

Old poisons, new signaling molecules: the case of hydrogen cyanide

Pablo Díaz-Rueda, Laura Morales de los Ríos, Luis C Romero, Irene García

To cite this version:

Pablo Díaz-Rueda, Laura Morales de los Ríos, Luis C Romero, Irene García. Old poisons, new signaling molecules: the case of hydrogen cyanide. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2024, 74, pp.6040 - 6051. $10.1093/jxb/erad317$. hal-04837488

HAL Id: hal-04837488 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04837488v1>

Submitted on 13 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 74, No. 19 pp. 6040–6051, 2023 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erad317 Advance Access Publication 16 August 2023

REVIEW PAPER

Old poisons, new signaling molecules: the case of hydrogen cyanide

Pabl[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2414-4813) Díaz-Rueda[†], Laura Morales de los Ríos^{†,‡}, Luis C. Romeroⁿo and Irene García*

Instituto de Bioquímica Vegetal y Fotosíntesis (IBVF), CSIC-Universidad de Sevilla, 41092-Sevilla, Spain

‡ Present address: Institut des Sciences des Plantes de Montpellier (IPSIM), CNRS/INRAE/SupAgro-M/UM2, 34060-Montpellier Cedex 1, France.

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Correspondence: irene.garcia@ibvf.csic.es

Received 20 April 2023; Editorial decision 4 August 2023; Accepted 14 August 2023

Editor: Angeles Aroca, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Abstract

The high phenotypic plasticity developed by plants includes rapid responses and adaptations to aggressive or changing environments. To achieve this, they evolved extremely efficient mechanisms of signaling mediated by a wide range of molecules, including small signal molecules. Among them, hydrogen cyanide (HCN) has been largely ignored due to its toxic characteristics. However, not only is it present in living organisms, but it has been shown that it serves several functions in all kingdoms of life. Research using model plants has changed the traditional point of view, and it has been demonstrated that HCN plays a positive role in the plant response to pathogens independently of its toxicity. Indeed, HCN induces a response aimed at protecting the plant from pathogen attack, and the HCN is provided either exogenously (*in vitro* or by some cyanogenic bacteria species present in the rhizosphere) or endogenously (in reactions involving ethylene, camalexin, or other cyanide-containing compounds). The contribution of different mechanisms to HCN function, including a new post-translational modification of cysteines in proteins, namely *S*-cyanylation, is discussed here. This work opens up an expanding 'HCN field' of research related to plants and other organisms.

Keywords: Hydrogen cyanide, immune response, metalloproteins, plant defense, *S*-cyanylation, signaling.

Introduction

As sessile organisms, plants are unable to move to avoid adverse conditions. Therefore, they evolved extremely efficient mechanisms for detecting and responding to the wide diversity of biotic and/or abiotic stress conditions to restore cellular homeostasis.

The detection of changes in external conditions acquires special relevance and, concomitantly, the signaling mechanisms triggering responses aimed at restoring homeostasis or adapting their physiology to the new conditions are extremely efficient. The molecular mechanisms that underlie cell signaling in plants constitute a central topic of research, especially in our current climatic change scenario. Among them, the role of small signal molecules deserves attention because they are able to trigger rapid responses mainly due to their high chemical reactivity.

Hydrogen cyanide (HCN) is a well-known poison used since ancient times for suicides and murders, including mass

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

killings. Additionally, industrial wastes and leakages of HCN are the origin of environmental pollution and catastrophes. It has been extensively referred to in detective books, where it often appears as a substance with the characteristic odor of bitter almonds. It is a low molecular weight molecule that is highly reactive, soluble in water, and has a low melting point. Due to its reactivity and its abundance in the Earth's earliest atmosphere, the participation of HCN in the origin of ribonucleotides, lipids, and amino acids is more than possible (Patel *et al.*, 2015). Its toxic capacity is mainly due to its ability to form very stable complexes with transition metals of the prosthetic groups of metalloproteins that are essential for their function (Nagahara *et al.*, 1999). The main target of cyanide in living organisms is the mitochondria, where it blocks electron transfer by cytochrome *c* oxidase and thus interrupts mitochondrial oxygenic respiration (Donato *et al.*, 2007), although it also affects photosynthetic enzymes in chloroplasts (Berg and Krogmann, 1975) and the activity of enzymes such as catalase and oxidases (Cheeke, 1995; McMahon *et al.*, 1995)

Therefore, HCN has been considered only as a toxic compound whose presence is rapidly eliminated by detoxifying activities. However, its physicochemical characteristics are similar of those of other low molecular weight signaling molecules, such as nitric oxide (NO) and hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) , which are toxic at high concentrations and possess, at non-toxic concentrations, a widely demonstrated and accepted signaling role in plants (Delledonne *et al.*, 2001; Astier and Lindermayr, 2012; Paul and Snyder, 2015; Aroca *et al.*, 2018).

HCN in life kingdoms

HCN has been described to be synthetized within cells of organisms from all kingdoms except *Archaea*. In bacteria and fungi, the amino acid glycine is oxidized and decarboxylated by the membrane-bound flavoenzyme cyanide synthase, giving HCN and CO₂ as products (Knowles, 1976, 1988; Blumer and Haas, 2000). In these organisms, HCN and HCNcontaining molecules such as cyanogenic glucosides can serve as a nitrogen source for amino acids and other N-containing molecules, but other roles for HCN have been identified or suggested (Fig. 1). Some HCN-emitting soil bacterial strains, especially fluorescent pseudomonads, have important effects against plant diseases and, historically, this effect has been attributed to a direct poisonous effect. However, it has also been shown that these bacteria stimulate plant growth depending on their capacity to emit HCN (Kuzmanovic *et al.*, 2018; Sehrawat *et al.*, 2022; see below). In the context of animal–bacteria interactions, it is interesting to mention the case of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, an opportunistic human pathogen affecting the lungs and causing pneumonia. A wide range of *P. aeruginosa* strains produce HCN, which has been shown to be involved in the process of lung tissue colonization by quorum-sensing mechanisms by inducing the production of biofilm components and by competition with other bacterial species, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, not only in proximity but also at a distance (Létoffé *et al.*, 2022).

Cyanogenesis in arthropods has also been described. In many cases, arthropods accumulate cyanogenic compounds from the plants they feed on, and they prefer cyanogenic rather than non-cyanogenic plants to feed on (Zagrobelny *et al.*, 2007, 2008), but some arthropods are able to synthesize cyanogenic glucosides *de novo* as well (Siegien and Bogatek, 2006; Zagrobelny *et al.*, 2008). In addition to defense, a pheromonelike function has been described for HCN-producing compounds in mating behaviors, such as male courtship and female calling and assessment of male fitness (Fig. 1) (Zagrobelny *et al.*, 2018).

Mammalian cells are able to produce HCN from glycine in a reaction catalyzed by peroxidases (Stelmaszynska, 1986; Borowitz *et al.*, 1997; Gunasekar *et al.*, 2000). Compared with plants and bacteria, HCN signaling and regulatory function in mammals are paticularly unknown and underestimated because it is almost exclusively viewed as a poison and environmental toxin. Nevertheless, mammals, including humans, have detectable (nM to low µM) plasma HCN levels (Vinnakota *et al.*, 2012), and active white blood cells produce HCN during phagocytosis (Stelmaszynska, 1986). In the brain, synaptic receptors are activated by HCN, which is generated by opiate agonists, indicating that it may act as a neuromodulator (Fig. 1) (Borowitz *et al.*, 1997; Gunasekar *et al.*, 2004; Siegien and Bogatek, 2006). It has been observed recently that very low concentrations of HCN (from nM to 1 μ M), rather than having toxicological effects, induce cellular proliferation and bioenergetics via cytochrome *c* oxidase stimulation and increase cell respiration and ATP production in mammalian cell cultures (Pacher, 2021; Randi *et al.*, 2021).

HCN in plants

HCN is naturally present in relatively high concentrations in cyanogenic plant species such as almonds or cassava (Poulton, 1990; Moller, 2010), forming cyanogenic compounds that, in the case of the latter, can generate a health problem if not properly eliminated, especially in developing countries in times of famine (White *et al.*, 1998; Kashala-Abotnes *et al.*, 2019). In non-cyanogenic plants, HCN is produced principally during the biosynthesis of ethylene (ET) and the antipathogenic molecule camalexin (Peiser *et al.*, 1984; Yip and Yang, 1988; Wang *et al.*, 2002; Glawischnig, 2007; Bottcher *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, HCN is naturally produced in plants and, apart from its toxic action, it has been shown to influence several physiological processes.

Substantial evidence has related the presence of HCN to the acceleration of germination and, indeed, the HCN content in seeds increases just before germination in many cyanogenic and non-cyanogenic plant species (Esashi *et al.*, 1991). It is well known that cyanohydrins present in the smoke of a wildfire induce a germination burst due to the HCN released,

Fig. 1. HCN functions in organisms of the different kingdoms of life. Despite its toxicity, HCN is present in living organisms, where functions have been described. The different functions in the different life kingdoms are indicated adjacent to the respective arrows. For explanations and references, see the text.

which regenerates the burned landscape (Nelson *et al.*, 2012; Flematti *et al.*, 2013). Treatments with HCN at low concentrations (mM) stimulate seed germination by breaking dormancy in diverse plants, including fruit trees, cereals, sunflower, and Arabidopsis, concomitantly with transient reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and protein carbonylation, and, in some cases, the ET signaling pathway has been shown to be necessary for dormancy break (Gotor *et al.*, 2019, and references therein). An RNA-seq analysis of tomato seeds treated with a low concentration of KCN has shown that HCN acts pleiotropically to break seed dormancy (Yu *et al.*, 2022). Specifically, repression of proteins necessary for seed protein storage that may cause mobilization of stored proteins, as well as induction of glycolytic, tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation enzymes, was observed. Therefore, carbon and energy metabolism acceleration is occurring. Finally, hormones such as abscisic acid and gibberellin were also affected in KCN-treated tomato seeds.

Hormones, including ET, are central components of the plant response to pathogens (Zhang *et al.*, 2018). As ET and HCN biosynthesis are intimately linked, a relationship between HCN and plant immunity is not unlikely and will be discussed below.

HCN-mediated signaling in plants

Plants possess two HCN-detoxifying enzymatic activities, namely β-cyanoalanine synthases (CASs; EC 4.4.1.9) and sulfurtransferases (STRs; EC 2.8.1.1), which use either cysteine or thiosulfate/mercaptopyruvate to incorporate HCN and convert it into less toxic molecules. The main HCN-detoxifying enzyme in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is CAS, with mitochondrialocalized CAS-C1 activity accounting for ~70% of the total CAS activity in this plant (Hatzfeld *et al.*, 2000; Machingura *et al.*, 2016; Arenas-Alfonseca *et al.*, 2018b). Although *cas-c1* T-DNA insertion mutants accumulate between 20% and 40% more HCN in their tissues than wild-type plants, their only phenotypic difference is that they present dwarf root hairs that are unable to elongate (Garcia *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, it has been shown that this defect is not dependent on ROS

production or the inhibition of the NADPH oxidase RHD2 that produces the superoxide anion at the tip of the elongating root hair and drives its polar growth (Arenas-Alfonseca *et al.*, 2018a, b). On the other hand, the mutation in *CAS-C1* activates the immune response of plants when infected by bacterial and viral biotrophic pathogens, indicating that endogenously produced HCN might also contribute to regulating the plant immune system (Garcia *et al.*, 2013; see below). Overexpression of CAS genes in Arabidopsis has also been shown to be important in salt stress resistance, and alternative oxidase (AOX) seems to be essential in this process (Xu *et al.*, 2023).

HCN can thus act as a signal molecule whose amount is finely regulated in plant tissues and whose action mechanism is important to uncover. It is a small molecule able to penetrate membranes easily due to its lipid solubility. It is enzymatically generated and toxic in excess; therefore, detoxifying activities are necessary to maintain HCN at non-toxic levels. These features are shared by known gasotransmitter signaling molecules, such as ROS, and reactive sulfur and nitrogen species. Their mode of action includes post-translational modifications (PTMs) in proteins at the -SH groups of cysteines, namely oxidation, persulfidation, and nitrosylation (Aroca *et al.*, 2018, and references therein). PTMs influence the physicochemical properties of the proteins (folding, conformation, subcellular distribution, stability, and activity) and therefore their biological activity. As will be discussed below, HCN *per se* is capable of reacting with cysteine residues in the form of a disulfide bridge to form an organic thiocyanate (Gawron, 1966). We have shown very recently for the first time in any organism that *S*-cyanylation exists naturally in plants (Garcia *et al.*, 2019). The importance of this new PTM is unexplored and represents an exciting challenge. Box 1 summarizes the synthesis, detoxification, and signaling role of HCN in plants, including the *S*-cyanylation as a novel HCN-driven mechanism of action.

Hence, HCN plays a role in different biological processes in plants, which deserves special attention because it has driven a change in the understanding of HCN from a poison to a signaling molecule. These findings are of special relevance because they represent a new mechanism for triggering fast and efficient responses aimed at restoring homeostasis and/ or adapting plant physiology to a changing environment,

The intracellular levels of HCN are determined by its production as a co-product in the biosynthesis of ethylene and phytoalexins or in the hydrolysis and degradation of glucosinolates and cyanogenic glycosides, in equilibrium with the mechanism of enzymatic detoxification or its reaction with other biomolecules. The transient or permanent accumulation of HCN induces its reaction with small biomolecules or with proteins and enzymes, altering its functionality and acting as a signaling molecule in the regulation of pathophysiological processes in the plant.

especially important in the current climatic change scenario and the emergence of new plant diseases.

HCN in plant defense

Cyanogenic compounds constitute an excellent defensive barrier against animal, microbial, and fungal attack, and exist in >3000 plant species. The core of plant cyanogenesis is based on the enzymatic hydrolysis of cyanohydrins (α-hydroxynitriles) to generate carbonyl compounds (aldehyde or ketone) with free toxic HCN as a consequence of tissue disruption triggered by herbivores or by physical injury (Morant *et al.*, 2008).

The cyanogenesis process in cyanogenic plants has been established by numerous studies, and a canonical pathway has been proposed: the synthesis of cyanogenic glycosides starts with the conversion of aromatic (Phe, Tyr) or aliphatic (Val, Ile, Leu, Trp) amino acids into the respective oximes catalyzed by cytochromes P450 of the CYP79 family (CYP79D1/D2/A1). Consecutively, oximes are converted into α -hydroxynitriles by the action of cytochromes P450 of the CYP71, CYP736, CYP706, and CYP83 families, to be then glycosylated by UDP-glycosyltransferases (UGT85B/K). CNglcs are formed as inactive precursors and need to be enzymatically activated. After tissue disruption mediated by feeding herbivores, CNglcs are brought into contact with β-glucosidases that break the β-glucosidic bond, thereby releasing α-hydroxynitriles (cyanohydrins) with defensive properties (Zagrobelny *et al.*, 2004). Finally, cyanohydrins are hydrolyzed either spontaneously or by hydroxynitrile lyases (HNLs), dissociating into HCN and the corresponding aldehyde or ketone (Gleadow and Møller, 2014).

HCN and cyanohydrins are too reactive to be deployed directly as pre-formed defenses. The storage of inactive precursor CNglcs permits the generation of appropriate chemically active compounds against herbivores in the right tissue and at the right time: activating enzymes that are compartmentalized separately to their substrates so that they do not mix until the plant has been chewed or damaged (Miller and Conn, 1980; Poulton, 1990; Conn, 2008; Moller, 2010; Gleadow and Møller, 2014). Although CNglcs are the most common cyanogenic compounds, derivatives from the 4-OH-ICN pathway exclusively found in the *Brassicaceae* family also produce cyanohydrins, which can then be hydrolyzed by the action of the HNL enzyme, releasing HCN (Rajniak *et al.*, 2015; Pastorczyk *et al.*, 2020). In the initial step of this pathway, two redundant P450 monooxygenases, CYP79B2 and CYP79B3, convert tryptophan into indole-3-acetaldoxime (IAOx), which then acts as the substrate taken by CYP71A12 to produce indole cyanohydrin. At this point, a flavin-dependent oxidoreductase, termed FOX1, catalyzes the conversion of IAOx to indole-carbonylnitrile (ICN), a substrate of the CYP82C2 enzyme that can be transformed into 4-OH-ICN. Additionally, 4-OH-ICN is the base for downstream cyanohydrin metabolite production (Dixit *et al.*, 2022).

The role of cyanogenic plants in the control of phytophagous arthropod pests has been widely studied (Boter and Diaz, 2023, and references therein). In general, insect damage negatively correlates with cyanogenic and phenolic compounds, and some studies have even demonstrated that insects such as Mexican bean beetles (*Epilachna varivestis*) choose plants with genotypes deficient in cyanide release as a source of nutrients or as a place to lay their eggs (Balhorn *et al.*, 2006). Recent studies provide evidence that the benefits of HCN defense against herbivory on white clover (*Trifolium repens*) are temperature dependent (Fadoul *et al.*, 2023). In contrast, there is just one publication validating the alternative cyanogenic pathway to expand plant defenses against pests in a non-cyanogenic plant such as *A. thaliana*. Arnaiz *et al.* (2022) demonstrated the up-regulation of different enzymes, such as β-glucosidases, α-HNLs, and FOX1, for the release of HCN in response to phytopredators such as the spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae*). Moreover, a reduction in leaf damage determined in the AtHNL-overexpressing lines reflected the mites' reduced ability to feed on leaves, which consequently limited mite fecundity. Interestingly, it was recently identified how the interaction of plant–predator co-evolution has led to an arms race where insects induce $β$ -cyanoalanine synthase for HCN detoxification as protection against the defenses of Arabidopsis plants (Dixit *et al.*, 2022; Nguyen, 2022).

HCN has also been proposed as a signaling molecule that could act indirectly in the plant response to pathogenic bacteria, viruses, or fungi. *PR-1* and several salicylic acid (SA)-regulated genes are transcriptionally induced in the basal state in *cas-c1* mutant plants (Garcia *et al.*, 2013, 2014). It has been extensively demonstrated that *cas-c1* mutant plants are more resistant than wild-type plants to biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens such as the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* and beet curly top virus (BCTV) (Garcia *et al.*, 2013, 2014). Furthermore, double mutants *atrbohD3 cas-c1* show a notably lower susceptibility to *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 infection than the simple mutant *atrbohD3*, suggesting that the accumulation of HCN due to the *cas-c1* mutation is capable of activating plant defenses in a similar way to the effect of ROS produced by NADPH oxidase (Arenas-Alfonseca *et al.*, 2021). Interestingly, the *cas* $c1$ mutant does not produce more O_2^- than wild-type plants; therefore, the bypass of NADPH oxidase does not depend on ROS production in the presence of HCN (Arenas-Alfonseca *et al.*, 2021). Proteomic analyses of wheat and barley varieties with different sensitivities to the fungus *Fusarium graminearum* reveal a correlation between β-CAS activity and fungal resistance of the different lines examined (Geddes *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, exogenously applied HCN has been shown to enhance rice defense against the fungus *Magnaporthe grisea*, whose production is triggered by a hypersensitive reaction (HR) (Iwai *et al.*, 2006; Seo *et al.*, 2011). The underlying mechanism of cyanide production in plant immunity against these kinds of pathogens is very complex and requires further study.

Fig. 2. HCN and plant defense. (A) Exogenous treatments with HCN or the production of HCN by the plant-associated cyanogenic microbiome in the rhizosphere activate plant defense against a wide range of pathogens. (B) Plant endogenously produced HCN and HCN-producing molecules have been shown to promote plant defense against herbivores and biotrophic pathogens.

In addition to its action in defense, cyanide also plays an important role during compatible and incompatible plant–bacteria interactions. Endogenous HCN content is oppositely regulated in virulent or avirulent interactions with the hemibiotrophic bacterium *P. syringae*. During an incompatible interaction between Arabidopsis plants and the avirulent *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 avrRpm1 (Pst DC3000 avrRpm1), there is an early decrease in the expression of *CAS-C1* that leads to a transient increase in the concentration of HCN, which, analogous to the oxidative burst occurring at early stages of the infections, can be considered an HCN burst (Garcia *et al.*, 2013, 2014, 2019).

As mentioned above, a wide range of organisms, such as fungi, bacteria, lichens, millipedes, arthropods, and insects, are also cyanogenic (Zagrobelny *et al.*, 2008). In this context, HCN is also implicated in the plant-associated microbiome in the rhizosphere. Cyanogenic rhizobacteria produce HCN, which can also be used as an effective herbicide to inhibit the growth of weed seedlings in *Lactuca sativa* (Kremer and Souissi, 2001), an alternative to the toxic herbicides in current use. On the other hand, strong growth inhibition has been observed in various pathogenic fungi, weeds, insects, termites, and nematodes by the effect of cyanogenic bacteria (Sehrawat *et al.*, 2022). Numerous *Trichoderma* species show antagonism against phytopathogenic microorganisms producing HCN, siderophores, antibiotics, and fungal cell wall-lysing enzymes such as cellulases, ligninases, chitinases, and proteases (Jamil, 2021; Saeed *et al.*, 2021). HCN produced by *Trichoderma* acts as a defense regulator and inhibitor against different phytopathogens, including *Aspergillus niger*, *A. flavus*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, and *Alternaria alternata* (Blumer and Haas, 2000; Cucu *et al.*,

2019; Zain *et al.*, 2019; Jamil, 2021). In fact, other signaling molecules, such as NO, which has chemical characteristics very similar to HCN, have been suggested to be further involved in the induced systemic resistance of plants. Pescador *et al.* (2022) reported that NO of *Trichoderma* fungi causes induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis plants and is effective against a wide range of pathogens. Therefore, the use of HCN produced by bacteria and fungi as a biocide may be a pathway for its use in sustainable agriculture (Rijavec and Lapanje, 2016; Sendi *et al.*, 2020; Sehrawat *et al.*, 2022). Figure 2 represents a scheme of the implication of HCN in plant defense.

Mechanisms of action of HCN

The measured HCN content in plant tissues ranged from 25–150 ppb in Arabidopsis leaves and roots to 25–1000 ppm in cyanogenic plants such as cassava and bamboo (Haque and Bradbury, 2002). In cyanogenic plants, the release of cyanide depends on the action of hydrolytic enzymes (β-glucosidases) to break down the cyanoglycoside (Cressey and Reeve, 2019).

The function of HCN as a signaling molecule, in a similar way to other signaling molecules such as H_2S , must lie in the transient accumulation of cyanide and its chemical reactivity with other biomolecules. At least three mechanisms of action can be suggested to explain the signaling role of HCN in eukaryote cells (Fig. 3): (i) reaction with other small biomolecules; (ii) binding with metal centers and metalloproteins; and (iii) modification of protein cysteines to form the corresponding thiocyanate (Prot-S−C≡N).

6046 | Díaz-Rueda *et al.*

Fig. 3. Cyanide reactivity with small molecules. (A) Schematic reaction of cyanide with electrophilic molecules. (B) Cyanohydrin formation by reaction with ketone and aldehyde molecules. (C) Cyanide reaction with disulfide bridges of cystine and oxidized glutathione.

HCN is weakly acidic, with a p*K*a of 9.2, and thus ionizes in aqueous solution to give the cyanide anion N≡C:−. The lone pair of electrons and the negative charge in the carbon atom make the cyanide anion a strong nucleophile that easily reacts with molecules by nucleophilic substitution reactions (Fig. 3A); thus, cyanide easily reacts with carbonyl compounds, especially aldehydes and ketones, to form cyanohydrins or hydroxynitriles (Fig. 3B). Cyanogenic plants contain the hydroxynitrile lyase enzyme that catalyzes the opposite reaction and breaks down cyanohydrin to release cyanide and aldehyde or ketone, and this reaction is a self-defense mechanism to protect plants containing cyanogenic glycosides from microbial and insect attack (Zagrobelny *et al.*, 2008). Although mainly described in cyanogenic plants, HNL activity has also been described in Arabidopsis to act in response to spider mite infestation (Arnaiz *et al.*, 2022). Cyanide can also react with cystine and mixed disulfides in general by nucleophilic attack on sulfur to yield a thiol and a thiocyanate, which further react to form more stable thiazoline heterocyclic compounds; thus, cystine reacts with cyanide to form cysteine and 2-aminothiazoline-4-carboxylic acid (ATCA) or its tautomer 2-iminothiazoline-4-carboxylic acid (ITCA) (Fig. 3C) (Boyde, 1968). These thiazolines can be detected in urine samples from humans with high dietary intake of cyanide and are used in forensic science (Lundquist *et al.*, 1995; Nishio *et al.*, 2022). Similarly, the oxidized form of glutathione (GSH), the most abundant thiol in eukaryote cells, can also react in the same way with cyanide and form reduced GSH and cyano-glutathione (GS-CN), which can cycle between the N-terminus of the cysteine residue and the CN bond, which cleaves the γ-glutamyl-cysteine peptide bond to produce the corresponding 2-aminothiazolidine (ATOEA) (or the tautomer 2-iminothiazolidine) and glutamic acid (Degani and Patchornik, 1974). The analysis of ATOEA in plasma has also been used as a biomarker of cyanide exposure (Gyamfi *et al.*, 2019). The presence of ATCA or ATOEA in plants has not been analyzed thus far, but the abundance of GSH in plant cells makes it plausible that it may act as a cyanide-detoxifying molecule or, vice versa, that cyanide accumulation during ET burst may act by reducing GSH levels under stress conditions.

Cyanide can also react with reduced sulfur species such as polysulfides and, in the presence of an oxidizing agent, it can react with certain oxidized forms of sulfur such as sulfite and thiosulfate to produce thiocyanate (Bartlett and Davis, 1958). The cyanide anion reacts with transition metals to form M-CN bonds and generate complexes with coordination num-

bers from two to eight (Hanusa, 2005). Cyanide complexes are commonly formed by transition metals with oxidation states (+3) and (+2), including iron, copper, mercury, zinc, nickel, cadmium, cobalt, other transition group metals, and, of course, gold and silver. The capacity to form very stable metal complexes is the basis of cyanide toxicity since it can bind to heme *a3* of cytochrome *c* oxidase, inhibiting the utilization of molecular oxygen (Keilin *et al.*, 1939); thus, cyanide affects complex IV and inhibits mitochondrial electron transport and ATP generation (Keilin *et al.*, 1939). Although cyanide can inhibit cytochrome *c* oxidase activity, it has been recently reported that at a low concentration, cyanide stimulates mitochondrial electron transport and ATP generation associated with the removal of inhibitory glutathionylation post-translational modification of the 30 kDa and 57 kDa subunits (Randi *et al.*, 2021). Cyanide is also able to interact with other heme proteins, such as hemoglobin and myeloperoxidase (MPO), which catalyze the oxidation of chloride, bromide, and thiocyanate to produce strongly oxidizing molecules such as hypochlorous acid (HOCl), hypobromous acid (HOBr), or hypothiocyanous acid (HOSCN) during the neutrophil respiratory burst (Rossifanelli *et al.*, 1964; Winterbourn *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, MPO is one of the mammalian enzymes described that produces cyanide from glycine (Zgliczynski and Stelmaszynska, 1979). Nitrite and sulfite reductases are key nitrogen and sulfur assimilatory enzymes found in bacteria, fungi, and plants; they contain an Fe–heme siroheme linked to the [4Fe-4S] cluster, and their interaction with cyanide positively shifts the redox potential of the siroheme, altering the redox capability of the enzymes (Liu *et al.*, 2014). Other metalloproteins are also susceptible to interaction with cyanide *in vitro*, such as Fe-superoxide reductase (FeSOD), Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase (Cu,ZnSOD), and Mn-superoxide dismutase (Clarkson *et al.*, 1989; Iqbal and Whitney, 1991; Shearer *et al.*, 2003). Plant photosynthesis is also very sensitive to cyanide, which is a potent inhibitor of electron transfer to PSI since it can bind Cu-plastocyanin (Berg and Krogmann, 1975).

The high affinity of cyanide for cobalamin or vitamin B12, a coordination complex of cobalt ions in the center of a corrin heterocyclic ring, makes this molecule a more effective and common antidote in cyanide poisoning (Borron *et al.*, 2006). In plant tissue, treatment with hydroxocobalamin can reverse the inhibition of root hair development due to cyanide accumulation in mutant lines defective in the cyanide detoxification enzyme β-cyanoalanine synthase (Garcia *et al.*, 2010).

The recognized gaseous signaling molecules carbon monoxide (CO) , NO, and H_2S exert their cellular effects by interacting with cellular and molecular targets. The three of them can react with transition metals to give metal complexes, but, in addition to other mechanisms, NO and H_2S carry out their function through the post-translational modification of cysteine thiol residues in protein targets, such as *S*-nitrosylation and persulfidation, respectively. HCN may react with protein disulfide by nucleophilic displacement and form *S*-cyanylated cysteine residues. This modification can be detected in human plasma proteins, such as immunoglobulin G and serum albumin, after cyanide poisoning or in individuals who smoke (Fasco *et al.*, 2007; Grigoryan *et al.*, 2016). The presence of *S*-cyanylation in a wide variety of proteins was described in Arabidopsis wild-type plants as well as in a mutant line defective in the β-cyanoalanine synthase enzyme, which accumulated higher levels of cyanide (Garcia *et al.*, 2010). Using two different technical approaches, a set of 163 proteins were shown

Fig. 4. Conclusions and upcoming

to be susceptible to *S*-cyanylation. The first approach took advantage of the described characteristic of *in vitro S*-cyanylated proteins that can be cleaved in two parts under alkaline conditions, the amino acid backbone from the N-terminus of the cyanylated cysteine residue on one side and the cycled iminothiazolidine-derived peptide with the C-terminus of the protein on the other (Catsimpoolas and Wood, 1966; Fasco *et al.*, 2007). Comparative analysis of the protein profiles in wild-type and *cas-c1* lines revealed 88 cyanylated proteins involved in important metabolic pathways, such as glycolysis and the TCA and Calvin cycles. Enolase 1 and 2, part of the phosphopyruvate hydratase complex, showed a higher (>100-fold) cyanylation change in cas-c1 compared with the wild type, with Cys346 being the modified residue (Garcia *et al.*, 2019). Alternative approaches used LC-MS/MS to analyze protein extracts from enriched mitochondrial preparations from root tissues and identified an additional 75 *S*-cyanylated proteins in roots. To date, a total of 163 *S*-cyanylated proteins have been described in plants (Garcia *et al.*, 2019). Some of the more interesting findings were that most of the enzymes of the *S*-adenosylmethionine cycle, including Met synthase 1 and 2 (MS1 and MS2) and DNA methyltransferase 2 (DMT2), were modified. This may have important signaling and regulatory aspects related to protein and DNA methylation. Indeed, MS1 and DNA methylation are related to immune priming (González and Vera, 2019), which is a status that can deploy defense mechanisms more rapidly and robustly following a pathogen infection, with a minimal cost to the physiology of plants because the defensive response is initiated only if necessary. This may result in noteworthy implications that require further attention.

Conclusion

Information gained using model plants has changed the perception of HCN from a poison to a useful molecule that is able to generate a signal that transduces external (biotic or abiotic stress) or internal (development or other natural processes) signals in a response aiming to restore plant (or any organism) homeostasis and adapt to the new situation. Apart from agriculture (deepening the knowledge of the immune response and developmental processes in plants regulated by cyanide), generated tools and knowledge can be useful for exploring other areas, such as biomedicine (identifying new human pathophysiological processes regulated by HCN), microbiology (identifying pathophysiological processes in bacteria and fungi regulated by HCN), and biotechnology (manipulating biological processes by protein activity alteration by *S*-cyanylation) (Fig. 4).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the European Regional Development Fund, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, the Agencia Estatal de Investigación and CSIC, and the Junta de Andalucía for funding.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding

This work has been supported by the ERDF "A way of making Europe" and MCIN/AEI/10.13039/ 501100011033 (grants No. BIO2016- 76633-P and PID2021-127450NB-I00), CSIC (grant. No. 201840I085) and Junta de Andalucía (grant No. P20_00030).

References

Arenas-Alfonseca L, Gotor C, Romero LC, Garcia I. 2018a. Role of mitochondrial cyanide detoxification in Arabidopsis root hair development. Plant Signaling & Behavior 13, e1537699.

Arenas-Alfonseca L, Gotor C, Romero LC, Garcia I. 2018b. β-Cyanoalanine synthase action in root hair elongation is exerted at early steps of the root hair elongation pathway and is independent of direct cyanide inactivation of NADPH oxidase. Plant and Cell Physiology 59, 1072–1083.

Arenas-Alfonseca L, Gotor C, Romero LC, García I. 2021. Mutation in Arabidopsis β-cyanoalanine synthase overcomes NADPH oxidase action in response to pathogens. Journal Experimental Botany 72, 4535–4547.

Arnaiz A, Santamaria ME, Rosa-Diaz I, Garcia I, Dixit S, Vallejos S, Gotor C, Martinez M, Grbic V, Diaz I. 2022. Hydroxynitrile lyase defends Arabidopsis against *Tetranychus urticae*. Plant Physiology 189, 2244–2258.

Aroca A, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2018. Hydrogen sulfide signaling in plants: emerging roles of protein persulfidation. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 1369.

Astier J. Lindermayr C. 2012. Nitric oxide-dependent posttranslational modification in plants: an update. International Journal of Molecular Science 13, 15193–15208.

Ballhorn DJ, Lieberei R. 2006. Oviposition choice of Mexican bean beetle (*Epilachna varivestis*) depends on host plants cyanogenic capacity. Journal of Chemical Ecology 32, 1861–1865.

Bartlett PD, Davis RE. 1958. Reactions of elemental sulfur. The reaction of alkali cyanides with sulfur, and some single-sulfur transfer reactions. Journal of the American Chemical Society 80, 2513-2516.

Berg SP, Krogmann DW. 1975. Mechanism of KCN inhibition of photosystem I. Journal of Biological Chemistry 250, 8957–8962.

Blumer C, Haas D. 2000. Mechanism, regulation, and ecological role of bacterial cyanide biosynthesis. Archives of Microbiology 173, 170–177.

Borowitz JL, Gunasekar PG, Isom GE. 1997. Hydrogen cyanide generation by mu-opiate receptor activation: possible neuromodulatory role of endogenous cyanide. Brain Research 768, 294–300.

Borron SW, Stonerook M, Reid F. 2006. Efficacy of hydroxocobalamin for the treatment of acute cyanide poisoning in adult beagle dogs. Clinical Toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa.) 44, 5–15.

Boter M, Diaz I. 2023. Cyanogenesis, a plant defence strategy against herbivores. International Journal of Molecular Science 24, 6982.

Bottcher C, Westphal L, Schmotz C, Prade E, Scheel D, Glawischnig E. 2009. The multifunctional enzyme CYP71B15 (PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT3) converts cysteine-indole-3-acetonitrile to camalexin in the indole-3-acetonitrile metabolic network of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Cell 21, 1830–1845.

Boyde TR. 1968. The reaction between cyanide and the mixed disulphide of cysteine and penicillamine. Journal of the Chemical Society. Perkin Transactions 1 22, 2751–2753.

Catsimpoolas N, Wood JL. 1966. Specific cleavage of cystine peptides by cyanide. Journal of Biological Chemistry 241, 1790–1796.

Cheeke PR. 1995. Endogenous toxins and mycotoxins in forage grasses and their effects on livestock. Journal of Animal Science 73, 909–918.

Clarkson SP, Large PJ, Bamforth CW. 1989. Purification of a cyanidesensitive superoxide dismutase from soya beans: a food-compatible enzyme preparation. Journal of the Science Food and Agriculture 48, 87–97.

Conn EE. 2008. Our work with cyanogenic plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology 59, 1–19.

Cressey P, Reeve J. 2019. Metabolism of cyanogenic glycosides: a review. Food and Chemical Toxicology 125, 225–232.

Cucu MA, Gilardi G, Pugliese M, Mati**ć** S, Gisi U, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A. 2019. Influence of different biological control agents and compost on total and nitrification-driven microbial communities at rhizosphere and soil level in a lettuce–*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* pathosystem. Journal of Applied Microbiology 126, 905–918.

Degani Y, Patchornik A. 1974. Cyanylation of sulfhydryl groups by 2-nitro-5-thiocyanobenzoic acid. High-yield modification and cleavage of peptides at cysteine residues. Biochemistry 13, 1–11.

Delledonne M, Zeier J, Marocco A, Lamb C. 2001. Signal interactions between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 98, 13454–13459.

Dixit S, Widemann E, Bensoussan N, *et al*. 2022. β-Cyanoalanine synthase protects mites against Arabidopsis defenses. Plant Physiology 189, 1961–1975.

Donato DB, Nichols O, Possingham H, Moore M, Ricci PF, Noller BN. 2007. A critical review of the effects of gold cyanide-bearing tailings solutions on wildlife. Environment International 33, 974–984.

Esashi Y, Isuzugawa K, Matsuyama S, Ashino H, Hasegawa R. 1991. Endogenous evolution of HCN during pre-germination periods in many seed species. Physiologia Plantarum 83, 27-33.

Fadoul HE, Albano LJ, Bergman ME, Phillips MA, Johnson MTJ. 2023. Assessing the benefits and costs of the hydrogen cyanide antiherbivore defense in *Trifolium repens*. Plants 12, 1213.

Fasco MJ, Iii CR, Stack RF, O'Hehir C, Barr JR, Eadon GA. 2007. Cyanide adducts with human plasma proteins: albumin as a potential exposure surrogate. Chemical Research in Toxicology 20, 677–684.

Flematti GR, Waters MT, Scaffidi A, Merritt DJ, Ghisalberti EL, Dixon KW, Smith SM. 2013. Karrikin and cyanohydrin smoke signals provide clues to new endogenous plant signaling compounds. Molecular Plant 6, 29–37.

Garcia I, Arenas-Alfonseca L, Moreno I, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2019. HCN regulates cellular processes through posttranslational modification of proteins by S-cyanylation. Plant Physiology 179, 107–123.

Garcia I, Castellano JM, Vioque B, Solano R, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2010. Mitochondrial beta-cyanoalanine synthase is essential for root hair formation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Cell 22, 3268–3279.

Garcia I, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2014. Beyond toxicity: a regulatory role for mitochondrial cyanide. Plant Signaling & Behavior 9, e27612.

Garcia I, Rosas T, Bejarano ER, Gotor C, Romero LC. 2013. Transient transcriptional regulation of the CYS-C1 gene and cyanide accumulation upon pathogen infection in the plant immune response. Plant Physiology 162, 2015–2027.

Gawron O. 1966. On the reaction of cyanide with cystine and cystine peptides. In: Kharasch N, Meyers CY, eds. The chemistry of organic sulfur compounds. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 351–365.

Geddes J, Eudes F, Laroche A, Selinger LB. 2008. Differential expression of proteins in response to the interaction between the pathogen *Fusarium graminearum* and its host, *Hordeum vulgare*. Proteomics 8, 545–554.

Glawischnig E. 2007. Camalexin. Phytochemistry 68, 401-406.

Gleadow RM, Møller BL. 2014. Cyanogenic glycosides: synthesis, physiology, and phenotypic plasticity. Annual Review of Plant Biology 65, 155–185.

González B, Vera P. 2019. Folate metabolism interferes with plant immunity through 1C methionine synthase-directed genome-wide DNA methylation enhancement. Molecular Plant 12, 1227–1242.

Gotor C, Garcia I, Aroca A, Laureano-Marin AM, Arenas-Alfonseca L, Jurado-Flores A, Moreno I, Romero LC. 2019. Signaling by hydrogen sulfide and cyanide through posttranslational modification. Journal of Experimental Botany 70, 4251–4265.

Grigoryan H, Edmands W, Lu SS, Yano Y, Regazzoni L, Iavarone AT, Williams ER, Rappaport SM. 2016. Adductomics pipeline for untargeted analysis of modifications to Cys34 of human serum albumin. Analytical Chemistry 88, 10504–10512.

Gunasekar PG, Borowitz JL, Turek JJ, Van Horn DA, Isom GE. 2000. Endogenous generation of cyanide in neuronal tissue: involvement of a peroxidase system. Journal of Neuroscience Research 61, 570–575.

Gunasekar PG, Prabhakaran K, Li L, Zhang L, Isom GE, Borowitz JL. 2004. Receptor mechanisms mediating cyanide generation in PC12 cells and rat brain. Neuroscience Research 49, 13–18.

Gyamfi OA, Bortey-Sam N, Mahon SB, Brenner M, Rockwood GA, Logue BA. 2019. Metabolism of cyanide by glutathione to produce the novel cyanide metabolite 2-aminothiazoline-4-oxoaminoethanoic acid. Chemical Research in Toxicology 32, 718–726.

Hanusa TP. 2005. Cyanide complexes of the transition metals. In: Encyclopedia of inorganic chemistry. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.

Haque MR, Bradbury HJ. 2002. Total cyanide determination of plants and foods using the picrate and acid hydrolysis methods. Food Chemistry 77, 107–114.

Hatzfeld Y, Maruyama A, Schmidt A, Noji M, Ishizawa K, Saito K. 2000. β-Cyanoalanine synthase is a mitochondrial cysteine synthase-like protein in spinach and Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 123, 1163-1171.

Iqbal J, Whitney P. 1991. Use of cyanide and diethyldithiocarbamate in the assay of superoxide dismutases. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 10, 69–77.

Iwai T, Miyasaka A, Seo S, Ohashi Y. 2006. Contribution of ethylene biosynthesis for resistance to blast fungus infection in young rice plants. Plant Physiology 142, 1202–1215.

Jamil A. 2021. Antifungal and plant growth promoting activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* colonizing tomato. Journal of Plant Protection Research 61, 243–253.

Kashala-Abotnes E, Okitundu D, Mumba D, Boivin MJ, Tylleskar T, Tshala-Katumbay D. 2019. Konzo: a distinct neurological disease

6050 | Díaz-Rueda *et al.*

associated with food (cassava) cyanogenic poisoning. Brain Research Bulletin 145, 87–91.

Keilin D, Hartree EF, Hartree EF. 1939. Cytochrome and cytochrome oxidase. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 127, 167–191.

Knowles CJ. 1976. Microorganisms and cyanide. Bacteriological Reviews 40, 652–680.

Knowles CJ. 1988. Cyanide utilization and degradation by microorganisms. Ciba Foundation Symposium 140, 3–15.

Kremer RJ, Souissi T. 2001. Cyanide production by rhizobacteria and potential for suppression of weed seedling growth. Current Microbiology 43, 182–186.

Kuzmanovic N, Eltlbany N, Ding G, Baklawa M, Min L, Wei L, Smalla K. 2018. Analysis of the genome sequence of plant beneficial strain *Pseudomonas* sp. RU47. Journal of Biotechnology 281, 183–192.

Létoffé S, Wu Y, Darch SE, Beloin C, Whiteley M, Touqui L, Ghigo JM. 2022. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* production of hydrogen cyanide leads to airborne control of *Staphylococcus aureus* growth in biofilm and in vivo lung environments. mBio 13, e0215422.

Liu J, Chakraborty S, Hosseinzadeh P, Yu Y, Tian S, Petrik I, Bhagi A, Lu Y. 2014. Metalloproteins containing cytochrome, iron-sulfur, or copper redox centers. Chemical Reviews 114, 4366–4469.

Lundquist P, Kagedal B, Nilsson L, Rosling H. 1995. Analysis of the cyanide metabolite 2-aminothiazoline-4-carboxylic acid in urine by highperformance liquid chromatography. Analytical Biochemistry 228, 27–34.

Machingura M, Salomon E, Jez JM, Ebbs SD. 2016. The β-cyanoalanine synthase pathway: beyond cyanide detoxification. Plant, Cell & Environment 39, 2329–2341.

McMahon JM, White WLB, Sayre RT. 1995. Cyanogenesis in cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). Journal of Experimental Botany 46, 731–741.

Miller JM, Conn EE. 1980. Metabolism of hydrogen cyanide by higher plants. Plant Physiology 65, 1199–1202.

Moller BL. 2010. Functional diversifications of cyanogenic glucosides. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 13, 338–347.

Morant AV, Jørgensen K, Jørgensen C, Paquette SM, Sanchez-Perez R, Møller BL, Bak S. 2008. β-Glucosidases as detonators of plant chemical defense. Phytochemistry 69, 1795–1813.

Nagahara N, Ito T, Minami M. 1999. Mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase as a defense against cyanide toxication: molecular properties and mode of detoxification. Histology and Histopathology 14, 1277–1286.

Nelson DC, Flematti GR, Ghisalberti EL, Dixon KW, Smith SM. 2012. Regulation of seed germination and seedling growth by chemical signals from burning vegetation. Annual Review of Plant Biology 63, 107–130.

Nguyen TD. 2022. Plant and pest: the art of (cyanide) war. Plant Physiology 189, 1896–1897.

Nishio T, Toukairin Y, Hoshi T, Arai T, Nogami M. 2022. Quantification of 2-aminothiazoline-4-carboxylic acid as a reliable marker of cyanide exposure using chemical derivatization followed by liquid chromatography– tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Pharmaceutical Biomedical Analysis 207, 114429.

Pacher P. 2021. Cyanide emerges as an endogenous mammalian gasotransmitter. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 118, e2108040118.

Pastorczyk M, Kosaka A, Pislewska-Bednarek M, Lopez G, Frerigmann H, Kulak K, Glawischnig E, Molina A, Takano Y, Bednarek P. 2020. The role of CYP71A12 monooxygenase in pathogen-triggered tryptophan metabolism and Arabidopsis immunity. New Phytologist 225, 400–412.

Patel BH, Percivalle C, Ritson DJ, Duffy CD, Sutherland JD. 2015. Common origins of RNA, protein and lipid precursors in a cyanosulfidic protometabolism. Nature Chemistry 7, 301–307.

Paul BD, Snyder SH. 2015. H_2S : a novel gasotransmitter that signals by sulfhydration. Trends in Biochemical Science 40, 687–700.

Peiser GD, Wang TT, Hoffman NE, Yang SF, Liu HW, Walsh CT. 1984. Formation of cyanide from carbon 1 of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid during its conversion to ethylene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 81, 3059–3063.

Pescador L, Fernandez I, Pozo MJ, Romero-Puertas MC, Pieterse CM, Martínez-Medina A. 2022. Nitric oxide signalling in roots is required for MYB72-dependent systemic resistance induced by *Trichoderma* volatile compounds in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany 73, 584–595.

Poulton JE. 1990. Cyanogenesis in plants. Plant Physiology 94, 401–405.

Rajniak J, Barco B, Clay NK, Sattely ES. 2015. A new cyanogenic metabolite in Arabidopsis required for inducible pathogen defense. Nature 525, 376–379.

Randi EB, Zuhra K, Pecze L, Panagaki T, Szabo C. 2021. Physiological concentrations of cyanide stimulate mitochondrial Complex IV and enhance cellular bioenergetics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 118, e2026245118.

Rijavec T, Lapanje A. 2016. Hydrogen cyanide in the rhizosphere: not suppressing plant pathogens, but rather regulating availability of phosphate. Frontiers in Microbiology 7, 1785.

Rossifanelli A, Antonini E, Caputo A. 1964. Hemoglobin and myoglobin. Advances in Protein Chemistry 19, 73–222.

Saeed Q, Xiukang W, Haider FU, *et al*. 2021. Rhizosphere bacteria in plant growth promotion, biocontrol, and bioremediation of contaminated sites: a comprehensive review of effects and mechanisms. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22, 10529.

Sehrawat A, Sindhu S, Glick BR. 2022. Hydrogen cyanide production by soil bacteria: biological control of pests and promotion of plant growth in sustainable agriculture. Pedosphere 32, 15–38.

Sendi Y, Pfeiffer T, Koch E, Mhadhbi H, Mrabet M. 2020. Potential of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) root microbiome in the biocontrol of root rot disease and traits of performance. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 127, 453–462.

Seo S, Mitsuhara I, Feng J, Iwai T, Hasegawa M, Ohashi Y. 2011. Cyanide, a coproduct of plant hormone ethylene biosynthesis, contributes to the resistance of rice to blast fungus. Plant Physiology 155, 502–514.

Shearer J, Fitch SB, Kaminsky W, Benedict J, Scarrow RC, Kovacs JA. 2003. How does cyanide inhibit superoxide reductase? Insight from synthetic FeIIIN4S model complexes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 100, 3671–3676.

Siegien I, Bogatek R. 2006. Cyanide action in plants-from toxic to regulatory. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 28, 483–497.

Stelmaszynska T. 1986. Formation of HCN and its chlorination to CICN by stimulated human neutrophils-2. Oxidation of thiocyanate as a source of HCN. International Journal of Biochemistry 18, 1107–1114.

Vinnakota CV, Peetha NS, Perrizo MG, Ferris DG, Oda RP, Rockwood GA, Logue BA. 2012. Comparison of cyanide exposure markers in the biofluids of smokers and non-smokers. Biomarkers 17, 625–633.

Wang KLC, Li H, Ecker JR. 2002. Ethylene biosynthesis and signaling networks. The Plant Cell 14, S131–S151.

White WLB, Arias-Garzon DI, McMahon JM, Sayre RT. 1998. Cyanogenesis in cassava. The role of hydroxynitrile lyase in root cyanide production. Plant Physiology 116, 1219–1225.

Winterbourn CC, Kettle AJ, Hampton MB. 2016. Reactive oxygen species and neutrophil function. Annual Review of Biochemistry 85, 765–792.

Xu F, Peng Y, He ZQ, Yu LL. 2023. The role of cyanoalanine synthase and alternative oxidase in promoting salt stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biology 23, 163.

Yip WK, Yang SF. 1988. Cyanide metabolism in relation to ethylene production in plant tissues. Plant Physiology 88, 473–476.

Yu LL, Liu CJ, Peng Y, He Z-Q, Xu F. 2022. New insights into the role of cyanide in the promotion of seed germination in tomato. BMC Plant Biology 22, 28.

Zagrobelny M, Bak S, Moller BL. 2008. Cyanogenesis in plants and arthropods. Phytochemistry 69, 1457–1468.

Zagrobelny M, Bak S, Olsen CE, Moller BL. 2007. Intimate roles for cyanogenic glucosides in the life cycle of *Zygaena filipendulae* (Lepidoptera, Zygaenidae). Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 37, 1189–1197.

Zagrobelny M, Bak S, Rasmussen AV, Jørgensen B, Naumann CM, Møller BL. 2004. Cyanogenic glucosides and plant–insect interactions. Phytochemistry 65, 293-306.

Zagrobelny M, de Castro ECP, Moller BL, Bak S. 2018. Cyanogenesis in arthropods: from chemical warfare to nuptial gifts. Insects 9, 51.

Zain M, Yasmin S, Hafeez F. 2019. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting antagonistic bacteria from cotton and sugarcane plants for suppression of phytopathogenic *Fusarium* species. Iran Journal of Biotechnology 17, 61–70.

Zgliczynski JM, Stelmaszynska T. 1979. Hydrogen-cyanide and cyanogen chloride formation by the myeloperoxidase– H_2O_2 –Cl-system. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 567, 309–314.

Zhang W, Zhao F, Jiang L, Chen C, Wu L, Liu Z. 2018. Different pathogen defense strategies in *Arabidopsis*: more than pathogen recognition. Cells 7, 252.

Zhang X, Fu J, Hiromasa Y, Pan H, Bai G. 2013. Differentially expressed proteins associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. PLoS One 8, e82079.