

## LadR, a new PadR-related transcriptional regulator fromListeria monocytogenes, negatively regulates the expression of the multidrug efflux pump MdrL

Philippe Velge, Tatiana Vallaeys, Pierre Pardon, Eugénie Huillet

### ▶ To cite this version:

Philippe Velge, Tatiana Vallaeys, Pierre Pardon, Eugénie Huillet. LadR, a new PadR-related transcriptional regulator from Listeria monocytogenes, negatively regulates the expression of the multidrug efflux pump MdrL. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 2005, 254, pp.87-94. 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2005.00014.x . hal-04731442

## HAL Id: hal-04731442 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04731442v1

Submitted on 10 Oct 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



### LadR, a new PadR-related transcriptional regulator from *Listeria monocytogenes*, negatively regulates the expression of the multidrug efflux pump MdrL

Eugénie Huillet<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Velge<sup>1</sup>, Tatiana Vallaeys<sup>2</sup> & Pierre Pardon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Equipe Contaminations Microbiennes, Unité de Recherche Pathologie Infectieuse et Immunologie, Inra, Nouzilly, France; <sup>2</sup>Dpt Microbiologie, Inra, INAPG, Thiverval Grignon, France

**Correspondence:** Eugénie Huillet, Unité des Interactions Bactéries-Cellules, Institut Pasteur, Inserm U604, Inra USC2020, 28 rue du Dr Roux, 75724 Paris, France. Tel.: +33 1 45 68 37 79; fax: +33 1 45 68 87 06; e-mail: eugenieh@pasteur.fr

**Present address:** Pierre Pardon, Unité Risqual, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, Maisons Alfort, France.

Received 5 August 2005; revised 6 October 2005; accepted 10 October 2005. First published online 14 November 2005.

doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2005.00014.x

Editor: Jan-Ingmar Flock

#### Keywords

PadR family; repressor; LadR; bacterial multidrug efflux pump; MdrL: *Listeria monocytogenes*.

### Introduction

Multidrug-resistance (MDR) transporters often specify resistance to a range of structurally and functionally different hydrophobic toxic substances (drugs) such as antibiotics, dyes and disinfectants. Drugs are actively exported from the cytoplasm to the outer membrane. These systems are widespread among bacterial species and are often found in several copies in bacterial genomes. However, it remains unknown whether the efflux of diverse drugs is the primary function of the MDR transporters or whether MDR pumps have more specific, but presently unknown, physiological functions (Grkovic *et al.*, 2001; Markham & Neyfakh, 2001). The concentrations of many of these MDR transporters are tightly controlled by transcription regulators, located in the immediate vicinity of the transporter (Grkovic *et al.*, 2001; Markham & Neyfakh, 2001).

Among the food-borne pathogens, *Listeria monocytogenes* is of main concern because it causes severe diseases such as

### Abstract

The *Listeria monocytogenes* genome encodes putative multidrug efflux transporters but only the MdrL transporter has been partially characterized in the wild-type LO28 strain. Here, we show in the LO28 strain, that the expression of MdrL is repressed at the transcriptional level, under standard growth conditions, by the product of a new gene *ladR* (lmo1408), and the expression of MdrL is induced in the presence of rhodamine. Phylogenetic analysis in related firmicutes shows that LadR, conserved in all sequenced *Listeria* genomes, forms an independent group from the large and diverse PadR transcriptional regulator family (PF03551). This is the first report of a bacterial multidrug transporter controlled by a member of the PadR family.

septicaemia, meningitis, stillbirth and abortion, with immune-compromised patients and pregnant women being high-risk groups (Khelef *et al.*, 2005). In the *L. monocytogenes* EGDe genome, six MDR transporters based on predicted protein sequence similarity have been identified (Glaser *et al.*, 2001) but only one, the MdrL transporter, has been partially characterized using a genetic approach. An insertion mutant in the *mdrL* gene failed to pump out ethidium bromide and presented lower minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of macrolides, cefotaxime and heavy metals (Mata *et al.*, 2000). MdrL has also been implicated in salt tolerance (Gardan *et al.*, 2003). The clinical significance of this MdrL pump (Mereghetti *et al.*, 2000) and its role in bacterial physiology remain to be elucidated (Mata *et al.*, 2000; Gardan *et al.*, 2003).

We previously identified a new locus in the *L. monocytogenes* strain LO28 involved in cellobiose-dependent repression of *hly* expression (Huillet *et al.*, 1999). The gene *hly*  encodes listeriolysin, a major virulence factor of L. monocytogenes. A bank of Tn917 insertion mutants was screened for deregulated expression of listeriolysin in the presence of cellobiose known to repress hly expression. In one mutant with a higher expression of hlv, the transposon Tn917 was inserted into the putative promoter region of orfA, a gene with an unknown function. Upstream of orfA and in the opposite direction *mdrL*, the gene encoding the MdrL pump, was found. Transcriptional analysis of the mdrL and orfA genes in this insertion mutant showed that the transcription of orfA was abolished whereas the expression of mdrL was activated under standard growth conditions (Huillet et al., 1999), thus suggesting that OrfA could be a transcriptional repressor of the mdrL gene in L. monocytogenes. The OrfA protein belongs to the large and poorly characterized PadR transcriptional regulator family.

Here, we present a new gene *orfA* renamed *ladR* in this study, involved in the negative control of the expression of the MdrL pump. We also analysed the distribution of the locus *ladR-mdrL* in *Listeria* spp. and present the first phylogenetic study of the PadR family in firmicutes (low GC% Gram-positive bacteria).

### **Materials and methods**

### **Bacterial strains, plasmids and media**

*Listeria* strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* TG1 was routinely grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium and used as a host for DNA manipulations (Sambrook

 Table 1. List of Listeria strains, plasmids and primers used

Strain, plasmids		Reference or
or primers	Characteristics	source
LO28	Serotype1/2c	Huillet <i>et al</i> . (1999)
prfA	LO28∆ <i>prfA</i> (BUG 802)	P. Cossart
m3.7	LO28::Tn <i>917</i> ;Er <sup>R</sup>	Huillet <i>et al</i> . (1999)
ladR	LO28∆ <i>ladR</i> ;Km <sup>R</sup>	This work
prfA–ladR	LO28∆ <i>prfA∆ladR</i> ;Km <sup>R</sup>	This work
pAUL-A	Shuttle vector, Er <sup>R</sup>	Schaferkordt &
		Chakraborty (1995)
pDG784	pKS with <i>apha-3</i> gene;Km <sup>R</sup>	Trieu-Cuot &
		Courvalin (1983)
pKm1	BamHI Km <sup>R</sup> cassette of 850 bp	This work
pAH2	pAUL-A with a 2.65 kb Xbal Kpnl	This work
	insert	
01*	5′	This work
	gc <u>tctaga</u> ttgtaaagcttcaggagtgca	
02*	5'cgggatccacgattagatttgattca	This work
03*	5'cgggatccagacatttggatcataca	This work
04*	5'ggggtaccatcagagacgccaggcca	This work

\*Restriction sites are underlined in the primer sequence.

& Russell, 2001). Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin, 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for *E. coli*; erythromycin, 8  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for *L. monocytogenes* and 300  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for *E. coli*; and kanamycin 20  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> for *L. monocytogenes*.

## Construction of a ladR null mutant and a double ladR–prfA null mutant

A *L. monocytogenes ladR* null mutant was constructed by double crossing over using the temperature-sensitive suicide plasmid pAUL-A (Schaferkordt & Chakraborty, 1995). Construction of the *ladR* allele was performed as follows: a region of 1 kb upstream of *ladR* (5') and a region of 0.8 kb below *ladR* (3') were amplified with primer pairs O1/O2



Fig. 1. (a) Genetic organization of the ladR-mdrL locus in LO28 strain and characterization of physical deletion/insertion in the ladR mutant. Large open arrows represent the different genes and their orientation. Stem-loop structures are used to illustrate putative terminator regions. A promoter/terminator-less kanamycin cassette (the apha-3 gene) was cloned in place of the internal deleted fragment. The putative -10, -35and PadR boxes (PB) are located in the intergenic region of the ladR-mdrL locus. The sequence of the locus in LO28 (EMBL accession no. AJ009627) has been published previously (Huillet et al., 1999). The corresponding genes in EGDe with the nomenclature according to the ListiList server (Glaser et al., 2001) are: Imo1407 (pfIC), Imo1408 (ladR), Imo1409 (mdrL) and Imo1412 (flaR). BI, BamHI restriction enzyme. (b) Comparison of the putative PadR-binding sites at the mdrL promoter in Listeria monocytogenes and at the padA promoter from relevant organisms. Convergent arrows indicate the palindromic sequence. The conserved motif is shown in bold and the nonpalindromic amino acids are shown in grey. The putative - 35 box of mdrL is underlined. Initiation of transcription of the padA gene was identified both for Pediococcus pentosaceus (Barthelmebs et al., 2000) and Lactobacillus plantarum (Gury et al., 2004). LM, Listeria monocytogenes; BS, Bacillus subtilis; PP, Pediococcus pentosaceus; LP, Lactobacillus plantarum.

and O3/O4, respectively (Table 1) (start and stop codons of ladR were, respectively, included). A promotorless and terminatorless BamHI kanamycin cassette (the apha-3 gene Trieu-Cuot & Courvalin, 1983) (Table 1) was cloned at the BamHI site of a 5'-3' fusion fragment of 1.8 kb. The obtained recombinant plasmid, pAH2 (Table 1), was introduced into LO28 and its prfA deletion mutant via electroporation (Schaferkordt & Chakraborty, 1995). Selection of recombinants, presenting an internal deletion of 400 bp replaced by a kanamycin cassette, was performed as described elsewhere (Schaferkordt & Chakraborty, 1995). Analysis of the genetic organization of the recombinant ladR allele was performed using PCR and Southern analysis. Chromosomal DNA extraction, DNA electrophoresis, Southern blotting and hybridizations were performed according to standard protocols (Sambrook & Russell, 2001).

### **RNA extraction and transcription analysis**

Total RNA extraction from *L. monocytogenes* strains was performed with the Ambion Gram-positive RNA extraction kit (Huntingdon, UK). Northern blot analysis with an *mdrL* probe was conducted as described previously (Huillet *et al.*, 1999).

## Drug resistance assay by metabolic activity monitoring

Bacterial drug resistance was assessed by monitoring the microbial metabolic activity of bacterial cultures via electrical capacitance measurements using the Bactometer automat (BioMérieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) in sterile disposable 16 well modules (Firstenberg-Eden & Eden, 1984). The temperature was set at 37 °C. Bacterial growth of individual cultures was followed in well modules (1.5 mL) under nonagitated conditions by measuring the capacitance every 6 min for 48 h, resulting in a capacitance curve. MIC was estimated as the lowest concentration of drug that completely prevents growth (no capacitance variation). Drug resistance was assessed by measuring the capacitance detection time (CDT) defined as the lag phase of the capacitance curve. Two- and  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -fold serial dilutions were performed in LB medium from a 100  $\mu g\,mL^{-1}$  stock solution for each drug in order to determine the MIC. The bacterial inoculum density was  $1.4 \times 10^5$  logarithmic cells per well. Rhodamine 6G (R6G), acriflavine and norfloxacin were purchased from Sigma (Lyon, France), and ethidium bromide was obtained from Euromedex (Mundolsheim, France).

## Growth conditions in order to detect mdrL expression with R6G

Rhodamine 6G at subMIC (6.58  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) was added for 1 h in *L. monocytogenes* culture in LB medium at OD<sub>600 nm</sub> 0.4

## (logarithmic phase). Culture without R6G was also collected at $OD_{600 \text{ nm}} 0.4$ as a control.

### **Sequence** analysis

ESPript, a bioinformatic tool (Gouet et al., 1999), was used to display sequence and structure information of both LadR and AphA protein sequences (PDB accession no. 1YG2 for AphA) (Fig. 2). Megablast was used to search for LadR-like deduced amino-acid sequences at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sutils/genom\_tree.cgi. When multiple hits were obtained in a same genome such as in Listeria and Bacillus species, blast bi-directional best hits (BDBH) were used to define the most probable orthologous gene among the candidate gene sequences. ClustalW sequence alignments (Higgins et al., 1994) were obtained and a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3) was constructed using the neighbour-joining method (Saitou & Nei, 1987) from the PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1989). The stability of the grouping was estimated by bootstrap analysis (100 replications) (Felsenstein, 1989). Relative gene positions in Listeria species and related genomes were defined from genome mining at http://genolist. pasteur.fr/

### Results

## Sequence analysis and phylogenetical inference of *LadR*

The genetic organization of the *ladR-mdrL* locus (EMBL accession no. AJ009627) in *Listeria monocytogenes* LO28 published previously (Huillet *et al.*, 1999) was found to be conserved in all sequenced *Listeria* genomes: the *ladR* gene (ListiList accession no. lmo1408) lies upstream of the *mdrL* gene (lmo1409) in the opposite direction (Fig. 1a). The intergenic region of the *ladR-mdrL* genes is 166 bp long and contains two nonoverlapping putative promoter regions with putative -35 and -10 consensus sequences and ribosome-binding site sequences (Fig. 1a). We found an inverted-repeat corresponding to the putative PadR-binding site of the PadR regulators group (ATGT-8N-ACAT) (Barthelmebs *et al.*, 2000; Gury *et al.*, 2004) in the -35 position of the *mdrL* gene (Fig. 1b).

The *ladR* gene belongs to the large (more than 300 members), diverse and poorly characterized PadR family (Pfam accession no. PF03551). As defined from the Pfam database of protein domains, this new family appears to be characterized by an N-terminal motif including amino acids 1–89. Multiple alignment with selected PadR-related protein sequences in firmicutes and in *Vibrionaceae* family allowed us to find an N-terminal motif (Lx<sub>9</sub>GY(D/E)x<sub>16 – 20</sub>Yx<sub>2</sub> (L/I)x<sub>18 – 21</sub>(K/R)(K/N)x(Y/F)xxTxxG) presented in Fig. 2. In the LadR protein sequence, this PadR motif is made up of amino acids 11–74 (Fig. 2).



**Fig. 2.** Sequence alignment of LadR from *Listeria monocytogenes* and AphA from *Vibrio cholerae*. The consensus sequence is presented above the LadR sequence and 11 residues belonging to our proposed N-terminal PadR motif (see Results) are underlined. Secondary structure elements for AphA (ESPript, Protein Data Bank code 1YG2) are shown below its sequence.  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ -helix;  $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -strand. The positions of mutations in the AphA sequence, which influence DNA-binding and dimerization activities, are shown in bold (De Silva *et al.*, 2005).

The LadR protein (176 amino acids) presented a low overall sequence identity (24–29%) with the known PadR regulators from *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (176 amino acids) (Barthelmebs *et al.*, 2000) and from *Lactobacillus plantarum* (181 amino acids) (Gury *et al.*, 2004). PadR repressors from *P. pentosaceus* and from *L. plantarum* control the expression of a phenolic acid decarboxylase (encoded by the *padA* gene) in the phenolic acid stress response (Barthelmebs *et al.*, 2000; Gury *et al.*, 2004).

LadR also presented a low overall sequence identity of 25.5% with the repressor/coactivator AphA (179 amino acids) of Vibrio cholerae (Kovacikova et al., 2003, 2004; De Silva et al., 2005). AphA is a quorum sensing-regulated activator that initiates the virulence cascade in V. cholerae by cooperating with the regulator AphB and is also a repressor of the penicillin amidase activity (pva gene) (Kovacikova et al., 2003, 2004). However, the comparison of the amino-acid sequences of LadR and AphA suggests that the secondary structures of AphA, determined recently from the analysis of the crystal structure of the AphA dimer (De Silva et al., 2005), are remarkably conserved in the sequence of LadR (Fig. 2). The alignment (Fig. 2) shows that LadR contains seven putative  $\alpha$ -helices and two putative β-strands, as determined for AphA. The protein AphA folds into two domains: a globular N-terminal DNA-binding domain made up of amino acids 1-86, and a distinctive C-terminal coiled-coil dimerization domain including

amino acids 98–179. The crystal structure shows that the N-terminal DNA-binding domain adopts a winged helix fold similar to that of the multiple antibiotic-resistance repressor MarR (De Silva *et al.*, 2005). We can conclude that albeit being different in function, AphA and LadR are putative structural homologues. This result is also supported by the following phylogenetical analysis.

Data mining in available *Listeria* genome sequences (including *L. monocytogenes*, *L. innocua*, *L. ivanovii* and *L. grayi*) allowed us to identify two to three copies of genes whose deduced amino-acid sequences present an N-terminal PadR motif. Among the *padR*-related genes, a BDBH approach in *L. monocytogenes*-sequenced genomes (1/2a EGDe, 1/2a F6854, 4b H7858, 4b F2365) allowed us to define that *ladR*, present in a single copy, is the closest relative of the canonical *padR* gene from *P. pentosaceus*. The same result was obtained with the *ladR* orthologous sequences in other *Listeria* species (*L. innocua*, *L. ivanovii* and *L. grayi*) genomes.

This result was also supported by the construction of a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3) of *padR*-like genes in related firmicutes (*Listeria, Bacillus, Staphylococcus, Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Clostridium*) plus relevant organisms. The *padR*-related genes fall into two distinct families: subfamily I with longer sequences (approximately 176 amino acids) and a more distant family, subfamily II presenting shorter sequences (approximately 110 amino

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree for PadR-related proteins in firmicutes plus a few additional relevant organisms. The aphA gene from Vibrio cholerae and related padR genes from Photobacterium profundum (Vibrionaceae family) and the phytopathogenic betaproteobacterium Raktonia solanacearum were initially introduced as outgroup sequences but fall into subfamily I. The arrow indicates the position of

the split between the two PadR subfamilies (I and II). Accession numbers are shown for each member of the two subfamilies. Bootstrap values are indicated for each node



FEMS Microbiol Lett 254 (2006) 87-94



**Fig. 4.** Northern blot analysis of *mdrL* expression in *Listeria monocytogenes* wild-type strain LO28 and isogenic mutant strains: insertional mutant m3.7 (Huillet *et al.*, 1999), null mutants *ladR*, *prfA-ladR* and *prfA*. (a) *MdrL* expression in cells grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) during log phase. Lane1: LO28; lane2: m3.7; lane 3: *ladR*; lane 4: *ladR–prfA*; lane 5: *prfA*. (b) Effect of 1 h incubation with rhodamine 6G (R6G) in LB medium during log phase on the *mdrL* expression. Lane 6: LO28; lane 7: *ladR* and lane 8: *prfA*. (c) Agarose gel picture of total RNA loaded per lane: 10 µg of total RNA was loaded per well, except for lanes 3 and 7 ( < 10 µg) and lane 8 ( > 10 µg).

acids). This result was supported by a very low bootstrap value at the node between the two corresponding branches from the phylogenetic tree.

According to our classification (BDBH and phylogeny), *padR* homologues *sensus stricto* corresponded to subfamily I that contains the PadR group and the AphA group (Fig. 3). We found that the *ladR* gene and the *ladR* orthologues from both nonpathogenic and pathogenic *Listeria* species constitute one independent group (LadR group, Fig. 3) probably with a similar function.

# The product of the *ladR* gene represses the mdrL gene transcription under standard growth conditions in *Listeria monocytogenes*

Allelic exchange technique was successfully used to construct an ladR null mutant (Fig. 1a). Under standard conditions (brain heart infusion (BHI), LB broth supplemented or not with sugars), no defect in growth was observed for this mutant (data not shown). Under these conditions, in a wild-type strain, ladR is expressed (Huillet et al., 1999). Northern blot analysis of mdrL expression in L. monocytogenes strains LO28 and two isogenic mutant strains (m3.7 transposon mutant (Huillet et al., 1999) and the ladR null mutant) were performed in LB medium, during the exponential growth phase (Fig. 4a). A transcript of 1400 bp approximately corresponding to the expected size of the *mdrL* gene was detected in the *ladR* null mutant and, as observed previously, in the m3.7 mutant, while no signal could be detected for the parental strain. The same results were obtained in BHI medium (data not shown). This result

shows unambiguously that the product of the *ladR* gene represses the *mdrL* gene expression under standard growth conditions and suggests that LadR is a transcriptional repressor of the *mdrL* gene in *L. monocytogenes*.

### Comparison of multidrug resistance phenotype between *Listeria monocytogenes* LO28 and its *ladR* null mutant

In order to define induction conditions for the expression of MdrL, resistance to diverse drugs was assessed by monitoring the culture's metabolic activity (as electrical capacitance). Ethidium bromide (dye), R6G (dye), acriflavine (disinfectant) and norfloxacin (fluoroquinolone) were selected as known artificial substrates of the Bmr multidrug transporter of *Bacillus subtilis* (Grkovic *et al.*, 2001; Markham & Neyfakh, 2001). It was shown in *B. subtilis* that these substrates are transported with substantially different effectiveness and the degree of resistance was different (Grkovic *et al.*, 2001; Markham & Neyfakh, 2001). the MIC of LO28 and its null *ladR* mutant did not differ for the drugs used:  $3.12 \,\mu g \, {\rm mL}^{-1}$  for norfloxacin (low resistance),  $13.17 \,\mu g \, {\rm mL}^{-1}$ for R6G (resistance) and 66.6  $\mu g \, {\rm mL}^{-1}$  for acriflavine and for ethidium bromide (strong resistance).

Dose/response, for the four drugs tested, was observed for both LO28 and *ladR* following serial dilutions (data not shown). Interestingly, at these dilutions and notably at the first dilution below the MIC (subMIC), the *ladR* null mutant, which expresses the MdrL transporter constitutively, exhibits a significantly shorter lag phase as evidenced by a shorter CDT (data not shown).

### R6G induces transcription of the mdrL gene

The induction of mdrL expression was demonstrated for R6G, at a subMIC value (6.58 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) using Northern analysis: a transcript of 1400 bp approximately, corresponding to the size of the mdrL gene, was detected both in the wild type and its *ladR* null mutant strain (Fig. 4b). At subMIC level, R6G induces transcription of the mdrL gene in the wild-type strain and has no apparent effect on mdrL expression in the *ladR* mutant (Fig. 4, lanes 3, 7). Expression of the mdrL gene is constitutive in the *ladR* mutant and inducible in *L. monocytogenes* LO28 in the presence of R6G (Fig. 4, lanes 1, 6). This result suggests that in the presence of R6G, the product of *ladR* does not repress the transcription of the mdrL gene.

# PrfA, the global virulence transcriptional regulator is not involved in the regulation of the MdrL transporter

We previously showed that the locus *ladR–mdrL* is involved in the expression of listeriolysin, a PrfA-dependent virulence factor (Huillet *et al.*, 1999). PrfA is the pleiotropic transcriptional activator of virulence genes in *L. monocytogenes* (Khelef *et al.*, 2005). Recently, the PrfA regulon has been characterized: it comprises three groups of genes differentially regulated by PrfA and not all involved in virulence (Khelef *et al.*, 2005). LadR–MdrL could belong to the PrfA regulon. Of note, the PrfA box is not present in the promoter region of the *ladR* gene or the *mdrL* gene as observed for recent *prfA*-controlled genes (Khelef *et al.*, 2005).

To test this hypothesis, we constructed a double null mutant *ladR–prfA* and analysed the *mdrL* expression in *prfA* and *ladR–prfA* mutants in LB medium (Fig. 4a). As observed for the LO28 strain under standard growth conditions, no transcript of *mdrL* could be detected in the *prfA* mutant, while one transcript was detected in the *ladR–prfA* mutant as observed for the *ladR* mutant. Induction of *mdrL* gene expression in the *prfA* mutant was observed in the presence of R6G, as observed for the parental strain LO28 (Fig. 4b). Therefore, in our conditions, PrfA is not involved in the global regulation of the MdrL transporter.

### Discussion

The LadR protein is not similar to known MDR regulators such as BmrR or QacR. To date, the known transcription activators of the MDR pump genes belong to the MerR, AraC or LysR families, whereas the known repressors of the MDR pump genes fall into the TetR, MarR or LacI families (Grkovic *et al.*, 2001). This is the first report of the transcriptional control of an MDR transporter with a member of the PadR family.

We also first report that the MdrL pump expression is inducible and identify rhodamine as a synthetic inducer. Rhodamine is both a substrate and an inducer of the two best-characterized bacterial MDR transporter systems: Bmr/ BmrR of B. subtilis and QacA,QacB/QacR of Staphylococcus aureus (Grkovic et al., 2001; Markham & Neyfakh, 2001). The interaction of rhodamine with the OacR repressor or BmrR activator results in a conformational change, leading to the inactivation of the regulator (Grkovic et al., 2001; Markham & Nevfakh, 2001). With the hypothesis that rhodamine is also a substrate of MdrL and from our results, we propose a reasonable model for the regulation of the MdrL pump in L. monocytogenes: under standard growth conditions, the LadR repressor is active and binds within the *mdrL* promoter at the PadR box, preventing the transcription of *mdrL*; in the presence of rhodamine, LadR is inactive and transcription of MdrL can proceed; and rhodamine

A structure–function analysis of LadR should allow determination of the regions involved in ligand-binding, DNA-binding and dimerization activities. Natural inducers of the MdrL transporter remain to be identified and the physiological roles of the MdrL transporter in pathogenic and nonpathogenic *Listeria* species need to be clarified.

present in the bacterial cell is consequently expulsed via the

### Acknowledgements

MdrL transporter.

We thank P. Glaser and C. Burchrieser for providing access to the unpublished *L. ivanovii* and *L. grayi ladR-mdrL* sequences. We thank P. Cossart for giving us the BUG 802 strain, T. Chakraborty for giving us the pAUL-A plasmid and I. Martin-Verstraete for providing plasmid pDG784. We are very grateful to P. Cossart, D. Lereclus, S. Dramsi and H. Bierne for critically reviewing the manuscript and to A. Richard and J. Pizarro-Cerda for English correction. We thank G. André, M. Grana and P. Dehoux at Pasteur Institute and I. Virlogeux-Payant at INRA Institute for their constructive discussions. We also thank E. Bottreau, S. Aligé and F. Mompart for their technical support at INRA Institute.

### References

- Barthelmebs L, Lecomte B, Divies C & Cavin JF (2000) Inducible metabolism of phenolic acids in *Pediococcus pentosaceus* is encoded by an autoregulated operon which involves a new class of negative transcriptional regulator. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 6724–6731.
- De Silva RS, Kovacikova G, Lin W, Taylor R K, Skorupski K & Kull FJ (2005) Crystal structure of the virulence gene activator AphA from *Vibrio cholerae* reveals it is a novel member of the

94

winged helix transcription factor superfamily. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 13779–13783.

Felsenstein J (1989) PHILIP-phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). *Cladistics* **5**: 164–166.

Firstenberg-Eden R & Eden G (1984) *Impedance Microbiology. Bactomatic, A Division of Medical Technology Corporation,* Research Studies Press Ltd, Princeton, NJ.

Gardan R, Cossart P, The European *Listeria* Genome Consortium & Labadie J (2003) Identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* genes involved in salt and alkaline-pH tolerance. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 3137–3143.

Glaser P, Frangeul L, Buchrieser C, *et al.* (2001) Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species. *Science* **294**: 849–852.

Gouet P, Courcelle E, Stuart DI & Metoz F (1999) ESPript: multiple sequence alignments in PostScript. *Bioinformatics* **15**: 305–308.

Grkovic S, Brown MH & Skurray RA (2001) Transcriptional regulation of multidrug efflux pumps in bacteria. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **12**: 225–237.

Gury J, Barthelmebs L, Tran NP, Divies C & Cavin JF (2004) Cloning, deletion, and characterization of PadR, the transcriptional repressor of the phenolic acid decarboxylaseencoding *padA* gene of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 2146–2153.

Higgins D, Thompson J, Gibson T, Thompson JD, Higgins DG & Gibson TJV (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* **22**: 4673–4680.

Huillet E, Larpin S, Pardon P & Berche P (1999) Identification of a new locus in *Listeria monocytogenes* involved in cellobiosedependent repression of *hly* expression. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 174: 265–272.

Khelef N, Lecuit M, Buchrieser C, Cabanes D, Dussurget O & Cossart P (2005) *Listeria monocytogenes* and the Genus

Listeria. The Prokaryotes: An Evolving Electronic Resource for the Microbiological Community, 3rd edn (Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H & Stackebrandt E, eds). Springer, New York.

Kovacikova G, Lin W & Skorupski K (2003) The virulence activator AphA links quorum sensing to pathogenesis and physiology in *Vibrio cholerae* by repressing the expression of a penicillin amidase gene on the small chromosome. *J Bacteriol* 185: 4825–4836.

Kovacikova G, Lin W & Skorupski K (2004) *Vibrio cholerae* AphA uses a novel mechanism for virulence gene activation that involves interaction with the LysR-type regulator AphB at the *tcpPH* promoter. *Mol Microbiol* **53**: 129–142.

Markham PN & Neyfakh AA (2001) Efflux-mediated drug resistance in gram-positive bacteria. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **4**: 509–514.

Mata MT, Baquero F & Perez-Diaz JC (2000) A multidrug efflux transporter in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **187**: 185–188.

Mereghetti L, Quentin R, Marquet-Van DER Mee N & Audurier A (2000) Low sensitivity of *Listeria monocytogenes* to quaternary ammonium compounds. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **66**: 5083–5086.

Saitou N & Nei N (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 4: 406–425.

Sambrook J & Russell EF (2001) *Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual*, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York.

Schaferkordt S & Chakraborty T (1995) Vector plasmid for insertional mutagenesis and directional cloning in *Listeria* spp. *Biotechniques* 19: 702–705.

Trieu-Cuot P & Courvalin P (1983) Nucleotide sequence of the *Streptococcus faecalis* plasmid gene encoding the 3'5"- aminoglycoside phosphotransferase type III. *Gene* **23**: 331–341.