

Economic inefficiencies in private management of epidemics spreading between farms

Gaël Thébaud, César Martinez, Mabell Tidball, Pierre Courtois

▶ To cite this version:

Gaël Thébaud, César Martinez, Mabell Tidball, Pierre Courtois. Economic inefficiencies in private management of epidemics spreading between farms. 20es Rencontres de Virologie Végétale, Jan 2025, Aussois, France. hal-04957505

HAL Id: hal-04957505 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04957505v1

Submitted on 19 Feb 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Economic inefficiencies in private management of epidemics spreading between farms

<u>Gaël Thébaud¹</u>, César Martinez^{2,3}, Mabel Tidball², Pierre Courtois²

¹ PHIM Plant Health Institute, INRAE, CIRAD, IRD, Institut Agro, Univ Montpellier, France; ² CEE-M Center for Environmental Economics, Montpellier Univ., INRAE, CNRS, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France; ³ Ecodéveloppement, INRAE, Avignon, France

Most plant epidemics spread both within and between farms. However, in the absence of collective action, each farmer generally takes disease control decisions based on personal costs and benefits. It is important to identify under which conditions the combination of such private control decisions can have synergistic or antagonistic effects, can lead to collective economic inefficiency, and can be offset by a subsidy. We used a game theory framework to investigate these questions for sharka, an aphid-transmitted disease caused by the plum pox virus (PPV). In France, sharka control is presently regulated (and subsidized) by the State, with mandatory orchard inspections and removal of infected trees. However, the French government is organizing the devolution of sharka management and may end its subsidy policy, which requires a thorough examination of the potential implications.



Fraternité







- low disease incidence
- 2 successive time periods
- 2 neighboring farms onlydiffering in their initial incidence
- full information and perfect self-profit maximization
- simultaneous binary decisions: $\rho_k^t = \rho$ or $\rho_k^t = 0$ Control No control
- Time structure:

BEGINNING OF THE GAM	E			END OF THE GAME
decision (and control) (ρ_1^0, ρ_2^0) \downarrow t = 0 +	evolution : $(I_1^1, I_2^1) = f(I_1^0, I_2^0, \rho_1^0, \rho_2^0)$	decision (and control) (ρ_1^1, ρ_2^1) \downarrow t = 1 first-period profits	evolution : $(I_1^2, I_2^2) = f(I_1^1, I_2^1, \rho_1^1, \rho_2^1)$	$t = 2$ $+$ \uparrow second-period profits
		(π_1^1,π_2^1)		(π_1^z,π_2^z)



Parameter	Description	Value	Unit
Economic parameters			
C_f	Inspection cost for 10 hectares	1600	€
c_r	Removal cost per tree	15	€
u_1, u_2	Net benefit from an infected tree	10	€
v_1, v_2	Net benefit from a healthy tree	50	€
δ	Discount rate	0.96	_
Epidemiological parameters			
r_{12}, r_{21}	Interpatch transmission per infected tree	1.55	_
r_{11}, r_{22}	Intrapatch transmission per infected tree	1.6	_
ho	Detection rate	0.20	_
N_1^0, N_2^0	Total number of trees per orchard	5330	Tree



Results of the profit-maximization problem

Socially optimal management I_2^0 (0, 0, 0, 0) (0, 0, 0, 0) 150 (0, ρ, 0, 0) (0, 0, ρ, ρ) (0, ρ, 0, ρ) (0, p, 0, 0) (0, p, p, p) (0, ρ, 0, ρ) (p, 0, 0, 0) (0, ρ, ρ, ρ) (ρ, 0, ρ, 0) (p, 0, 0, 0) (ρ, 0, ρ, ρ) (ρ, 0, ρ, 0) incidence incidence 🛚 (ρ, ρ, 0, 0) (p, 0, p, p) (ρ, ρ, 0, ρ) (ρ, ρ, 0, 0) (ρ, ρ, ρ, 0) (ρ, ρ, ρ, ρ) (ρ, ρ, 0, ρ) 🛚 (ρ, ρ, ρ, ρ) (ρ, ρ, ρ, 0) (ρ, ρ, ρ, ρ) 2 equilibria





- Equilibrium strategies: first period second period $(\rho_1^0, \rho_2^0, \rho_1^1, \rho_2^1)$ orchard 1 orchard 2
- Results equilibria:
 - Disease incidence affects the optimal strategies and the types of interactions
 - Private management increases:
 - strategic interactions
 - the diversity of equilibria
 - incidence thresholds for
 - control (1) and full control (6)

• Equilibria along the bisector



• Impact of a management subsidy (800 € / 10 ha)



• Results - inefficiency & subsidy:

- For relatively high incidence, private disease management is efficient
- Strategic interactions cause high levels of inefficiency, especially for multiple equilibria
- A uniform subsidy on management costs:
 - generally offsets inefficiencies
- can be unnecessary (grey) or
- counterproductive (dark blue)

Discussion

Consequences for sharka management:

Model limitations:

• Simplistic spatiotemporal framework

Sources of inefficiency:

- Ignoring negative impact on the neighbor
- Free-riding on the neighbor's effort
- Coordinating on late control in multiple equilibria
- Transfer from public to private control may cause significant collective inefficiency in sharka control

For further information, see:

Martinez C., Courtois P., Thébaud G., Tidball M. (2024) The private management

of plant disease epidemics: Infection levels and social inefficiencies.

European Review of Agricultural Economics

51(2): 248-274.

- Mechanisms of coordination are necessary
- Well-designed subsidies may also be required
- Simplistic sociological assumptions:
 - only incidence differs between farms
 - farmers solve a deterministic stategic game

=> Future work on simulations

Centre Montpellier





Cirad TA A-120/K Campus de Baillarguet 34398 Montpellier cedex 5 Tél. : + 33 (0)4 99 62 48 55 gael.thebaud@inrae.fr https://umr-phim.cirad.fr/