

## **Organic farming and semi-natural habitats for multifunctional agriculture: A case study in hedgerow landscapes of Brittany**

Sébastien Boinot, Audrey Alignier, Stéphanie Aviron, Colette Bertrand, Nathalie Cheviron, Gwendoline Comment, Emma Jeavons, Cécile Le Lann, Samuel Mondy, Christian Mougin, et al.

## **To cite this version:**

Sébastien Boinot, Audrey Alignier, Stéphanie Aviron, Colette Bertrand, Nathalie Cheviron, et al.. Organic farming and semi-natural habitats for multifunctional agriculture: A case study in hedgerow landscapes of Brittany. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2024, 10.1111/1365-2664.14825. hal-04780580

## **HAL Id: hal-04780580 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04780580v1>**

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.14825

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# **Organic farming and semi-natural habitats for multifunctional agriculture: A case study in hedgerow landscapes of Brittany**

**Sébastien Boinot1,2** | **Audrey Alignier2,3** | **Stéphanie Aviron2,3** | **Colette Bertrand4** | **Nathalie Cheviron4,5** | **Gwendoline Comment6** | **Emma Jeavons3** | **Cécile Le Lann1,2** | **Samuel Mondy6** | **Christian Mougin4,5** | **Pierre-Antoine Précigout4** | **Claire Ricono1,2** | **Corinne Robert4** | **Grégoire Saias3** | **Philippe Vandenkoornhuyse1** | **Cendrine Mony1,2**

<sup>1</sup>Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)—UMR 6553, Rennes, France; <sup>2</sup>LTSER «Zone Atelier Armorique», Rennes, France; <sup>3</sup>ESA, INRAE, Institut Agro Rennes, UMR BAGAP, Rennes, France; <sup>4</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR ECOSYS, Palaiseau, France; <sup>5</sup>INRAE, Plateforme Biochem-Env, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France and <sup>6</sup>INRAE, Institut Agro Dijon, Université de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, UMR Agroecologie, Dijon, France

**Correspondence** Sébastien Boinot

Email: [sebastien.boinot@univ-rennes1.fr](mailto:sebastien.boinot@univ-rennes1.fr)

#### **Funding information**

Conseil Régional de Bourgogne Franche Comté; La Fondation de France; Office Français de la Biodiversité; Agence Nationale de la Recherche; Zone Atelier **Armorique** 

**Handling Editor:** Pieter De Frenne

## **Abstract**

- 1. Finding more sustainable ways to produce food is a major challenge for humanity in the face of biodiversity extinction and climate change. Consequently, research on the ability of agroecosystems to provide multiple functions is growing. In this regard, the relative importance of organic farming and landscape-scale measures for improving multifunctionality has recently been debated.
- 2. We investigated the effects of farming system (conventional vs. organic) at field scale, total length of hedgerows in the landscape and their interaction on the multifunctionality of 40 winter cereal fields in Brittany (France). Our multifunctionality assessment integrated 21 indicators of five agroecosystem goods: biodiversity conservation, nutrient cycling and soil structure, pest and disease regulation, food production and socio-economic performance.
- 3. Many indicators of biodiversity conservation, pest and disease regulation, and socio-economic performance were higher in organic than in conventional systems. However, indicators of nutrient cycling and soil structure did not improve and food production was much lower in organic systems. Total hedgerow length in the landscape had less influence than organic farming on indicators, although we observed positive interactions. Granivorous carabid abundance and semi-net margin were highest in organic fields located in well-preserved hedgerow landscapes.
- 4. *Synthesis and applications*. Our study suggests that field-scale organic farming is necessary to promote biodiversity conservation and associated ecological functioning in crop fields, whereas landscape-scale preservation of semi-natural habitats alone is likely insufficient. Preservation of hedgerows in the landscape brings

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2024 The Author(s). *Journal of Applied Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Ecological Society.

additional ecological and socio-economic benefits for organic systems without compromising agricultural production. More broadly, our results call for more ambitious research into the myriad possible combinations of farming practices and agri-environmental measures at both field and landscape scales, to improve both below-ground and above-ground functioning.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Above-ground–below-ground functioning, agroecology, biodiversity conservation, ecological intensification, ecosystem service, natural enemy, profitability, yield

## **1**  | **INTRODUCTION**

Given the major negative impacts of chemical agriculture and landscape simplification on biodiversity, climate and human health, it is urgent to make agricultural production more sustainable (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020). Crop fields and agricultural landscapes must promote biodiversity conservation and associated functions/services, including ecological regulation (e.g. predation, pollination), carbon sequestration, water quality maintenance and soil health protection. However, direct assessment of agroecosystem (AES) multifunctionality—simultaneously including agronomic, ecological and socio-economic aspects and quantifying both below-ground and above-ground functioning—remains scarce (Hölting et al., 2019; Le Provost et al., 2021). A better understanding of trade-offs and synergies between a wide range of taxa and functions would greatly inform the transition toward multifunctional agriculture.

Recently, there has been an interesting discussion on the importance of organic farming (or reducing agrochemical use) and landscape-scale measures (notably preserving semi-natural habitats) for reconciling biodiversity conservation and agricultural production (Brühl et al., 2022; Marrec et al., 2022; Stein-Bachinger et al., 2022; Tscharntke et al., 2021, 2022a, 2022b). As part of the European Green Deal, the Sustainable Use of Pesticides Regulation (SUR) and the Nature Restoration Law (NRL) have also been the subject of intense debate (Pe'er et al., 2023). Organic farming generally promotes biodiversity conservation and ecological functions in crop fields (e.g. Couthouis et al., 2023; Ostandie et al., 2022; Wittwer et al., 2021), although increased reliance on tillage to control weeds can undermine below-ground functioning (Tamburini et al., 2016). Furthermore, organic systems are often less productive than conventional systems, but not necessarily less profitable owing to higher subsidies or lower costs (Batáry et al., 2017; Couthouis et al., 2023; Wittwer et al., 2021). In addition to local farming systems and practices, landscape-scale measures generally consist in preserving or increasing landscape compositional or configurational heterogeneity, to increase species pools in the landscape and promote the dispersal of beneficial organisms into crop fields (Priyadarshana et al., 2024). In agricultural landscapes, seminatural habitats such as hedgerows are more stable than cropped habitats, provide perennial refuges and trophic resources for a wide range of taxa, and ensure habitat connectivity (Dover, 2019). Hedgerows are part of the European Union Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 (European

Commission, 2021), given their potential to improve below-ground and above-ground functioning, and delivering supporting, regulating, and provisioning services in agricultural landscapes (Montgomery et al., 2020; Staley et al., 2023).

Beyond their respective contribution, very few studies have considered interactions between field- and landscape-scale measures and their impacts on multifunctionality (Gebhardt et al., 2023; Smith et al., 2020). The effectiveness of local agri-environment schemes such as organic farming in promoting biodiversity and associated functions may depend on the composition and configuration of semi-natural habitats in the landscape (Concepción et al., 2008). Vice versa, landscape-scale measures (such as preserving hedgerow networks) may have different effects on biodiversity and functions depending on local farming systems and management practices. For example, frequent agrochemical disturbances might undermine the beneficial effects of landscape-scale measures (antagonistic effect) by preventing or limiting the spillover and population growth of beneficial organisms in fields under conventional farming (Madin & Nelson, 2023). Conversely, landscape-scale measures and associated processes such as spillover might have stronger beneficial effects in conventional fields (compensation effect) given their low levels of biodiversity (Roschewitz et al., 2005).

In this work, we investigate the effects of organic farming at field scale, total length of hedgerow networks in the landscape and their interaction on the multifunctionality of 40 winter cereal fields. Our quantitative multifunctionality assessment integrates 21 indicators of five AES goods: biodiversity conservation, nutrient cycling and soil structure, pest and disease regulation, food production and socioeconomic performance (Figure 1). We assess the following hypotheses:

- 1. At field scale, organic farming is more multifunctional than conventional farming, with improved biodiversity conservation and pest and disease regulation, although we expect a trade-off with nutrient cycling and soil structure and food production (but not necessarily with socio-economic performance).
- 2. At landscape scale, crop fields in landscapes with higher total hedgerow length are more multifunctional, with beneficial effects on biodiversity conservation, nutrient cycling and soil structure, and pest and disease regulation (provision of habitats and spillover of beneficial organisms into crop fields), thus benefiting food production and socio-economic performance.



**FIGURE 1** Sampled indicators and corresponding agroecosystem (AES) goods. Indicators are directly aggregated to compute multifunctionality giving equal weight to the 21 indicators. Alternatively, indicators are aggregated into their corresponding AES good, in turn aggregated to compute multifunctionality giving equal weight to the five AES goods. Indicators marked with an asterisk are inverted so that higher values indicate higher level of functionality or benefits.

3. However, strong filtering by agrochemical disturbances and low availability of natural resources in conventional fields may undermine these beneficial effects of hedgerow landscapes (antagonistic effect).

## **2**  | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1**  | **Study site**

We conducted the study in the southern part of the Zone Atelier Armorique, a Long-Term Socio-Ecological Research (LTSER) site in Brittany, France (47°59′35 N, 1°45′12 W). This region is characterized by dense hedgerow networks and crop-livestock farming systems

(Figure S1). Hedgerows are mostly old (i.e. planted at least before World War II) and generally composed of oak *Quercus robur* L. or chestnut *Castanea sativa* Mill. trees planted on earth and stone banks and pruned for firewood every 9–12 years. When present, the shrub layer is generally dominated by hazel *Corylus avellana* L., hawthorn *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq., blackthorn *Prunus spinosa* L., spindle *Euonymus europaeus* L., broom *Cytisus scoparius* (L.) Link or gorse *Ulex europaeus* L. The climate is temperate oceanic, with around 715 mm of annual precipitation. The average annual temperature is around 12°C, with mild, wet winters averaging 7°C and moderately dry, hot summers averaging 18°C. We selected one pair of conventional and organic winter cereal fields in 20 landscape windows located along a gradient of total hedgerow length in the landscape, ranging from 6376 to 17,211 m (i.e. from 20 to 55 m/ ha) within a buffer radius of 1 km (Figure S2). The 40 fields sampled included 36 wheat fields and 4 spelt fields. Organic farming fields have generally been managed this way for more than 20 years. Mean field size was  $4.70\pm2.96$ ha and  $2.89\pm1.32$ ha for conventional and organic fields, respectively. Average distance between two nearest fields was 588 ± 272 m, with a minimal distance of 124 m. Organic systems were characterized by the absence of any pesticide treatment (synthetic or organic), lower and exclusively organic fertilization, but higher frequency of tillage operations compared with conventional systems. Maize-winter wheat is by far the most common crop rotation in conventional systems, whereas organic systems generally have more complex crop rotations including temporary grasslands. All farmers signed a written informed consent to participate in this study, granting permission to conduct the fieldwork and use the anonymized collected data for scientific publications. The study did not require ethical approval.

## **2.2**  | **Landscape context**

We adopted a space-for-time substitution approach (Pickett, 1989), where we assume that the responses of biodiversity or other indicators to landscape changes in space vs. time are similar. Kermap (<https://kermap.com/en/>) generated hedgerow mapping, via computer-assisted photointerpretation based on the National Institute of Geographic and Forest Information orthophotograph of 2017. We computed the total length of hedgerows within circular buffer radii of 250, 500, 750, and 1000 m around each field centre using Chloe software (Boussard & Baudry, 2017). Regardless of the buffer scale, we did not find strong correlations between total hedgerow length and other metrics known to affect biodiversity, such as the size of sampled fields (*t*-tests: |*r*| < 0.27, *p >* 0.089), percentage of semi-natural habitats excluding hedgerows (*t*-tests: |*r*| < 0.30, *p >* 0.061), crop diversity (*t*-tests: |*r*| < 0.11, *p >* 0.508) or organic farming cover (*t*-tests: |*r*| < 0.33, *p >* 0.036) in the landscape.

## **2.3**  | **Field data collection and indicators**

Sampling was carried out between April and July 2019 in winter cereal fields. Samples were collected in crop fields at least 5 m away and up **4 <b>1** *ADDI* **EXECUTED BOINOT ET AL. 4 <b>ADDI EXECUTED** BOINOT ET AL.

to 50*m* from field margins. Table S1 provides a summary of protocols, including the number of sessions, type and dimension of samples, and sampling design in crop fields. A detailed version of methods can be found in the Supporting Information. In each field, plant and invertebrate samples were summed (coverage, counts), and microorganism samples were averaged (relative abundances), before computing corresponding indicators (Figure 1), whose raw statistics are provided in Table S2.

*Biodiversity conservation* was estimated by the sequence cluster richness of bacteria and fungi, and the species richness of weeds and carabids (Figure 1).

*Nutrient cycling and soil structure* were estimated by soil enzyme activities (Cheviron et al., 2022), proportion of symbiotrophic and saprotrophic fungi (Creamer et al., 2022), earthworm abundance (Blouin et al., 2013), soil organic carbon:clay ratio (SOC:clay ratio) (Johannes et al., 2017), and organic carbon:nitrogen ratio (C:N) (Brust, 2019) (Figure 1). We considered 10 enzymes related to the cycling of phosphorus (phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase, phosphodiesterase), carbon (α-glucosidase, β-glucosidase, β-galactosidase), nitrogen (arylamidase, N-acetyl-glucosaminidase, urease), and sulphur (arylsulphatase). We discarded phosphodiesterase,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase and urease, which were highly correlated with alkaline phosphatase (*t*-tests: *r*> 0.70; *p*< 0.001). Given the large number of enzymes, we aggregated their activities using an equivalent of Hill-Shannon diversity (<sup>q</sup>M<sub>ef</sub> index; Byrnes et al., 2023) that considers both the number of enzymes and their levels of activity (see further explanation in the following sub-section *Agroecosystem goods and multifunctionality*). We calculated the total proportion of symbiotrophic (including endophytes and mycorrhizae) and saprotrophic fungi in each sample, since many taxa are both symbiotrophic and saprotrophic. The proportions of pathogenic fungi and symbiotrophic, facilitating or competing bacteria were very low and therefore were not considered. Earthworms were largely represented by anecic and endogeic species. SOC:clay ratio was generally below the values of 1:8, which is considered to indicate good soil structural stability and quality (Johannes et al., 2017). C:N ratio was generally below the commonly recommended value of 10:1, considered to indicate a dynamic equilibrium condition that should be maintained in agricultural soils (USDA–NRCS, 2011).

*Pest and disease regulation* was estimated by the responses of both natural enemies and pests/diseases, to distinguish ecological processes related to the 'enemies' versus 'resource concentration' hypotheses (Root, 1973). Regarding natural enemies, we measured the activity-density (pitfall traps) of predominantly granivorous carabids, carnivorous carabids, staphylinids and spiders, and aphid parasitism rate (by parasitoid wasps) (Figure 1). Although predator abundance is not always correlated with predation intensity, we assume that increased abundance of different predator taxa reflects greater potential for biological regulation of a variety of pests and greater resilience to secondary pest outbreaks (Dainese et al., 2017). Information on the diet of carabid beetles (Table S3) was collected from BETSI (Hedde et al., 2012) and [Carabids.org](http://carabids.org) (Homburg et al., 2014) databases. Regarding pests and diseases, we measured the abundances of weeds (total coverage in quadrats), aphids (total number of individuals on cereal crops) and septoria (fraction of cereal leaves presenting disease symptoms) (Figure 1; Table S2).

*Food production* was estimated by field-scale grain yield (q ha−1) provided by farmers during interviews (Figure 1).

*Socio-economic performance* was estimated by cumulative duration of operations and semi-net margin, considering all agricultural operations carried out between the harvest of the previous and current crops (including cover cropping, tillage operations, sowing, fertilization, and pesticide treatments) (Figure 1). The cumulative duration of interventions accounts for the type of equipment used for each operation (h ha−1). Annual semi-net margin (€ ha−1) was calculated by subtracting operating expenses (seeds and inputs) and equipment (depreciation, maintenance and fuel consumption) from the market price of winter wheat and spelt. This indicator reflects the economic viability of individual fields but does not consider subsidies or economy at the farm level. We used Agrosyst software (Jolys et al., 2016) to calculate these socio-economic indicators.

#### **2.4**  | **Agroecosystem goods and multifunctionality**

We used a recent approach based on Hill numbers (Byrnes et al., 2023) to estimate AES goods and multifunctionality indices (Figure 1). This approach is equivalent to the effective number of species (e.g. Hill-Shannon index) that considers both the number of species and their relative abundances to quantify species diversity. Similarly, multifunctionality indices consider not only the mean of indicators or AES goods but also the relative contribution of each indicator or AES good to the total level of functioning. We used the R function 'getMF\_eff' from the 'multifunc' package (Byrnes, 2022) to calculate the index of 'Effective multifunctionality' (<sup>q</sup>M<sub>ef</sub>)-instead of averaging indicators or AES goods as in previous approaches (Byrnes et al., 2014). We used a Hill number of order *q*= 1 that does not upweight high- or low-performing indicators or functions. 'Effective multifunctionality' is a measure of the cumulative performance of the system where all indicators or AES goods provide equally (Byrnes et al., 2023). First, for indicators whose lower values indicate higher levels of functionality or benefits (i.e. pest abundances and duration of interventions), we inverted variables using the formula −*x*<sub>i</sub>+max(*x*<sub>i</sub>) where *x*<sub>i</sub> are the measures of variable *i*. Second, we *z*-standardized all indicators (with different units). Third, we aggregated indicators to compute the corresponding AES goods. Finally, multifunctionality was calculated in two ways: (1) aggregating the 21 *z*-standardized indicators, each equally contributing to the multifunctionality index, and (2) aggregating *z*-standardized AES goods so that they have equal weights.

#### **2.5**  | **Statistical analysis**

We used Gaussian linear models to analyse the effects of organic farming at field scale, total hedgerow length in the landscape and their interaction on the 21 indicators, five AES goods and two multifunctionality indices. Response variables and the continuous explanatory variable (total hedgerow length) were *z*-standardized to compare the importance of predictors across all response variables (Schielzeth, 2010). Total hedgerow length was divided by two standard deviations (instead of one) for direct comparison with the categorical explanatory variable (organic farming) (Gelman, 2008). We followed the approach described by Ho et al. (2019) to better represent raw data and statistical information, and move beyond the binary vision associated with p-values and significance thresholds. We used a nonparametric bootstrapping approach (bias corrected and accelerated method with 5000 bootstrap samples) (Puth et al., 2015) to estimate confidence intervals of model parameter estimates (R package 'boot'; Canty & Ripley, 2024) and to compare means of indicators, AES goods, and multifunctionality indices between conventional and organic farming systems (so-called 'Gardner-Altman' plots, R package 'dabestr'; Ho et al., 2019). Bootstrapping avoids making any distributional assumption about population data outside of the observed sample, and provides more reliable confidence intervals than traditional approaches based on regression standard errors, especially for relatively small datasets with extreme values (Buisson, 2021). Analyses were performed for each buffer scale (radii of 250, 500, 750 and 1000 m around crop fields) separately.

## **3**  | **RESULTS**

### **3.1**  | **Effects of organic farming at field scale**

Organic farming had higher level of functionality than conventional farming for many indicators, notably those related to biodiversity conservation and pest and disease regulation (Figures 2 and 3; Table S4). Multifunctionality based on indicators therefore tended to be higher in organic farming systems (Figure 2; Table S4). However, organic

> Multifunctionality (AES goods) Multifunctionality (indicators)

nservation Bacterial diversity

Fungal diversity Earthworm diversity Weed diversity

Carabid diversity

 $SOC$ olov rotiv

Pest and disease regulation Granivorous carabid abundance Carnivorous carabid abundance Staphylinid abundance Spider abundance Aphid parasitism rate Weed abundance Aphid abundance

**Biodiversity** 

Nutrient cycling and soil structure % symbio- and sapi

## **3.2**  | **Effects of total hedgerow length in the landscape and interaction with the local farming system**

goods was similar between organic and conventional farming.

Whatever spatial scale considered, total hedgerow length in the landscape had much less influence than local farming systems on indicators (Figure 2; Figures S3–S5; Tables S4–S7). However, we observed positive interactions between total hedgerow length and organic farming. Granivorous carabid abundance and semi-net margin were highest in organic fields located in landscape with higher total hedgerow length (Figures 2 and 4; Table S4), a result consistent across spatial scales (Figures S3–S5; Tables S5–S7). Carnivorous carabid and spider abundances also tended to increase in OF fields with higher total hedgerow length, although results did not reach statistical significance (Figure 2; Table S4). Food production (grain yield) did not vary much along the hedgerow landscape gradient but tended to increase in OF fields with higher total hedgerow length (Figure 4).

### **4**  | **DISCUSSION**

Total hedgerow length

Organic systems were not more multifunctional than conventional systems, despite the large increases in many indicators, especially those related to biodiversity conservation and pest and

Organic farming x

Total hedgerow length



Organic farming





**FIGURE 3** Gardner-Altman plots for indicators in conventional (CF) and organic (OF) farming systems. Each point is an observation whose raw value can be read on the left axis. Horizontal lines indicate the mean of indicators in CF and OF systems. The right axis indicates the effect size; here the mean difference of indicators between systems (mean OF − mean CF). The curve indicates the resampled distribution of mean difference based on bootstrapping. Points and vertical lines indicate the mean and 95% confidence interval of mean difference.

disease regulation (hypothesis 1). In particular, organic farming had much higher weed diversity (+24 species per field on average) and higher abundances of carnivorous carabids and spiders reaching extreme values in some cases (+26 and +42 individuals per pair of pitfall traps on average). However, we observed much lower food production (-42 qha<sup>-1</sup> on average) in line with many studies (e.g. Couthouis et al., 2023; Gong et al., 2022; Ostandie et al., 2022; Wittwer et al., 2021). On the one hand, the absence or reduction

of agrochemical disturbances in organic fields favours biodiversity, allowing the development of more abundant and diverse weed communities and associated taxa such as predators of crop pests (Diehl et al., 2012). On the other hand, higher weed competition in organic fields probably contributes significantly to yield reduction (Oerke, 2006), in addition to the lower fertilization and lower productivity of ancient cereal varieties in organic systems. Arable weeds certainly play a major role in the trade-off between biodiversity



Total hedgerow length (km) within a 1 km radius of crop fields

**FIGURE 4** Regression plots describing the relationships between total hedgerow length within a 1 km radius of crop fields and (a) granivorous carabid abundance, (b) semi-net margin, (c) grain yield and (d) multifunctionality based on indicators, in conventional (CF) and organic (OF) farming systems. Each point is an observation. Shaded areas around regression curves, and numbers in brackets, indicate 95% confidence intervals based on bootstrap resampling. Regression plots are based on Gaussian linear models, except for granivorous carabid abundance (counts) for which a Poisson generalized linear model was used and McFadden's pseudo-*R*<sup>2</sup> (varying between 0 and 1) was calculated.

conservation and food production. More research is needed to find solutions that promote weed coexistence and reduce the dominance of most competitive species, which should significantly improve agroecological weed management (Adeux et al., 2019; Boinot, Alignier, & Storkey, 2024; MacLaren et al., 2020). Our results also underline the need to enhance below-ground functioning in organic systems, in contrast to previous studies (Birkhofer et al., 2008; Walder et al., 2023; Wittwer et al., 2021). Higher tillage frequency and ploughing to control weeds likely undermine below-ground functioning in organic systems (Tamburini et al., 2016), which may offset the benefits of pesticide-free farming (Hussain et al., 2009), organic amendments (Walder et al., 2023) and more complex rotations for soil biota (D'Acunto et al., 2018). Solutions may lie in the combination of specific practices at field scale such as reducedtillage systems, crop diversification and crop-livestock systems to create more favourable conditions for soil biota and health (Toor et al., 2021) while limiting yield losses due to weed competition (Liebman & Gallandt, 1997; MacLaren et al., 2020).

Contrary to our expectations (hypothesis 2), total hedgerow length had lower influence than organic farming on indicators,

 **BOINOT** et al. **|**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **<b>PROVIDED Excellent PROVIDED Excellent PROVIDED PROVIDED**

AES goods and multifunctionality. However, we found evidence that conventional farming at field scale undermined some beneficial effects of total hedgerow length (through antagonistic effects; hypothesis 3). Granivorous carabid abundance and seminet margin were highest in organic fields located in landscapes with dense hedgerow networks, a result consistent across spatial scales. Hedgerows provide major overwintering habitats and refuges for natural enemies of pests (Maudsley, 2000), but also ensure the spatiotemporal continuity of natural resources (Iuliano & Gratton, 2020) and habitat connectivity (Fischer et al., 2013) in agricultural landscapes. Complementarily, organic farming more likely promotes natural enemy dispersal and population growth into crop fields, due to reduced agrochemical disturbances and increased availability of natural resources, a key driver of movement and residence time of organisms in habitats (Corbett & Plant, 1993). In their reanalysis of the global database from Dainese et al. (2019), Madin and Nelson (2023) also found interaction effects, so that natural enemy activities were highest in organic fields located in landscapes with lower cropland cover. Most existing landscape studies are restricted to conventional farming, which is not conducive to ecological intensification (strong agrochemical disturbances, low crop diversity) and might be one of the main reasons why hedgerows and other semi-natural habitats do not always fulfil their expected functions in crop fields (Albrecht et al., 2020; Précigout & Robert, 2022).

Worldwide, landscape homogeneity is associated with decreased biodiversity-mediated benefits (natural regulation of pests, pollination of crops) and lower crop yields (Dainese et al., 2019), but few studies have assessed the influence of landscape context on profitability (Abson et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2020). For organic systems, we found a positive relationship between total hedgerow length in the landscape and semi-net margin, which cannot be explained by variations in the main farming practices and associated costs. Indeed, the number of tillage operations and fertilization rates in organic fields did not vary much with total hedgerow length (*t*tests: *r*= −0.13, *p*= 0.590 and *r*= −0.28, *p*= 0.235, respectively). The increase in granivorous carabid abundance  $(x1.28$  number of individuals per 1 km increase in hedgerow length), potentially contributing to reducing weed population growth and competition with crops (Carbonne et al., 2020; Daouti et al., 2022), may partly explain the increase in grain yields and annual semi-net margin (+1.38 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and +79 € ha−1 per 1 km increase in hedgerow length). Hedgerows are also major habitats for more mobile taxa, such as many birds and small rodents that can contribute to the natural regulation of weeds and arthropod pests (Wolton, 2015), and flying insects that ensure the pollination of entomophilous crops (not considered in our study) (Morandin & Kremen, 2013). In addition, hedgerow landscapes may create favourable abiotic conditions for improving yields and profitability, for example by buffering wind, extreme temperatures and other climatic events (Forman & Baudry, 1984).

In conclusion, our study suggests that field-scale organic farming (or reduced agrochemical disturbances) is necessary to promote biodiversity conservation and associated ecological functioning in **BOINOT ET AL. BOINOT ET AL. BOINOT ET AL. BOINOT ET AL.** 

crop fields, whereas landscape-scale preservation of semi-natural habitats alone is likely insufficient. Preservation of hedgerows in the landscape brings additional ecological and socio-economic benefits for organic systems without increasing pest pressure or compromising agricultural production. Some may argue that a decrease in food production due to reduced agrochemical inputs and agricultural area would threaten food security. However, loss of agricultural area due to hedgerows is negligible (−2% considering the maximum total length of hedgerows observed in our study and assuming that hedgerows are 4 m wide). For organic farming, the positive relationship between total hedgerow length and grain yield could more than compensate for this loss of agricultural area (+51% increase in yield on average in denser hedgerow landscapes, assuming they are composed entirely of organic fields). Most importantly, we echo the major arguments from Holt-Giménez et al. (2012), Benton and Bailey (2019) and Pe'er et al. (2023): (1) hunger is caused by poverty and inequality rather than scarcity, (2) agricultural productivity has paradoxically promoted food system inefficiency (malnutrition, food waste) and (3) the greatest threats to food security are climate change, soil and landscape degradation/pollution, evolution of pesticide resistance, and losses of biodiversity and associated ecosystem services. Considering these threats, the stability of functioning and production is becoming an increasingly important property of agroecosystems. A growing body of evidence shows increased stability or resilience in pest control (Feit et al., 2021), pollination (Garibaldi et al., 2011), yields (Nelson et al., 2022; Redhead et al., 2020) and profitability (Abson et al., 2013) near semi-natural habitats or in more complex/diverse agricultural landscapes. Hedgerow landscapes may therefore promote the stability of agroecosystem functioning by favouring biodiversity, providing refugia and buffering extreme events, which requires further research and longer-term experimentation.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Cendrine Mony and Audrey Alignier designed and led the study. Stéphanie Aviron, Colette Bertrand, Nathalie Cheviron, Gwendoline Comment, Emma Jeavons, Cécile Le Lann, Samuel Mondy, Christian Mougin, Pierre-Antoine Précigout, Claire Ricono, Corinne Robert and Philippe Vandenkoornhuyse contributed to data collection. Grégoire Saias and Sébastien Boinot contributed to data curation. Sébastien Boinot analysed the data and led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed substantially to revisions.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Postdoctoral research by SB (MULTAGRIM project) was financially supported by Office Français de la Biodiversité (OFB). Data were collected with the financial support of MTE-OFB-FRB (AGRIM) and Fondation de France (Symbagri). This work was supported by the LTSER 'Zone Atelier Armorique'. The authors thank Nolwenn Bougon for her feedback and advice on the project; Gérard Savary for farmers' interviews; Aurélien Dupont for sharing information on weeds; Sarah Guillocheau and Romane Mettauer for sharing information on earthworms and soil functioning; Laurie Civel for Agrosyst

handling and calculation of socio-economic indicators; the AnaEE France research infrastructure; Biogenouest network, the EcogenO, Gentyane and Genosol platforms for DNA extractions and sequence data production; Genouest and Genotoul for bioinfomatics for sequence data analyses; and Oxford Science Editing for English proofreading. The authors also thank farmers for their collaboration. This work, through the involvement of technical facilities of the GenoSol (<https://doi.org/10.15454/L7QN45>) and Biochem-Env (<https://doi.org/10.15454/HA6V6Y>) platforms of the infrastructure ANAEE-Services, received a grant from the French state through the National Agency for Research under the program 'Investments for the Future' (reference ANR-11-INBS-0001), as well as a grant from the Regional Council of Bourgogne Franche Comté. The BRC GenoSol is a part of BRC4Env ([https://doi.org/10.15454/TRBJTB\)](https://doi.org/10.15454/TRBJTB), the pillar « Environmental Resources » of the Research Infrastructure AgroBRC-RARe ([https://doi.org/10.15454/b4ec-tf49\)](https://doi.org/10.15454/b4ec-tf49).

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Data available via the Dryad Digital Repository: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.x69p8czrg) [5061/dryad.x69p8czrg](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.x69p8czrg) (Boinot, Alignier, Aviron, et al., 2024).

#### **ORCID**

*Sébastien Boinot* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2091-8057> *Audrey Alignie[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7619-7124)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7619-7124> *Stéphanie Aviron* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8518-3920> *Colette Bertrand* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0599-3331> *Nathalie Cheviron* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-4229> *Emma Jeavons* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5934-7872> *Cécile Le Lan[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3949-4066)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3949-4066> *Samuel Mond[y](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9203-6398)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9203-6398> *Christian Mougin* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1333-9049> *Pierre-Antoine Précigout* [https://orcid.](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6195-4076) [org/0000-0001-6195-4076](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6195-4076) *Philippe Vandenkoornhuyse* [https://orcid.](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3029-4647)

[org/0000-0003-3029-4647](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3029-4647)

*Cendrine Mony* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0061-6521>

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abson, D. J., Fraser, E. D. G., & Benton, T. G. (2013). Landscape diversity and the resilience of agricultural returns: A portfolio analysis of land-use patterns and economic returns from lowland agriculture. *Agriculture & Food Security*, *2*, 1–15.
- Adeux, G., Vieren, E., Carlesi, S., Bàrberi, P., Munier-Jolain, N., & Cordeau, S. (2019). Mitigating crop yield losses through weed diversity. *Nature Sustainability*, *2*, 1018–1026. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s4189](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0415-y) [3-019-0415-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0415-y)
- Albrecht, M., Kleijn, D., Williams, N. M., Tschumi, M., Blaauw, B. R., Bommarco, R., Campbell, A. J., Dainese, M., Drummond, F. A., Entling, M. H., Ganser, D., Arjen de Groot, G., Goulson, D., Grab, H., Hamilton, H., Herzog, F., Isaacs, R., Jacot, K., Jeanneret, P., … Sutter, L. (2020). The effectiveness of flower strips and hedgerows on pest control, pollination services and crop yield: A quantitative

synthesis. *Ecology Letters*, *23*, 1488–1498. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13576) [ele.13576](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13576)

- Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2020). Agroecology and the emergence of a post COVID-19 agriculture. *Agriculture and Human Values*, *37*, 525–526.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-020-10043-7>
- Batáry, P., Gallé, R., Riesch, F., Fischer, C., Dormann, C. F., Mußhoff, O., Császár, P., Fusaro, S., Gayer, C., Happe, A.-K., Kurucz, K., Molnár, D., Rösch, V., Wietzke, A., & Tscharntke, T. (2017). The former iron curtain still drives biodiversity-profit trade-offs in German agriculture. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *1*, 1279–1284. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0272-x) [1038/s41559-017-0272-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0272-x)
- Benton, T. G., & Bailey, R. (2019). The paradox of productivity: Agricultural productivity promotes food system inefficiency. *Global Sustainability*, *2*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2019.3>
- Birkhofer, K., Bezemer, T. M., Bloem, J., Bonkowski, M., Christensen, S., Dubois, D., Ekelund, F., Fließbach, A., Gunst, L., Hedlund, K., Mäder, P., Mikola, J., Robin, C., Setälä, H., Tatin-Froux, F., van der Putten, W. H., & Scheu, S. (2008). Long-term organic farming fosters below and aboveground biota: Implications for soil quality, biological control and productivity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *40*, 2297–2308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.05.007>
- Blouin, M., Hodson, M. E., Delgado, E. A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K. R., Dai, J., Dendooven, L., Peres, G., Tondoh, J. E., Cluzeau, D., & Brun, J.-J. (2013). A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. *European Journal of Soil Science*, *64*, 161–182.<https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12025>
- Boinot, S., Alignier, A., Aviron, S., Bertand, C., Cheviron, N., Comment, G., Jeavons, E., Le Lann, C., Mondy, S., Mougin, C., Précigout, P.-A., Ricono, C., Robert, C., Saias, G., Vandenkoornhuyse, P., & Mony, C. (2024). Data from: Organic farming and semi-natural habitats for multifunctional agriculture: A case study in hedgerow landscapes of Brittany. *Dryad Digital Repository*, [https://doi.org/10.5061/](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.x69p8czrg) [dryad.x69p8czrg](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.x69p8czrg)
- Boinot, S., Alignier, A., & Storkey, J. (2024). Landscape perspectives for agroecological weed management. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *44*, 7. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-023-00941-5) [023-00941-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-023-00941-5)
- Boussard, H., & Baudry, J. (2017). *Chloe4.0: A software for landscape pattern analysis*. [https://www6.rennes.inrae.fr/bagap/PRODU](https://www6.rennes.inrae.fr/bagap/PRODUCTIONS/Logiciels) [CTIONS/Logiciels](https://www6.rennes.inrae.fr/bagap/PRODUCTIONS/Logiciels)
- Brühl, C. A., Zaller, J. G., Liess, M., & Wogram, J. (2022). The rejection of synthetic pesticides in organic farming has multiple benefits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 113–114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.001) [2021.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.001)
- Brust, G. E. (2019). Management strategies for organic vegetable fertility. In *Safety and practice for organic food* (pp. 193–212). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812060-6.00009-X>
- Buisson, F. (2021). *Behavioral data analysis with R and python*. O'Reilly Media.
- Byrnes, J. E. K. (2022). *Multifunc: Analysis of ecological drivers on ecosystem multifunctionality*. R package version 0.9.4:1–32.
- Byrnes, J. E. K., Gamfeldt, L., Isbell, F., Lefcheck, J. S., Griffin, J. N., Hector, A., Cardinale, B. J., Hooper, D. U., Dee, L. E., & Emmett Duffy, J. (2014). Investigating the relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality: Challenges and solutions. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *5*, 111–124. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12143) [1111/2041-210X.12143](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12143)
- Byrnes, J. E. K., Roger, F., & Bagchi, R. (2023). Understandable multifunctionality measures using hill numbers. *Oikos*, *2023*, e09402. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.09402) [doi.org/10.1111/oik.09402](https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.09402)
- Canty, A., & Ripley, B. (2024). *boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) functions*. R package version 1.3-30.
- Carbonne, B., Petit, S., Neidel, V., Foffova, H., Daouti, E., Frei, B., Skuhrovec, J., Řezáč, M., Saska, P., Wallinger, C., Traugott, M., & Bohan, D. A. (2020). The resilience of weed seedbank regulation by carabid beetles, at continental scales, to alternative prey.

*Scientific Reports*, *10*, 19315. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76305-w) [020-76305-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76305-w)

- Cheviron, N., Grondin, V., Marrauld, C., Poiroux, F., Bertrand, I., Abadie, J., Pandard, P., Riah-Anglet, W., Dubois, C., Malý, S., Marques, C. R., Asenjo, I. V., Alonso, A., Díaz, D. M., & Mougin, C. (2022). Inter-laboratory validation of an ISO test method for measuring enzyme activities in soil samples using colorimetric substrates. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*, 29348–29357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-17173-3>
- Concepción, E. D., Díaz, M., & Baquero, R. A. (2008). Effects of landscape complexity on the ecological effectiveness of agri-environment schemes. *Landscape Ecology*, *23*, 135–148. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-007-9150-2) [s10980-007-9150-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-007-9150-2)
- Corbett, A., & Plant, R. E. (1993). Role of movement in the response of natural enemies to agroecosystem diversification: A theoretical evaluation. *Environmental Entomology*, *22*, 519–531.
- Couthouis, E., Aviron, S., Pétillon, J., & Alignier, A. (2023). Ecological performance underlying ecosystem multifunctionality is promoted by organic farming and hedgerows at the local scale but not at the landscape scale. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *60*, 17–28. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14285) [org/10.1111/1365-2664.14285](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14285)
- Creamer, R. E., Barel, J. M., Bongiorno, G., & Zwetsloot, M. J. (2022). The life of soils: Integrating the who and how of multifunctionality. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *166*, 108561. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108561) [soilbio.2022.108561](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108561)
- D'Acunto, L., Andrade, J. F., Poggio, S. L., & Semmartin, M. (2018). Diversifying crop rotation increased metabolic soil diversity and activity of the microbial community. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, *257*, 159–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.02.011) [02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.02.011)
- Dainese, M., Martin, E. A., Aizen, M. A., Albrecht, M., Bartomeus, I., Bommarco, R., Carvalheiro, L. G., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Gagic, V., Garibaldi, L. A., Ghazoul, J., Grab, H., Jonsson, M., Karp, D. S., Kennedy, C. M., Kleijn, D., Kremen, C., Landis, D. A., Letourneau, D. K., … Steffan-Dewenter, I. (2019). A global synthesis reveals biodiversity-mediated benefits for crop production. *Science Advances*, *5*, eaax0121.
- Dainese, M., Schneider, G., Krauss, J., & Steffan-Dewenter, I. (2017). Complementarity among natural enemies enhances pest suppression. *Scientific Reports*, *7*, 8172. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08316-z) [017-08316-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08316-z)
- Daouti, E., Jonsson, M., Vico, G., & Menegat, A. (2022). Seed predation is key to preventing population growth of the weed Alopecurus myosuroides. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *59*, 471–482. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14064) [10.1111/1365-2664.14064](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14064)
- Diehl, E., Wolters, V., & Birkhofer, K. (2012). Arable weeds in organically managed wheat fields foster carabid beetles by resource- and structure-mediated effects. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions*, *6*, 75–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-011-9153-4>
- Dover, J. W. (Ed.). (2019). *The ecology of hedgerows and field margins*. Routledge.
- European Commission. (2021). *EU biodiversity strategy for 2030—Bringing nature back into our lives*. <https://doi.org/10.2779/677548>
- Feit, B., Blüthgen, N., Daouti, E., Straub, C., Traugott, M., & Jonsson, M. (2021). Landscape complexity promotes resilience of biological pest control to climate change. *Proceedings of the Biological Sciences*, *288*, 20210547. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0547>
- Fischer, C., Schlinkert, H., Ludwig, M., Holzschuh, A., Gallé, R., Tscharntke, T., & Batáry, P. (2013). The impact of hedge-forest connectivity and microhabitat conditions on spider and carabid beetle assemblages in agricultural landscapes. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, *17*, 1027–1038. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1084](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-013-9586-4) [1-013-9586-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-013-9586-4)
- Forman, R. T. T., & Baudry, J. (1984). Hedgerows and hedgerow networks in landscape ecology. *Environmental Management*, *8*, 495–510. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01871575>
- **10 <sup>|</sup>**  BOINOT et al.
- Garibaldi, L. A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kremen, C., Morales, J. M., Bommarco, R., Cunningham, S. A., Carvalheiro, L. G., Chacoff, N. P., Dudenhöffer, J. H., Greenleaf, S. S., Holzschuh, A., Isaacs, R., Krewenka, K., Mandelik, Y., Mayfield, M. M., Morandin, L. A., Potts, S. G., Ricketts, T. H., Szentgyörgyi, H., … Klein, A. M. (2011). Stability of pollination services decreases with isolation from natural areas despite honey bee visits. *Ecology Letters*, *14*, 1062–1072. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01669.x>
- Gebhardt, S., van Dijk, J., Wassen, M. J., & Bakker, M. (2023). Agricultural intensity interacts with landscape arrangement in driving ecosystem services. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *357*, 108692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2023.108692>
- Gelman, A. (2008). Scaling regression inputs by dividing by two standard deviations. *Statistics in Medicine*, *27*, 2865–2873. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.3107) [10.1002/sim.3107](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.3107)
- Gong, S., Hodgson, J. A., Tscharntke, T., Liu, Y., van der Werf, W., Batáry, P., Knops, J. M. H., & Zou, Y. (2022). Biodiversity and yield tradeoffs for organic farming. *Ecology Letters*, *25*, 1699–1710. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14017) [org/10.1111/ele.14017](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14017)
- Hedde, M., Pey, B., Auclerc, A., Capowiez, Y., Cluzeau, D., Cortet, J., Decaëns, T., Deharveng, L., Dubs, F., Joimel, S., Guernion, M., Grumiaux, F., Laporte, M.-A., Nahmani, J., Pasquet, A., Pélosi, C., Pernin, C., Ponge, J.-F., Salmon, S., & Santorufo, L. (2012). *BETSI, a complete framework for studying soil invertebrate functional traits*. Unpublished, Coimbra. <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.1286.6888>
- Ho, J., Tumkaya, T., Aryal, S., Choi, H., & Claridge-Chang, A. (2019). Moving beyond P values: Data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nature Methods*, *16*, 565–566. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s4159](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0470-3) [2-019-0470-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0470-3)
- Holt-Giménez, E., Shattuck, A., Altieri, M., Herren, H., & Gliessman, S. (2012). We already grow enough food for 10 billion people … and still can't end hunger. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, *36*, 595– 598.<https://doi.org/10.1080/10440046.2012.695331>
- Hölting, L., Beckmann, M., Volk, M., & Cord, A. F. (2019). Multifunctionality assessments—More than assessing multiple ecosystem functions and services? A quantitative literature review. *Ecological Indicators*, *103*, 226–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.04.009>
- Homburg, K., Homburg, N., Schäfer, F., Schuldt, A., & Assmann, T. (2014). Carabids.org—A dynamic online database of ground beetle species traits (Coleoptera, Carabidae). *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, *7*, 195–205.<https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12045>
- Hussain, S., Siddique, T., Saleem, M., Arshad, M., & Khalid, A. (2009). Chapter 5: Impact of pesticides on soil microbial diversity, enzymes, and biochemical reactions. *Advances in Agronomy*, *102*, 159–200. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113\(09\)01005-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(09)01005-0)
- Iuliano, B., & Gratton, C. (2020). Temporal resource (dis)continuity for conservation biological control: From field to landscape scales. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *4*, 127. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.00127) [3389/fsufs.2020.00127](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.00127)
- Johannes, A., Matter, A., Schulin, R., Weisskopf, P., Baveye, P. C., & Boivin, P. (2017). Optimal organic carbon values for soil structure quality of arable soils. Does clay content matter? *Geoderma*, *302*, 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.04.021>
- Jolys, O., Dubuc, M., Ancelet, E., & Munier-Jolain, N. (2016). *Agrosyst: Guide de l'utilisateur*. Décembre 2016, version 2.1.
- Le Provost, G., Thiele, J., Westphal, C., Penone, C., Allan, E., Neyret, M., van der Plas, F., Ayasse, M., Bardgett, R. D., Birkhofer, K., Boch, S., Bonkowski, M., Buscot, F., Feldhaar, H., Gaulton, R., Goldmann, K., Gossner, M. M., Klaus, V. H., Kleinebecker, T., … Manning, P. (2021). Contrasting responses of above- and belowground diversity to multiple components of land-use intensity. *Nature Communications*, *12*, 3918. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23931-1>
- Liebman, M., & Gallandt, E. R. (1997). Many little hammers: Ecological management of crop-weed interactions. In L. Jackson (Ed.), *Ecology in agriculture* (pp. 291–343). Academic Press.
- MacLaren, C., Storkey, J., Menegat, A., Metcalfe, H., & Dehnen-Schmutz, K. (2020). An ecological future for weed science to sustain crop production and the environment. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *40*, 24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-020-00631-6>
- Madin, M. B., & Nelson, K. S. (2023). Effects of landscape simplicity on crop yield: A reanalysis of a global database. *PLoS One*, *18*, e0289799. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0289799>
- Marrec, R., Brusse, T., & Caro, G. (2022). Biodiversity-friendly agricultural landscapes—Integrating farming practices and spatiotemporal dynamics. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 731–733. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.05.004) [org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.05.004)
- Maudsley, M. J. (2000). A review of the ecology and conservation of hedgerow invertebrates in Britain. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *60*, 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jema.2000.0362>
- Montgomery, I., Caruso, T., & Reid, N. (2020). Hedgerows as ecosystems: Service delivery, management, and restoration. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *51*, 81–102. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-012120-100346) [1146/annurev-ecolsys-012120-100346](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-012120-100346)
- Morandin, L. A., & Kremen, C. (2013). Hedgerow restoration promotes pollinator populations and exports native bees to adjacent fields. *Ecological Applications*, *23*, 829–839. <https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1051.1>
- Nelson, K. S., Patalee, B., & Yao, B. (2022). Higher landscape diversity associated with improved crop production resilience in Kansas-USA. *Environmental Research Letters*, *17*, 84011. [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac7e5f) [1748-9326/ac7e5f](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac7e5f)
- Oerke, E.-C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, *144*, 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859605005708>
- Ostandie, N., Giffard, B., Tolle, P., Ugaglia, A. A., Thiéry, D., & Rusch, A. (2022). Organic viticulture leads to lower trade-offs between agroecosystem goods but does not improve overall multifunctionality. *Agricultural Systems*, *203*, 103489. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2022.103489) [2022.103489](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2022.103489)
- Pe'er, G., Kachler, J., Herzon, I., Hering, D., Arponen, A., Bosco, L., Bruelheide, H., Friedrichs-Manthey, M., Hagedorn, G., Hansjürgens, B., Ladouceur, E., Lakner, S., Liquete, C., Quaas, M., Robuchon, M., Saavedra, D., Selva, N., Settele, J., Sirami, C., … Bonn, A. (2023). Scientists support the EU's green deal and reject the unjustified argumentation against the sustainable use regulation and the nature restoration law: Open letter (full version, 9.7.2023). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.8033784) [10.5281/ZENODO.8033784](https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.8033784)
- Pickett, S. T. A. (1989). Space-for-time substitution as an alternative to long-term studies. In G. E. Likens (Ed.), *Long-term studies in ecology: Approaches and alternatives* (pp. 110–135). Springer.
- Précigout, P.-A., & Robert, C. (2022). Effects of hedgerows on the preservation of spontaneous biodiversity and the promotion of biotic regulation services in agriculture: Towards a more constructive relationships between agriculture and biodiversity. *Botany Letters*, *169*, 176–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23818107.2022.2053205>
- Priyadarshana, T. S., Martin, E. A., Sirami, C., Woodcock, B. A., Goodale, E., Martínez-Núñez, C., Lee, M.-B., Pagani-Núñez, E., Raderschall, C. A., Brotons, L., Rege, A., Ouin, A., Tscharntke, T., & Slade, E. M. (2024). Crop and landscape heterogeneity increase biodiversity in agricultural landscapes: A global review and meta-analysis. *Ecology Letters*, *27*, e14412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14412>
- Puth, M.-T., Neuhäuser, M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2015). On the variety of methods for calculating confidence intervals by bootstrapping. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, *84*, 892–897. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12382) [1365-2656.12382](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12382)
- Redhead, J. W., Oliver, T. H., Woodcock, B. A., & Pywell, R. F. (2020). The influence of landscape composition and configuration on crop yield resilience. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *57*, 2180–2190. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13722) [doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13722](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13722)
- Root, R. B. (1973). Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse habitats: The fauna of collards (*Brassica Oleracea*). *Ecological Monographs*, *43*, 95–124.

 BOINOT et al. **<sup>|</sup> 11**

- Roschewitz, I., Gabriel, D., Tscharntke, T., & Thies, C. (2005). The effects of landscape complexity on arable weed species diversity in organic and conventional farming. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *42*, 873–882. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01072.x>
- Schielzeth, H. (2010). Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *1*, 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00012.x>
- Smith, O. M., Cohen, A. L., Reganold, J. P., Jones, M. S., Orpet, R. J., Taylor, J. M., Thurman, J. H., Cornell, K. A., Olsson, R. L., Ge, Y., Kennedy, C. M., & Crowder, D. W. (2020). Landscape context affects the sustainability of organic farming systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *117*, 2870–2878. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.19069](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906909117) [09117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906909117)
- Staley, J. T., Wolton, R., & Norton, L. R. (2023). Improving and expanding hedgerows—Recommendations for a semi-natural habitat in agricultural landscapes. *Ecological Solutions and Evidence*, *4*, e12209. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2688-8319.12209>
- Stein-Bachinger, K., Preißel, S., Kühne, S., & Reckling, M. (2022). More diverse but less intensive farming enhances biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 395–396.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.01.008>
- Tamburini, G., de Simone, S., Sigura, M., Boscutti, F., & Marini, L. (2016). Soil management shapes ecosystem service provision and tradeoffs in agricultural landscapes. *Proceedings of the Biological Sciences*, *283*, 20161369. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1369>
- Toor, G. S., Yang, Y.-Y., Das, S., Dorsey, S., & Felton, G. (2021). Soil health in agricultural ecosystems: Current status and future perspectives. *Advances in Agronomy*, *168*, 157–201. [https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2021.02.004) [agron.2021.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2021.02.004)
- Tscharntke, T., Grass, I., Wanger, T. C., Westphal, C., & Batáry, P. (2021). Beyond organic farming—Harnessing biodiversity-friendly landscapes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *36*, 919–930. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.06.010) [10.1016/j.tree.2021.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.06.010)
- Tscharntke, T., Grass, I., Wanger, T. C., Westphal, C., & Batáry, P. (2022a). Prioritise the most effective measures for biodiversity-friendly agriculture. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 397–398. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.02.008) [10.1016/j.tree.2022.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.02.008)
- Tscharntke, T., Grass, I., Wanger, T. C., Westphal, C., & Batáry, P. (2022b). Restoring biodiversity needs more than reducing pesticides. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 115–116. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.009) [2021.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.009)
- USDA–NRCS. (2011). *Carbon:Nitrogen ratio (C:N): What is it and why is it important for a good soil condition?*.
- Walder, F., Büchi, L., Wagg, C., Colombi, T., Banerjee, S., Hirte, J., Mayer, J., Six, J., Keller, T., Charles, R., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2023). Synergism between production and soil health through crop diversification, organic amendments and crop protection in wheat-based systems. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *60*, 2091–2104. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14484) [10.1111/1365-2664.14484](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14484)
- Wittwer, R., Bender, S. F., Hartman, K., Hydbom, S., Lima, R. A. A., Loaiza, V., Nemecek, T., Oehl, F., Olsson, P. A., Petchey, O. L., Preschl, U. E., Schlaeppi, K., Scholten, T., Seitz, S., Six, J., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2021). Organic and conservation agriculture promote ecosystem multifunctionality. *Science Advances*, *7*, eabg6995. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg6995) [org/10.1126/sciadv.abg6995](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg6995)

Wolton, R. (2015). Life in a hedge. *British Wildlife*, *26*, 306–317.

#### **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

## **Data S1:** Questionnaire.

**Figure S1:** Bocage landscape and diversity of hedgerows in the Zone Atelier Armorique, a Long-Term Socio-Ecological Research (LTSER) site in Brittany, France.

**Figure S2:** Crop fields (*n*= 40) in the southern part of the Zone Atelier Armorique, Brittany, France.

**Figure S3:** Standardized regression estimates (mean and 95% confidence interval based on bootstrap resampling) from linear models measuring relationships between environmental factors (organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 750 m radius of crop fields, and their interaction) and response variables (multifunctionality, agroecosystem (AES) goods, and corresponding indicators).

**Figure S4:** Standardized regression estimates (mean and 95% confidence interval based on bootstrap resampling) from linear models measuring relationships between environmental factors (organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 500 m radius of crop fields, and their interaction) and response variables (multifunctionality, agroecosystem (AES) goods, and corresponding indicators).

**Figure S5:** Standardized regression estimates (mean and 95% confidence interval based on bootstrap resampling) from linear models measuring relationships between environmental factors (organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 250 m radius of crop fields, and their interaction) and response variables (multifunctionality, agroecosystem (AES) goods, and corresponding indicators).

**Table S1:** Overview of field data collection and sampling methods for each taxon.

**Table S2:** Raw statistics of indicators.

**Table S3:** Diet of carabid species.

**Table S4:** Results of Gaussian linear models assessing the effects of organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 1 km radius of crop fields, and their interaction, on indicators, agroecosystem goods, and multifunctionality.

**Table S5:** Results of Gaussian linear models assessing the effects of organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 750 m radius of crop fields, and their interaction, on indicators, agroecosystem goods, and multifunctionality.

**Table S6:** Results of Gaussian linear models assessing the effects of organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 500 m radius of crop fields, and their interaction, on indicators, agroecosystem goods, and multifunctionality.

**Table S7:** Results of Gaussian linear models assessing the effects of organic farming, total hedgerow length within a 250 m radius of crop fields, and their interaction, on indicators, agroecosystem goods, and multifunctionality.

**How to cite this article:** Boinot, S., Alignier, A., Aviron, S., Bertrand, C., Cheviron, N., Comment, G., Jeavons, E., Le Lann, C., Mondy, S., Mougin, C., Précigout, P.-A., Ricono, C., Robert, C., Saias, G., Vandenkoornhuyse, P., & Mony, C. (2024). Organic farming and semi-natural habitats for multifunctional agriculture: A case study in hedgerow landscapes of Brittany. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *00*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14825>