed the abundance of generalist or highly-tolerant native species, or the diversity of communities that shifted from small, highlyspecialised communities to bigger, more generalist communities, reflecting an overall homogenisation of the river ecosystem (Angradi et al., 2009). In addition, INIs such as groynes or dams could tend to favour some invertebrate and phytoplankton taxa through the creation of impounded habitats (Buczyński et al., 2017; Wehr and Thorp, 1997) and waterway management such as specific lock operations could favour fish passage (Fritts et al., 2021; Turney et al., 2022).

Notwithstanding, 30% of the statistically tested relationships between navigation stressors and river ecosystems were not statistically significant. This may be due to lack of power of some of the studies on the topic (e.g., difficulty in obtaining high enough sample sizes, or in defining proper baselines (Moog et al., 2018; Xiong et al., 2021). However, in fact, it is more likely that this number comes from rather well-designed studies that test multiple relationships among which only some turned out significant (see large-scale studies with numerous spatio-temporal replicates such as (Leitner et al., 2021; Rountree et al., 2020; Zajicek et al., 2018); for more information, see the synthesis table in Jeliazkov, 2024). Detecting effects of navigation-related pressures also seems more difficult in naturally highly variable environments (e.g., in a delta, Liashenko et al., 2022) or under the influences of complex hydrological connectivity (e.g., river-lake connectivity, Xiong et al., 2023). This leaves open the question of navigation impacts, especially for the quantitative effects of shipping intensity (Leitner et al., 2021; Xiong et al., 2021, 2023) but see (Sexton et al., 2024), shear stress (Gabel et al., 2012), lock operations (Fritts et al., 2021), and embankments (Brabender et al., 2016) on a number of fish and invertebrates species or communities.

Finally, around 10% of the relationships depended on one or more covariates, i.e., where the sign of the effect depended on the effect of a third variable. This figure is likely underestimated given that in the present synthesis, we consider each relationship separately while the articles analysing several relationships are likely to find different responses for each depending on a third factor, such as the type of response analysed, the study design used, etc. We here highlight the contextdependent nature of some navigation effects on biodiversity, particularly in relation to river (Harnish et al., 2012), mesohabitat of the river

(Rountree et al., 2020; Scharf and Brunke, 2013), taxa or functional groups (Munawar et al., 1991; Zajicek and Wolter, 2019), season (Zadnik et al., 2009), or river uses and climate change (Templeton et al., 2024). We further noticed that this context-dependency might play a stronger role in the study of INIs effects on exotic taxa (33%), and of the combination of multiple stressors on native taxa (26%). Therefore, we advocate that context-dependency likely shapes the impacts of inland navigation on river integrity and deserves further attention (Sexton et al., 2024).

Our literature synthesis approach provides a comprehensive literature review on the topic of navigation effects on river ecosystems (243 papers), a certain accuracy in the information extracted, a substantial amount of data collected (1103 navigation-environment relationships), a relatively fine degree of interpretation and generalisation (see the Results), a good degree of repeatability (data and R codes available at [htt](https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR) [ps://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR\)](https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR) and has been successfully used in other synthesis works in freshwater ecology (Jackson et al., 2016; Lange et al., 2018). While it does not have the strength of a strict meta-analysis (neither its weaknesses, Kotiaho and Tomkins, 2002) and is limited by the 'vote counting' perspective (Koricheva and Gurevitch, 2013; Siddaway et al., 2019), our approach was appropriate and more performant than a traditional narrative review to achieve our objectives, that were to summarise and reinterpret the knowledge on the topic, and to identify potential gaps in this knowledge.

4.2. Gaps of knowledge and future research avenues

When considering the total number of relationships studied between navigation-related factors and river components, we showed that waterway management - e.g., ballast water treatment and vegetation cutting - is the least studied effect of navigation (but see Ricciardi and MacIsaac, 2022). The lack of evidence on waterway management effects is a result of the fine classification we proposed between different drivers of navigation impacts. This driver is less investigated than the others, maybe because this requires an elaborative monitoring design such as before-after intervention (e.g., dredging, cutting, McCabe et al., 1998; Moog et al., 2018), developing holistic frameworks to account for other stressors (Suedel et al., 2024), and interviewing navigators or operators on their practices (e.g., ballast water treatment, Locke et al., 1993), which can be more complicated, long and costly than measuring navigation intensity or infrastructure density, for instance.

Thanks to the separation of asserted vs. evidenced effects of navigation, and to the report of unknown effects from tested relationships, we have been able to further characterise the gaps of knowledge in the topic of navigation-environment relationships. For instance, we have three times less evidence (in both absolute and relative terms) on exotic taxa responses to navigation factors than on native taxa, despite the widely advertised influence of the former on the latter (Byers, 2002; Havel et al., 2015; Leppäkoski et al., 2013). To better understand the effect of navigation on native communities and isolate the indirect effect precisely due to the navigation-induced spread of invasive taxa, it would be worthwhile to systematically analyse both parts of the communities' responses and check the links between those responses instead of pooling those. This could be done for example by analysing species association matrices from joint species distribution modelling (Ovaskainen et al., 2019; Pollock et al., 2014; Zurell et al., 2020). In addition, although infrastructure effects are relatively well studied, they are almost twice less strictly tested. This may be due to the high number of studies that describe local biotic responses (e.g., number of fish passage) around one particular infrastructure (e.g., one weir or one lock system), which allows addressing the question descriptively but not statistically. Many studies lack control and historical baseline information, especially in multiple-pressure contexts (e.g., Christie et al., 2019). Such underpowered assessments result in a certain difficulty to clarify the role of navigation infrastructures in river ecosystems, which is reflected in our synthesis where positive and negative effects come out as

balanced.

Although our search equation was mainly centred on the response of the biotic component of the river environment to navigation factors, we detected a certain amount of evidence about the effects on the abiotic component, as long as these were addressed in relation with any biotic response. However, this amount remained quite low (15%). This suggests that among studies addressing the effects of navigation on biodiversity, relatively few of them actually investigate the potential processes underlying these effects, including the modification of the abiotic conditions that allow species to persist (but see Fischer and Claflin, 1995; Flinn et al., 2008; Kano et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2020; Wolter et al., 2004). Although many studies analyse the links between biotic and abiotic conditions in the context of navigated rivers (47 papers), the main driver as traffic intensity, dam or channelisation is often assumed and not systematically measured neither actually linked with the abiotic response (e.g., Ali et al., 1999; Best et al., 2001; Freund and Hartman, 2005). A couple of recent works make the exception that strive to apprehend this causality chain from navigation pressure to abiotic response to biotic response. They deduce these chains empirically, such as the effect of waterway construction and ship traffic on water quality that in turn affects benthic invertebrates (Dou et al., 2022), the effect of ballast water on water salinity that in turn affects freshwater phytoplankton (Duan et al., 2023a), or the effects of boat traffic on acoustic pollution that in turn affects porpoise survival (Duan et al., 2023b). The low amount of investigation of the abiotic component in relation with the biotic response to navigation may also be due to a historical legacy effect where the abiotic components drastically changed immediately after river regulation (Mossa and Chen, 2022) and thus, already formed baseline conditions for later navigation impact studies ("shifting baselines"; Humphries and Winemiller, 2009; Soga and Gaston, 2018). We thus need further investigation and evidence-based assessment to understand direct and indirect effects of navigation on the river environment, including the role of historical river modifications. Here, we explore hypothetical causal relationships, which opens new questions of research and methodological challenges such as: how to assess directness of the navigation effect; how to disentangle biotic and abiotic processes involved in river environment response to navigation pressures; and how to design sampling schemes to address these questions.

We generally lack knowledge about the influence of combined navigation pressures as well as the mix of responses (abiotic and biotic, exotics with native, and mixed taxa) and more than 75% of the current knowledge relies only on fish and invertebrates. This suggests a need for more integrative studies where interaction effects of multiple navigation factors could be analysed on biotic responses that may be representative of the whole river ecosystem, e.g., metacommunities with several trophic levels (e.g., Borthagaray et al., 2015). The potential feedback loops between, for instance, the navigation and riparian vegetation that in turn may affect aquatic communities through habitat changes or trophic interactions modifications are not studied (Hohensinner et al., 2018). We need to scale up to metacommunities and metaecosystems (Cid et al., 2021; Gounand et al., 2018; Heino, 2013; Schiesari et al., 2019) in order to better account for the spatialized and functional effects of navigation on river biodiversity and ecosystems. Such studies allow important progress, such as showing, for instance, that more natural waterway management practices can enhance trophic functioning of freshwater communities (Brauns et al., 2022). We need these more integrative studies, also because the river functions as a continuum with lateral and longitudinal connectivities (Boulton et al., 2017; Manfrin et al., 2020; Ward, 1989). Very few studies address the effects of navigation on river connectivities, except local specific ones tagging fishes and monitoring their movement and behaviour around and through a given sluice-lock system (Fritts et al., 2021; Garrone Neto et al., 2014; Vergeynst et al., 2019). We do not know for instance the cumulative effects of navigation locks and dams on biodiversity at the watershed scale, although this scale is considered as relevant for river restoration (Fausch et al., 2002; Friberg et al., 2017). In the context of future inland navigation

development, we need approaches to better anticipate collateral damages and avoid adverse effects of waterway construction on freshwater ecosystems (Dou et al., 2022), such as prospective work (Wantzen et al., 2024) and simulation modelling (Yin et al., 2022).

New technologies play a crucial role in enhancing the tracking and monitoring of river navigation (Bandini et al., 2023). The implementation of advanced Global Positioning Systems (GPS), remote sensors, and real-time data management platforms has revolutionized the way river routes are supervised. These tools enable continuous and precise surveillance of maritime traffic, improving the safety and efficiency of transportation. The integration of these technologies also supports environmental protection by enabling more stringent control over activities that could negatively impact river ecosystems. The combination of high spatiotemporal resolution satellite imagery and deep learning methods offers great opportunities for the monitoring of human footprint in inland waterways, which can improve local and regional assessment of environmental impacts of anthropogenic activities on riverine ecosystems (Guan et al., 2023; Smigaj et al., 2023).

We have also noticed a strong geographical bias in the knowledge available in the English-speaking, white scientific literature, with an overrepresentation of China, Europe, and North America. Complementing this work with a synthesis of the grey literature in national languages would help fill this gap. It remains nonetheless that increasing navigation is a global phenomenon. While for some rivers there are international conventions and committees dealing with conservation aspects (e.g., International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine), for others, there are transboundary treaties that mostly focus on navigation and water use for damming and irrigation (e.g., Niger), while many rivers are increasingly used for navigation but lack any consideration for the potential impact of both INIs and shipping on the riverine ecosystem services (e.g., the Paraguay River in Brazil, Wantzen, 2023; see also Jähnig et al., 2022). If we are to plan future inland navigation management in coherence with other environmental policies (Convention on Biodiversity, Aïchi targets, European Framework Directives), we have to develop a more sustainable navigation (Plotnikova et al., 2022) and to adapt the management strategies to functional scales for a better resilience of the ecosystems in the face of global change.

5. Conclusions

Our literature synthesis organises, summarizes and reinterprets the great but scattered amount of knowledge on the topic of navigation effects on river ecosystems by proposing an original classification of navigation-related pressures – namely navigation itself, infrastructures and waterway management – and by analysing the literature through this novel prism.

Our synthesis shows the generalised negative impacts of inland navigation on native biodiversity and its positive effects on exotic taxa. The strongest impacts are due to navigation itself (such as shipping intensity and wave drawing) and to the combination of multiple navigation factors (i.e. navigation, infrastructures, and waterway management or maintenance). This suggests that inland navigation policies will need to reinforce regulation on boat traffic to limit erosion and nuisances, e. g., by limiting boat speed and/or access (Bradbury et al., 1995; Kuhajda and Rider, 2016), on lock operations to improve connectivity, e.g., by increasing the frequency of opening (Arai et al., 2019; Simcox et al., 2015), and on ballast water to avoid contamination, e.g., by water treatment (Elskus et al., 2015) (and see more recommendations in PIANC, 2003). Waterway management will need to minimize or conceive environment-friendly dredging activities (Mossa et al., 2020; Pledger et al., 2021; Suedel et al., 2022) and to apply creative suites of restoration actions to mitigate the impacts of navigation (Flores et al., 2022; Schmitt et al., 2018; Söhngen et al., 2018; Weber et al., 2012). Waterway policies will have to account for the importance of interactions between multiple navigation impacts by adopting a more integrative view of the river ecosystems (Cid et al., 2021; Friberg et al., 2017). The future inland navigation regulation will further need to adapt to the context of global change where some rivers are and will be increasingly exposed to flow modifications (Olsen et al., 2012), and species invasions (Rahel and Olden, 2008).

Our synthesis nevertheless reveals that in half of the cases, some impacts of navigation-related pressures remain unclear, either due to lack of evidence/proper testing or contradicting responses. This reflects the current absence of consensus and hence, the remaining gaps of knowledge in the topic that need to be addressed in order to better guide river management planning. The main research priorities we identified are to investigate (i) the effects of waterway management on communities, (ii) the indirect effects of navigation pressures on biodiversity through the analysis of abiotic responses that condition the biotic responses to gain deeper understanding of the underlying processes of navigation impacts on river ecosystems; and (iii) the interaction between multiple navigation factors and their effects on multi-taxa responses.

This and future research provide policy makers and waterway managers with more evidence-based and large-scale guidance that will help build and coordinate inland navigation management policies and fulfil the objectives of transboundary consistency of inland navigation.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Alienor Jeliazkov: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Vanesa Martínez-Fernández: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Vassil Y. Altanov:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Data curation. **Jean-Nicolas Beisel:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Anthonie Dirk Buijse:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Sofia Consuegra:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation. **Swann Felin:** Data curation. **Carlos Garcia de Leaniz:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Wolfram Graf:** Data curation. **Fengzhi He:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation. **Sonja C. Jähnig:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Patrick Leitner:** Data curation. **Astrid Schmidt-Kloiber:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Aaron N. Sexton:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Cybill Staentzel:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Evelyne Tales:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Karl M. Wantzen:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Christian Wolter:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data and R codes are publicly available at: https://doi. [org/10.57745/N6Y6QR](https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR).

Acknowledgements

This research is a product of the NAVIDIV group funded by the synthesis centre CESAB of the French Foundation for Research on Biodiversity (FRB; www.fondationbiodiversite.fr) and by the French National Research Program on Transport Infrastructure, Territories, Ecosystems and Landscapes (ITTECOP; [https://www.ittecop.fr/en/\)](https://www.ittecop.fr/en/) of the French Ministry of Ecological Transition (MTE). S.C.J. acknowledges funding by the Leibniz Competition project Freshwater Megafauna Futures. We thank Nicolas Casajus and Joseph Langridge for their advice on early stages of this study.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122474) [org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122474](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122474).

References

- Adebayo, A.A., Zhan, A., Bailey, S.A., MacIsaac, H.J., 2014. Domestic ships as a potential pathway of nonindigenous species from the saint lawrence river to the great lakes. Biol. Invasions 16, 793-801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0537
- Ali, M.M., Murphy, K.J., Langendorff, J., 1999. Interrelations of river ship traffic with aquatic plants in the River Nile, Upper Egypt. Biology, Ecology and Management of Aquatic Plants 93–100. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0922-4_14.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0922-4_14)
- Allen, Y.C., Ramcharan, C.W., 2001. Dreissena distribution in commercial waterways of the U.S.: using failed invasions to identify limiting factors. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 898–907.<https://doi.org/10.1139/f01-043>.
- Angradi, T.R., Bolgrien, D.W., Jicha, T.M., Pearson, M.S., Taylor, D.L., Hill, B.H., 2009. Multispatial-scale variation in benthic and snag-surface macroinvertebrate assemblages in mid-continent US great rivers. J. North Am. Benthol. Soc. 28 (1), 122–141. [https://doi.org/10.1899/07-149.](https://doi.org/10.1899/07-149)
- Arai, K., Itakura, H., Yoneta, A., Kaifu, K., Shirai, K., Miyake, Y., Kimura, S., 2019. Anthropogenic impacts on the distribution of wild and cultured Japanese eels in the Tone River watershed, Japan, from otolith oxygen and carbon stable isotopic composition. Environ. Biol. Fish. 102, 1405–1420. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-019-00915-1) [019-00915-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-019-00915-1)
- Arbačiauskas, K., Semenchenko, V., Grabowski, M., Leuven, R.S.E.W., Paunović, M., Son, M.O., Csányi, B., Gumuliauskaitė, S., Konopacka, A., Nehring, S., van der Velde, G., Vezhnovetz, V., Panov, V.E., 2008. Assessment of biocontamination of benthic macroinvertebrate communities in European inland waterways. Aquat. Invasions 3, 211–230. <https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2008.3.2.12>.
- Argent, D.G., Kimmel, W.G., Lorson, R., Clancy, M., 2016. An evaluation of interstate efforts to Re-introduce paddlefish to the upper Ohio river basin. nena 23, 454–465. [https://doi.org/10.1656/045.023.0403.](https://doi.org/10.1656/045.023.0403)
- Audzijonyte, A., Wittmann, K.J., Väinölä, R., 2008. Tracing recent invasions of the Ponto-Caspian mysid shrimp Hemimysis anomala across Europe and to North America with mitochondrial DNA. Divers. Distrib. 14, 179–186. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00434.x) [10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00434.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00434.x).
- Bandini, F., Kooij, L., Mortensen, B.K., Caspersen, M.B., Thomsen, L.G., Olesen, D., Bauer-Gottwein, P., 2023. Mapping inland water bathymetry with ground penetrating radar (GPR) on board unmanned aerial systems (UASs). J. Hydrol. 616, 128789. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.128789>.
- Barros, B.R.C. de, Carvalho, E.B. de, Brasil Junior, A.C.P., 2022. Inland waterway transport and the 2030 agenda: taxonomy of sustainability issues. Cleaner Engineering and Technology 8, 100462. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2022.100462) [clet.2022.100462](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2022.100462).
- [Bechdel, S.E., Mazzoil, M.S., Murdoch, M.E., Howells, E.M., Reif, J.S., McCulloch, S.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref11) [Schaefer, A.M., Bossart, G.D., 2009. Prevalence and impacts of motorized vessels on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref11) [bottlenose dolphins \(Tursiops truncatus\) in the Indian River Lagoon, Florida. Aquat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref11) [Mamm. 35, 367.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref11)
- Belletti, B., Nardi, L., Rinaldi, M., Poppe, M., Brabec, K., Bussettini, M., Comiti, F., Gielczewski, M., Golfieri, B., Hellsten, S., Kail, J., Marchese, E., Marcinkowski, P., Okruszko, T., Paillex, A., Schirmer, M., Stelmaszczyk, M., Surian, N., 2018. Assessing restoration effects on river hydromorphology using the process-based morphological quality index in eight European river reaches. Environ. Manag. 61, 69–84. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-017-0961-x) doi.org/10.1007/s00267-017-0961-x.
- [Best, E.P.H., Buzzelli, C.P., Bartell, S.M., Wetzel, R.L., Boyd, W.A., Doyle, R.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref13) [Campbell, K.R., 2001. Modeling submersed macrophyte growth in relation to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref13) [underwater light climate: modeling approaches and application potential.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref13) [Hydrobiologia 444, 43](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref13)–70.
- Bij de Vaate, A., Jazdzewski, K., Ketelaars, H.A.M., Gollasch, S., Van der Velde, G., 2002. Geographical patterns in range extension of Ponto-Caspian macroinvertebrate species in Europe. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 59, 1159–1174. [https://doi.org/10.1139/](https://doi.org/10.1139/f02-098) [f02-098.](https://doi.org/10.1139/f02-098)
- Bischoff, A., Wolter, C., 2001. The flood of the century on the River Oder: effects on the 0 + fish community and implications for floodplain restoration. Regul. Rivers Res. Manag. 17, 171–190. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.612>.
- Blanton, P., Marcus, W.A., 2013. Transportation infrastructure, river confinement, and impacts on floodplain and channel habitat, Yakima and Chehalis rivers, Washington,

U.S.A. Geomorphology 189, 55–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.01.016) [geomorph.2013.01.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.01.016)

- Borthagaray, A.I., Pinelli, V., Berazategui, M., Rodríguez-Tricot, L., Arim, M., 2015. Chapter 4 - Effects of Metacommunity Networks on Local Community Structures: From Theoretical Predictions to Empirical Evaluations. In: Belgrano, A., Woodward, G., Jacob, U. (Eds.), Aquatic Functional Biodiversity. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 75-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417015-5.00004
- Boulton, A.J., Rolls, R.J., Jaeger, K.L., Datry, T., 2017. Chapter 2.3 Hydrological Connectivity in Intermittent Rivers and Ephemeral Streams. In: Datry, T., Bonada, N., Boulton, A. (Eds.), Intermittent Rivers and Ephemeral Streams. Academic Press, pp. 79–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803835-2.00004-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803835-2.00004-8)
- [8](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803835-2.00004-8). Brabender, M., Weitere, M., Anlanger, C., Brauns, M., 2016. Secondary production and richness of native and non-native macroinvertebrates are driven by human-altered shoreline morphology in a large river. Hydrobiologia 776, 51-65. https://doi.org/ [10.1007/s10750-016-2734-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-2734-6).
- Bradbury, J., Cullen, P., Dixon, G., Pemberton, M., 1995. Monitoring and management of streambank erosion and natural revegetation on the lower Gordon River, Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area, Australia. Environ. Manag. 19, 259-272. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF02471995.
- Brauns, M., Kneis, D., Brabender, M., Weitere, M., 2022. Habitat availability determines food chain length and interaction strength in food webs of a large lowland river. River Res. Appl. 38, 323–333. [https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3908.](https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3908)
- Brewer, S.K., Claflin, T.O., Sandheinrich, M.B., 1995. Comparison of summer zoobenthic communities in four habitats of a floodplain impoundment:1975 and 1990. Regul. Rivers Res. Manag. 11, 139–145. [https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450110203.](https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450110203)
- Buczyński, P., Szlauer-Łukaszewska, A., Tończyk, G., Buczyńska, E., Buczyński, P., Szlauer-Łukaszewska, A., Tończyk, G., Buczyńska, E., 2017. Groynes: a factor modifying the occurrence of dragonfly larvae (Odonata) on a large lowland river. Mar. Freshwater Res. 68, 1653–1663. [https://doi.org/10.1071/MF16217.](https://doi.org/10.1071/MF16217)
- Bunn, S.E., Arthington, A.H., 2002. Basic Principles and Ecological Consequences of Altered Flow Regimes for Aquatic Biodiversity. Environ. Manag. 30, 492–507. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0)
- Byers, J.E., 2002. Impact of non-indigenous species on natives enhanced by anthropogenic alteration of selection regimes. Oikos 97, 449–458. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.970316.x) [10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.970316.x.](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.970316.x)
- Christie, A.P., Amano, T., Martin, P.A., Shackelford, G.E., Simmons, B.I., Sutherland, W. J., 2019. Simple study designs in ecology produce inaccurate estimates of biodiversity responses. J. Appl. Ecol. 56, 2742–2754. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13499) [1365-2664.13499](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13499).
- Cid, N., Erős, T., Heino, J., Singer, G., Jähnig, S.C., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Bonada, N., Sarremejane, R., Mykrä, H., Sandin, L., Paloniemi, R., Varumo, L., Datry, T., 2021. From meta-system theory to the sustainable management of rivers in the Anthropocene. Front. Ecol. Environ. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2417> (in press).
- [Climo, J.D., Oswald, S.B., Buschman, F.A., Hendriks, A.J., Collas, F.P.L., 2022. Inland](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref28) [Navigation Contributes to the Remobilization of Land-Based Plastics Into Riverine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref28) [Systems. Frontiers in Water 4.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref28)
- Collas, F.P.L., Buijse, A.D., van den Heuvel, L., van Kessel, N., Schoor, M.M., Eerden, H., Leuven, R.S.E.W., 2018. Longitudinal training dams mitigate effects of shipping on environmental conditions and fish density in the littoral zones of the river Rhine. Sci. Total Environ. 619–620, 1183–1193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.299) [scitotenv.2017.10.299.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.299)
- [Cowx, I.G., Welcomme, R.L., 1998. Rehabilitation of Rivers for Fish. Food](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref30) & Agriculture [Org](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref30).
- Crompton, G., 2004. 'The Tortoise and the Economy': Inland Waterway Navigation in International Economic History. J. Transport Hist. 25, 1-22. https://doi.org [10.7227/TJTH.25.2.1](https://doi.org/10.7227/TJTH.25.2.1).
- Czerniejewski, P., Skuza, L., Drotz, M.K., Berggren, M., 2012. Molecular connectedness between self and none self-sustainable populations of Chinese mitten crab (Eriocheir sinensis, H. Milne Edwards, 1853) with focus to the Swedish Lake Vänern and the Oder and Vistula River in Poland. Hereditas 149, 55–61. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.2012.02246.x) [j.1601-5223.2012.02246.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.2012.02246.x)
- Dey, M., Krishnaswamy, J., Morisaka, T., Kelkar, N., 2019. Interacting effects of vessel noise and shallow river depth elevate metabolic stress in Ganges river dolphins. Sci. Rep. 9, 15426. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51664-1>.
- Dou, P., Wang, X., Lan, Y., Cui, B., Bai, J., Xie, T., 2022. Benthic Macroinvertebrate Diversity as Affected by the Construction of Inland Waterways along Montane Stretches of Two Rivers in China. Water 14, 1080. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/w14071080) [w14071080](https://doi.org/10.3390/w14071080).
- Duan, C., Yang, M., Wang, Q., Xue, J., Yuan, L., Wu, H., 2023a. Impacts of salinity stress caused by ballast water discharge on freshwater ecosystems. Regional Studies in Marine Science 65, 103079.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2023.103079>.
- Duan, P.-X., Wang, Z.-T., Akamatsu, T., Tregenza, N., Li, G.-Y., Wang, K.-X., Wang, D., 2023b. Anthropogenic activity, hydrological regime, and light level jointly influence temporal patterns in biosonar activity of the Yangtze finless porpoise at the junction of the Yangtze River and Poyang Lake, China. Zool. Res. 44, 919–931. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2022.504) [org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2022.504.](https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2022.504)
- Duarte, G., Segurado, P., Haidvogl, G., Pont, D., Ferreira, M.T., Branco, P., 2021. Damn those damn dams: Fluvial longitudinal connectivity impairment for European diadromous fish throughout the 20th century. Sci. Total Environ. 761, 143293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143293.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143293)
- Dudgeon, D., Arthington, A.H., Gessner, M.O., Kawabata, Z.-I., Knowler, D.J., Lévêque, C., Naiman, R.J., Prieur-Richard, A.-H., Soto, D., Stiassny, M.L.J., Sullivan, C.A., 2006. Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. Biol. Rev. 81, 163–182. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006950) [S1464793105006950](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006950).

[EIWTP, 2021. European Inland Waterway Transport Platform - Annual Report 2021.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref39) [EIWTP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref39)

- Elskus, A.A., Ingersoll, C.G., Kemble, N.E., Echols, K.R., Brumbaugh, W.G., Henquinet, J. W., Watten, B.J., 2015. An evaluation of the residual toxicity and chemistry of a sodium hydroxide-based ballast water treatment system for freshwater ships. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 1405–1416. [https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2943.](https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2943)
- Fausch, K.D., Torgersen, C.E., Baxter, C.V., Li, H.W., 2002. Landscapes to Riverscapes: Bridging the Gap between Research and Conservation of Stream Fishes. Bioscience 52, 483. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2002\)052\[0483:LTRBTG\]2.0.CO;2.](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0483:LTRBTG]2.0.CO;2)
- Favaro, C., Moore, J.W., 2015. Fish assemblages and barriers in an urban stream network. Freshw. Sci. 34, 991–1005. [https://doi.org/10.1086/681917.](https://doi.org/10.1086/681917)
- Fischer, J.L., Roseman, E.F., Mayer, C.M., Qian, S., 2018. Effectiveness of shallow water habitat remediation for improving fish habitat in a large temperate river. Ecol. Eng. 123, 54–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.07.022>.
- Fischer, J.R., Claflin, T.O., 1995. Declines in aquatic vegetation in navigation pool no. 8, upper Mississippi River between 1975 and 1991. Regul. Rivers Res. Manag. 11, 157–165. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.3450110205>.
- Fleit, G., Baranya, S., Rüther, N., Bihs, H., Krámer, T., Józsa, J., 2016. Investigation of the Effects of Ship Induced Waves on the Littoral Zone with Field Measurements and CFD Modeling. Water 8, 300.<https://doi.org/10.3390/w8070300>.
- Flinn, M.B., Adams, S.R., Whiles, M.R., Garvey, J.E., 2008. Biological Responses to Contrasting Hydrology in Backwaters of Upper Mississippi River Navigation Pool 25. Environ. Manag. 41, 468-486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-008-
- Floehr, T., Xiao, H., Scholz-Starke, B., Wu, L., Hou, J., Yin, D., Zhang, X., Ji, R., Yuan, X., Ottermanns, R., Roß-Nickoll, M., Schäffer, A., Hollert, H., 2013. Solution by dilution?—A review on the pollution status of the Yangtze River. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20, 6934–6971. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1666-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1666-1)
- Flores, N.Y., Collas, F.P.L., Mehler, K., Schoor, M.M., Feld, C.K., Leuven, R.S.E.W., 2022. Assessing Habitat Suitability for Native and Alien Freshwater Mussels in the River Waal (the Netherlands), Using Hydroacoustics and Species Sensitivity Distributions. Environ. Model. Assess. 27, 187–204. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-021-09776-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-021-09776-4)
- [4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-021-09776-4). Foo, Y.Z., O'Dea, R.E., Koricheva, J., Nakagawa, S., Lagisz, M., 2021. A practical guide to question formation, systematic searching and study screening for literature reviews in ecology and evolution. Methods Ecol. Evol. 12, 1705–1720. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13654) [10.1111/2041-210X.13654.](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13654)
- Freund, J.G., Hartman, K.J., 2005. Largemouth Bass Habitat Interactions among Off-Channel and Main River Habitats in an Ohio River Navigation Pool. J. Freshw. Ecol. 20, 735–742. [https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.2005.9664797.](https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.2005.9664797)
- Friberg, N., Buijse, T., Carter, C., Hering, D., Spears, B.M., Verdonschot, P., Moe, T.F., 2017. Effective restoration of aquatic ecosystems: scaling the barriers. WIREs Water 4, e1190.<https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1190>.
- Fritts, A.K., Knights, B.C., Stanton, J.C., Milde, A.S., Vallazza, J.M., Brey, M.K., Tripp, S. J., Devine, T.E., Sleeper, W., Lamer, J.T., Mosel, K.J., 2021. Lock operations influence upstream passages of invasive and native fishes at a Mississippi River highhead dam. Biol. Invasions 23, 771–794. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02401-7) [02401-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02401-7).
- Gabel, F., Garcia, X.-F., Brauns, M., Sukhodolov, A., Leszinski, M., Pusch, M.T., 2008. Resistance to ship-induced waves of benthic invertebrates in various littoral habitats. Freshw. Biol. 53, 1567–1578. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.01991.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.01991.x)
- Gabel, F., Garcia, X.F., Schnauder, I., Pusch, M.T., 2012. Effects of ship-induced waves on littoral benthic invertebrates. Freshw. Biol. 57, 2425–2435. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12011) [10.1111/fwb.12011](https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12011).
- Gabel, F., Lorenz, S., Stoll, S., 2017. Effects of ship-induced waves on aquatic ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 601–602, 926–939. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.206) [scitotenv.2017.05.206.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.206)
- Gabel, F., Stoll, S., Fischer, P., Pusch, M.T., Garcia, X.-F., 2011. Waves affect predator–prey interactions between fish and benthic invertebrates. Oecologia 165, 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1841-8>.
- Gallardo, B., Clavero, M., Sánchez, M.I., Vilà, M., 2016. Global ecological impacts of invasive species in aquatic ecosystems. Global Change Biol. 22, 151–163. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13004) [doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13004.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13004)
- Gao, Y., Zhu, N., Meng, X.-Z., Ma, W.-L., Ma, R.-S., Jin, J.-J., Ai, F.-T., Jin, L.-M., Cai, M.- H., Liu, J.-S., Zhao, J.-F., Lindner, S., 2024. Sources and ecological risk of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water and air of the Yangtze River. Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol. 10, 389–398. [https://doi.org/10.1039/D3EW00694H.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3EW00694H)
- [Garrone Neto, D. \[UNESP, Haddad Júnior, V. \[UNESP, Gadig, O.B.F. \[UNESP, 2014.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref59) [Record of ascending passage of potamotrygonid stingrays through navigation locks:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref59) [implications for the management of non-native species in the Upper Paran](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref59)á River [basin, Southeastern Brazil. Management of Biological Invasions 113](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref59).
- [Gaye-Siessegger, J., Bader, S., Haberbosch, R., Brinker, A., 2022. Spread of invasive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref60) [Ponto-Caspian gobies and their effect on native fish species in the Neckar River](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref60) [\(South Germany\), 17 \(2\), 207](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref60)–223.
- Gomez, J.J., Túnez, J.I., Fracassi, N., Cassini, M.H., 2014. Habitat suitability and anthropogenic correlates of Neotropical river otter (Lontra longicaudis) distribution. J. Mammal. 95, 824–833. <https://doi.org/10.1644/13-MAMM-A-265>.
- González, N., Souza, M.C.O., Cezarette, G.N., Rocha, B.A., Devoz, P.P., dos Santos, L.C., Barcelos, G.R.M., Nadal, M., Domingo, J.L., Barbosa, F., 2024. Evaluation of exposure to multiple organic pollutants in riparian communities of the Brazilian Amazon: Screening levels and potential health risks. Sci. Total Environ. 908, 168294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168294>.
- Gounand, I., Harvey, E., Little, C.J., Altermatt, F., 2018. Meta-Ecosystems 2.0: Rooting the Theory into the Field. Trends Ecol. Evol. 33, 36–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.10.006) [tree.2017.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.10.006).
- Graham, A.L., Cooke, S.J., 2008. The effects of noise disturbance from various recreational boating activities common to inland waters on the cardiac physiology of

a freshwater fish, the largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides). Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. 18, 1315–1324. [https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.941.](https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.941) [Grant, P.B., Lewis, T.R., 2010. High speed boat traffic: a risk to crocodilian populations.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref65)

[Herpetol. Conserv. Biol. 5, 456](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref65)–460.

- Guan, Y., Zhang, X., Chen, S., Liu, G., Jia, Y., Zhang, Y., Gao, G., Zhang, J., Li, Z., Cao, C., 2023. Fishing Vessel Classification in SAR Images Using a Novel Deep Learning Model. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Rem. Sens. 61, 1–21. [https://doi.org/10.1109/](https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2023.3312766) [TGRS.2023.3312766](https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2023.3312766).
- Gutreuter, S., Vallazza, J.M., Knights, B.C., 2006. Persistent disturbance by commercial navigation alters the relative abundance of channel-dwelling fishes in a large river. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 63, 2418–2433. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f06-129>.
- Habersack, H., Hein, T., Stanica, A., Liska, I., Mair, R., Jäger, E., Hauer, C., Bradley, C., 2016. Challenges of river basin management: Current status of, and prospects for, the River Danube from a river engineering perspective. Sci. Total Environ. 543, 828–845. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.123.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.123)
- Haddaway, N.R., Bernes, C., Jonsson, B.-G., Hedlund, K., 2016. The benefits of systematic mapping to evidence-based environmental management. Ambio 45, 613–620. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0773-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0773-x)
- Haddaway, N.R., Bethel, A., Dicks, L.V., Koricheva, J., Macura, B., Petrokofsky, G., Pullin, A.S., Savilaakso, S., Stewart, G.B., 2020. Eight problems with literature reviews and how to fix them. Nat Ecol Evol 4, 1582–1589. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01295-x) [s41559-020-01295-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01295-x).
- Hanafiah, M.M., Leuven, R.S.E.W., Sommerwerk, N., Tockner, K., Huijbregts, M.A.J., 2013. Including the Introduction of Exotic Species in Life Cycle Impact Assessment: The Case of Inland Shipping. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 13934–13940. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es403870z) [org/10.1021/es403870z.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es403870z)
- Harnish, R.A., Johnson, G.E., McMichael, G.A., Hughes, M.S., Ebberts, B.D., 2012. Effect of Migration Pathway on Travel Time and Survival of Acoustic-Tagged Juvenile Salmonids in the Columbia River Estuary. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 141, 507–519. [https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2012.670576.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2012.670576)
- Harvolk, S., Symmank, L., Sundermeier, A., Otte, A., Donath, T.W., 2015. Human impact on plant biodiversity in functional floodplains of heavily modified rivers – A comparative study along German Federal Waterways. Ecol. Eng. 84, 463–475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.09.019>.
- Havel, J.E., Kovalenko, K.E., Thomaz, S.M., Amalfitano, S., Kats, L.B., 2015. Aquatic invasive species: challenges for the future. Hydrobiologia 750, 147–170. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2166-0) [org/10.1007/s10750-014-2166-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2166-0)
- Heino, J., 2013. The importance of metacommunity ecology for environmental assessment research in the freshwater realm. Biol. Rev. 88, 166–178. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00244.x) [org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00244.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00244.x).
- Henry Ogbuagu, D., Chidiogo Okoli, G., Asuenime Agbonikhena, N., 2013. Seaportassociated pollution in Ogu waterway near Port Harcourt. Manag. Environ. Qual. Int. J. 24, 512–525.<https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-05-2012-0036>.
- [Hohensinner, S., Hauer, C., Muhar, S., 2018. River morphology, channelization, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref77) [habitat restoration. In: Riverine Ecosystem Management: Science for Governing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref77) [towards a Sustainable Future, pp. 41](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref77)–65.
- Holland, L.E., 1986. Effects of Barge Traffic on Distribution and Survival of Ichthyoplankton and Small Fishes in the Upper Mississippi River. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 115, 162–165. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659\(1986\)115](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1986)115<162:EOBTOD>2.0.CO;2)*<*162: [EOBTOD](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1986)115<162:EOBTOD>2.0.CO;2)*>*2.0.CO;2.
- Hondorp, D.W., Bennion, D.H., Roseman, E.F., Holbrook, C.M., Boase, J.C., Chiotti, J.A., Thomas, M.V., Wills, T.C., Drouin, R.G., Kessel, S.T., Krueger, C.C., 2017. Use of navigation channels by Lake Sturgeon: Does channelization increase vulnerability of fish to ship strikes? PLoS One 12, e0179791. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179791) [pone.0179791](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179791).
- Horsák, M., Bojková, J., Zahrádková, S., Omesová, M., Helešic, J., 2009. Impact of reservoirs and channelization on lowland river macroinvertebrates: A case study from Central Europe. Limnologica 39, 140–151. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2008.03.004) [limno.2008.03.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2008.03.004)
- Huckstorf, V., Lewin, W.-C., Mehner, T., Wolter, C., 2011. Impoverishment of YOY-fish assemblages by intense commercial navigation in a large Lowland river. River Res. Appl. 27, 1253–1263. [https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1420.](https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1420)
- Humphries, P., Winemiller, K.O., 2009. Historical Impacts on River Fauna, Shifting Baselines, and Challenges for Restoration. Bioscience 59, 673–684. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.8.9) [10.1525/bio.2009.59.8.9.](https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.8.9)
- INE, 2020. Naiades, COVID | Inland Navigation Europe [WWW Document]. Inland Navigation Europe. URL. [http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/policy/nai](http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/policy/naiades-programme-for-post-covid-growth/) arogramme-for-post-covid-growth/. accessed 6.25.20.
- INE, 2019. Naiades 3 | Inland Navigation Europe [WWW Document]. URL. [http://www.](http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/events/naiades-3-brainstorm-workshop/) [inlandnavigation.eu/news/events/naiades-3-brainstorm-workshop/](http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/events/naiades-3-brainstorm-workshop/). (Accessed 7 July 2020).
- INE, 2014. EU waterway infrastructure | Inland Navigation Europe [WWW Document]. Inland Navigation Europe. URL. [http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/infrastruct](http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/infrastructure/eu-waterway-infrastructure-priorities-for-2014-2020/) [ure/eu-waterway-infrastructure-priorities-for-2014-2020/.](http://www.inlandnavigation.eu/news/infrastructure/eu-waterway-infrastructure-priorities-for-2014-2020/) accessed 6.25.20.
- Jackson, M.C., Loewen, C.J.G., Vinebrooke, R.D., Chimimba, C.T., 2016. Net effects of multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol. 22, 180–189. [https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13028.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13028)
- Jacobs, A.I., Keller, R.P., 2017. Straddling the divide: invasive aquatic species in Illinois and movement between the Great Lakes and Mississippi basins. Biol. Invasions 19, 635–646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-016-1321-0>.
- Jähnig, S.C., Carolli, M., Dehnhardt, A., Jardine, T., Podschun, S., Pusch, M., Scholz, M., [Tharme, R.E., Wantzen, K.M., Langhans, S., 2022. Ecosystem services of river](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref88) systems–[Irreplaceable, undervalued, and at risk. In: Encyclopedia of Inland Waters.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref88) [Elsevier, pp. 424](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref88)–435.
- James, K.L., Randall, N.P., Haddaway, N.R., 2016. A methodology for systematic mapping in environmental sciences. Environ. Evid. 5, 7. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-016-0059-6) [s13750-016-0059-6.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-016-0059-6)
- Jeliazkov, Alienor, 2024. Database for systematic map of inland navigation effects on freshwater biodiversity. <https://doi.org/10.57745/N6Y6QR>. Recherche Data Gouv, V1.
- Jones, P.E., Consuegra, S., Börger, L., Jones, J., Garcia de Leaniz, C., 2020. Impacts of artificial barriers on the connectivity and dispersal of vascular macrophytes in rivers: A critical review. Freshw. Biol. 65, 1165–1180. [https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13493.](https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13493)
- Kano, Y., Sato, T., Huang, L., Wood, C., Bessho, K., Matsumoto, T., Shimatani, Y., Nakajima, J., 2013. Navigation disturbance and its impact on fish assemblage in the East Tiaoxi River, China. Landscape Ecol Eng 9, 289–298. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-011-0181-0) [s11355-011-0181-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-011-0181-0)
- Karle, K.F., Emmett, W.W., Moore, N., 2005. Analysis of 11 Bioengineered Stream Bank Erosion Control Structures in Alaska. Transport. Res. Rec. 122–128. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198105194100115) [10.1177/0361198105194100115](https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198105194100115), 1941.
- [Ketelaars, H.A.M., 2004. Range extensions of Ponto-Caspian aquatic invertebrates in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref93) [Continental Europe. In: Dumont, H., Shiganova, T.A., Niermann, U. \(Eds.\), Aquatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref93) [Invasions in the Black, Caspian, and Mediterranean Seas. Springer, Netherlands,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref93) [Dordrecht, pp. 209](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref93)–236.
- Killgore, K.J., Maynord, S.T., Chan, M.D., Morgan, R.P., 2001. Evaluation of Propeller-Induced Mortality on Early Life Stages of Selected Fish Species. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 21, 947–955. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675\(2001\)021](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2001)021<0947:EOPIMO>2.0.CO;2)*<*0947: EOPIMO*>*[2.0.CO;2.](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2001)021<0947:EOPIMO>2.0.CO;2)
- Killgore, K.J., Miranda, L.E., Murphy, C.E., Wolff, D.M., Hoover, J.J., Keevin, T.M., Maynord, S.T., Cornish, M.A., 2011. Fish Entrainment Rates through Towboat Propellers in the Upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 140, 570–581. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2011.581977>.
- Koel, T.M., Stevenson, K.E., 2002. Effects of dredge material placement on benthic macroinvertebrates of the Illinois River. Hydrobiologia 474, 229–238. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016500324467) [org/10.1023/A:1016500324467](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016500324467).
- [Koricheva, J., Gurevitch, J., 2013. Place of meta-analysis among other methods of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref97) [research synthesis. In: Handbook of Meta-Analysis in Ecology and Evolution,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref97) [pp. 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref97)–13.
- [Kotiaho, J.S., Tomkins, J.L., 2002. Meta-Analysis, Can It Ever Fail? Oikos 96, 551](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref98)–553. Kucera-Hirzinger, V., Schludermann, E., Zornig, H., Weissenbacher, A., Schabuss, M.,
- Schiemer, F., 2009. Potential effects of navigation-induced wave wash on the early life history stages of riverine fish. Aquat. Sci. 71, 94–102. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-008-8110-5) [s00027-008-8110-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-008-8110-5)
- Kuhajda, B.R., Rider, S.J., 2016. Status of the imperiled Alabama Sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus suttkusi Williams and Clemmer, 1991). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 32, 15–29. [https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13237.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13237)
- Lange, K., Bruder, A., Matthaei, C.D., Brodersen, J., Paterson, R.A., 2018. Multiplestressor effects on freshwater fish: Importance of taxonomy and life stage. Fish Fish. 19, 974–983.<https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12305>.
- Le Pichon, C., Lestel, L., Courson, E., Merg, M.-L., Tales, E., Belliard, J., 2020. Historical Changes in the Ecological Connectivity of the Seine River for Fish: A Focus on Physical and Chemical Barriers Since the Mid-19th Century. Water 12, 1352. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12051352) [doi.org/10.3390/w12051352.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12051352)
- Leclere, J., Belliard, J., Oberdorff, T., 2012. Young-of-the-year fish assemblages as indicators of anthropogenic disturbances in large tributaries of the Seine River Basin (France). Hydrobiologia 694, 99–116. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1135-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1135-8)
- Leitner, P., Borgwardt, F., Birk, S., Graf, W., 2021. Multiple stressor effects on benthic macroinvertebrates in very large European rivers – A typology-based evaluation of faunal responses as a basis for future bioassessment. Sci. Total Environ. 756, 143472. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143472.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143472)
- Lepori, F., Palm, D., Brännäs, E., Malmovist, B., 2005. Does Restoration of Structural Heterogeneity in Streams Enhance Fish and Macroinvertebrate Diversity? Ecol. Appl. 15, 2060–2071. [https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1372.](https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1372)
- Leppäkoski, E., Gollasch, S., Olenin, S., 2013. Invasive Aquatic Species of Europe. [Distribution, Impacts and Management. Springer Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref106) & Business Media.
- Leprieur, F., Beauchard, O., Blanchet, S., Oberdorff, T., Brosse, S., 2008. Fish Invasions in the World's River Systems: When Natural Processes Are Blurred by Human Activities. PLoS Biol. 6, e28. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060028.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060028)
- Leuven, R.S.E.W., van der Velde, G., Baijens, I., Snijders, J., van der Zwart, C., Lenders, H.J.R., bij de Vaate, A., 2009. The river Rhine: a global highway for dispersal of aquatic invasive species. Biol. Invasions 11, 1989. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9491-7) [10.1007/s10530-009-9491-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9491-7).
- Liashenko, A.V., Zorina-Sakharova, K.Y., Pohorielova, M.S., Sereda, T.M., Abramyuk, І.І., Trylis, V.V., 2022. Impact of hydrotechnical construction on aquatic ecosystems of the Kiliia branch of the Danube Delta. Biosystems Diversity 30, 359–371. [https://](https://doi.org/10.15421/012235) doi.org/10.15421/012235.
- [Livoreil, B., Glanville, J., Haddaway, N.R., Bayliss, H., Bethel, A., de Lachapelle, F.F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/optNgTRgB9RT2) [Robalino, S., Savilaakso, S., Zhou, W., Petrokofsky, G., Frampton, G., 2017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/optNgTRgB9RT2) [Systematic searching for environmental evidence using multiple tools and sources.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/optNgTRgB9RT2) [Environ. Evid. 6, 23.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/optNgTRgB9RT2)
- Locke, A., Reid, D.M., van Leeuwen, H.C., Sprules, W.G., Carlton, J.T., 1993. Ballast Wafer Exchange as a Means of Controlling Dispersal of Freshwater Organisms by Ships. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 50, 2086–2093. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f93-232>.
- Luttenton, M.L., Vansteenburg, J.B., Rada, R.G., 1986. Phycoperiphyton in selected reaches of the Upper Mississippi River: community composition, architecture, and productivity. Hydrobiologia 136, 31–45. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00051502.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00051502)
- Luttenton, M.R., Rada, R.G., 1986. Effects of Disturbance on Epiphytic Community Architecture1. J. Phycol. 22, 320–326. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1986.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1986.tb00030.x) [tb00030.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1986.tb00030.x).

Magliozzi, C., Tsiamis, K., Vigiak, O., Deriu, I., Gervasini, E., Cardoso, A.C., 2020. Assessing invasive alien species in European catchments: Distribution and impacts. Sci. Total Environ. 732, 138677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.1386

Maguire, R.J., 1991. Aquatic Environmental Aspects of Non-Pesticidal Organotin Compounds. Water Quality Research Journal 26, 243–360. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.1991.016) [10.2166/wqrj.1991.016.](https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.1991.016)

Manfrin, A., Bunzel-Drueke, M., Lorenz, A.W., Maire, A., Scharf, M., Zimball, O., Stoll, S., 2020. The effect of lateral connectedness on the taxonomic and functional structure of fish communities in a lowland river floodplain. Sci. Total Environ. 719, 137169. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137169.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137169)

Marescaux, J., von Oheimb, K.C.M., Etoundi, E., von Oheimb, P.V., Albrecht, C., Wilke, T., Van Doninck, K., 2016. Unravelling the invasion pathways of the quagga mussel (Dreissena rostriformis) into Western Europe. Biol. Invasions 18, 245–264. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-1005-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-1005-1)

Maxson, K.A., Whitten, A.L., Harris, B.S., Happel, A., Butler, S.E., Parkos, J.J., Casper, A. F., Lamer, J.T., 2023. First records of the fishhook waterflea Cercopagis pengoi Ostroumov, 1891 in the Mississippi River Basin, Illinois Waterway, USA. BioInvasions Records 12, 1079–1087. [https://doi.org/10.3391/bir.2023.12.4.20.](https://doi.org/10.3391/bir.2023.12.4.20)

[McCabe, G.T., Hinton, S.A., Emmett, R.L., 1998. Benthic invertebrates and sediment](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref118) [characteristics in a shallow navigation channel of the lower Columbia River, before](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref118) [and after dredging. Northwest Sci. 72, 116](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref118)–126.

Merg, M.-L., Dézerald, O., Kreutzenberger, K., Demski, S., Reyjol, Y., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Belliard, J., 2020. Modeling diadromous fish loss from historical data: Identification of anthropogenic drivers and testing of mitigation scenarios. PLoS One 15, e0236575. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236575>.

Miake-Lye, I.M., Hempel, S., Shanman, R., Shekelle, P.G., 2016. What is an evidence map? A systematic review of published evidence maps and their definitions, methods, and products. Syst. Rev. 5, 28. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0204-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0204-x)

[x.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0204-x) Miller, S.A., Forrest, J.L., 2001. Enhancing your practice through evidence-based decision making: PICO, learning how to ask good questions. J. Evid. Base Dent. Pract. 1, 136–141. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1532-3382\(01\)70024-3.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1532-3382(01)70024-3)

Miranda, L.E., Killgore, K.J., 2013. Entrainment of shovelnose sturgeon by towboat navigation in the Upper Mississippi River. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 29, 316–322. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12132) [doi.org/10.1111/jai.12132.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12132)

Mirza, M.M.Q., 1997. Hydrological changes in the Ganges system in Bangladesh in the post-Farakka period. Hydrol. Sci. J. 42, 613–631. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/02626669709492062) [02626669709492062.](https://doi.org/10.1080/02626669709492062)

Mongeon, P., Paul-Hus, A., 2016. The journal coverage of Web of Science and Scopus: a comparative analysis. Scientometrics 106, 213–228. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1765-5) [s11192-015-1765-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-015-1765-5)

Moog, O., Stubauer, I., Haimann, M., Habersack, H., Leitner, P., 2018. Effects of harbour excavating and dredged sediment disposal on the benthic invertebrate fauna of River Danube (Austria). Hydrobiologia 814, 109–120. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-2476-x) [015-2476-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-2476-x).

Mossa, J., Chen, Y.-H., 2022. Geomorphic response to historic and ongoing human impacts in a large lowland river. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 47, 1550–1569. [https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5334.](https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5334)

Mossa, J., Chen, Y.-H., Kondolf, G.M., Walls, S.P., 2020. Channel and vegetation recovery from dredging of a large river in the Gulf coastal plain, USA. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 45, 1926–1944. [https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4856.](https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4856)

Munawar, M., Norwood, W.P., McCarthy, L.H., 1991. A method for evaluating the impact of navigationally induced suspended sediments from the Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels on the primary productivity. Hydrobiologia 219, 325–332. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00024765>.

Nagrodski, A., Raby, G.D., Hasler, C.T., Taylor, M.K., Cooke, S.J., 2012. Fish stranding in freshwater systems: Sources, consequences, and mitigation. J. Environ. Manag. 103, 133–141. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.03.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.03.007)

Némethy, S.A., Ternell, A., Bornmalm, L., Lagerqvist, B., Szemethy, L., 2022. [Environmental Viability Analysis of Connected European Inland](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref130)–Marine Waterways [and Their Services in View of Climate Change. Atmosphere 13, 951.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref130)

Niimi, A.J., 1982. Economic and Environmental Issues of the Proposed Extension of the Winter Navigation Season and Improvements on the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway System. J. Great Lake. Res. 8, 532–549. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(82)71991-4) [1330\(82\)71991-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(82)71991-4).

Olsen, J.R., Zepp, L.J., Dager, C.A., 2012. Climate Impacts on Inland Navigation 1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1061/40792\(173\)463.](https://doi.org/10.1061/40792(173)463)

Ou´edraogo, D.-Y., Villemey, A., Vanpeene, S., Coulon, A., Azambourg, V., Hulard, M., Guinard, E., Bertheau, Y., Flamerie De Lachapelle, F., Rauel, V., Le Mitouard, E., Jeusset, A., Vargac, M., Witté, I., Jactel, H., Touroult, J., Reyjol, Y., Sordello, R., 2020. Can linear transportation infrastructure verges constitute a habitat and/or a corridor for vertebrates in temperate ecosystems? A systematic review. Environ. Evid. 9, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-020-00196-7>.

Ovaskainen, O., Rybicki, J., Abrego, N., 2019. What can observational data reveal about metacommunity processes? Ecography 42, 1877–1886. https://doi.org/10.1111/ $\cos 04444$

Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J.M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M.M., Li, T., Loder, E.W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L.A., Stewart, L.A., Thomas, J., Tricco, A.C., Welch, V.A., Whiting, P., Moher, D., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Int. J. Surg. 88, 105906. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906) [ijsu.2021.105906.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906)

Peng, S., Dai, M., Zhang, J., Zhang, M., Shi, Q., Liang, B., Zheng, T., 2020. Dynamics of ecological risks associated with heavy metals in sediments during the construction

process of the Yangtze River deepwater channel. J. Clean. Prod. 269, 122231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122231.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122231)

[PIANC, 2003. Guidelines for Sustainable Inland Waterways and Navigation. PIANC](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref137).

Pledger, A.G., Brewin, P., Mathers, K.L., Phillips, J., Wood, P.J., Yu, D., 2021. The effects of water injection dredging on low-salinity estuarine ecosystems: Implications for fish and macroinvertebrate communities. Ecol. Indicat. 122, 107244. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107244) [org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107244](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107244).

Plotnikova, E., Vienažindienė, M., Slavinskas, S., 2022. Development of Inland Waterway Transport as a Key to Ensure Sustainability: A Case Study of Lithuania. Sustainability 14, 10532. [https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710532.](https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710532)

Poff, N.L., Olden, J.D., Merritt, D.M., Pepin, D.M., 2007. Homogenization of regional river dynamics by dams and global biodiversity implications. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 5732–5737. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609812104.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609812104)

Pollock, L.J., Tingley, R., Morris, W.K., Golding, N., O'Hara, R.B., Parris, K.M., Vesk, P. A., McCarthy, M.A., 2014. Understanding co-occurrence by modelling species simultaneously with a Joint Species Distribution Model (JSDM). Methods Ecol. Evol. 5, 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12180>.

Putland, R.L., Brey, M.K., Mensinger, A.F., 2021. Exploring how vessel activity influences the soundscape at a navigation lock on the Mississippi River. J. Environ. Manag. 296, 112720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112720>.

Qian, S., 2023. Study on Inheritance and Protection of Ling Qu: A World Heritage Site in Guangxi. Traditions and Cultural Heritage: Genesis, Reproduction, and Preservation 222–229. <https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/mono/978-81-19039-58-6/CH20>.

[R Core Team, 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref144) [Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref144)

[Racine, C.H., Walters, J.C., Jorgenson, M.T., 1998. Airboat Use and Disturbance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref145) [Floating Mat Fen Wetlands in Interior Alaska, U. S. A. Arctic 51, 371](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref145)–377.

Rahel, F.J., 2013. Intentional Fragmentation as a Management Strategy in Aquatic Systems. Bioscience 63, 362–372. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2013.63.5.

Rahel, F.J., Olden, J.D., 2008. Assessing the Effects of Climate Change on Aquatic Invasive Species. Conserv. Biol. 22, 521–533. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00950.x) [1739.2008.00950.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00950.x)

Ricciardi, A., MacIsaac, H.J., 2022. Vector control reduces the rate of species invasion in the world's largest freshwater ecosystem. Conservation Letters 15, e12866. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12866) [doi.org/10.1111/conl.12866.](https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12866)

[Rijkswaterstaat, 2011. A brief history of inland navigation and waterways - the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref149) development of the water infrastructure in the Netherlands. In: Rijkswaterstaat [Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management of The Netherlands, The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref149) **Netherlands**

Rivero, N.K., Dafforn, K.A., Coleman, M.A., Johnston, E.L., 2013. Environmental and ecological changes associated with a marina. Biofouling 29, 803–815. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2013.805751) [org/10.1080/08927014.2013.805751.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2013.805751)

Robinson, C.V., de Leaniz, C.G., Consuegra, S., 2019. Effect of artificial barriers on the distribution of the invasive signal crayfish and Chinese mitten crab. Sci. Rep. 9, 7230. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43570-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43570-3)

Rodríguez-Rey, M., Consuegra, S., Börger, L., Garcia de Leaniz, C., 2021. Boat ramps facilitate the dispersal of the highly invasive zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha). Biol. Invasions 23, 1487–1496. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02453-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02453-9)

Rohács, J., Simongáti, G., 2007. The role of inland waterway navigation in a sustainable transport system. Transport 22, 148–153. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/16484142.2007.9638117) [16484142.2007.9638117](https://doi.org/10.1080/16484142.2007.9638117).

Rountree, R.A., Juanes, F., Bolgan, M., 2020. Temperate freshwater soundscapes: A cacophony of undescribed biological sounds now threatened by anthropogenic noise. PLoS One 15, e0221842. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221842.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221842)

Rüdel, H., Müller, J., Steinhanses, J., Schröter-Kermani, C., 2007. Retrospective monitoring of organotin compounds in freshwater fish from 1988 to 2003: Results from the German environmental specimen bank. Chemosphere 66, 1884–1894. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.08.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.08.014)

Schafft, M., Wegner, B., Meyer, N., Wolter, C., Arlinghaus, R., 2021. Ecological impacts of water-based recreational activities on freshwater ecosystems: a global metaanalysis. Proc. Biol. Sci. 288, 20211623. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.1623>.

Scharf, B., Brunke, M., 2013. The recolonization of the river Elbe with benthic and hyporheic Ostracoda (Crustacea) after the reunion of Germany in 1989. Int. Rev. Hydrobiol. 98, 305–312. <https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.201201617>.

Schiesari, L., Matias, M.G., Prado, P.I., Leibold, M.A., Albert, C.H., Howeth, J.G., Leroux, S.J., Pardini, R., Siqueira, T., Brancalion, P.H.S., Cabeza, M., Coutinho, R.M., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Fournier, B., Lahr, D.J.G., Lewinsohn, T.M., Martins, A., Morsello, C., Peres-Neto, P.R., Pillar, V.D., Vázquez, D.P., 2019. Towards an applied metaecology. Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation 17, 172–181. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2019.11.001) [org/10.1016/j.pecon.2019.11.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2019.11.001)

Schludermann, E., Liedermann, M., Hoyer, H., Tritthart, M., Habersack, H., Keckeis, H., 2014. Effects of vessel-induced waves on the YOY-fish assemblage at two different habitat types in the main stem of a large river (Danube, Austria). Hydrobiologia 729, 3–15. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-013-1680-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-013-1680-9)

Schmitt, K., Schäffer, M., Koop, J., Symmank, L., 2018. River bank stabilisation by bioengineering: potentials for ecological diversity. Journal of Applied Water Engineering and Research 6, 262–273. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/23249676.2018.1466735) 23249676.2018.146673

Schorg, A.J., Romano, S.P., 2018. Shallow and deep water aquatic vegetation potential for a midlatitude pool of the Upper Mississippi River System with drawdown. River Res. Appl. 34, 310–316. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3260>.

Sexton, A.N., Beisel, J.-N., Staentzel, C., Wolter, C., Tales, E., Belliard, J., Buijse, A.D., Martínez Fernández, V., Wantzen, K.M., Jähnig, S.C., Garcia de Leaniz, C., Schmidt-Kloiber, A., Haase, P., Forio, M.A.E., Archambaud, G., Fruget, J.-F., Dohet, A., Evtimova, V., Csabai, Z., Floury, M., Goethals, P., Várbiró, G., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Larrañaga, A., Maire, A., Schäfer, R.B., Sinclair, J.S., Vannevel, R., Welti, E.A.R.,

Jeliazkov, A., 2024. Inland navigation and land use interact to impact European freshwater biodiversity. Nat Ecol Evol 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-024-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-024-02414-8) [02414-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-024-02414-8).

- Siddaway, A.P., Wood, A.M., Hedges, L.V., 2019. How to Do a Systematic Review: A Best Practice Guide for Conducting and Reporting Narrative Reviews, Meta-Analyses, and Meta-Syntheses. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 70, 747–770. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102803) psych-010418-102803
- Simcox, B.L., DeVries, D.R., Wright, R.A., 2015. Migratory Characteristics and Passage of Paddlefishat Two Southeastern U.S. Lock-and-Dam Systems. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 144, 456–466. [https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2014.995832.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2014.995832)
- Simons, J.H.E.J., Bakker, C., Schropp, M.H.I., Jans, L.H., Kok, F.R., Grift, R.E., 2001. Man-made secondary channels along the River Rhine (The Netherlands); results of post-project monitoring. Regul. Rivers Res. Manag. 17, 473-491. https://doi.org [10.1002/rrr.661](https://doi.org/10.1002/rrr.661).
- Smigaj, M., Hackney, C.R., Diem, P.K., Tri, V.P.D., Ngoc, N.T., Bui, D.D., Darby, S.E., Leyland, J., 2023. Monitoring riverine traffic from space: The untapped potential of remote sensing for measuring human footprint on inland waterways. Sci. Total Environ. 860, 160363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160363>.
- Soga, M., Gaston, K.J., 2018. Shifting baseline syndrome: causes, consequences, and implications. Front. Ecol. Environ. 16, 222–230. [https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1794.](https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1794)
- Söhngen, B., Fleischer, P., Liebenstein, H., 2018. German guidelines for designing alternative bank protection measures. Journal of Applied Water Engineering and Research 6, 298–305. [https://doi.org/10.1080/23249676.2018.1514281.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23249676.2018.1514281)
- Söhngen, B., Koop, J., Knight, S., Rythönen, J., Beckwith, P., Ferrari, N., Iribarren, J., [Keevin, T., Wolter, C., Maynord, S., 2008. Considerations to reduce environmental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref169) [impacts of vessels. In: Report of PIANC INCOM Working Group 27/WG99](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref169).
- Sordello, R., Flamerie De Lachapelle, F., Livoreil, B., Vanpeene, S., 2019. Evidence of the environmental impact of noise pollution on biodiversity: a systematic map protocol. Environ. Evid. 8, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-019-0146-6>.
- Staentzel, C., Kondolf, G.M., Schmitt, L., Combroux, I., Barillier, A., Beisel, J.-N., 2020. Restoring fluvial forms and processes by gravel augmentation or bank erosion below dams: A systematic review of ecological responses. Sci. Total Environ. 706, 135743. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135743.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135743)
- Suedel, B.C., Amorim, R.S., Lauth, T.J., Creech, C.T., 2022. Nature-based solutions for improving navigation reliability on the Madeira River, Brazil. Integrated Environ. Assess. Manag. 18, 115–122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4478>.
- Suedel, B.C., Wilkens, J.L., McQueen, A.D., Gailani, J.Z., Lackey, T.C., Mays, N., 2024. Adaptation of a risk-based framework for evaluating indirect effects of dredging on sensitive habitats near federal navigation channels: An application of the framework to coral reefs at Honolulu Harbor, Hawai'i. Integrated Environ. Assess. Manag. 20, 547–561. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4830.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4830)
- Sys, C., Van de Voorde, E., Vanelslander, T., van Hassel, E., 2020. Pathways for a sustainable future inland water transport: A case study for the European inland navigation sector. Case Studies on Transport Policy 8, 686–699. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2020.07.013) [10.1016/j.cstp.2020.07.013.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2020.07.013)
- Tales, E., Boët, P., 2005. Fonctionnalité écologique des berges et peuplements piscicoles -Effets des aménagements liés à la navigation (Report No. 0451007002236034). [Cemagref /CETMEF, p. 27](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref175).
- Tavares, C.N., Brauns, M., Hille, S., Krenek, S., Borcherding, J., Weitere, M., 2020. Tracing the colonization process of non-native gobies into a large river: the relevance of different dispersal modes. Biol. Invasions 22, 2421–2429. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02281-x) [10.1007/s10530-020-02281-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-020-02281-x)
- Templeton, W.J., Jay, D.A., Diefenderfer, H.L., Talke, S.A., 2024. Shallow-Water Habitat in the Lower Columbia River Estuary: A Highly Altered System. Estuar. Coast 47, 91–116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-023-01229-3>.
- [Terziev, M., Mosse, J., Norman, R., Pazouki, K., Lord, R., Tezdogan, T., Thompson, C.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref178) [Konovessis, D., Incecik, A., 2023. Review of UK inland waterways transportation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref178) [from the hydrodynamics point of view. Urban Planning 8, 438](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref178)–454.
- Theiling, C.H., Maher, R.J., Sparks, R.E., 1996. Effects of Variable Annual Hydrology on a River Regulated for Navigation: Pool 26, Upper Mississippi River System. J. Freshw. Ecol. 11, 101-114. https://doi.org/10.1080/02705060.1996.966349
- Thunnissen, N.W., Collas, F.P.L., Hendriks, A.J., Leuven, R.S.E.W., 2019. Effect of shipping induced changes in flow velocity on aquatic macrophytes in intensively navigated rivers. Aquat. Bot. 159, 103145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2019.103145) auabot.2019.10314
- Turney, D.D., Fritts, A.K., Knights, B.C., Vallazza, J.M., Appel, D.S., Lamer, J.T., 2022. Hydrological and lock operation conditions associated with paddlefish and bigheaded carp dam passage on a large and small scale in the Upper Mississippi River (Pools 14–18). PeerJ 10, e13822. [https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13822.](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13822)
- Valová, Z., Janáč, M., Švanyga, [J., Jurajda, P., 2014. Structure of 0](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref182)+ juvenile fish [assemblages in the modified upper stretch of the River Elbe, Czech Republic. Czech](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref182) [J. Anim. Sci. 59, 35](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref182)–44.
- Vergeynst, J., Pauwels, I., Baeyens, R., Coeck, J., Nopens, I., De Mulder, T., Mouton, A., 2019. The impact of intermediate-head navigation locks on downstream fish passage. River Res. Appl. 35, 224–235. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3403>.

Villemey, A., Jeusset, A., Vargac, M., Bertheau, Y., Coulon, A., Touroult, J., Vanpeene, S., Castagneyrol, B., Jactel, H., Witte, I., Deniaud, N., Flamerie De Lachapelle, F., Jaslier, E., Roy, V., Guinard, E., Le Mitouard, E., Rauel, V., Sordello, R., 2018. Can linear transportation infrastructure verges constitute a habitat and/or a corridor for insects in temperate landscapes? A systematic review. Environ. Evid. 7, 5. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0117-3) [doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0117-3.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0117-3)

Wantzen, K.M., 2023. River Culture–Life as a dance the rhythm of the water

- Wantzen, K.M., Assine, M.L., Bortolotto, I.M., Calheiros, D.F., Campos, Z., Catella, A.C., Chiaravalotti, R.M., Collischonn, W., Couto, E.G., da Cunha, C.N., Damasceno-Junior, G.A., da Silva, C.J., Eberhard, A., Ebert, A., de Figueiredo, D.M., Friedlander, M., Garcia, L.C., Girard, P., Hamilton, S.K., Ikeda-Castrillon, S., Libonati, R., Lourival, R., de Azevedo Macedo, H., Junior, J.M., Mateus, L., Morato, R.G., Mourão, G., Muniz, C.C., Nunes, A.V., de Oliveira, M.D., da Rosa Oliveria, M., Junior, E.S.O., Padovani, C.R., Penha, J., Ribeiro, D.B., de Oliveira Roque, F., Silva, A., Soriano, B.M.A., Sousa Junior, W.C., Tomas, W.M., Tortato, F.R., Urbanetz, C., 2024. The end of an entire biome? World's largest wetland, the Pantanal, is menaced by the Hidrovia project which is uncertain to sustainably support large-scale navigation. Sci. Total Environ. 908 (167751), 1–9. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167751) [org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167751.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167751)
- Ward, J.V., 1989. The Four-Dimensional Nature of Lotic Ecosystems. J. North Am. Benthol. Soc. 8, 2-8. https://doi.org/10.2307/146739
- Weber, A., Lautenbach, S., Wolter, C., 2012. Improvement of aquatic vegetation in urban waterways using protected artificial shallows. Ecol. Eng. 42, 160–167. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.01.007) [org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.01.007).
- Wehr, J.D., Lonergan, S.P., Thorp, J.H., 1997. Concentrations and controls of dissolved organic matter in a constricted-channel region of the Ohio River. Biogeochemistry 38, 41–65. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005708326368.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005708326368)
- [Wehr, J.D., Thorp, J.H., 1997. Effects of Navigation Dams, Tributaries, and Littoral Zones](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref190) [on Phytoplankton Communities in the Ohio River, vol. 54, p. 18.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref190)
- Weijters, M.J., Janse, J.H., Alkemade, R., Verhoeven, J.T.A., 2009. Quantifying the effect of catchment land use and water nutrient concentrations on freshwater river and stream biodiversity. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. 19, 104–112. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.989) [org/10.1002/aqc.989.](https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.989)

[Wiegmans, B., Konings, R., 2016. Inland Waterway Transport. Routledge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0301-4797(24)02460-5/sref192).

- Wolter, C., 2001. Conservation of fish species diversity in navigable waterways. Landsc. Urban Plann. 53, 135–144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046\(00\)00147-X.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(00)00147-X)
- Wolter, C., Arlinghaus, R., Sukhodolov, A., Engelhardt, C., 2004. A Model of Navigation-Induced Currents in Inland Waterways and Implications for Juvenile Fish Displacement. Environ. Manag. 34, 656–668. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-004-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-004-0201-z) [0201-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-004-0201-z)
- Wysocki, L.E., Dittami, J.P., Ladich, F., 2006. Ship noise and cortisol secretion in European freshwater fishes. Biol. Conserv. 128, 501–508. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.10.020) [biocon.2005.10.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.10.020).
- Xiong, F., Infante, D.M., Olden, J.D., Gao, W., Wang, L., Chen, Y., 2023. River–lake connectivity, wetland, and human stress factors shape fish diversity (alpha and beta) patterns in the middle and lower Yangtze River, China. Landsc. Ecol. 38, 3809–3824. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-023-01616-y>.
- Xiong, F., Olden, J.D., Lu, Y., Liu, H., Qu, X., Xia, W., Guo, C., Wu, X., Infante, D.M., Wang, L., Chen, Y., 2021. Riparian land use and in-channel stressors drive fish community structure in the Yangtze River. Landscape Ecol 36, 3079–3095. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-021-01278-8) doi.org/10.1007/s10980-021-01278-8.
- Xu, M., Wang, Z., Duan, X., Pan, B., 2014. Effects of pollution on macroinvertebrates and water quality bio-assessment. Hydrobiologia 729, 247–259. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-013-1504-y) [10.1007/s10750-013-1504-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-013-1504-y).
- Yang, X., Zhang, S., Wu, C., Zhang, R., Zhou, Y., 2023. Ecological and navigational impact of the construction and operation of the Qingyuan dam. Ecol. Indicat. 154, 110563. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110563.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110563)
- Yi, Y., Wang, Z., Yang, Z., 2010. Impact of the Gezhouba and Three Gorges Dams on habitat suitability of carps in the Yangtze River. J. Hydrol. 387, 283–291. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.04.018) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.04.018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.04.018)
- Yin, S., Yang, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, J., Cai, Q., Zhu, Y., Wang, C., 2022. Simulating Ecological Effects of a Waterway Project in the Middle Reaches of the Yangtze River Based on Hydraulic Indicators on the Spawning Habitats of Four Major Chinese Carp Species. Water 14, 2147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14142147>.
- Zadnik, A.K., Anderson, J.T., Wood, P.B., Bledsoe, K., 2009. Wildlife use of back channels associated with islands on the Ohio River. Wetlands 29, 543–551. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1672/08-46.1) [10.1672/08-46.1.](https://doi.org/10.1672/08-46.1)
- Zajicek, P., Radinger, J., Wolter, C., 2018. Disentangling multiple pressures on fish assemblages in large rivers. Sci. Total Environ. 627, 1093–1105. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.307) [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.307.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.307)
- Zajicek, P., Wolter, C., 2019. The effects of recreational and commercial navigation on fish assemblages in large rivers. Sci. Total Environ. 646, 1304–1314. https://do [org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.403](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.403).
- Zurell, D., Zimmermann, N.E., Gross, H., Baltensweiler, A., Sattler, T., Wüest, R.O., 2020. Testing species assemblage predictions from stacked and joint species distribution models. J. Biogeogr. 47, 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13608>.